

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# On Gallai's path decomposition conjecture

# Mengmeng Xiea

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China

**Abstract.** Gallai conjectured that every connected graph on n vertices can be decomposed into at most  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  paths. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. The E-subgraph of G, denoted by F, is the subgraph induced by the vertices of even degree in G. The maximum degree of G is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ . In 2020, Botler and Sambinelli verified Gallai's Conjecture for graphs whose E-subgraphs F satisfy  $\Delta(F) \leq 3$ . If the E-subgraph of G has at most one vertex with degree greater than 3, Fan, Hou and Zhou verified Gallai's Conjecture for G. In this paper, it is proved that if there are two adjacent vertices  $x, y \in V(F)$  such that  $d_F(v) \leq 3$  for every vertex  $v \in V(F) \setminus \{x, y\}$ , then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ , and a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ .

#### 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. A *decomposition* of a graph is a set of subgraphs that partition its edge set. If all these subgraphs are isomorphic to path, then it is called a path-decomposition. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a path-decomposition of a graph G. The number of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  is denoted by  $|\mathcal{D}|$ . For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the number of paths in  $\mathcal{D}$  with v as an end vertex is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(v)$ . Gallai [6] proposed the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1.** (Gallai's conjecture [6]) Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ .

The first breakthrough in the study of Gallai's conjecture is Lovász [6] made.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Lovász [6]) Let G be a graph on n vertices. If G has at most one vertex of even degree, then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

Given a graph G, the sets of vertices and edges of G are denoted by V(G) and E(G), respectively. A *cut vertex* of G is a vertex whose removal increases the number of components of G. The *even subgraph* of G (E-subgraph, for short), denoted by EV(G), is the subgraph of G induced by its even degree vertices. The maximum degree of a graph G is denoted by G. A *block* in a graph G is a maximal 2-connected subgraph of G. We use G is denote a double-star with center vertices G and G is the degree of G is G is G in the degree of G in the degree of G is G in the degree of G in the degree of G is G in the degree of G in the degree of G in the degree of G is G in the degree of G in the degree of

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C38; Secondary 05C51.

Keywords. Decomposition; Path; Gallai's conjecture.

Received: 01 November 2022; Accepted: 09 January 2023

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

Research supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. LQ23A010013.

Email address: xiemengmeng@nbu.edu.cn (Mengmeng Xie)

By Theorem 1.1, Gallai's conjecture is true if the *E*-subgraph of *G* has at most one vertex. The conjecture was verified by Favaron and Kouider [5] for Eulerian graphs with degrees 2 and 4, by Botler and Jiménez [1] for 2k-regular ( $k \ge 3$ ) graphs of girths at least 2k - 2 that have a pair of disjoint perfect matchings. Pyber [7] verified Gallai's conjecture for graphs whose *E*-subgraphs are forests. Each block of a forest is a single edge. If each block of the *E*-subgraph of *G* has maximum degree at most 3 and contains no triangles, Fan [3] verified Gallai's conjecture is true. If the maximum degree of the *E*-subgraph of *G* less than or equal to 3, Botler and Sambinelli [2] verified that *G* has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \le \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2}$ , or a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \le \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2}$ . From this result, we can get the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** (Theorem 13, [2]) Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and F be the E-subgraph of G. If  $\Delta(F) \leq 3$ , then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ .

Fan, Hou and Zhou [4] generalized the result above.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Theorem 5, [4]) Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and F be the E-subgraph of G. If there is a vertex  $x \in V(F)$  such that  $d_F(v) \leq 3$  for every vertex  $v \in V(F) \setminus \{x\}$ , then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(x) \geq 2$ .

The main result of this paper is as following.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and F be the E-subgraph of G. If there are two vertices  $x, y \in V(F)$  and an edge  $xy \in E(F)$  such that  $d_F(v) \leq 3$  for every vertex  $v \in V(F) \setminus \{x, y\}$ , then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ , and a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ .

# 2. Technical Lemmas

In a graph G, the set of neighbors of a vertex x is denoted by  $N_G(x)$ , the set of the edges incident with x is denoted by  $E_G(x)$  and its degree by  $d_G(x) = |E_G(x)|$ . For a subgraph H of G and a vertex  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $N_H(x)$  is the set of the neighbors of x in H,  $E_H(x)$  is the set of the edges incident with x in H, and  $d_H(x) = |E_H(x)|$  is the degree of x in H. For  $B \subseteq E(G)$ ,  $G \setminus B$  is the graph obtained from G by deleting all the edges of G. For G is the graph obtained from G by deleting all the vertices of G together with all the edges with at least one end in G. (When G is implify the notation to G is G in G in G is the graph obtained from G by deleting all the vertices of G together with all the edges with at least one end in G. (When G is implify the notation to G in G is the graph observation will be used throughout the paper.

**Observation 2.1.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a path-decomposition of a graph G. Then  $\mathcal{D}(v) \geq 1$  if  $d_G(v)$  is odd.

**Definition 2.2.** Let w be a vertex in a graph G and B be a set of edges incident to w. Let  $H = G \setminus B$  and D be a path-decomposition of H. For a subset  $A \subseteq B$ , say  $A = \{wx_i : 1 \le i \le k\}$ , we say that A is addible at w with respect to D if  $H \cup A$  has a path-decomposition  $D^*$  such that

```
(i) |\mathcal{D}^*| = |\mathcal{D}|;
```

```
(ii) \mathcal{D}^*(w) = \mathcal{D}(w) + |A| and \mathcal{D}^*(x_i) = \mathcal{D}(x_i) - 1, 1 \le i \le k;
```

(iii)  $\mathcal{D}^*(v) = \mathcal{D}(v)$  for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{w, x_1, ..., x_k\}$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{D}^*$  a transformation of  $\mathcal{D}$  by adding A at w. The next lemma is from [3].

**Lemma 2.3.** (Lemma 3.6, [3]) Let w be a vertex in a graph G and  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_s$  be neighbors of w in G. Let  $H = G \setminus \{wx_1, wx_2, ..., wx_s\}$ . If H has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(v) \geq 1$  for every vertex  $v \in N_G(w)$ , then for any vertex  $x \in \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_s\}$ , there is an edge set  $B \subseteq \{wx_1, wx_2, ..., wx_s\}$  such that  $wx \in B$ ,  $|B| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , and B is addible at w with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The next lemma is from [4].

**Lemma 2.4.** (Lemma 5, [4]) Suppose that w is a vertex in a graph G and  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_k$  are neighbors of w in G. Let  $H = G \setminus \{wx_1, wx_2, ..., wx_k\}$ . If H has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  such that for some integer l,  $|\{v \in N_H(x_i) : \mathcal{D}(v) = 0\}| \le l$  for each i,  $1 \le i \le k$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(w) \ge l + k$ , then G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}^*$  such that

- (i)  $|\mathcal{D}^*| = |\mathcal{D}|$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D}^*(w) \ge l$  and  $\mathcal{D}^*(x_i) = \mathcal{D}(x_i) + 1$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{D}^*(v) = \mathcal{D}(v)$  for each vertex  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{w, x_1, ..., x_k\}$ .

### 3. Proof of Main Theorem

## Proof of Theorem 1.4.

By the hypothesis of G,  $S_{2,2}$  is the graph that has the fewest edges. The two center vertices of  $S_{2,2}$  are denoted by x and y, respectively. The two leaf vertices of  $S_{2,2}$  are denoted by  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , respectively (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: *S*<sub>2,2</sub>.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{v_1x, xyv_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{v_1xy, yv_2\}$ . Because  $|\mathcal{D}_1| = |\mathcal{D}_2| = 2 < \frac{4+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \ge 2$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \ge 2$ , the theorem holds. If the theorem is not true, choose G to be a counterexample with |E(G)| minimum. Then  $|E(G)| \ge 4$ .

