



L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals

Leiqin Yin^a, Yanli Guan^a, Wenxue Xu^{a,*}

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P.R. China*

Abstract. L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n are introduced. The Brunn-Minkowski type inequality and Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequality are established for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals that imply affine mixed quermassintegrals inequality, Lutwak's mixed polar projection inequality, and isoperimetric inequality for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals. Inequalities of L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals of polar bodies are proved.

1. Introduction

The combination of Minkowski addition and volume leads to the rich and powerful classical Brunn-Minkowski theory for compact convex sets, which constitutes the core of modern convex geometry. As the first milestone of the Brunn-Minkowski theory, the Brunn-Minkowski inequality plays a fundamental role in attacking problems in analysis, geometry, information theory, and many other fields, which states that if K, L are convex bodies (compact convex subsets with nonempty interiors) in Euclidean n -space \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$V(K + L)^{1/n} \geq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n}.$$

Here V and $+$ denote volume and Minkowski sum. Equality holds if and only if K and L are homothetic. It is a far-reaching generalization of the isoperimetric inequality. The classic treatise of Schneider [25] provides a detailed survey of the Brunn-Minkowski theory and a host of references. For later developments, we refer to [2, 4, 6, 15, 26].

The classical Brunn-Minkowski theory is also known as the theory of mixed volumes. The notion of mixed volumes, which forms a central part of the Brunn-Minkowski theory of convex bodies, was created by Minkowski [23, 24] and subsequently attracted the attentions of many scholars, see e.g., [3, 8, 12, 18–20]. Around 1935, Aleksandrov [1] and Fenchel [7] discovered the relation between mixed volumes independently, which is called by Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality, that is, if K_1, \dots, K_n are compact convex subsets in \mathbb{R}^n , for $1 \leq m \leq n$, then

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n)^m \geq \prod_{i=1}^m V(\underbrace{K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_m}}_m, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n). \quad (1)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 52A40.

Keywords. Quermassintegral; Brunn-Minkowski inequality; Affine quermassintegrals; L^p -moment quermassintegral; Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality.

Received: 06 February 2023; Accepted: 15 March 2023

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing No. cstc2021jcyj-msxmX1057.

* Corresponding author: Wenxue Xu

Email addresses: yljuzi@163.com (Leiqin Yin), guanyanli9@163.com (Yanli Guan), xuwxue83@swu.edu.cn (Wenxue Xu)

Here $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ is the mixed volume of K_1, \dots, K_n . The complete equality conditions for the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality are not known. The following special case is useful: If K_{m+1}, \dots, K_n are smooth convex bodies, and the dimensions of K_1, \dots, K_m are more than or equal to m , then the equality in (1) holds if and only if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic. It is not difficult to find that the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality implies Minkowski's first inequality [25].

One of the most fundamental concepts in convex geometry is *quermassintegrals* of a compact convex subsets in \mathbb{R}^n , which have an intimate connection with the mixed volumes. It can be shown that if K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, then the $(n - i)$ -th quermassintegral $W_{n-i}(K)$ of K is defined by

$$W_{n-i}(K) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \int_{G_{n,i}} V_i(K|\xi) d\mu_i(\xi), \tag{2}$$

where define $W_n(K) = \omega_n$, $W_0(K) = V(K)$ and ω_n is volume of unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $G_{n,i}$ denote the Grassmann manifold of all i -dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{R}^n . For $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, $V_i(K|\xi)$ denotes the i -dimensional volume of the orthogonal projection of K onto ξ , and the integral with respect to Haar probability measure μ_i over $G_{n,i}$. For more information, we refer to [9, 16, 25, 27, 28].

However, quermassintegrals of a convex body K are not invariant under volume-preserving affine transformations, so it is tempting to find an analogous notion which is invariant under such transformations. By replacing the L^1 -norm in (2) by the L^{-n} -norm, Lutwak [16] proposed to define *affine quermassintegrals* for a convex body K by taking $\Phi_0(K) = V(K)$, $\Phi_n(K) = \omega_n$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\Phi_{n-i}(K) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_i(K|\xi)^{-n} d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{-1/n}. \tag{3}$$

It was showed by Grinberg [11] that these geometric quantities are invariant under volume-preserving affine transformations. Consequently, the affine quermassintegrals have become a central pillar of affine convex geometry.

