

Mališa R. Žižović

NEW GENERALIZATIONS OF ORDERING RELATIONS

(Received 20.06.1990.)

Abstract. In this note we give a new generalized ordering relation and its connections with the binary ordering and total binary ordering.

1. Definitions

We use terminology from [1]-[3]. Let S be a non-empty set and R an $(n+1)$ -ary relation on S . Then:

1. R is $(n+1)$ -reflexive iff $(\overset{n+1}{a}) \in R$ for each $a \in S$ [1].
2. R is 2-antisymmetric iff for each $a, b \in S$ the following is satisfied: if all permutations of a, b are included in $(n+1)$ -tuples of R , then $a=b$ [1].
3. R is iA_1 -transitive, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, iff for each $a_0, \dots, a_{n+1} \in S$
 $((a_0^{i-1}, a_1, a_{n+1}^i) \in R \ \& \ (a_1^{i-1}, a_1, a_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in R) \Rightarrow (a_0^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^{n+1}) \in R$ [2].
4. R is compressible iff for all $a_1, \dots, a_k \in S$ the following holds:
 if $(a_1^{i_1}, \dots, a_k^{i_k}) \in R$, $i_1 + \dots + i_k = n+1$, $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $j_1 + \dots + j_k = n+1$, $(a_1^{j_1}, \dots, a_k^{j_k}) \in R$ [1].
 If $(\overset{r}{a}, \overset{s}{b}) \in R$, $r+s=n+1$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\overset{i}{a}, \overset{j}{b}) \in R$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $i+j=n+1$ [4].
5. R is 2-complete iff for all $a, b \in S$ the following is satisfied:
 $((\exists a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in S \text{ such that } (a_1^{n+1}) \in R \text{ and } a_1=a, a_j=b, 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1) \text{ or}$
 $((\exists b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} \in S \text{ such that } (b_1^{n+1}) \in R \text{ and } b_k=b, b_m=a, 1 \leq k < m \leq n+1) \text{ [4].$
6. R is strongly 2-complete iff for all $a, b \in S$ the following holds
 $(\exists r, s \in \mathbb{N}, r+s=n+1 \text{ with } (a, b) \in R) \text{ or } (\exists k, m \in \mathbb{N}, k+m=n+1 \text{ with } (b, a) \in R) \text{ [4].$
7. R is p -transitive iff for each $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} \in S$ such that $(a_1^{n+1}) \in R$, $(b_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and $a_i = b_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$), one has: for all $x \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}\}$ and all $y \in \{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{n+1}\}$ there exist $c_1, \dots, c_{n+1} \in S$ such that $(c_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and $x = c_k$, $y = c_r$, $1 \leq k < r \leq n+1$.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 06A15

2. On generalizations of ordering relations

PROPOSITION 1. *If an $(n+1)$ -ary relation R on S is compressible and iA_1 -transitive, then it is p -transitive.*

Proof. Let $(a_1^{n+1}) \in R$, $(b_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and let $a_1 = b_j = a$. Let $x = a_k$ and $y = b_s$, $k < 1$, $s > j$. The compressibility of R implies $(x, \bar{a}) \in R$ and $(\bar{a}, y) \in R$. From this fact and iA_1 -transitivity ($i \in \mathbb{N}_n$) of R it follows $(x, \bar{a}^{-1}) \in R$ so that R is a p -transitive relation. If $x = b_k$, $k < j$, and $y = a_s$, $s > i$, the proof is analogous.

The converse of this proposition is not valid in general, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $n=2$. The relation

$$R = \{(\bar{x}): x \in S\} \cup \{(a, c, e), (a, c, d), (b, c, d), (b, c, e)\}$$

is p -transitive but it is neither compressible nor weakly compressible.

REMARK. By Proposition 1, the $(n+1)$ -ary relation ω in Theorem 1 in [1] is a p -transitive relation.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 12 from [1]. Example 2 shows that this generalization is independent of the generalization of the same theorem given in [4].

