



A degree condition for graphs being fractional (a, b, k)-critical covered

Xiangyang Lv^a

^aSchool of Economics and management, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212100, China

Abstract. A graph G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered if for any $e \in E(G)$, G possesses a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor including e . A graph G is fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered if $G - Q$ is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered for any $Q \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Q| = k$. In this paper, we verify that a graph G of order n is fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered if $n \geq \frac{(a+b)((2r-3)a+b+r-2)+bk+2}{b}$, $\delta(G) \geq (r-1)(a+1) + k$ and

$$\max\{d_G(w_1), d_G(w_2), \dots, d_G(w_r)\} \geq \frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b}$$

for every independent vertex subset $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$ of G . Our main result is an improvement of the previous result [S. Zhou, Y. Xu, Z. Sun, Degree conditions for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs, Information Processing Letters 152(2019)105838].

1. Introduction

A large number of real-world networks can be modelled by graphs. The nodes in the networks are represented by the vertices in the graphs, and the links between the nodes are represented by the edges in the graphs. Hence, the term *network* can be replaced by *graph*.

Consequently, we may convert the problem of network into the problem of graph theory. In data transmission network, we always require the network as a whole to meet pre-setting transmission requirements, especially when some nodes do not work properly due to failure or being under attacked for some unexpected reasons, the entire network is unobstructed. From the standpoint of graph theory, the transmission problem of data packets within a certain range in the network is equivalent to the existence of fractional $[a, b]$ -factor in a graph. Besides, the smooth procedure transmission under the conditions that some nodes cannot work and some links must work is equivalent to the existence of fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graph. The degree condition of graph is often used to measure the vulnerability and robustness of network, which is a much important parameter in network data transmission and network design, etc.

In this paper, we only discuss the simple graph. Let G be a graph. The vertex set and edge set of G are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. For $w \in V(G)$, we use $d_G(w)$ and $N_G(w)$ to denote the degree and neighborhood of w in G , respectively. Set $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(w) : w \in V(G)\}$ and $N_G[w] = N_G(w) \cup \{w\}$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C70, 90B99

Keywords. graph; degree condition; fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graph.

Received: 24 May 2022; Accepted: 15 July 2022

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

Email address: xiangyanglv@yeah.net (Xiangyang Lv)

For $Q \subseteq V(G)$, we write $G[Q]$ for the subgraph of G induced by Q , and set $G - Q = G[V(G) \setminus Q]$. A subset $Q \subseteq V(G)$ is independent if $G[Q]$ does not possess edges.

Let $b \geq a \geq 0$ be integers and h be a function with $0 \leq h(e) \leq 1$ for each $e \in E(G)$. If $a \leq \sum_{e \ni x} h(e) \leq b$ for every $x \in V(G)$, then we call $G[F_h]$ a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor of G with indicator function h , where $F_h = \{e \in E(G) : h(e) > 0\}$. A graph G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered if for any $e \in E(G)$, G admits a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor $G[F_h]$ with $h(e) = 1$. A graph G is fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered if $G - Q$ is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered for any $Q \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Q| = k$, which is defined by Zhou, Xu and Sun [27]. If $h(e) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $e \in E(G)$, then $G[F_h]$ is just an $[a, b]$ -factor of G .

Many results on factors of graphs were derived by Matsuda [8], Li and Cai [4], Li [3], Cymer and Kano [2], Yashima [12], Zhou, Bian and Pan [21], Zhou, Sun and Liu [24], Zhou [18–20], Zhou, Wu and Bian [25], Zhou, Wu and Xu [26], Zhou and Liu [22], Wang and Zhang [9], Yan and Liu [11]. Many results on fractional factors of graphs were obtained by Liu and Zhang [6, 7], Cai, Wang and Yan [1], Wang and Zhang [10], Zhou [17], Zhou, Liu and Xu [23], Yuan and Hao [14, 15]. A fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graph was studied by Yuan and Hao [13], and they posed a degree condition for the existence of fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graphs. Zhou [16] posed a neighborhood condition for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs. Zhou, Xu and Sun [27] improved and generalised Yuan and Hao’s previous result, and verified a degree condition for the existence of fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs which is shown in the following.

