

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Conformal vector fields on f-cosymplectic manifolds

Arpan Sardar^{a,*}, Uday Chand De^b, Young Jin Suh^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics,University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, West Bengal, India ^bDepartment of Pure Mathematics,University of Calcutta, 35 B. C. Road, Kolkata 700019, West Bengal, India ^cDepartment of Mathematics and RIRCM, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, South Korea

Abstract. In this paper, at first we characterize f-cosymplectic manifolds admitting conformal vector fields. Next, we establish that if a 3-dimensional f-cosymplectic manifold admits a homothetic vector field \mathbf{V} , then either the manifold is of constant sectional curvature $-\tilde{f}$ or, \mathbf{V} is an infinitesimal contact transformation. Furthermore, we also investigate Ricci-Yamabe solitons with conformal vector fields on f-cosymplectic manifolds. At last, two examples are constructed to validate our outcomes.

1. Introduction

A vector field V on a Riemannian manifold satisfying the equation

$$\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}q = 2\sigma q,\tag{1}$$

 σ being a smooth function and £ is the Lie-derivative, is called a conformal vector field. If **V** is not Killing, it is termed as non-trivial. If σ vanishes, then the conformal vector field **V** is named Killing. **V** is called homothetic, if σ is constant. A finite dimensional Lie algebra is formed by the set of all proper conformal vector field and all Killing vector fields on a manifold. Although homothetic vector fields form a group, the Lie algebra structure does not. conformal vector field have been studied by many authors such as ([10]-[13], [17], [21]-[23]) and many others.

Killing, conformal and homothetic vector fields have wide applications in differential geometry as well as in mathematical physics.

If *r*, *R*, *S* indicate the scalar curvature, the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor, respectively, then the conformal vector field **V** satisfies the following relations [32]:

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\nabla)(U_1, V_1) = (U_1\sigma)V_1 - (V_1\sigma)U_1 - g(U_1, V_1)D\sigma, \tag{2}$$

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{R})(U_{1}, V_{1})W_{1} = g(\nabla_{U_{1}}D\sigma, W_{1})V_{1} - g(\nabla_{V_{1}}D\sigma, W_{1})U_{1} + g(U_{1}, W_{1})\nabla_{V_{1}}D\sigma - g(V_{1}, W_{1})\nabla_{U_{1}}D\sigma,$$
(3)

Received: 02 December 2022; Accepted: 05 January 2023

Communicated by Ljubica Velimirović

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C15; Secondary 53C25, 53E20.

 $[\]textit{Keywords. } f$ -cosymplectic manifolds, conformal vector fields; Homothetic vector fields; Infinitesimal strict contact transformation; Ricci-Yamabe solitons.

^{*} Corresponding author: Arpan Sardar

Email addresses: arpansardar51@gmail.com (Arpan Sardar), uc_de@yahoo.com (Uday Chand De), yjsuh@knu.ac.kr (Young Jin Suh)

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}S)(U_1, V_1) = -(2m - 1)g(\nabla_{U_1}D\sigma, V_1) - (\Delta\sigma)g(U_1, V_1), \tag{4}$$

$$\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}r = -4m(\triangle\sigma) - 2r\sigma \tag{5}$$

for all vector fields U_1 , V_1 , W_1 on \mathbf{N}^{2m+1} , where $D\sigma$ and $\Delta \sigma = divD\sigma$ respectively denote the gradient and Laplacian of σ .

A vector field V satisfying the relation

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{V}}\boldsymbol{\eta} = \rho\boldsymbol{\eta},\tag{6}$$

 ρ being a scalar function, is named an infinitesimal contact transformation. It is named as infinitesimal strict contact transformation, if ρ vanishes identically.

In [21], Sharma and Blair characterized (k, 0)-contact manifolds admitting a non-Killing conformal vector field. Also in 2010, Sharma and Vrancken[23] investigated (k, μ)-contact metric manifolds admitting non-Killing conformal vector field. Very recently, De, Suh and Chaubey[7] studied conformal vector field on almost co-Kähler manifolds. In 2022 [27], Wang investigated almost Kenmotsu (k, μ)'-manifolds with conformal vector field in dimension three.