**Claim 1.** For any  $z \in V(F)$ , G - z is connected.

If the claim is not true, then there are two connected nontrivial subgraphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \{z\}$ ,  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) = E(G)$  and  $z \in V(F)$ . Let  $F_i$  be the E-subgraph of  $G_i$ , i = 1, 2. Obviously,  $F_i$  is a subgraph of F, i = 1, 2. Since  $d_G(z)$  is even, we have that  $d_{G_1}(z) \equiv d_{G_2}(z) \pmod{2}$ .

Because  $xy \in E(G)$  and  $xy \in E(F)$ , x and y are both in either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ . Case 1.  $z \neq x, y$ .

Assuming that  $x, y \in V(G_2)$ .

Subcase 1.1. Both  $d_{G_1}(z)$  and  $d_{G_2}(z)$  are even.

In the current case,  $|V(F_1)| \ge 1$ . According to Theorem 1.3, G has a path decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \le \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1(z) \ge 2$ . Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two paths in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  having z as an endvertex.

Because  $x, y \in V(G_2)$  and  $d_{G_2}(z)$  is even,  $|V(F_2)| \ge 3$ . By the minimality of G,  $G_2$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_2(x) \ge 2$  and a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2'$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_2'(y) \ge 2$ .  $d_{G_2}(z)$  is even. If z is not the end vertex of any path in  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , let  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_2$  and  $z \in V(Q)$ . The two segments of Q divided by Z are denoted by  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . If Z is the end vertex of some paths in  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , there are at least two such paths. Choose two paths from  $\mathcal{P}_2$  with Z as the end vertex, denoted by  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1 \cup Q_2\}) \cup \{P_1 \cup Q_1, P_2 \cup Q_2\}$ , then  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2} - 2 + \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2} - 1 + 2 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ . Similarly, we can use  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}'_2$  to find a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_2$  of G such that  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ , contradicting that G is a counterexample.

Subcase 1.2. Both  $d_{G_1}(z)$  and  $d_{G_2}(z)$  are odd.

If the degree of every vertex of  $G_1$  is odd, then there is a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $G_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(z) \geq 1$ , by Theorem 1.1 and Observation 2.1. If the number of even degree vertices in  $G_1$  is greater than or equal to 1, then there is a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $G_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(z) \geq 1$ , by Theorem 1.3 and Observation 2.1. So, in either case,  $G_1$  always has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(z) \geq 1$ . Let  $P_1$  be a path in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  that ends at z. By the minimality of G,  $G_2$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_2| \leq \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2(y) \geq 2$  and a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_2'| \leq \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2'(y) \geq 2$ . For path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  or  $\mathcal{P}_2'$ , z is the end vertex of at least one path, by Observation 2.1. Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_1'$  be a path in  $\mathcal{P}_2$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2'$  that ends at z, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1\}) \cup \{P_1 \cup Q_1\}$ 

and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1'\}) \cup \{P_1 \cup Q_1'\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2} - 1 + \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2} - 1 + 1 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ , contradicting that G is a counterexample. Case 2. z = x or y.

Without loss of generality, we assume that z = x and  $y \in V(G_1)$ . Because  $d_G(y)$  is even and  $y \in V(G_1)$ , we can choose  $G_1$  such that  $G_1 - x$  is connected and  $|E(G_1)| \ge 2$ .

Subcase 2.1. Both  $d_{G_1}(x)$  and  $d_{G_2}(x)$  are even.

In the current case,  $x,y \in V(F_1)$ . By the minimality of G,  $G_1$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2}, \mathcal{P}_1(x) \geq 2$  and a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1'$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1'| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2}, \mathcal{P}_1'(y) \geq 2$ . Because  $x \in V(F_2)$ , there are at least one vertex of even degree in  $G_2$ . By Theorem 1.3,  $G_2$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_2| \leq \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2}, \mathcal{P}_2(x) \geq 2$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , we choose two paths with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , respectively. In  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , we choose two paths with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1\}) \cup \{P_1 \cup Q_1\}$ , then  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2} - 1 + \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2} - 1 + 1 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_1', \mathcal{P}_1'(x) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{P}_1'(x) \geq 2$ . If  $\mathcal{P}_1'(x) = 0$ , we choose a path from  $\mathcal{P}_1'$  containing x, denoted by P. We divide P from x into two segments, denoted by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively. If  $\mathcal{P}_1'(x) \geq 2$ , we choose two paths with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathcal{P}_1' \setminus \{P_1 \cup P_2\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1\}) \cup \{P_1 \cup Q_1, P_2 \cup Q_2\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2} - 1 + \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2} - 2 + 2 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ , contradicting that G is a counterexample. Subcase 2.2. Both  $d_{G_1}(x)$  and  $d_{G_2}(x)$  are odd.

