



The nonlinear mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivations on \ast -algebras

Jingxuan Wang^a, Changjing Li^{a,*}, Yueliang Liang^b, Lin Chen^c

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, P. R. China

^bSchool of Mathematics, North University of China, Taiyuan 030051, P. R. China

^cDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, P. R. China

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital \ast -algebra. In this paper, under some mild conditions on \mathcal{A} , it is shown that a map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a nonlinear mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivation if and only if Φ is an additive \ast -derivation. As applications, nonlinear mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivations on prime \ast -algebras, von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type I_1 , factor von Neumann algebras and standard operator algebras are characterized.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a \ast -algebra over the complex field \mathbb{C} . For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, define the bi-skew Jordan product of A and B by $A \circ B = A^\ast B + B^\ast A$ and the bi-skew Lie product of A and B by $[A, B]_\diamond = A^\ast B - B^\ast A$. The bi-skew Jordan product and bi-skew Lie product have attracted many scholars to study (see for example [2–6, 10, 14–17]). Particular attention has been paid to understand maps which preserve the bi-skew Jordan product and the bi-skew Lie product on C^\ast -algebras. M. Wang and G. Ji [15] proved that every bijective map preserving bi-skew Lie product between factor von Neumann algebras is a linear \ast -isomorphism or a conjugate linear \ast -isomorphism. C. Li et al. [10] proved that every bijective map preserving bi-skew Jordan product between von Neumann algebras with no central abelian projections is just the sum of a linear \ast -isomorphism and a conjugate linear \ast -isomorphism. A. Taghavi and S. Gholampoor [14] studied surjective maps preserving bi-skew Jordan product between C^\ast -algebras.

Recall that an additive map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be an additive derivation if $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)$ holds for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, Φ is said to be an additive \ast -derivation if it is an additive derivation and satisfies $\Phi(A^\ast) = \Phi(A)^\ast$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivation or bi-skew Jordan derivation if

$$\Phi([A, B]_\diamond) = [\Phi(A), B]_\diamond + [A, \Phi(B)]_\diamond$$

or

$$\Phi(A \circ B) = \Phi(A) \circ B + A \circ \Phi(B)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W25; Secondary 16N60.

Keywords. Mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivation; Derivations; Additivity

Received: 23 February 2023; Accepted: 17 June 2023

Communicated by Dijana Mosić

The third author is supported by Shanxi Scholarship Council of China 2022 – 148&2021 – 107. The fourth author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12061018).

* Corresponding author: Changjing Li

Email addresses: 190375476@qq.com (Jingxuan Wang), 1cjbxxh@163.com (Changjing Li), shaguamoon@126.com (Yueliang Liang), lincchen198112@163.com (Lin Chen)

hold for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Recently, many authors have studied nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivations and bi-skew Jordan derivations. For example, L. Kong and J. Zhang [6] proved that any nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivation on factor von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} with $\dim \mathcal{A} \geq 2$ is an additive $*$ -derivation. A. Taghavi and M. Razeghi [15] investigated nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivations on prime $*$ -algebras. Let Φ be a nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivation on a unital prime $*$ -algebra with a nontrivial projection. They proved that if $\Phi(I)$ and $\Phi(iI)$ are self-adjoint, then Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation. V. Darvish et al. [2] proved any nonlinear bi-skew Jordan derivation on prime $*$ -algebra is an additive $*$ -derivation. A. Khan [5] proved that any nonlinear bi-skew Lie triple derivation on factor von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} with $\dim \mathcal{A} \geq 2$ is an additive $*$ -derivation. V. Darvish et al. [3] proved any nonlinear bi-skew Jordan triple derivation on prime $*$ -algebra is an additive $*$ -derivation.