Guler and Crasmareanu [15] presented the Ricci-Yamabe flow of type (α_1, β_1) , which is a scalar combination of Ricci and Yamabe flow[16]. The Ricci-Yamabe flow is an evolution for the metrics on a semi-Riemannian manifold defined as [15]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2\alpha_1 S(t) + \beta_1 r(t)g(t), \quad g_0 = g(0). \tag{7}$$

A Ricci-Yamabe soliton (in short, RYS) on a Riemannian manifold (\mathbf{N} , q) is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{V}}g + 2\alpha_1 S + (2\lambda_1 - \beta_1 r)g = 0, \tag{8}$$

where £ being Lie-derivative and $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

This soliton turns into

- (i) Ricci soliton if $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\beta_1 = 0$,
- (ii) Yamabe soliton if $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\beta_1 = 1$,
- (iii) Einstein soliton if $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\beta_1 = -1$.

Several authors have studied Ricci solitons, Yamabe solitons and Ricci-Yamabe solitons, including ([8], [9], [24] – [26], [28] – [31]) and many others.

Because of their link to general relativity, there has also been a significant surge in interest in investigating Ricci solitons and their generalizations in many geometrical situations. Recently, in perfect fluid spacetimes, many authors investigated many type of solitons like Ricci solitons [6], gradient Ricci solitons [6], η -Ricci solitons [2], Yamabe solitons [5], gradient η -Einstein solitons([6]), gradient Schouten solitons [6], Ricci-Yamabe solitons ([20], [25]), respectively.

The above studies encourage us to investigate conformal vector field on f-cosymplectic manifolds. Precisely, we establish the following results:

Theorem 1.1. If the Reeb vector field ζ of \mathbb{N}^{2m+1} is a conformal vector field, then \mathbb{N}^{2m+1} is locally the product of a Kähler manifold and an interval or unit circle S^1 and the Reeb vector field ζ is Killing.

Theorem 1.2. If a conformal vector field V in N^{2m+1} is pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field ζ , then grad f is pointwise collinear with ζ .

Theorem 1.3. If a 3-dimensional f-cm admits a homothetic vector field \mathbf{V} , then either the manifold is of constant sectional curvature $-\tilde{f}$ or, \mathbf{V} is an infinitesimal contact transformation.

As a corollary of the above theorem, we have:

Corollary 1.4. If a compact 3-dimensional f-cm without boundary admits a homothetic vector field \mathbf{V} , then either the manifold is of constant sectional curvature $-\tilde{f}$ or, \mathbf{V} is an infinitesimal strict contact transformation.

Theorem 1.5. If a f-cm admits a Ricci-Yamabe soliton, then the soliton vector field is conformal if and only if the manifold is an Einstein manifold.

2. Preliminaries

Let N^{2m+1} be an almost contact manifold (in short, acm) endowed with a triplet of almost contact structure (ϕ, ζ, η) , where ζ is the reeb vector field, ϕ is a (1, 1)-type tensor and η is 1-form, satisfying [3]

$$\phi^2 V_1 = -V_1 + \eta(V_1)\zeta, \quad \eta(\zeta) = 1 \tag{9}$$

for any vector field V_1 and equation (9) immediately reveals that rank(ϕ) = 2m, $\phi(\zeta)$ = 0 and $\eta \circ \phi$ = 0.

If N^{2m+1} admits a Riemannian metric q such that

$$g(\phi U_1, \phi V_1) = g(U_1, V_1) - \eta(U_1)\eta(V_1), \quad g(V_1, \zeta) = \eta(V_1)$$
(10)

for any vector fields U_1 , V_1 , then \mathbf{N}^{2m+1} is named as an almost contact metric manifold (briefly, acmm).

A structure, named *almost complex structure* \mathcal{J} on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ is given as

$$\mathcal{J}(V_1, b\frac{d}{ds}) = (\phi V_1 - b\zeta, \eta(V_1)\frac{d}{ds}),$$

where $(V_1, b\frac{d}{ds})$ indicates a tangent vector on $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, V_1 and $b\frac{d}{ds}$ being tangent to \mathbf{N} and \mathbb{R} respectively. An acmm becomes normal if the structure \mathcal{J} is integrable [19].

