

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

## Erratum: S-Zariski Topology on S-Spectrum of Modules

## Eda Yıldız<sup>a</sup>, Bayram Ali Ersoy<sup>a</sup>, Ünsal Tekir<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Corollary 3.14 in the published version is incorrect. The condition "every *S*-prime submodule of *M* is prime" is necessary. Consider the ring  $R = M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and the multiplicatively closed subset  $S = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^*$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ . Then  $Spec_S(M) = \{n\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Note that  $(2\mathbb{Z} \times 0 : (0,1)) = \mathbb{Z} \times 0 = (3\mathbb{Z} \times 0 : (0,1))$  and  $2\mathbb{Z} \times 0, 3\mathbb{Z} \times 0 \in Spec_S(M)$ . By [1, Proposition 4],  $\{2\mathbb{Z} \times 0\} = \{3\mathbb{Z} \times 0\}$ . As  $2\mathbb{Z} \times 0 \neq 3\mathbb{Z} \times 0$ ,  $Spec_S(M)$  is not a  $T_0$ -space.

Thus the following is the corrected version of the mentioned result.

**Corollary.** Suppose that M is a multiplication module. Then  $Spec_S(M)$  is a  $T_0$ -space for both S-Zariski topology  $\tau_S$  and quasi S-Zariski topology  $\tau_S^*$  iff every S-prime submodule of M is prime.

*Proof.* First note that  $\tau_S = \tau_S^*$  since M is multiplication. Assume that every S-prime submodule is prime. Suppose  $V_S(P) = V_S(Q)$  for  $P, Q \in Spec_S(M)$ . Then  $S^{-1}(P:M) = S^{-1}(Q:M)$  by [2, Lemma 3.5] . As P,Q are S-prime submodules, they are also prime by the assumption. Then (P:M) and (Q:M) are prime ideals. So  $S^{-1}(P:M) = S^{-1}(Q:M)$  implies that (P:M) = (Q:M). Hence we obtain P = (P:M)M = (Q:M)M = Q since M is a multiplication module. Thus  $Spec_S(M)$  is  $T_0$  by [2, Theorem 3.13]. The rest follows from the fact that  $\tau_S \leq \tau_S^*$ . Now assume  $Spec_S(M)$  is  $T_0$ -space. Let  $P \in Spec_S(M)$ . Then there exists  $s_P \in S$  such that  $(P:_M s_P)$  is a prime submodule. Also by [2, Theorem 3.11],  $V_S(P) = V_S((P:_M s_P))$ . Since  $Spec_S(M)$  is a  $T_0$ -space, we have  $P = (P:_M s_P)$  is a prime submodule. □

## References

 $[1] \ E.\ Yıldız,\ B.A.\ Ersoy,\ \ddot{U}.\ Tekir\ and\ S.\ Ko\varsigma,\ On\ S-Zariski\ topology,\ Communications\ in\ Algebra\ 49(3)\ (2021)\ 1212-1224.$ 

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A15; Secondary 13C13, 54B35 Keywords. Zariski topology, prime spectrum, S-prime spectrum, S-maximal ideal

Received: 17 April 2023; Accepted: 17 April 2023

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: edyildiz@yildiz.edu.tr (Eda Yıldız), ersoya@yildiz.edu.tr (Bayram Ali Ersoy), utekir@marmara.edu.tr (Ünsal Tekir)

<sup>[2]</sup> E. Yıldız, B.A. Ersoy, Ü. Tekir, S-Zariski Topology on S-Spectrum of Modules, Filomat, 36(20) (2022) 7103-7112.