Theorem 1 ([27]). Let a, b and k be integers with $k \geq 0, a \geq 1$ and $b \geq \max\{2, a\}$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-1)+bk+3}{b}$. If $\delta(G) \geq a + k + 1$ and

$$\max\{d_G(u), d_G(v)\} \geq \frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b}$$

for every pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v of G , then G is fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered.

Next, we also investigate fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs, and gain a new sufficient condition for graphs being fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered. Our main result is an improvement of Theorem 1 and it is given in Section 2.

2. Main result and proof

In this section, we first give the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2. Let k, r, a and b be integers with $k \geq 0, r \geq 2, a \geq 1$ and $b \geq \max\{2, a\}$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(a+b)((2r-3)a+b+r-2)+bk+2}{b}$. If $\delta(G) \geq (r - 1)(a + 1) + k$ and

$$\max\{d_G(w_1), d_G(w_2), \dots, d_G(w_r)\} \geq \frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b} \tag{1}$$

for every independent vertex subset $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$ of G , then G is fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered.

Let n be sufficiently large. Set $r = 2$ in Theorem 2, then we easily see that Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2. Furthermore, we easily derive the following result from Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. Let r, a and b be integers with $r \geq 2, a \geq 1$ and $b \geq \max\{2, a\}$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(a+b)((2r-3)a+b+r-2)+2}{b}$. If $\delta(G) \geq (r - 1)(a + 1)$ and

$$\max\{d_G(w_1), d_G(w_2), \dots, d_G(w_r)\} \geq \frac{an + 2}{a + b}$$

for every independent vertex subset $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$ of G , then G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered.

To verify Theorem 2, we need the following theorem which was derived by Li, Yan and Zhang [5].

Theorem 3 ([5]). Let G be a graph, and let $b \geq a \geq 0$ be integers. Then G is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered if and only if

$$\lambda_G(X, Y) = b|X| + d_{G-X}(Y) - a|Y| \geq \varepsilon(X)$$

for every subset X of $V(G)$, where $Y = \{w \in V(G) \setminus X, d_{G-X}(w) \leq a\}$ and $\varepsilon(X)$ is defined by

$$\varepsilon(X) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } X \text{ is not independent,} \\ 1, & \text{if } X \text{ is independent and there is an edge joining } X \text{ and } V(G) \setminus (X \cup Y), \text{ or} \\ & \text{there is an edge } e = uv \text{ joining } X \text{ and } Y \text{ with } d_{G-X}(v) = a \text{ for } v \in Y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $H = G - Q$ for $Q \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Q| = k$. Note that $\delta(G) \geq (r - 1)(a + 1) + k$. Hence,

$$\delta(H) = \delta(G - Q) \geq \delta(G) - k \geq (r - 1)(a + 1). \tag{2}$$

To verify Theorem 2, it suffices to claim that H is fractional $[a, b]$ -covered. Assume that H is not fractional $[a, b]$ -covered. Then it follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\lambda_H(X, Y) = b|X| + d_{H-X}(Y) - a|Y| \leq \varepsilon(X) - 1 \tag{3}$$

for some $X \subseteq V(H)$, where $Y = \{w \in V(H) \setminus X : d_{H-X}(w) \leq a\}$.

Claim 1. $Y \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $Y = \emptyset$, then

$$\lambda_H(X, \emptyset) = b|X| \geq |X| \geq \varepsilon(X),$$

which contradicts (3). Therefore, $Y \neq \emptyset$. □

Note that $Y \neq \emptyset$ by Claim 1. Thus, we may construct a sequence w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t of vertices of Y . Define

$$\beta_1 = \min\{d_{H-X}(w) : w \in Y\}$$

and select $w_1 \in Y$ with $d_{H-X}(w_1) = \beta_1$. If $t \geq 2$ and $Y \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} N_Y[w_i]\right) \neq \emptyset$, then we define

$$\beta_t = \min\left\{d_{H-X}(w) : w \in Y \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} N_Y[w_i]\right)\right\}$$

and select $w_t \in Y \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} N_Y[w_i]\right)$ with $d_{H-X}(w_t) = \beta_t$, $2 \leq t \leq r$. Obviously, $0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_t \leq a$ holds, and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t\} \subseteq Y$ is independent.