Let us define $\Phi(U_1,V_1)=g(\phi U_1,V_1)$ for all $U_1,V_1\in\chi(\mathbf{N})$. Then Φ is called the fundamental 2-form on \mathbf{N} . If the 1-form η and the fundamental 2-form Φ are closed, then an acmm is said to be almost cosymplectic and if the acmm is normal then it is said to be cosymplectic. For a non-zero constant β , an acmm is said to be an almost β -Kenmotsu if η is closed and $d\Phi=2\beta\eta\wedge\Phi$. If $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$, then an acmm is called an almost β -cosymplectic[18]. In 2014, Aktan et. al.[1] extended the notion of almost β -cosymplectic manifold and introduced an almost f-cosymplectic manifold as an acmm such that $d\Phi=2f\eta\wedge\Phi$ and $d\eta=0$ for a smooth function f. If an almost f-cosymplectic manifold is normal, then it is said to be f-cosymplectic manifold (in short, f-cm).

For an acmm we define $h = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \phi$. For a normal f-**cm**, h = 0. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is given by [1]

$$(\nabla_{U_1}\phi)V_1 = f[g(\phi U_1, V_1)\zeta - \eta(V_1)\phi U_1]. \tag{11}$$

On a f-cm \mathbb{N}^{2m+1} , the following relations hold[1]:

$$\nabla_{V_1} \zeta = -f \phi^2 V_1,\tag{12}$$

$$R(U_1, V_1)\zeta = \tilde{f}[\eta(U_1)V_1 - \eta(V_1)U_1],\tag{13}$$

$$Q\zeta = -2m\tilde{f}\zeta,\tag{14}$$

the Ricci operator **Q** is defined by $S(U_1, V_1) = q(\mathbf{Q}U_1, V_1)$ and $\tilde{f} = \zeta f + f^2$.

Lemma 2.1 ([4]). If $\zeta(\tilde{f}) = 0$ in a f-cm, then $\tilde{f} = constant$.

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). If a f-cm with $\zeta(\tilde{f}) = 0$ is compact, then it becomes a β -cosymplectic manifold. In particular, if $\tilde{f} = 0$, then **N** is cosymplectic.

Remark 2.3 ([3]). A cm is locally the product of a Kahler manifold and an interval or unit circle S^1 .

Lemma 2.4 ([4]). For a three-dimensional f-cm, we have

$$QV_1 = (\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})V_1 + (-3\tilde{f} - \frac{r}{2})\eta(V_1)\zeta$$
(15)

and hence

$$S(U_1, V_1) = (\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})g(U_1, V_1) - (3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})\eta(U_1)\eta(V_1).$$
(16)

3. Proof of the Main Results

Proof of the Theorem 1.1.

Let the Reeb vector field ζ be a conformal vector field on \mathbb{N}^{2m+1} . Then equation (1) implies

$$(\pounds_{\zeta}q)(U_1, V_1) = 2\sigma q(U_1, V_1),$$
 (17)

which means that

$$g(\nabla_{U_1}\zeta, V_1) + g(U_1, \nabla_{V_1}\zeta) = 2\sigma g(U_1, V_1). \tag{18}$$

Using (9) and (12) in (18), we have

$$f[g(U_1, V_1) - \eta(U_1)\eta(V_1)] = \sigma g(U_1, V_1). \tag{19}$$

Setting $U_1 = V_1 = \zeta$ in the above equation implies

$$\sigma = 0. (20)$$

Making use of (20) and (19), we get

$$f[q(U_1, V_1) - \eta(U_1)\eta(V_1)] = 0, (21)$$

which means that f = 0. Therefore the manifold becomes a cosymplectic manifold. Hence from Remark 1, we get the result.

Thus the proof is finished.

Proof of the Theorem 1.2.