In order to obtain the sharp lower bound of $\Phi_i(K)$, Lutwak [21] put forward the following insightful conjecture as

$$\Phi_i(K) \geq \Phi_i(B_K), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1, \tag{4}$$

where B_K denotes the Euclidean ball having the same volume as K , and equality holds if and only if K is an ellipsoid. Zou and Xiong [29] posed another lower bound for $\Phi_i(K)$ by the $(n - i)$ -th projection mean ellipsoid.

By Jensen's inequality, the affine inequality (4) is stronger than the classical isoperimetric inequality. Two nontrivial cases of $i = 1$ and $i = n - 1$ in (4) are true, they follow, respectively, from the Petty projection inequality and the Blaschke-Santaló inequality. For $i = 2, \dots, n - 2$, the Lutwak's conjecture (4) is recently confirmed by Milman and Yehudayoff [22]. In [22], they extended affine quermassintegrals to more general *L^p -moment quermassintegrals* and obtained the isoperimetric inequalities for them. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the $(n - i)$ -th L^p -moment quermassintegrals of a convex body K are defined by

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_i(K|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p}.$$

The case $p = 0$ is interpreted in the limiting sense as

$$Q_{n-i,0}(K) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \exp \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_i(K|\xi) d\mu_i(\xi) \right).$$

Notice that $p = -n$ is the unique value of $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $Q_{i,p}(K)$ is invariant under volume-preserving affine transformations [11]. Some special cases such as $Q_{i,-n}(K) = \Phi_i(K)$, $Q_{i,1}(K) = W_i(K)$, and $Q_{i,-1}(K) = \hat{W}_i(K)$ (

the harmonic quermassintegral introduced by Hadwiger [13]) show that L^p -moment quermassintegrals are the generalization of classical quermassintegrals.

Based on the importance of mixed volume in the Brunn-Minkowski theory and motivated by the excellent paper [22], we're going to consider the mixed form of L^p -moment quermassintegrals, namely L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals. Let K_1, \dots, K_i are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\xi \in G_{n,i}$. We use $V_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ to denote the mixed volume of $K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi$ in the subspace ξ . Suppose $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then the $(n-i)$ -th L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals $Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i)$ for convex bodies K_1, \dots, K_i are defined, by letting $Q_{0,p}(K_1, \dots, K_n) = V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$, $Q_{n,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) = \omega_n$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p}. \tag{5}$$

The case of $p = 0$ is interpreted in the limiting sense as

$$Q_{n-i,0}(K_1, \dots, K_i) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \exp \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) d\mu_i(\xi) \right). \tag{6}$$

In some special cases, we can get $Q_{n-i,p}(K, \dots, K) = Q_{n-i,p}(K)$ when $K_1 = \dots = K_i = K$ and $Q_{n-i,-n}(K, \dots, K) = \Phi_{n-i}(K)$. In Section 3, some fundamental properties for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals are introduced. In Section 4, we prove that the functional $Q_{n-i,p}^{1/i}$ from \mathcal{K}^n to $[0, \infty)$ is concave, this is an analogous Brunn-Minkowski inequality. The Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequality for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals is established as following.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose K_1, \dots, K_m are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i are smooth convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $1 \leq m \leq i$, for $p \leq 0$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,mp}(K_1, \dots, K_i)^m \geq \prod_{j=1}^m Q_{n-i,mp}(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_m, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic.

Theorem 1.1 implies affine mixed quermassintegrals inequality (Corollary 4.7) and Lutwak's mixed polar projection inequality (Corollary 4.8). For convex bodies K_1, \dots, K_i in \mathbb{R}^n and $p \geq -n$, Theorem 1.1 together with (4) yields the isoperimetric inequality for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) \geq Q_{n-i,p}(B_{K_1}, \dots, B_{K_i}), \tag{7}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i are balls.

In Section 5, we consider inequalities of L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals of polar bodies and establish the following inequality.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that K_1 is a smooth convex body containing the origin in its interior in \mathbb{R}^n , K_2, \dots, K_i are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . For $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $p \geq 0$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i) Q_{n-i,p}(K_1^*, K_2, \dots, K_i) \geq Q_{n-i,p}(B, K_2, \dots, K_i)^2,$$

with equality if and only if K_1 is a ball.