(i)  $|E(G_2)| \ge 2$ .

Let  $H_i$  be the connected graph obtained from  $G_i$  by adding a new edge xw, where w is a new vertex, i=1,2. The E-subgraph of  $H_i$  is denoted by  $F_i'$ , i=1,2. Then  $xy \in E(F_1')$ ,  $x \in F_i'$  and  $|E(H_i)| \leq |E(G)|$ , i=1,2. By the minimality of G,  $H_1$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{|V(H_1)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(y) \geq 2$ . Because  $d_{H_2}(x)$  is even, the number of even degree vertices of  $H_2$  is greater than or equal to 1. By Theorem 1.3,  $H_2$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_2| \leq \frac{|V(H_2)|+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2(x) \geq 2$ . Next, we construct the path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_1$  of G such that  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ .

In  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , we choose the path which contains the edge xw, denoted by  $P_1$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1\}$ , we choose one path with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $P_2$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , we choose the path which contains the edge xw, denoted by  $Q_1$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1\}$ , we choose one path with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $Q_2$ .

Let  $P = (P_1 \setminus xw) \cup (Q_1 \setminus xw)$  and  $Q = P_2 \cup Q_2$ . If neither  $Q_1$  nor  $P_1$  is the single edge xw, let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1, Q_2\}) \cup \{P, Q\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \le \frac{|V(H_1)|+1}{2} - 2 + \frac{|V(H_2)|+1}{2} - 2 + 2 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \ge 2$ . If both  $Q_1 = xw$  and  $P_1 = xw$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{Q_1\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_2\})$ . Then  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \le \frac{|V(H_1)|+1}{2} - 1 + \frac{|V(H_2)|+1}{2} - 1 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \ge 2$ . If exactly one of  $Q_1$  and  $P_1$  is the single edge xw, say  $P_1 = xw$ ,  $Q_1 \ne xw$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1, P_2\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{Q_1, Q_2\}) \cup \{Q, Q_1 \setminus xy\}$ , then  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \le \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \ge 2$ .

In the following, we construct the path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_2$  of G such that  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ . In  $G_1$ , the number of even degree vertices is greater than or equal to 1, and the degree of every vertex except y of  $F_1$  less than or equal to three. By Theorem 1.3,  $G_1$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(y) \geq 2$ . Because  $d_{G_1}(x)$  is odd,  $\mathcal{P}_1 \geq 1$ , by Observation 2.1. In  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , we choose one path with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $P_1$ . By Theorem 1.1 or 1.2,  $G_2$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_2| \leq \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$ . By Observation 2.1,  $\mathcal{P}_2(x) \geq 1$ . In  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , we choose one path with x as the end vertex, denoted by  $P_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \{P_1\}) \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \{P_2\}) \cup \{P_1, P_2\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{|V(G_1)|+1}{2} - 1 + \frac{|V(G_2)|+1}{2} - 1 + 1 = \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ , contradicting that G is a counterexample.

(ii)  $|E(G_2)| = 1$ .

 $G_2$  is a single edge, say  $G_2 = xw_1$ . Let  $R = G_1 - x$ . By the choice of  $G_1$ , R is connected. Let  $E_F(x) = \{xx_1, xx_2, ..., xx_m\}$ ,  $m = d_F(x)$ . Let  $H = G \setminus E_F(x)$  and  $F_H$  be the E-subgraph of H.

In the following, we construct the path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_1$  of G such that  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) \geq 2$ .

(1)  $m < d_{G_1}(x)$ .