Suppose $V = b\zeta$, where b is smooth function on N^{2m+1} . Then from (1), we get

$$(\pounds_{b\zeta}g)(U_1,V_1) = 2\sigma g(U_1,V_1),$$
 (22)

which implies

$$q(\nabla_{U_1}b\zeta, V_1) + q(U_1, \nabla_{V_1}b\zeta) = 2\sigma q(U_1, V_1). \tag{23}$$

Using (12) in the above equation gives

$$(U_1b)\eta(V_1) + (V_1b)\eta(U_1) + 2fb[q(U_1, V_1) - \eta(U_1)\eta(V_1)] = 2\sigma q(U_1, V_1). \tag{24}$$

Putting $U_1 = V_1 = \zeta$ in (24) provides

$$\zeta b = \sigma. \tag{25}$$

Contracting (24) entails that

$$bf = \sigma. (26)$$

Again, putting $V_1 = \zeta$ in (24) and using (25) and (26), we get

$$U_1b = bf\eta(U_1),\tag{27}$$

which implies

$$db = bf \eta. (28)$$

Operating *d* on both sides of the previous equation and using Poincare Lemma($d^2 \equiv 0$), we obtain

$$d(bf) \wedge \eta = 0, \tag{29}$$

which means that

$$\frac{b}{2}[(U_1f)\eta(V_1) - (V_1f)\eta(U_1)] + \frac{f}{2}[(U_1b)\eta(V_1) - (V_1b)\eta(U_1)] = 0.$$
(30)

Using (27) in (30) gives

$$b[(U_1f)\eta(V_1) - (V_1f)\eta(U_1)] = 0, (31)$$

which implies

$$(U_1 f) \eta(V_1) = (V_1 f) \eta(U_1). \tag{32}$$

Hence the above equation implies

$$U_1 f = (\zeta f) \eta(U_1), \tag{33}$$

which means that *grad* f is pointwise collinear with ζ .

Hence the result follows.

Proof of the Theorem 1.3. Let the vector field V in N^3 is homothetic. Then

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}q)(U_1, V_1) = 2\sigma q(U_1, V_1),$$
 (34)

where σ is a constant, and from (4) and (5) we get

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}S)(U_1, V_1) = 0 \text{ and } \pounds_{\mathbf{V}}r = -2r\sigma.$$
 (35)

Definition of Lie-derivative infers that

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\boldsymbol{\eta})U_1 = \pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\boldsymbol{\eta}(U_1) - \boldsymbol{\eta}(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}U_1). \tag{36}$$

Equation (34) and (36) together imply

$$\eta(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{V}}\zeta) = -\sigma \quad and \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{V}}\eta)\zeta = \sigma.$$
 (37)

From (15), we obtain

$$S(U_1, V_1) = (\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})g(U_1, V_1) - (3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})\eta(U_1)\eta(V_1).$$
(38)

Now, we take Lie-derivative of the equation (38) along the homothetic vector field V entails that

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}S)(U_{1}, V_{1}) = (\mathbf{V}\tilde{f})[g(U_{1}, V_{1}) - 3\eta(U_{1})\eta(V_{1})] + \frac{1}{2}(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}r)[g(U_{1}, V_{1}) - \eta(U_{1})\eta(V_{1})] + (\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}g)(U_{1}, V_{1}) - (3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})[(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\eta)U_{1}\eta(V_{1}) + (\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\eta)V_{1}\eta(U_{1})].$$
(39)

Using (34) and (35) in (39), we infer

$$-(\mathbf{V}\tilde{f})[g(U_{1},V_{1})-3\eta(U_{1})\eta(V_{1})]$$

$$+(3\tilde{f}+\frac{r}{2})[(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\eta)U_{1}\eta(V_{1})+(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\eta)V_{1}\eta(U_{1})]$$

$$-2\sigma(\tilde{f}+\frac{r}{2})g(U_{1},V_{1})+r\sigma[g(U_{1},V_{1})-\eta(U_{1})\eta(V_{1})]=0.$$

$$(40)$$

Setting $V_1 = \zeta$ in (40) and using (37), we get

$$2(\mathbf{V}\tilde{f})\eta(U_1) - 2\sigma(\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})\eta(U_1) + (3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})[(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\eta)U_1 + \sigma\eta(U_1)] = 0.$$
(41)

Putting $U_1 = \zeta$ in (41) and using (37) entails that

$$\mathbf{V}\tilde{f} = -2\sigma\tilde{f}.\tag{42}$$

From the above two equations, we provide

$$(3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})[(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\boldsymbol{\eta})U_1 - \sigma\boldsymbol{\eta}(U_1)] = 0, \tag{43}$$

which implies either $3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2} = 0$ or, $3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2} \neq 0$.