2. Preliminaries

As usual, S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere, B the unit ball and o the origin in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . A convex body is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior. The set of convex bodies in

\mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathcal{K}^n and the set of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n that contain the origin in their interiors is denoted by \mathcal{K}_o^n . And \mathcal{K}_s^n denotes the set of centrally symmetric convex bodies in \mathcal{K}^n . For real number $c > 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, we have $V(cK) = c^n V(K)$. For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ are said to be *homothetic* if there exists a real number $c > 0$ and a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $K = cL + x$. For K is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , its *polar set* K^* is defined by

$$K^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in K\},$$

where $x \cdot y$ is the standard inner product of x and y in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, if $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, we have $K^{**} = K$.

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then its *support function* $h(K, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$h(K, u) = \max\{u \cdot x : x \in K, u \in S^{n-1}\}.$$

The *projection body* of a convex body K is the centered convex body ΠK , which is defined by

$$h(\Pi K, u) = V_{n-1}(K|u^\perp),$$

for each $u \in S^{n-1}$, where $K|u^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection of K on u^\perp .

If $K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} \in \mathcal{K}^n$, Lutwak [17] introduced the *mixed projection body* of K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} denoted by $\Pi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$, and defined by

$$h(\Pi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}), u) = V_{n-1}(K_1|u^\perp, \dots, K_{n-1}|u^\perp), \tag{8}$$

where $V_{n-1}(K_1|u^\perp, \dots, K_{n-1}|u^\perp)$ is the mixed volume of the compact convex sets $K_1|u^\perp, \dots, K_{n-1}|u^\perp$ in the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional space u^\perp . We use $\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$ to denote the polar body of $\Pi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$.

Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the mixed volume of K_1, \dots, K_n is denoted by $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. In general, for $r_1 + \dots + r_k = n$, we introduce the abbreviation

$$V(\underbrace{K_1, \dots, K_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{K_k, \dots, K_k}_{r_k}) := V(K_1, r_1; \dots; K_k, r_k).$$

Similarly, for $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, $V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ denotes the i -dimensional mixed volume of body $K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi$ in subspace ξ .

Let $M \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ and $c > 0$. A body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ is said to have *constant relative i -brightness* with respect to M [5], for $0 < i < n - 1$, if

$$V_i(K|\xi) = cV_i(M|\xi), \text{ for all } \xi \in G_{n,i}.$$

In general, $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ are said to have *constant relative mixed i -brightness* with respect to M [5], for $0 < i < n - 1$, if

$$V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) = cV_i(M|\xi), \text{ for all } \xi \in G_{n,i}.$$

If $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, the *affine mixed quermassintegral* of K_1, \dots, K_i is defined by

$$\Phi_{n-i}(K_1, \dots, K_i) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^{-n} d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{-1/n}$$

and letting by $\Phi_0(K_1, \dots, K_n) = V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$. It is clear to notice that $Q_{n-i,-n}(K_1, \dots, K_i)$ is equivalent to $\Phi_{n-i}(K_1, \dots, K_i)$.

The following Lemma, will be needed several times, shows that i -dimensional mixed volumes of orthogonal projections to ξ can be expressed by mixed volumes in \mathbb{R}^n [25].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$, then*

$$V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) = c_{n,i} V(K_1, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n - i), \tag{9}$$

where $c_{n,i} = \frac{\binom{n}{i}}{\omega_{n-i}}$.

The following inequality for mixed volumes of polar bodies which proved by Ghandehari [10] will be applied to set up inequality for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals of polar bodies.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and K_1 is smooth, $K_2, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then*

$$V(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n)V(K_1^*, K_2, \dots, K_n) \geq V(B, K_2, \dots, K_n)^2, \tag{10}$$

with equality if and only if K_1 is a ball.

3. L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals

In this section, some fundamental properties for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals are introduced.

By the translation invariance and positive homogeneity of mixed volume $V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$, then such properties of L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals can be obtained immediately.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1 + x_1, \dots, K_i + x_i) = Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i),$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$Q_{n-i,p}(\lambda_1 K_1, \dots, \lambda_i K_i) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_i Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i), \tag{11}$$

for $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i > 0$.