Recently, many authors have studied derivations corresponding to some mixed products (see for example [8, 9, 11, 12, 18, 19]). Y. Zhou, Z. Yang and J. Zhang [18] proved any map Φ from a unital $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} containing a non-trivial projection to itself satisfying

$$\Phi([A, B]_*, C) = [[\Phi(A), B]_*, C] + [[A, \Phi(B)]_*, C] + [[A, B]_*, \Phi(C)]$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, is an additive $*$ -derivation, where $[A, B] = AB - BA$ is the usual Lie product of A and B and $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$ is the skew Lie product of A and B . Y. Zhou and J. Zhang [19] proved that any map Φ on factor von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} satisfying

$$\Phi([A, B], C)_* = [[\Phi(A), B], C]_* + [[A, \Phi(B)], C]_* + [[A, B], \Phi(C)]_*$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, is also an additive $*$ -derivation. X. Zhao and X. Fang [17] gave similar result on finite von Neumann algebra with no central summands of type I_1 . Y. Pang, D. Zhang and D. Ma [11] proved that if Φ is a second nonlinear mixed Jordan triple derivable mapping on a factor von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} , that is, if Φ satisfies

$$\Phi(A \circ B \bullet C) = \Phi(A) \circ B \bullet C + A \circ \Phi(B) \bullet C + A \circ B \bullet \Phi(C)$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, then Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation, where $A \circ B = AB + BA$ is the usual Jordan product of A and B and $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$ is the Jordan $*$ -product of A and B . Lately, N. Rehman, J. Nisar and M. Nazim [12] generalized the above result to general $*$ -algebras. C. Li and D. Zhang [8, 9] studied the derivations corresponding to the mixed products $[A, B]_* \bullet C$ and $[A \bullet B, C]_*$.

Motivated by the above mentioned works, in this paper, we will consider the derivations corresponding to the new product of the mixture of the bi-skew Lie product and the bi-skew Jordan product. A map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a nonlinear mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivation if

$$\Phi([A \circ B, C]_\diamond) = [\Phi(A) \circ B, C]_\diamond + [A \circ \Phi(B), C]_\diamond + [A \circ B, \Phi(C)]_\diamond$$

holds for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. In this paper, we will give the structure of the nonlinear mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivations on $*$ -algebra. Under some mild conditions on a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} , we prove that Φ is a nonlinear mixed bi-skew Lie triple derivation on \mathcal{A} if and only if Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation.

2. Main result and corollaries

The following is our main result in this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital $*$ -algebra with the unit I . Assume that \mathcal{A} contains a nontrivial projection P which satisfies*

$$(\spadesuit) \quad X\mathcal{A}P = 0 \text{ implies } X = 0$$

and

$$(\clubsuit) \quad X\mathcal{A}(I - P) = 0 \text{ implies } X = 0.$$

Then a map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Phi([A \circ B, C]_\diamond) = [\Phi(A) \circ B, C]_\diamond + [A \circ \Phi(B), C]_\diamond + [A \circ B, \Phi(C)]_\diamond$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation.

Recall that an algebra \mathcal{A} is prime if $A\mathcal{A}B = \{0\}$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ implies either $A = 0$ or $B = 0$. It is easy to see that prime \ast -algebras satisfy (\spadesuit) and (\clubsuit) . Applying Theorem 2.1 to prime \ast -algebras, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a prime \ast -algebra with unit I and P be a nontrivial projection in \mathcal{A} . Then a map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\Phi([A \circ B, C]_{\circ}) = [\Phi(A) \circ B, C]_{\circ} + [A \circ \Phi(B), C]_{\circ} + [A \circ B, \Phi(C)]_{\circ}$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if Φ is an additive \ast -derivation.

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be the subalgebra of all bounded finite rank operators. A subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ is called a standard operator algebra if it contains $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a standard operator algebra on an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} containing the identity operator I . Suppose that \mathcal{A} is closed under the adjoint operation. Then $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\Phi([A \circ B, C]_{\circ}) = [\Phi(A) \circ B, C]_{\circ} + [A \circ \Phi(B), C]_{\circ} + [A \circ B, \Phi(C)]_{\circ}$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if Φ is a linear \ast -derivation. Moreover, there exists an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying $T + T^{\ast} = 0$ such that $\Phi(A) = AT - TA$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., Φ is inner.