Case I: If $3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2} = 0$, which means $r = -6\tilde{f}$. Hence (38) implies

$$S(U_1, V_1) = -2\tilde{f}g(U_1, V_1),\tag{44}$$

which is an Einstein manifold. In 3-dimension,

$$R(U_1, V_1)W_1 = S(V_1, W_1)U_1 - S(U_1, W_1)V_1 + g(V_1, W_1)QU_1 -g(U_1, W_1)QV_1 - \frac{r}{2}[g(V_1, W_1)U_1 - g(U_1, W_1)V_1].$$
(45)

In view of (44) and (45), we get

$$R(U_1, V_1)W = -\tilde{f}[g(V_1, W_1)U_1 - g(U_1, W_1)V_1], \tag{46}$$

which means that the manifold is of constant sectional curvature $-\tilde{f}$.

Case II: If $3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2} \neq 0$, then $(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}} \boldsymbol{\eta})U_1 = \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta}(U_1)$. Hence **V** is an infinitesimal contact transformation. Hence the proof is completed.

Proof of the Corollary 1.1. It is well known that a homothetic vector field on a compact manifold with out boundary is Killing[14]. Hence from (41) and (42), and using $\sigma = 0$, we get

$$(3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2})(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}\boldsymbol{\eta})U_1 = 0,$$

which implies either $3\tilde{f} + \frac{r}{2} = 0$ or $(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}} \boldsymbol{\eta}) U_1 = 0$. Therefore the result follows.

Proof of the Theorem 1.4.

Assume that the f-cm \mathbb{N}^{2m+1} admits a RYS with conformal vector field. Then from (8) we have

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}g)(U_1, V_1) + 2\alpha_1 S(U_1, V_1) + (2\lambda - \beta_1 r)g(U_1, V_1) = 0.$$

$$(47)$$

If we take the soliton vector field is conformal, then using (1) in (47), we get

$$\sigma g(U_1, V_1) + \alpha_1 S(U_1, V_1) + (\lambda - \frac{\beta_1}{2} r) g(U_1, V_1) = 0, \tag{48}$$

which implies

$$\alpha_1 S(U_1, V_1) = -(\sigma + \lambda - \frac{\beta_1}{2} r) g(U_1, V_1). \tag{49}$$

Thus, N^{2m+1} is an Einstein manifold.

Again, if we take $\alpha_1 S(U_1, V_1) = -(\alpha_1 + \lambda - \frac{\beta_1}{2}r)g(U_1, V_1)$, then from (47), we get

$$(\pounds_{\mathbf{V}}g)(U_1, V_1) = -2(\psi + \lambda - \frac{\beta_1}{2}r)g(U_1, V_1), \tag{50}$$

where $\psi = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \lambda - \frac{\beta}{2}r)}{\alpha_1}$. This completes the proof.

4. Examples

Example 1. We figure out the manifold $\mathbb{N}^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let

$$z_1 = e^{z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad z_2 = e^{z^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad z_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$
 (51)

are the linearly independent vector fields of $N^3[1]$.

Then

$$[z_1, z_2] = 0, [z_1, z_3] = -2zz_1, [z_2, z_3] = -2zz_2.$$
 (52)

Let g be the Riemannian metric identified by

$$q(z_1, z_1) = q(z_2, z_2) = q(z_3, z_3) = 1$$

and

$$g(z_1, z_2) = g(z_2, z_3) = g(z_1, z_3) = 0.$$

Let η be the one-form defined by $\eta(V_1) = g(V_1, z_3)$ for any vector field V_1 on \mathbb{N}^3 and ϕ be the (1,1)-tensor field defined by

$$\phi z_1 = z_2, \ \phi z_2 = -z_1, \ \phi z_3 = 0.$$

Using the above relations, we acquire

$$\phi^{2}V_{1} = -V_{1} + \eta(V_{1})z_{3}, \ \eta(z_{3}) = 1,$$

$$g(\phi U_{1}, \phi V_{1}) = g(U_{1}, V_{1}) - \eta(U_{1})\eta(V_{1})$$
(53)