Since the classical mixed volume in \mathbb{R}^n is multilinear, it is obvious to notice that the L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals are multilinear when $p = 1$. The following proposition will state L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals are not multilinear when $p \neq 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $K_0, K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $a, b \geq 0$ for $p > 1$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,p}(aK_0 + bK_1, K_2, \dots, K_i) \leq aQ_{n-i,p}(K_0, K_2, \dots, K_i) + bQ_{n-i,p}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i). \tag{12}$$

If $0 \neq p < 1$, then (12) holds with the inequality sign reversed. Equality in (12) holds if K_0 and K_1 are homothetic.

Proof. Combining the fact that the classical mixed volume in \mathbb{R}^n is multilinear and Lemma 2.1, for all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &V_\xi(aK_0 + bK_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) \\ &= c_{n,i}V(aK_0 + bK_1, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n - i) \\ &= c_{n,i}(aV(K_0, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n - i) + bV(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n - i)) \\ &= aV_\xi(K_0|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) + bV_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Applying Minkowski’s inequality for $p > 1$ and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_{n-i,p}(aK_0 + bK_1, K_2, \dots, K_i) \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} (aV_\xi(K_0|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) + bV_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi))^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} a^p V_\xi(K_0|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} + \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} b^p V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= aQ_{n-i,p}(K_0, K_2, \dots, K_i) + bQ_{n-i,p}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality is reversed if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$. If K_0 and K_1 are homothetic, then $V_\xi(K_0|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ and $V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ are proportional, that is, equality in (12) holds. \square

Proposition 3.3. Suppose $K, L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}$ are compact convex subsets in \mathbb{R}^n such that $K \cup L$ is compact convex in \mathbb{R}^n , for $2 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K, L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}) = Q_{n-i,p}(K \cup L, K \cap L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}). \tag{14}$$

Proof. By the theorem proved by Groemer [12]: If $K, L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}$ are compact convex subsets in \mathbb{R}^n such that $K \cup L$ is compact convex in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$V(K, L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}) = V(K \cup L, K \cap L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}). \tag{15}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and integrating over $G_{n,i}$ give that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n-i,p}(K, L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}) &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K|\xi, L|\xi, K_1|\xi, \dots, K_{i-2}|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} c_{n,i}^p V(K, L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} c_{n,i}^p V(K \cup L, K \cap L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi((K \cup L)|\xi, (K \cap L)|\xi, K_1|\xi, \dots, K_{i-2}|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= Q_{n-i,p}(K \cup L, K \cap L, K_1, \dots, K_{i-2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfied $p < q$, then

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) \leq Q_{n-i,q}(K_1, \dots, K_i), \tag{16}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i have constant relative mixed i -brightness with respect to B .

Proof. It can be deduced follows Jensen’s inequality, and equality holds if and only if $V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ is a constant for all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, that is, equality holds if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i have constant relative mixed i -brightness with respect to B . □

L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals have the following monotone property.

Proposition 3.5. Suppose $K, L, K_2, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $K \subset L$, for $p \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K, K_2, \dots, K_i) \leq Q_{n-i,p}(L, K_2, \dots, K_i). \tag{17}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, for all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_\xi(K|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) &= c_{n,i} V(K, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i) \\ &\leq c_{n,i} V(L, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i) \\ &= V_\xi(L|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(L|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi) \right)^{1/p},$$

that is the desired (17).

It is easily to verify (17) is true for $p = 0$. □

4. L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals inequalities

The following theorem shows that the functional $Q_{n-i,p}^{1/i}$ from \mathcal{K}^n to $[0, \infty)$ is concave.

Theorem 4.1. *Given $p \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfied $ip \leq 1$, suppose $K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1 + \dots + K_m)^{1/i} \geq Q_{n-i,p}(K_1)^{1/i} + \dots + Q_{n-i,p}(K_m)^{1/i}, \tag{18}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic.

Proof. By applying the Brunn-Minkowski inequality in subspace $\xi \in G_{n,i}$ and combining the fact $(\sum_{j=1}^m K_j)|\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m (K_j|\xi)$, we have

$$V_i((K_1 + \dots + K_m)|\xi)^{1/i} \geq V_i(K_1|\xi)^{1/i} + \dots + V_i(K_m|\xi)^{1/i}, \tag{19}$$

with equality if and only if $K_1|\xi, \dots, K_m|\xi$ are homothetic for all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, and therefore K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic follows [9, Theorem 3.1.3].