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is prime, we have that Φ is an additive \ast -derivation. It follows from [13] that Φ is a linear inner derivation, i.e., there exists an operator $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi(A) = AS - SA$. Since $\Phi(A^{\ast}) = \Phi(A)^{\ast}$, we have

$$A^{\ast}S - SA^{\ast} = \Phi(A^{\ast}) = \Phi(A)^{\ast} = -A^{\ast}S^{\ast} + S^{\ast}A^{\ast}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $A^{\ast}(S + S^{\ast}) = (S + S^{\ast})A^{\ast}$, and then $S + S^{\ast} = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $T = S - \frac{1}{2}\lambda I$. It is easy to see that $T + T^{\ast} = 0$ such that $\Phi(A) = AT - TA$. \square

A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is a weakly closed, self-adjoint algebra of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} containing the identity operator I . \mathcal{M} is a factor von Neumann algebra if its center only contains the scalar operators. It is well known that a factor von Neumann algebra is prime. Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a factor von Neumann algebra with $\dim(\mathcal{M}) \geq 2$. Then a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfies*

$$\Phi([A \circ B, C]_{\circ}) = [\Phi(A) \circ B, C]_{\circ} + [A \circ \Phi(B), C]_{\circ} + [A \circ B, \Phi(C)]_{\circ}$$

if and only if Φ is an additive \ast -derivation.

It is shown in [1] and [7] that if a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} has no central summands of type I_1 , then \mathcal{M} satisfies (\spadesuit) and (\clubsuit) . Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with no central summands of type I_1 . Then a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfies*

$$\Phi([A \circ B, C]_{\circ}) = [\Phi(A) \circ B, C]_{\circ} + [A \circ \Phi(B), C]_{\circ} + [A \circ B, \Phi(C)]_{\circ}$$

if and only if Φ is an additive \ast -derivation.

3. The proof of main result

The proof of Theorem 2.1. In the following, let $P_1 = P$ and $P_2 = I - P$. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i\mathcal{A}P_j$ ($i, j = 1, 2$). Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} + \mathcal{A}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{21} + \mathcal{A}_{22}$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A^{\ast} = -A\}$, $\mathcal{N}_{12} = \{P_1NP_2 + P_2NP_1 : N \in \mathcal{N}\}$, $\mathcal{N}_{ii} = P_i\mathcal{N}P_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Thus, for every $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $N = N_{11} + N_{12} + N_{22}$, where $N_{11} \in \mathcal{N}_{11}$, $N_{12} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}$, $N_{22} \in \mathcal{N}_{22}$.

Proof. Clearly, we only need to prove the necessity. We will complete the proof by several claims.

Claim 1.. $\Phi(0) = 0$.

Indeed, we have

$$\Phi(0) = \Phi([0 \circ 0, 0]_{\circ}) = [\Phi(0) \circ 0, 0]_{\circ} + [0 \circ \Phi(0), 0]_{\circ} + [0 \circ 0, \Phi(0)]_{\circ} = 0.$$

Claim 2.. For every $N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $\Phi(N) \in \mathcal{N}$.

For any $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $N = [N \circ \frac{i}{2}I, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}$. Since $[A \circ B, C]_{\circ} \in \mathcal{N}$ for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(N) &= \Phi([N \circ \frac{i}{2}I, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(N) \circ \frac{i}{2}I, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} + [N \circ \Phi(\frac{i}{2}I), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} + [N \circ \frac{i}{2}I, \Phi(\frac{i}{2}I)]_{\circ} \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 3.. For every $C_{11} \in \mathcal{N}_{11}, N_{12} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}$ and $D_{22} \in \mathcal{N}_{22}$, we have

$$\Phi(C_{11} + N_{12}) = \Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(N_{12})$$

and

$$\Phi(N_{12} + D_{22}) = \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(D_{22}).$$

Let $T = \Phi(C_{11} + N_{12}) - \Phi(C_{11}) - \Phi(N_{12})$. By Claim 2, we have $T^* = -T$. Since $[I \circ P_2, C_{11}]_{\circ} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Phi(I) \circ P_2, C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} + [I \circ \Phi(P_2), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} + [I \circ P_2, \Phi(C_{11} + N_{12})]_{\circ} \\ &= \Phi([I \circ P_2, C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ}) \\ &= \Phi([I \circ P_2, C_{11}]_{\circ}) + \Phi([I \circ P_2, N_{12}]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(I) \circ P_2, C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} + [I \circ \Phi(P_2), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} + [I \circ P_2, \Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(N_{12})]_{\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $[I \circ P_2, T]_{\circ} = 0$, and hence $P_1TP_2 = P_2TP_1 = P_2TP_2 = 0$.