for any U_1 , $V_1 \in \chi(\mathbf{N}^3)$. In [1], the authors proved that \mathbf{N}^3 is a f-cm. Using Koszul's formula we get

$$\nabla_{z_1} z_1 = 2z z_3, \ \nabla_{z_1} z_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{z_1} z_3 = -2z z_1,$$

$$\nabla_{z_2} z_1 = 0$$
, $\nabla_{z_2} z_2 = 2zz_3$, $\nabla_{z_2} z_3 = -2zz_2$,

$$\nabla_{z_3} z_1 = 0$$
, $\nabla_{z_3} z_2 = 0$, $\nabla_{z_3} z_3 = 0$.

We can easily reach with the help of the above results

$$R(z_1, z_2)z_3 = 0$$
, $R(z_2, z_3)z_3 = (2 - 4z^2)z_2$, $R(z_1, z_3)z_3 = (2 - 4z^2)z_1$,

$$R(z_1, z_2)z_2 = -4z^2z_1$$
, $R(z_2, z_3)z_2 = (-2 + 4z^2)z_3$, $R(z_1, z_3)z_2 = 0$,

$$R(z_1, z_2)z_1 = 4z^2z_2$$
, $R(z_2, z_3)z_1 = 0$, $R(z_1, z_3)z_1 = (-2 + 4z^2)z_3$

and

$$S(z_1, z_1) = S(z_2, z_2) = 2 - 8z^2$$
, $S(z_3, z_3) = 4 - 8z^2$.

We find $r = 8(1 - 3z^2)$, from the above results.

Let $\mathbf{V} = (x+y)e^{-z^2}z_1 + (-x+y)e^{-z^2}z_2$, $\lambda = -\frac{2}{3}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$. By direct computations equation (47) holds. Hence \mathbf{N}^3 defines a Ricci-Yamabe soliton.

Example 2. We figure out the manifold $\mathbf{N}^5 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5\}$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^5 . Let

$$z_1=x_5\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\quad z_2=x_5\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},\quad z_3=-\frac{1}{x_5^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3},\quad z_4=-\frac{1}{x_5^3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4},\quad z_5=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}$$

are the linearly independent vector fields of $N^5[1]$. Therefore,

$$[z_5, z_1] = \frac{1}{x_5}z_1, \ [z_5, z_2] = \frac{1}{x_5}z_2, \ [z_5, z_3] = -\frac{3}{x_5}z_3, \ [z_5, z_4] = -\frac{3}{x_5}z_4.$$

The Riemannian metric g is defined by

$$g(z_i, z_j) = 1, i = j$$

$$0, i \neq j$$

Let η be the one-form defined by $\eta(V_1) = g(V_1, z_5)$ for any vector field V_1 on \mathbb{N}^5 and ϕ be the (1,1)-tensor field defined by

$$\phi z_1 = z_3$$
, $\phi z_2 = z_4$, $\phi z_3 = -z_1$, $\phi z_4 = -z_2$, $\phi z_5 = 0$.

Using the above relations, we acquire

$$\phi^{2}V_{1} = -V_{1} + \eta(V_{1})z_{5}, \ \eta(z_{5}) = 1,$$

$$g(\phi U_{1}, \phi V_{1}) = g(U_{1}, V_{1}) - \eta(U_{1})\eta(V_{1})$$
(54)

for any U_1 , $V_1 \in \chi(\mathbf{N}^5)$. In [1], the authors proved that \mathbf{N}^5 is a f-cm with $f = \frac{1}{x_5}$. Using Koszul's formula we get

$$\nabla_{z_1}z_1 = \frac{1}{x_5}z_5, \ \nabla_{z_1}z_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{z_1}z_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{z_1}z_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{z_1}z_5 = -\frac{1}{x_5}z_1,$$

$$\nabla_{z_{2}}z_{1} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{2}}z_{2} = \frac{1}{x_{5}}z_{5}, \ \nabla_{z_{2}}z_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{2}}z_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{2}}z_{5} = -\frac{1}{x_{5}}z_{2},$$