Claim 2. $\beta_1 \leq a - 1$.

Proof. Assume that $\beta_1 = a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_H(X, Y) &= b|X| + d_{H-X}(Y) - a|Y| \\ &\geq b|X| + \beta_1|Y| - a|Y| = b|X| \geq |X| \geq \varepsilon(X), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3). Hence, $\beta_1 \leq a - 1$. □

Claim 3. $|Y| \geq (r - 1)(b + 1)$.

Proof. Note that $|X| + \beta_1 = |X| + d_{H-X}(w_1) \geq d_H(w_1) \geq \delta(H) \geq (r - 1)(a + 1)$ by (2). Thus, we get

$$|X| \geq (r - 1)(a + 1) - \beta_1. \tag{4}$$

Let $|Y| \leq (r - 1)(b + 1) - 1$. Then it follows from (4), $a - \beta_1 \geq 0$, $r \geq 2$, $b \geq \max\{2, a\}$ and $\varepsilon(X) \leq 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_H(X, Y) &= b|X| + d_{H-X}(Y) - a|Y| \geq b|X| + \beta_1|Y| - a|Y| \\ &= b|X| - (a - \beta_1)|Y| \geq b((r - 1)(a + 1) - \beta_1) - (a - \beta_1)((r - 1)(b + 1) - 1) \\ &= (b - a)(r - 1) + (b + 1)(r - 2)\beta_1 + a \geq (b - a)(r - 1) + a \\ &\geq (b - a) + a = b \geq 2 \geq \varepsilon(X), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3). Hence, $|Y| \geq (r - 1)(b + 1)$. □

Claim 4. There exists an independent subset $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$ of Y .

Proof. Note that $d_{H-X}(w_1) = \beta_1 \leq a - 1$ by Claim 2. Thus, $d_{H-X}(w) \leq a$ for any $w \in Y \setminus \{w_1\}$. Then using Claim 3, we can take above independent subset $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\} \subseteq Y$ for $t = r$. □

From Claim 4 and the assumption of Theorem 2, we admit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b} &\leq \max\{d_G(w_1), d_G(w_2), \dots, d_G(w_r)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d_{G-Q}(w_1), d_{G-Q}(w_2), \dots, d_{G-Q}(w_r)\} + |Q| \\ &= \max\{d_H(w_1), d_H(w_2), \dots, d_H(w_r)\} + k \\ &\leq \max\{d_{H-X}(w_1), d_{H-X}(w_2), \dots, d_{H-X}(w_r)\} + |X| + k \\ &= \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\} + |X| + k \\ &= \beta_r + |X| + k, \end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$|X| \geq \frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - \beta_r. \tag{5}$$

Claim 5. $|X| < \frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b}$.

Proof. It follows from (3), $\varepsilon(X) \leq 2$ and $|X| + |Y| + k \leq n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &> \varepsilon(X) - 1 \geq \lambda_H(X, Y) = b|X| + d_{H-X}(Y) - a|Y| \\ &\geq b|X| - a|Y| \geq b|X| - a(n - k - |X|) \\ &= (a + b)|X| - an + ak, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $|X| < \frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b}$. □

By (5), Claim 5 and the integrity of β_r , we admit

$$\beta_r \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

We easily see that

$$|N_Y[w_i]| - \left| N_Y[w_i] \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} N_Y[w_j] \right) \right| \geq 1 \tag{7}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq r - 1$, and

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^i N_Y[w_j] \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^i |N_Y[w_j]| \leq \sum_{j=1}^i (d_{H-X}(w_j) + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^i (\beta_j + 1) \tag{8}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

From (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), $|X| + |Y| + k \leq n$, $\varepsilon(X) \leq 2$ and $0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \beta_r \leq a$, we admit