Next, it follows from $[I \circ (P_2 - P_1), N_{12}]_{\circ} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Phi(I) \circ (P_2 - P_1), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} + [I \circ \Phi(P_2 - P_1), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} \\ &+ [I \circ (P_2 - P_1), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} \\ &= \Phi([I \circ (P_2 - P_1), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ}) \\ &= \Phi([I \circ (P_2 - P_1), C_{11}]_{\circ}) + \Phi([I \circ (P_2 - P_1), N_{12}]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(I) \circ (P_2 - P_1), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} + [I \circ \Phi(P_2 - P_1), C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ} \\ &+ [I \circ (P_2 - P_1), \Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(N_{12})]_{\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

So $[I \circ (P_2 - P_1), T]_{\circ} = 0$, and it yields that $P_1TP_1 = 0$. Hence $T = 0$.

Similarly, we can get that $\Phi(N_{12} + D_{22}) = \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(D_{22})$.

Claim 4.. For every $C_{11} \in \mathcal{N}_{11}, N_{12} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}$ and $D_{22} \in \mathcal{N}_{22}$, we have

$$\Phi(C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}) = \Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(D_{22}).$$

Let $T = \Phi(C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}) - \Phi(C_{11}) - \Phi(N_{12}) - \Phi(D_{22})$. By Claim 2, we have $T^* = -T$. Since $[P_1 \circ I, D_{22}]_{\circ} = 0$, it follows from Claim 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Phi(P_1) \circ I, C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}]_{\circ} + [P_1 \circ \Phi(I), C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}]_{\circ} \\ &+ [P_1 \circ I, \Phi(C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22})]_{\circ} \\ &= \Phi([P_1 \circ I, C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}]_{\circ}) \\ &= \Phi([P_1 \circ I, C_{11} + N_{12}]_{\circ}) + \Phi([P_1 \circ I, D_{22}]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(P_1) \circ I, C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}]_{\circ} + [P_1 \circ \Phi(I), C_{11} + N_{12} + D_{22}]_{\circ} \\ &+ [P_1 \circ I, \Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(D_{22})]_{\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $[P_1 \circ I, T]_{\circ} = 0$, and then $P_1TP_1 = P_1TP_2 = 0$. In the similar manner, we can show that $P_2TP_1 = P_2TP_2 = 0$. Hence $T = 0$.

Claim 5.. For every $N_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}$, we have

$$\Phi(N_{12} + B_{12}) = \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(B_{12}).$$

Let $N_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}$. Then $N_{12} = P_1NP_2 + P_2NP_1, B_{12} = P_1BP_2 + P_2BP_1$, where $N, B \in \mathcal{N}$. Since

$$[(iP_1 + N_{12}) \circ (iP_2 + B_{12}), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} = N_{12} + B_{12} - iN_{12}B_{12} - iB_{12}N_{12},$$

where

$$N_{12} + B_{12} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}$$

and

$$-iN_{12}B_{12} - iB_{12}N_{12} = P_1(-i(NP_2B + BP_2N))P_1 + P_2(-i(NP_1B + BP_1N))P_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{11} + \mathcal{N}_{22},$$

we can get from Claim 4 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(N_{12} + B_{12}) + \Phi(-iN_{12}B_{12} - iB_{12}N_{12}) \\ &= \Phi(N_{12} + B_{12} - iN_{12}B_{12} - iB_{12}N_{12}) \\ &= \Phi([(iP_1 + N_{12}) \circ (iP_2 + B_{12}), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) \\ &= [(\Phi(iP_1) + \Phi(N_{12})) \circ (iP_2 + B_{12}), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} + [(iP_1 + N_{12}) \circ (\Phi(iP_2) + \Phi(B_{12})), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} \\ &+ [(iP_1 + N_{12}) \circ (iP_2 + B_{12}), \Phi(\frac{i}{2}I)]_{\circ} \\ &= \Phi([(iP_1) \circ (iP_2), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) + \Phi([(iP_1) \circ B_{12}, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) + \Phi([N_{12} \circ (iP_2), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) \\ &+ \Phi([N_{12} \circ B_{12}, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) \\ &= \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(-iN_{12}B_{12} - iB_{12}N_{12}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\Phi(N_{12} + B_{12}) = \Phi(N_{12}) + \Phi(B_{12}).$$