THEOREM 2. *Let R be an $(n+1, 2, p)$ -RAT relation on S . The binary relation \leq on S defined by: $a \leq b$ iff there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in S$ such that $(a_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and $a_1 = a$, $b_j = b$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$, is an ordering relation.*

Proof. Reflexivity and antisymmetry of \leq are immediate consequences of $(n+1)$ -reflexivity and 2-antisymmetry of R . Let us prove that \leq is a transitive relation. Let $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$. Then there are a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} , $b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} \in S$ so that $(a_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and $(b_1^{n+1}) \in R$, $a_1 = a$, $a_j = b$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ and $b_k = b$, $b_s = c$, $1 \leq k < s \leq n+1$. Since $a = b = b$, $a \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}\}$, $c \in \{b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{n+1}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$, by p -transitivity of R there are $c_1, \dots, c_{n+1} \in S$ such that $(c_1^{n+1}) \in R$, $c_p = a$, $c_q = c$, $1 \leq p < q \leq n+1$, which means that $a \leq c$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $n=2$ and

$$R = \{(\bar{x}): x \in S\} \cup \{(a, a, b), (a, b, b), (a, a, c), (a, c, c), (a, b, c), (b, d, e)\}.$$

The relation R is 3-reflexive, 2-antisymmetric, $2A_1$ -transitive and weakly compressible but it is not p -transitive.

The following theorem is given without proof (compare [4; Th. 4]).

THEOREM 3. Let R be an $(n+1)$ -reflexive, 2-antisymmetric, 2-complete and p -transitive $(n+1)$ -ary relation on S . Then the (binary) relation \leq defined by: $a \leq b$ iff there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in S$ such that $(a_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and $a_1 = a, a_j = b, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$, is a total order in S .

The converse need not be valid as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $n=2$ and

$$R = \{(\bar{x}) : x \in S\} \cup \{(a, b, c), (b, c, d), (a, b, d), (a, a, b), (a, a, c), (a, a, d)\}.$$

R is a 3-reflexive, 2-antisymmetric, 2-complete and p -transitive relation but it is neither compressible nor weakly compressible; namely, it does not satisfy both conditions of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 from [4].

The following proposition provides a proof that Theorem 3 is a generalization of Theorem 5 from [4].

PROPOSITION 4. If an $(n+1)$ -ary relation R on S is weakly compressible, strongly 2-complete and nA_1 -transitive, then it is p -transitive.

Proof. Let $(a_1^{n+1}) \in R$, $(b_1^{n+1}) \in R$ and $a_1 = b_j = a$. Suppose that

$$x \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}\}, y \in \{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{n+1}\}.$$

From the strong 2-completeness and weak compressibility of R it follows $(x, \bar{a}) \in R$ and $(\bar{a}, y) \in R$. By nA_1 -transitivity we have $(x, \bar{a}_1, y) \in R$, which means that R is p -transitive.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. UŠAN & B. ŠEŠELJA, *On some generalizations of reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relations*, Proc. of the Symp. on n -ary Structures, Skopje 1982, 175-184.
- [2] J. UŠAN & B. ŠEŠELJA, *Transitive n -ary relations and characterizations of generalized equivalences*, Zbornik rad. PMF u Novom Sadu, Ser. Mat. 11(1981), 231-245.
- [3] J. UŠAN & B. ŠEŠELJA, *On some operations on the set $P(S^{n+1})$* , Prilozi MANU, Skopje 1983, 77-84.
- [4] M.R. ŽIŽOVIĆ, *On some generalizations of ordering relations*, Proc. of the Conference "Algebra and Logic", Sarajevo 1987, 179-182.

Mališa R. Žižović

NOVE GENERALIZACIJE RELACIJA PORETKA

U radu je data definicija p -tranzitivnosti generalisane relacije i pomoću nje nova generalisana relacija poretka kao i njene veze sa binarnom relacijom. Poopšteni su neki raniji rezultati.

Tehnički fakultet, 32000 Čačak, Yugoslavia