Because  $R = G_1 - x$  is connected and  $m < d_{G_1}(x)$ , H is connected.

If m is even, then  $d_H(x)$  is even, and  $y \in \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}$ . So,  $d_H(y)$  is odd. By Theorem 1.3, there is a

path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  of H such that  $|\mathcal{P}| \le \frac{|V(H)|+1}{2} \le \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(x) \ge 2$ . By Lemma 2.3, there is an edge set  $B \subseteq E_F(x)$  such that  $|B| \ge \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$ ,  $xy \in B$  and B is addible at x with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ .

If m is odd, then x is odd degree in H, and H has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ , by Theorem 1.1 or 1.2. By Observation 2.1,  $\mathcal{P}(x) \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.3, there is an edge set  $B \subseteq E_F(x)$  and  $xy \in B$  such that  $|B| \geq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$  and B is addible at x with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ .

In either case,  $H \cup B$  has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}'$ , a transformation of  $\mathcal{P}$  by adding B at x, such that  $|\mathcal{P}'| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}'(x) \geq m - \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 2$ . Since  $d_F(v) \leq 3$  for every vertex  $V(F) \setminus \{x, y\}$ . So, every vertex  $v \in E_F(x) \setminus B$ ,  $d_F(v) \leq 3$  and  $d_{F_H}(v) \leq 2$ .

By Lemma 2.4, with l=2 and  $k=m-\lceil \frac{m}{2}\rceil$ , G has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}^*$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}^*|=|\mathcal{P}'|\leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}^*(x)\geq 2$ .

(2)  $m = d_{G_1}(x)$ .

Because  $d_G(x)$  is even and  $d_{G_2}(x)=1$ , m is odd, say m=2k+1. There are no new even vertices in  $R=G_1-x$ . The degree of x and all vertices adjacent to x are odd. By Theorem 1.1 or 1.2, there is a path-decomposition  $\mathcal R$  of R such that  $|\mathcal R| \leq \frac{|V(R)|+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal R(x_i) \geq 1$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ . By Lemma 2.3, there is an edge set  $B \subseteq E_F(x)$ ,  $xy \in B$ , such that  $|B| \geq k+1$  and B is addible at x with respect to  $\mathcal R$ . Let  $\mathcal R'$  be a transformation of  $\mathcal R$  by adding B at x. Then  $\mathcal R'$  is a path-decomposition of  $R \cup B$  such that  $|\mathcal R'| \leq \frac{|R|+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal R'(x) \geq |B| \geq k+1$ . Let  $\mathcal P' = \mathcal R' \cup \{xw_1\}$ , which is a path-decomposition of  $R \cup B \cup \{xw_1\}$ . Note that |V(R)| = |V(G)| - 2. So,  $|\mathcal P'| \leq \frac{|V(R)|+1}{2} + 1 = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal P'(x) \geq |B| + 1 \geq k+2$ . By Lemma 2.4, with l = 2, we obtain a path-decomposition  $\mathcal D_1$  of G such that  $|\mathcal D_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $|\mathcal D_1|(x) \geq 2$ .

Next, we will find a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_2$  of G, such that  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ . Let  $I = G \setminus \{xw_1\}$  and  $F_I$  be the E-subgraph of I. Because the number of even vertices in I is greater than or equal to one, and only  $d_{F_I}(y)$  may be greater than three, I has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \frac{|V(I)|+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(y) \geq 2$ , by Theorem 1.3. Because  $d_I(x)$  is odd,  $\mathcal{P}(x) \geq 1$ , by Observation 2.1. In  $\mathcal{P}$ , we choose one path with x as the end vertex, denoted by P. Let  $Q = P \cup \{xw_1\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathcal{P} \setminus \{P\}) \cup \{Q\}$ . Then  $|\mathcal{D}_2| \leq \frac{|V(I)|+1}{2} - 1 + 1 < \frac{|V(G)|+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(y) \geq 2$ , contradicting that G is a counterexample. This proves Claim 1.