Claim 6.. For every $C_{ii}, D_{ii} \in \mathcal{N}_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have

$$\Phi(C_{ii} + D_{ii}) = \Phi(C_{ii}) + \Phi(D_{ii}).$$

Let $T = \Phi(C_{11} + D_{11}) - \Phi(C_{11}) - \Phi(D_{11})$. By Claim 2, we have $T^* = -T$. Since $[P_2 \circ I, C_{11}]_{\circ} = [P_2 \circ I, D_{11}]_{\circ} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Phi(P_2) \circ I, C_{11} + D_{11}]_{\circ} + [P_2 \circ \Phi(I), C_{11} + D_{11}]_{\circ} + [P_2 \circ I, \Phi(C_{11} + D_{11})]_{\circ} \\ &= \Phi([P_2 \circ I, C_{11} + D_{11}]_{\circ}) \\ &= \Phi([P_2 \circ I, C_{11}]_{\circ}) + \Phi([P_2 \circ I, D_{11}]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(P_2) \circ I, C_{11} + D_{11}]_{\circ} + [P_2 \circ \Phi(I), C_{11} + D_{11}]_{\circ} + [P_2 \circ I, \Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(D_{11})]_{\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[P_2 \circ I, T]_{\circ} = 0$, and then $P_1TP_2 = P_2TP_1 = P_2TP_2 = 0$. Now we have $T = P_1TP_1$.

For every $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, let $N = A_{12} - A_{12}^*$. Then

$$[C_{11} \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}, [D_{11} \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} \in \mathcal{N}_{12}.$$

In view of Claim 5, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Phi(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} + [(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \Phi(N), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} \\ & + [(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ N, \Phi(\frac{i}{2}I)]_{\circ} \\ & = \Phi([(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) \\ & = \Phi([C_{11} \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) + \Phi([D_{11} \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ}) \\ & = [(\Phi(C_{11}) + \Phi(D_{11})) \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} + [(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \Phi(N), \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} \\ & + [(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ N, \Phi(\frac{i}{2}I)]_{\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $[T \circ N, \frac{i}{2}I]_{\circ} = 0$, that is, $A_{12}^*T - TA_{12} = 0$. Multiplying the above equation by P_1 from the left, we have $P_1TA_{12} = 0$ for all $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$. It follows from (\clubsuit) that $P_1TP_1 = 0$, and hence $T = 0$.

Similarly, we can show that $\Phi(C_{22} + D_{22}) = \Phi(C_{22}) + \Phi(D_{22})$.

By using Claims 4-6, one can obtain the following claim easily.

Claim 7.. Φ is additive on \mathcal{N} .

Claim 8..

1. $\Phi(I) = \Phi(iI) = 0$;
2. For any $M \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $M^* = M$, we have $\Phi(M)^* = \Phi(M)$ and $\Phi(iM) = i\Phi(M)$.

For any $M \in \mathcal{M}$, it follows from Claims 2 and 7 that

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Phi(iI) & = \Phi(4iI) = \Phi([(iI) \circ (iI), iI]_{\circ}) \\ & = [\Phi(iI) \circ (iI), iI]_{\circ} + [(iI) \circ \Phi(iI), iI]_{\circ} + [(iI) \circ (iI), \Phi(iI)]_{\circ} \\ & = 2[-2i\Phi(iI), iI]_{\circ} + [2I, \Phi(iI)]_{\circ} \\ & = 12\Phi(iI). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Phi(iI) = 0$.