$$\nabla_{z_{3}}z_{1} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{3}}z_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{3}}z_{3} = -\frac{3}{x_{5}}z_{5}, \ \nabla_{z_{3}}z_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{3}}z_{5} = \frac{3}{x_{5}}z_{3},$$

$$\nabla_{z_{4}}z_{1} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{4}}z_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{4}}z_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{4}}z_{4} = -\frac{3}{x_{5}}z_{5}, \ \nabla_{z_{4}}z_{5} = \frac{3}{x_{5}}z_{4},$$

$$\nabla_{z_{5}}z_{1} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{5}}z_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{5}}z_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{5}}z_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{z_{5}}z_{5} = 0.$$

We can easily reach with the help of the above results

$$R(z_{1}, z_{2})z_{2} = -\frac{1}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{1}, \ R(z_{1}, z_{3})z_{3} = \frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{1}, \ R(z_{1}, z_{4})z_{4} = \frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{1}, \ R(z_{1}, z_{5})z_{5} = -\frac{2}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{1},$$

$$R(z_{1}, z_{2})z_{1} = \frac{1}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{2}, \ R(z_{1}, z_{3})z_{1} = -\frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{3}, \ R(z_{1}, z_{4})z_{1} = -\frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{4}, \ R(z_{1}, z_{5})z_{1} = \frac{2}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{5},$$

$$R(z_{2}, z_{3})z_{3} = \frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{2}, \ R(z_{2}, z_{4})z_{4} = \frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{2}, \ R(z_{2}, z_{5})z_{5} = -\frac{2}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{2}, \ R(z_{3}, z_{4})z_{4} = -\frac{9}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{3},$$

$$R(z_{3}, z_{5})z_{5} = -\frac{6}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{3}, \ R(z_{4}, z_{5})z_{5} = -\frac{6}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{4}, \ R(z_{2}, z_{5})z_{2} = \frac{2}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{5}, \ R(z_{4}, z_{5})z_{4} = \frac{6}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{5},$$

$$R(z_{3}, z_{5})z_{3} = \frac{6}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{5}, \ R(z_{5}, z_{3})z_{5} = \frac{6}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{3}, \ R(z_{2}, z_{4})z_{2} = -\frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{4}, \ R(z_{2}, z_{3})z_{2} = -\frac{3}{x_{5}^{2}}z_{3}.$$

and

$$S(z_1, z_1) = S(z_2, z_2) = \frac{3}{x_5^2}, \ S(z_3, z_3) = S(z_4, z_4) = -\frac{9}{x_5^2}, \ S(z_5, z_5) = -\frac{16}{x_5^2}.$$

Hence,

$$r = S(z_1, z_1) + S(z_2, z_2) + S(z_3, z_3) + S(z_4, z_4) + S(z_5, z_5) = -\frac{28}{x_5^2}.$$

Let $\mathbf{V} = 3x_1z_1 + 3x_2z_2 + x_5^4x_3z_3 + x_5^4x_4z_4 + x_5^2z_5$ and $\sigma = 2x_5$. By direct computations equation (1) holds. Hence \mathbf{N}^5 defines a conformal vector field.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Referee and the Editor for reviewing the paper carefully and their valuable comments to improve the quality of the paper. Arpan Sardar is financially supported by UGC, Ref. ID. 4603/(CSIR-UGCNETJUNE2019) and Young Jin Suh was supprted by the grant NRF-2018-R1D1A1B-05040381 from National Research Foundation of Korea.