Combining (19) with the reverse Minkowski’s inequality for $ip \leq 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n-i,p}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m K_j\right)^{1/i} &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_i((K_1 + \dots + K_m)|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/ip} \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_i(K_1|\xi + \dots + K_m|\xi)^{ip/i} d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/ip} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} (V_i(K_1|\xi)^{1/i} + \dots + V_i(K_m|\xi)^{1/i})^{ip} d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/ip} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^m Q_{n-i,p}(K_j)^{1/i}. \end{aligned}$$

When $ip = 1$, the equality condition follows (19) immediately. When $ip < 1$, assume the equality holds in (18), then we have equality in both inequalities above. Equality in the third line implies by (19) that K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic. Equality in the fourth line implies $V_i(K_k|\xi)$ and $V_i(K_j|\xi)$ are proportional for $1 \leq k, j \leq m$. Therefore, they are also homothetic. On the other hand, if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic, it is obvious that the equality holds in (18).

When $p = 0$, we will prove (18). For this aim, [14, Theorem 184] will turn out to be the key to finish that, which says that: For $K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}^n$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log(V_i(K_1|\xi)^{1/i} + \dots + V_i(K_m|\xi)^{1/i}) d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\ &\geq \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_i(K_1|\xi)^{1/i} d\mu_i(\xi)\right) + \dots + \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_i(K_m|\xi)^{1/i} d\mu_i(\xi)\right), \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $V_i(K_k|\xi)$ and $V_i(K_j|\xi)$ are proportional for $1 \leq k, j \leq m$. By (5) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n-i,0}(K_1 + \dots + K_m)^{1/i} &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \left(\exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_i((K_1 + \dots + K_m)|\xi) d\mu_i(\xi)\right)\right)^{1/i} \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_i(K_1|\xi + \dots + K_m|\xi)^{1/i} d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log (V_i(K_1|\xi)^{1/i} + \dots + V_i(K_m|\xi)^{1/i}) d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^{1/i} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_i(K_j|\xi)^{1/i} d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m Q_{n-i,0}(K_j)^{1/i}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality condition is obtained for $p = 0$ in the same way as above. \square

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, for $ip \leq 1$, then

$$Q_{n-i,p}((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L) \geq Q_{n-i,p}(K)^{1-\lambda} Q_{n-i,p}(L)^\lambda, \tag{20}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are translates.

Proof. Let $K_2 = \dots = K_i = cK$ in (11), we have $Q_{n-i,p}(cK) = c^i Q_{n-i,p}(K)$ for $p \in \mathbb{R}$. From this and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n-i,p}((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L)^{1/i} &\geq Q_{n-i,p}((1 - \lambda)K)^{1/i} + Q_{n-i,p}(\lambda L)^{1/i} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)Q_{n-i,p}(K)^{1/i} + \lambda Q_{n-i,p}(L)^{1/i}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Then apply the arithmetic-geometric inequality to (21), that is

$$Q_{n-i,p}((1 - \lambda)K + \lambda L)^{1/i} \geq Q_{n-i,p}(K)^{(1-\lambda)/i} Q_{i,p}(L)^{\lambda/i}.$$

The equality in (21) holds if and only if K, L are homothetic and the equality condition of arithmetic-geometric inequality is $Q_{i,p}(K) = Q_{i,p}(L)$. Thus, equality in (20) holds if and only if K and L are translates. \square

Lemma 4.3. Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i are smooth, $1 \leq m \leq i$, then

$$V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^m \geq \prod_{j=1}^m \underbrace{V_\xi(K_j|\xi, \dots, K_j|\xi, K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)}_m, \tag{22}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic.

Moreover, if $m = i$ in (22), then

$$V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^i \geq V_i(K_1|\xi) \cdots V_i(K_i|\xi), \tag{23}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i are homothetic.

Proof. For all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$ and $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality (1) for compact convex sets $K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi$ in subspace ξ yields (22). In order to show the equality condition in (1), we need to prove $K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi$ are smooth convex bodies in subspace ξ . For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the smooth convex body K is of (at least) C^2 and has positive curvature, which is equivalent to its support

function h_K is of C^2 . Since $\rho_{K^*} = h_K^{-1}$, that h_K is C^2 is equivalent to ρ_{K^*} is C^2 , that is, ∂K^* is C^2 . Then $\partial K^* \cap \xi$ is C^2 for all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, and therefore we obtain $\partial(K^* \cap \xi)^*$ is C^2 , where the latter polar operation is taken in ξ . By the relationship (see (0.38) in [9])

$$K|\xi = (K^* \cap \xi)^*,$$

and thus, $K|\xi$ is a smooth convex body in ξ . Therefore, $K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi$ are smooth convex bodies in subspace ξ .