For any $M \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $M^* = M$,

$$0 = \Phi([M \circ (iI), iI]_{\circ}) = [\Phi(M) \circ (iI), iI]_{\circ} = 2(\Phi(M) - \Phi(M)^*).$$

Hence $\Phi(M)^* = \Phi(M)$ for all $M^* = M$.

Now, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & = 4\Phi(iI) = \Phi(4iI) = \Phi([I \circ I, iI]_{\circ}) \\ & = [\Phi(I) \circ I, iI]_{\circ} + [I \circ \Phi(I), iI]_{\circ} \\ & = 8i\Phi(I). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\Phi(I) = 0$.

For any $M \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $M^* = M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Phi(iM) & = \Phi(4iM) = \Phi([I \circ M, iI]_{\circ}) \\ & = [I \circ \Phi(M), iI]_{\circ} \\ & = 4i\Phi(M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi(iM) = i\Phi(M)$ for all $M^* = M$.

Claim 9.. For any $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_1^* = A_1, A_2^* = A_2$, we have

$$\Phi(A_1 + A_2) = \Phi(A_1) + \Phi(A_2)$$

and

$$\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) = \Phi(A_1) + i\Phi(A_2).$$

Let $A_1^* = A_1, A_2^* = A_2$. It follows from Claims 7 and 8 that

$$i\Phi(A_1 + A_2) = \Phi(i(A_1 + A_2)) = \Phi(iA_1) + \Phi(iA_2) = i(\Phi(A_1) + \Phi(A_2)).$$

That is, $\Phi(A_1 + A_2) = \Phi(A_1) + \Phi(A_2)$.

Now, on the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4i\Phi(A_1) &= \Phi(4iA_1) = \Phi([(A_1 + iA_2) \circ I, iI]_{\diamond}) \\ &= [\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) \circ I, iI]_{\diamond} \\ &= 2i(\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) + \Phi(A_1 + iA_2)^*). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} 4i\Phi(A_2) &= \Phi(4iA_2) = \Phi([(A_1 + iA_2) \circ (iI), iI]_{\diamond}) \\ &= [\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) \circ (iI), iI]_{\diamond} \\ &= 2(\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) - \Phi(A_1 + iA_2)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two equations, we obtain $\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) = \Phi(A_1) + i\Phi(A_2)$.

Claim 10..

1. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A)$ and $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$;
2. Φ is additive on \mathcal{A} .

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $A = A_1 + iA_2$, where $A_1^* = A_1, A_2^* = A_2$. It follows from Claim 9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(iA) &= \Phi(iA_1 - A_2) = i\Phi(A_1) - \Phi(A_2) \\ &= i(\Phi(A_1) + i\Phi(A_2)) = i\Phi(A_1 + iA_2) \\ &= i\Phi(A). \end{aligned}$$

Next, from Claims 8 and 9, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A^*) &= \Phi(A_1 - iA_2) = \Phi(A_1) - i\Phi(A_2) \\ &= (\Phi(A_1) + i\Phi(A_2))^* = (\Phi(A_1 + iA_2))^* \\ &= \Phi(A)^*. \end{aligned}$$

For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $A = A_1 + iA_2$ and $B = B_1 + iB_2$, where $A_1^* = A_1, A_2^* = A_2, B_1^* = B_1, B_2^* = B_2$. Then we can obtain from Claim 9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A + B) &= \Phi((A_1 + B_1) + i(A_2 + B_2)) \\ &= \Phi(A_1 + B_1) + i\Phi(A_2 + B_2) \\ &= (\Phi(A_1) + i\Phi(A_2)) + (\Phi(B_1) + i\Phi(B_2)) \\ &= \Phi(A) + \Phi(B). \end{aligned}$$

Claim 11.. Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation on \mathcal{A} .