**Claim 2.** At least one of  $d_F(x)$  and  $d_F(y)$  is even.

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $d_F(x)$  and  $d_F(y)$  are odd. Let  $E_F(x) = \{xw_1, xw_2, ..., xw_m\}$ , where  $m = d_F(x)$  and  $w_m = y$ . Let  $H = G \setminus E_F(x)$ . By Claim 1, H is connected. Note that the degree of x and y are odd in H. By Theorem 1.1 or 1.2, H has a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ . By Observation 2.1,  $\mathcal{P}_1(x) \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1(y) \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.3, to add a set  $B \subseteq E_F(x)$  at x with  $|B| \geq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$  and  $xy \in B$ , we can get a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}_2$  of  $H \cup B$  from  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Since  $|B| \geq \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$  and m is odd,  $|B| \geq \frac{m+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2(x) \geq \frac{m+1}{2} + 1 = \frac{m+3}{2}$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_2| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ . By applying Lemma 2.4, with l = 2, we obtain a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_1$  of G such that  $|\mathcal{D}_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $|\mathcal{D}_1(x)| \geq 1$ . Because  $|\mathcal{D}_1(x)| \geq 1$ . Because  $|\mathcal{D}_1(x)| \geq 1$ , contradicting that  $|\mathcal{D}_1(x)| \leq 1$ . This proves Claim 2.

Because  $xy \in E_F(x)$  and  $xy \in E_F(y)$ ,  $d_F(x) \neq 0$  and  $d_F(y) \neq 0$ . By Claim 2, at least one of  $d_F(x)$  and  $d_F(y)$  is even. Without loss of generality, suppose  $d_F(x)$  is even. So,  $d_F(x) \geq 2$ .

In the following, we will find a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$  of G, such that  $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(x) \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{D}(y) \geq 2$ . Let  $E_F(x) = \{xx_1, xx_2, ..., xx_m\}$ ,  $m = d_F(x) \geq 2$  is even. Let  $xx_m = xy$ , m = 2k and  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $S = E_F(x) \setminus \{xx_m\}$ . Thus |S| = 2k - 1. Suppose  $H = G \setminus S$ . By Claim 1, H is connected.  $d_H(x)$  is odd and  $d_H(y)$  is even. By Theorem 1.3, there is a path-decomposition  $\mathcal{P}$  of H such that  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(y) \geq 2$ . By Observation 2.1,  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(v) \geq 1$ ,  $v \in N_G(x)$ . By Lemma 2.3, there is an edge set  $B \subseteq S$ , such that  $|B| \geq k$  and B is addible at x with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}'$  be a transformation of  $\mathcal{P}$  by adding B at x. Then  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a path-decomposition of  $H \cup B$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}'| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $|\mathcal{P}'(x)| \geq k+1$ . Note that  $|S \setminus B| \leq k-1$ . By Lemma 2.4, with  $|S \setminus B| \leq k-1$  has a path-decomposition  $|D \setminus B| \leq k-1$  of  $|D \setminus B| \leq k-1$  and  $|D \setminus B| \leq k-1$ .

#### Date availability statement

Because no new data were created or analyzed in this study, data sharing is not applicable to this article.

### References

- [1] F. Botler and A. Jiménez, On path decompositions of 2k-regular graphs, Discrete Math. 340 (2017), 1405–1411.
- [2] F. Botler and M. Sambinelli, *Towards Gallai's path decomposition conjecture*, J. Graph Theory **97** (2021), 161–184. [3] G. Fan, *Path decompositions and Gallai's conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **93** (2005), 117–125.
- [4] G. Fan, J. Hou and C. Zhou, Gallai's conjecture on path decompositions, Journal of the operations research society of China (2022), accepted.
  [5] O. Favaron and M. Kouider, *Path partitions and cycle partitions of Eulerian graphs of maximum degree 4*, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. **23**
- [6] L. Lovász, On covering of graphs, Theory of Graphs (P. Erdös and G. Katona, eds.) (1968), 231–236, Academic Press, New York.
- [7] L. Pyber, Covering the edges of a connected graph by paths, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 66 (1996), 152–159.