References

- [1] Aktan, N., Yildirim, M. and Murathan, C., Almost f-cosymplectic manifolds, Mediterr. J. Math., 11 (2014), 775-787.
- Blaga, A. M., Solitons and geometrical structures in a perfect fluid spacetime, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 50 (2020), 41-53
- [3] Blair, D. E., Riemannian Geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, 203 (2010), Birkhäuser, New work.
- [4] Chen, X., Notes on Ricci solitons in f-cosymplectic manifolds, J. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 13 (2017), 242-253.
- [5] De, U. C., Chaubey, S. K. and Shenawy, S., *Perfect fluid spacetimes and Yamabe solitons*, J. Math. Phys., **62**(2021), 032501. [6] De, U. C., Mantica, C. A. and Suh, Y. J., *Perfect Fluid Spacetimes and Gradient Solitons*, Filomat, **36**(2022), 829-842.
- [7] De, U. C., Suh, Y. J. and Chaubey, S. K., Conformal vector fields on almost co-Kähler manifolds, Math. Slovaca, 71(2021), 1545-1552.
- [8] De, U. C., Chaubey, S. K. and Shenawy, S., Perfect fluid spacetimes and Yamabe solitons, Journal of Mathematical Physics. 2021 Mar 1;62(3):032501.
- [9] De, U. C., Sardar, A. and, De, K., Ricci-Yamabe solitons and 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 46(2022), 1078-88.
- [10] Deshmukh, S., Conformal vector fields and eigen vectors of Laplace operator, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 15(2012), 163–172.
- [11] Deshmukh, S., Geometry of conformal vector fields, Arab. J. Math. Sci., 23(2017), 44–73.
- [12] Deshmukh, S. and Al-Solamy, F., A note on conformal vector fields on a Riemannian manifold, Colloq. Math., 136 (2014), 65–73.
- [13] Deshmukh, S. and Al-Solamy, F., Conformal vector fields and conformal transformation on a Riemannian manifold, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **17**(2012), 9–16.
- [14] Duggal, K. L. and Sharma, R., Symmetries of spacetimes and Riemannina manifolds, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1999.
- [15] Guler, S. and Crasmareanu, M., Ricci-Yamabe maps for Riemannian flow and their volume variation and volume entropy, Turk. J. Math., **43**(2019), 2631-2641.
- [16] Hamilton, R. S., The Ricci flow on surfaces, Mathematics and general relativity, Contemp. Math., 71(1998), 237-262.
- [17] Obata, M., Conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds, J. Diff. Geom., 4(1970), 311–333.
- [18] Ozturk, H., Aktan, N. and Murathan, C., Almost α -cosymplectic (k, μ, v) -spaces, arXiv: 1007.0527v1, 24 pp.
- [19] Sasaki, S. and Hatakeyama, Y., On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure, II, Tohoku Math. J., 13(1961), 281-294.
- [20] Siddiqi, M. D. and De, U. C., Relativistic perfect fluid spacetimes and Ricci-Yamabe solitons, Letters Math. Phys., 2022.
- [21] Sharma, R. and Blair, D. E., Conformal motion of contact manifolds with characteristic vector field in the k-nullity distribution, Illinois J. Math., 40(1996), 553-563.
- [22] Sharma, R., Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on almost Hermitian manifolds, Contemp. Math., 337(2003), 145-154.
- [23] Sharma, R. and Vrancken, L., Conformal classification of (k, μ)-contact manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 33(2010), 267-282.
- [24] Sharma, R., A 3-dimensional Sasakian metric as a Yamabe soliton, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics. 2012 Jun 4:9(04):1220003.
- [25] Singh, J. P. and Khatri, M., On Ricci-Yamabe soliton and geometrical structure in a perfect fluid spacetimes, Afrika Mathematica, 32(2021), 1645-1656.
- [26] Venkatesha, V., Naik DM., Yamabe solitons on 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds with $Q\phi = \phi Q$, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 16(2019), 1950039.
- [27] Wang, Y., Almost Kenmotsu $(k, \mu)'$ -manifolds of dimension three and conformal vector fields, Int. J.Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 19, no. 4, (2022),2250054 (9 pages).
- [28] Wang, Y., Ricci solitons on almost co-Kähler manifolds, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 62(2019), 912-922.
- [29] Wang, Y., Ricci solitons on 3-dimensional cosymplectic manifolds, Mathematica Slovaca, 67(2017), 979-984.
- [30] Wang, Y., Almost Kenmotsu $(k, \mu)'$ -manifolds with Yamabe solitons, RACSAM, 115(2021), 14.
- [31] Wang, Y., Yamabe solitons on three-dimensional Kenmotsu manifolds, Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin, 23(2016), 345-55.
- [32] Yano, K., Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.