For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, on the one hand, by Claims 8 (1) and 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2i\Phi(A^*B + B^*A) &= \Phi(2i(A^*B + B^*A)) \\ &= \Phi([A \circ B, iI]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(A) \circ B, iI]_{\circ} + [A \circ \Phi(B), iI]_{\circ} \\ &= 2i(\Phi(A)^*B + B^*\Phi(A) + A^*\Phi(B) + \Phi(B)^*A). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\Phi(A^*B + B^*A) = \Phi(A)^*B + B^*\Phi(A) + A^*\Phi(B) + \Phi(B)^*A.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} -2(\Phi(A^*B - B^*A)) &= \Phi(-2(A^*B - B^*A)) \\ &= \Phi([A \circ iB, iI]_{\circ}) \\ &= [\Phi(A) \circ iB, iI]_{\circ} + [A \circ \Phi(iB), iI]_{\circ} \\ &= -2(\Phi(A)^*B - B^*\Phi(A) + A^*\Phi(B) - \Phi(B)^*A). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\Phi(A^*B - B^*A) = \Phi(A)^*B - B^*\Phi(A) + A^*\Phi(B) - \Phi(B)^*A.$$

By summing the above two equations, we have

$$\Phi(A^*B) = \Phi(A)^*B + A^*\Phi(B).$$

Replacing A by A^* in the above equation and using Claim 10 (1), we obtain

$$\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B).$$

Hence Φ is an additive $*$ -derivation on \mathcal{A} by Claim 10. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees and editors for their work.

References

- [1] L. Dai, F. Lu, Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan $*$ -products, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 409 (2014) 180-188.
- [2] V. Darvish, M. Nouri, M. Razeghi, Nonlinear bi-skew Jordan derivations on $*$ -algebras, Filomat 36 (2022) 3231-3239.
- [3] V. Darvish, M. Nouri, M. Razeghi, Nonlinear triple product $A^*B + B^*A$ for derivations on $*$ -algebras, Math. Notes 108 (2020) 179-187.
- [4] V. Darvish, M. Nouri, M. Razeghi, A. Taghavi, Nonlinear $*$ -Jordan triple derivation on prime $*$ -algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50 (2020) 543-549.
- [5] A. Khan, Multiplicative bi-skew Lie triple derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 51 (2021) 2103-2114.
- [6] L. Kong, J. Zhang, Nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 47 (2021): 1097-1106.
- [7] C. Li, F. Lu, X. Fang Nonlinear ξ -Jordan $*$ -derivations on von Neumann algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 62 (2014) 466-473.
- [8] C. Li, D. Zhang, Nonlinear mixed Jordan triple $*$ -derivations on $*$ -algebras, Sib. Math. J. 63(2022) 735-742.
- [9] C. Li, D. Zhang, Nonlinear mixed Jordan triple $*$ -derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Filomat 36(2022) 2637-2644.
- [10] C. Li, F. Zhao, Q. Chen, Nonlinear maps preserving product $X^*Y + Y^*X$ on von Neumann algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 44 (2018) 729-738.
- [11] Y. Pang, D. Zhang, D. Ma, The second nonlinear mixed Jordan triple derivable mapping on factor von Neumann algebras. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 48(2022) 951-962.
- [12] N. Rehman, J. Nisar, M. Nazim, A note on nonlinear mixed Jordan triple derivation on $*$ -algebras. Commun. Algebra. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2022.2134410>.
- [13] P. Šemrl, Additive derivations of some operator algebras, Illinois J. Math. 35 (1991) 234-240.
- [14] A. Taghavi, S. Gholampoor, Maps preserving product $A^*B + B^*A$ on C^* -algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 48 (2022) 757-767.

- [15] A. Taghavi, M. Razeghi, Non-linear new product $A^*B - B^*A$ derivations on $*$ -algebras, *Proyecciones (Antofagasta)* 39 (2020) 467-479.
- [16] M. Wang, G. Ji, Maps preserving $*$ -Lie product on factor von Neumann algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. 64 (2016) 2159-2168.
- [17] X. Zhao, X. Fang, The second nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivations on finite von Neumann algebras, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* 47 (2021) 237-254.
- [18] Y. Zhou, Z. Yang, J. Zhang, Nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivations on prime $*$ -algebras, *Commun. Algebra* 47 (2019) 4791-4796.
- [19] Y. Zhou, J. Zhang, The second mixed nonlinear Lie triple derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, *Adv. Math. (China)* 48 (2019) 441-449.