Then the equality condition in Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality implies that equality holds in (22) if and only if $K_1|\xi, \dots, K_m|\xi$ are homothetic for $\xi \in G_{n,i}$. [9, Theorem 3.1.3] shows that such equality condition is equivalent to that K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic. \square

The following theorem is the Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequality for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i are smooth, for $1 \leq m \leq i$, for $p \leq 0$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,mp}(K_1, \dots, K_i)^m \geq \prod_{j=1}^m Q_{n-i,mp}(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_m, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i), \tag{24}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic.

Proof. By (22) and Hölder’s inequality for $p \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{n-i,mp}(K_1, \dots, K_i)^m \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^{mp} d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \prod_{j=1}^m V_\xi(\underbrace{K_j|\xi, \dots, K_j|\xi}_m, K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(\underbrace{K_j|\xi, \dots, K_j|\xi}_m, K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^{p-m} d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/pm} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m Q_{n-i,mp}(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_m, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i). \end{aligned}$$

Assume the equality holds in (24), then equalities in the third line and the fourth line both hold. The first equality condition can be deduced from (23), that is, K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic. The equality condition of Hölder’s inequality implies that $V_\xi(K_k|\xi, m; K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ and $V_\xi(K_l|\xi, m; K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ are proportional for $1 \leq k, l \leq m$. Therefore, they are also homothetic. On the other hand, if K_1, \dots, K_m are homothetic, it is obvious that the equality holds in (24).

Similarly, the case of $p = 0$ can be deduced by applying the properties of logarithmic function, exponen-

tial function and (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Q_{n-i,0}(K_1, \dots, K_i)^m \\
 &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^m d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log \prod_{j=1}^m \underbrace{V_\xi(K_j|\xi, \dots, K_j|\xi, K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)}_m d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_\xi(K_j|\xi, \dots, K_j|\xi, K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^m \prod_{j=1}^m \exp\left(\int_{G_{n,i}} \log V_\xi(K_j|\xi, \dots, K_j|\xi, K_{m+1}|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) d\mu_i(\xi)\right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^m Q_{n-i,0}(\underbrace{K_j, \dots, K_j}_m, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i). \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality condition in (25) follows (22) immediately. \square

Moreover, if $m = i$ in (24), then

Corollary 4.5. Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$, for $p \leq 0$, then

$$Q_{n-i,ip}(K_1, \dots, K_i)^i \geq Q_{n-i,ip}(K_1) \cdots Q_{n-i,ip}(K_i), \tag{26}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i are homothetic.

As a special case, let $K_1 = \dots = K_j = K$ and $K_{j+1} = \dots = K_m = L$ in Theorem 4.4, we can get

Corollary 4.6. Suppose $K, L, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$, K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i are smooth and $1 \leq j \leq m \leq i$, for $p \leq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Q_{n-i,mp}(K, j; L, m - j; K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i)^m \\
 &\geq Q_{n-i,mp}(K, m; K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i)^j Q_{n-i,mp}(L, m; K_{m+1}, \dots, K_i)^{m-j},
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if K, L are homothetic.

Moreover, if $m = i$, it follows that

$$Q_{n-i,ip}(K, j; L, i - j)^i \geq Q_{n-i,ip}(K)^j Q_{n-i,ip}(L)^{i-j},$$

with equality if and only if K, L are homothetic.

When $p = -n/i$, then (26) yields the following inequality.

Corollary 4.7. Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then

$$\Phi_{n-i}(K_1, \dots, K_i)^i \geq \Phi_{n-i}(K_1) \cdots \Phi_{n-i}(K_i),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i are homothetic.

The inequality (26) implies the following inequality for $\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$, which was proved by Lutwak [17].

Corollary 4.8. Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then

$$V(\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}))^{n-1} \leq V(\Pi^*(K_1)) \cdots V(\Pi^*(K_{n-1})),$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_{n-1} are homothetic.

Proof. The volume of $\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$ can be formulated as

$$V(\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1}(K_1|u^\perp, \dots, K_{n-1}|u^\perp)^{-n} du. \tag{27}$$

Then put $i = n - 1, p = n/(1 - n)$ in $Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1,-n}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}) &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n-1}} \left(\int_{G_{n,n-1}} V_\xi(K_1|\xi, \dots, K_{n-1}|\xi)^{-n} d\xi \right)^{-1/n} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1}(K_1|u^\perp, \dots, K_{n-1}|u^\perp)^{-n} du \right)^{-1/n} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_n} V(\Pi^*(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1})) \right)^{-1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

When $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-1} = K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, we have

$$Q_{1,-n}(K) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_n} V(\Pi^*(K)) \right)^{-1/n}.$$

Therefore, the desired result follows (26) immediately. \square

The following theorem is the isoperimetric inequality for L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals.

Theorem 4.9. Suppose $K_1, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $p \geq -n$, then

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) \geq Q_{n-i,p}(B_{K_1}, \dots, B_{K_i}), \tag{28}$$

with equality if and only if K_1, \dots, K_i are balls.

Proof. From Proposition 3.4, Corollary 4.7, (4) and (11), for $p \geq -n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) &\geq Q_{n-i,-n}(K_1, \dots, K_i) \\ &= \Phi_{n-i}(K_1, \dots, K_i) \\ &\geq \Phi_{n-i}(K_1)^{1/i} \cdots \Phi_{n-i}(K_i)^{1/i} \\ &\geq \Phi_{n-i}(B_{K_1})^{1/i} \cdots \Phi_{n-i}(B_{K_i})^{1/i} \\ &= Q_{n-i,p}(B_{K_1}, \dots, B_{K_i}). \end{aligned}$$

If the equality holds in (28), then we have equalities in all inequalities above. Equality in the fourth line implies that K_1, \dots, K_i are ellipsoids. Equality in the third line implies that K_1, \dots, K_i are homothetic, then K_1, \dots, K_i are homothetic ellipsoids. Let E is an ellipsoid such that $\lambda_1 K_1 + x_1 = \dots = \lambda_i K_i + x_i = E$, then $Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, \dots, K_i) = \lambda_1^{-1} \cdots \lambda_i^{-1} Q_{n-i,p}(E)$ and $Q_{n-i,-n}(K_1, \dots, K_i) = \lambda_1^{-1} \cdots \lambda_i^{-1} Q_{n-i,-n}(E)$. Therefore, equality in the first line implies that $Q_{n-i,p}(E) = Q_{n-i,-n}(E)$, then it follows Jensen's inequality that $V_i(E|\xi)$ is a constant for all $\xi \in G_{n,i}$, therefore, E must be a ball. \square

5. L^p -moment mixed quermassintegrals inequalities of polar bodies

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and K_1 is smooth, $K_2, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $\xi \in G_{n,i}$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$, then*

$$V_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)V_\xi(K_1^*|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) \geq V_\xi(B|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^2, \tag{29}$$

with equality if and only if K_1 is a ball.

Proof. From the Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &V_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)V_\xi(K_1^*|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi) \\ &= c_{n,i}^2 V(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i) V(K_1^*, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i) \\ &\geq c_{n,i}^2 V(B, K_2, \dots, K_i; B \cap \xi^\perp, n-i)^2 \\ &= V_\xi(B|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

the equality condition can be obtain from Lemma 2.2. \square

Letting $K_2 = \dots = K_i = B$ in Lemma 5.1 gives the following inequality.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and K is smooth, for $\xi \in G_{n,i}$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$, then*

$$V_\xi(K|\xi, B|\xi, \dots, B|\xi)V_\xi(K^*|\xi, B|\xi, \dots, B|\xi) \geq \omega_i^2, \tag{30}$$

with equality if and only if K is a ball.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and K_1 is smooth, $K_2, \dots, K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $p \geq 0$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i)Q_{n-i,p}(K_1^*, K_2, \dots, K_i) \geq Q_{n-i,p}(B, K_2, \dots, K_i)^2, \tag{31}$$

with equality if and only if K_1 is a ball.

Proof. For $p > 0$, from the definition of $Q_{n-i,p}$ together with Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_{n-i,p}(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_i)Q_{n-i,p}(K_1^*, K_2, \dots, K_i) \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^2 \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K_1^*|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^2 \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^{p/2} V_\xi(K_1^*|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^{p/2} d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{2/p} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i}\right)^2 \left(\int_{G_{n,i}} V_\xi(B|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)^p d\mu_i(\xi)\right)^{2/p} \\ &= Q_{n-i,p}(B, K_2, \dots, K_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assume the equality holds in (31), then we get equality in all inequality above. The equality in third line yields that $V_\xi(K_1|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ and $V_\xi(K_1^*|\xi, K_2|\xi, \dots, K_i|\xi)$ are proportional. And the equality in fourth line holds when K_1 is a ball follows Lemma 2.2. Therefore, the equality condition in (31) is that K_1 is a ball.

The case of $p = 0$ can be obtained by the properties of logarithmic function, exponential function and Lemma 2.2. \square

The following result can be obtained from Theorem 31 as a special case by letting $K_2 = \dots = K_i = B$.

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and K is smooth, for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $p \geq 0$, then*

$$Q_{n-i,p}(K, B, \dots, B)Q_{n-i,p}(K^*, B, \dots, B) \geq \omega_n^2,$$

with equality if and only if K is a ball.

References

- [1] A. D. Aleksandrov, Selected Works. Part I. Selected Scientific Papers. (Yu. G. Reshetnyak, S. S. Kutateladze, eds), trans. from the Russian by P. S. Naidu. Classics of Soviet Mathematics, 4. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [2] S. Artstein-Avidan, D. I. Florentin, A. Segal, *Functional Brunn-Minkowski inequalities induced by polarity*, Adv. Math. **364** (2020), 107006.
- [3] T. Bonnesen, W. Fenchel, *Theorie der Konoexen Körper*, Springer, Berlin, 1934.
- [4] K. Böröczky, J. Károly, P. Kalantzopoulos, *Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality under symmetry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), 5987–6013.
- [5] G. D. Chakerian, E. Lutwak, *Bodies with similar projections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 1811–1820.
- [6] A. Eskenazis, G. Moschidis, *The dimensional Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Gauss space*, J. Funct. Anal. **280** (2021), 108914.
- [7] W. Fenchel, *Inégalités quadratiques entre les volumes mixtes des corps convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **203** (1936), 647–650.
- [8] W. Firey, *Blaschke sums of convex bodies and mixed bodies*, In Proc. Colloquium Convexity (W. Fenchel, ed.), Copenhagen 1965, pp. 94–101, Københavns Univ. Mat. Inst., 1967.
- [9] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography*, (second edition), Encyclopedia of Math. Appl., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [10] M. Ghandehari, *Polar duals of convex bodies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 799–808.
- [11] E. Grinberg, *Isoperimetric inequalities and identities for k -dimensional cross-sections of convex bodies*, Math. Ann. **291** (1991), 75–86.
- [12] H. Groemer, *Minkowski addition and mixed volumes*, Geom. Dedicata **6** (1977), 141–163.
- [13] H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- [14] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.
- [15] A. V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, *Local L^p -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for $p < 1$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (2022).
- [16] E. Lutwak, *A general isepiphanic inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1984), 415–421.
- [17] E. Lutwak, *Mixed projection inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), 91–105.
- [18] E. Lutwak, *Volume of mixed bodies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), 487–500.
- [19] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 131–150.
- [20] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. **118** (1996), 244–294.
- [21] E. Lutwak, *Inequalities for Hadwiger’s harmonic quermassintegrals*, Math. Ann. **280** (1998), 165–175.
- [22] E. Milman, A. Yehudayoff, *Sharp isoperimetric inequalities for affine quermassintegrals*, to appear in J. Amer. Math. Soc. arXiv.2005.04769
- [23] H. Minkowski, *Volumen und Oberfläche*, Math. Ann. **57** (1903), 447–495.
- [24] H. Minkowski, *Theorie der Konvexen Körper insbesondere Begründung ihres Oberflächenbegriffes*, Gesammelte Abhandlungen, vol. II, Teubner, Leipzig, 1911.
- [25] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, (second edition), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [26] R. Schneider, *A Brunn-Minkowski theory for coconvex sets of finite volume*, Adv. Math. **332** (2018), 199–234.
- [27] R. Schneider, W. Weil, *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*, Probability and its Applications, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [28] B. Zhu, S. Xing, *The mixed L_p affine surface areas for functions*, Quaest. Math. **42** (2019), 257–276.
- [29] D. Zou, G. Xiong, *New affine inequalities and projection mean ellipsoids*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **58** (2019).