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \varepsilon(X) - 1 \geq \lambda_H(X, Y) = b|X| + d_{H-X}(Y) - a|Y| \\ &\geq b|X| + \beta_1|N_Y[w_1]| + \beta_2(|N_Y[w_2]| - |N_Y[w_2] \cap N_Y[w_1]|) + \dots + \\ &\quad \beta_{r-1}(|N_Y[w_{r-1}]| - |N_Y[w_{r-1}] \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r-2} N_Y[w_i] \right)|) + \beta_r(|Y| - \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} N_Y[w_i] \right|) - a|Y| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq b|X| + (\beta_1 - \beta_r)|N_Y[w_1]| + \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} \beta_i - \beta_r \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} |N_Y[w_i]| - (a - \beta_r)|Y| \\
 &\geq b|X| + (\beta_1 - \beta_r)(\beta_1 + 1) + \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} \beta_i - \beta_r \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} (\beta_i + 1) - (a - \beta_r)|Y| \\
 &= b|X| + \beta_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_i - \beta_r \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (\beta_i + 1) - (a - \beta_r)|Y| \\
 &\geq b|X| + \beta_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_i - \beta_r \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (\beta_i + 1) - (a - \beta_r)(n - k - |X|) \\
 &= (a + b - \beta_r)|X| + \beta_1^2 - (\beta_r - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_i - \beta_r(r - 1) - (a - \beta_r)(n - k) \\
 &\geq (a + b - \beta_r)\left(\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - \beta_r\right) + \beta_1^2 - (r - 1)(\beta_r - 1)\beta_r \\
 &\quad - (r - 1)\beta_r - (a - \beta_r)(n - k) \\
 &\geq (a + b - \beta_r)\left(\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - \beta_r\right) - (r - 1)(\beta_r - 1)\beta_r \\
 &\quad - (r - 1)\beta_r - (a - \beta_r)(n - k) \\
 &\geq (a + b - \beta_r)\left(\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - \beta_r\right) - (r - 1)\beta_r^2 - (a - \beta_r)(n - k),
 \end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$(a + b - \beta_r)\left(\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - \beta_r\right) - (r - 1)\beta_r^2 - (a - \beta_r)(n - k) - 1 \leq 0. \tag{9}$$

Let $\varphi(\beta_r) = (a + b - \beta_r)\left(\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - \beta_r\right) - (r - 1)\beta_r^2 - (a - \beta_r)(n - k) - 1$. Using $r \geq 2$, $1 \leq \beta_r \leq a$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)((2r-3)a+b+r-2)+bk+2}{b}$, we admit

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi'(\beta_r) &= -\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} + \beta_r - a - b + \beta_r - 2(r - 1)\beta_r + n - k \\
 &= \frac{bn - bk - 2}{a + b} - 2(r - 2)\beta_r - a - b \\
 &\geq \frac{bn - bk - 2}{a + b} - 2(r - 2)a - a - b \\
 &= \frac{bn - bk - 2}{a + b} - (2r - 3)a - b \\
 &\geq (2r - 3)a + b + r - 2 - (2r - 3)a - b \\
 &= r - 2 \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\varphi(\beta_r)$ attains its minimum value at $\beta_r = 1$ by (6). From (9), $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b)((2r-3)a+b+r-2)+bk+2}{b}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\geq \varphi(\beta_r) \geq \varphi(1) = (a + b - 1)\left(\frac{an - ak + 2}{a + b} - 1\right) - (r - 1) - (a - 1)(n - k) - 1 \\
 &= \frac{bn - bk - 2}{a + b} - a - b - r + 3 \\
 &\geq (2r - 3)a + b + r - 2 - a - b - r + 3 \\
 &= 2(r - 2)a + 1 \geq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Theorem 2 is verified. □

3. Remark

The condition (1) in Theorem 2 is best possible. It cannot be replaced by

$$\max\{d_G(w_1), d_G(w_2), \dots, d_G(w_r)\} \geq \frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b} - 1,$$

which is claimed by constructing a graph $G = K_{amr+k} \vee (bmr + 1)K_1$, where m is a sufficiently large positive integer, and $k \geq 0$, $r \geq 2$, $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq \max\{3, a\}$ are integers. Then $n = |V(K_{amr+k})| + |V((bmr + 1)K_1)| = (a + b)mr + k + 1$ and

$$\frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b} > \max\{d_G(w_1), d_G(w_2), \dots, d_G(w_r)\} = amr + k > \frac{an + bk + 2}{a + b} - 1$$

for any $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\} \subseteq V((bmr + 1)K_1)$. Set $H = K_{amr} \vee (bmr + 1)K_1$. We easily see that H is not fractional $[a, b]$ -covered since $b|V(K_{amr})| < a|V((bmr + 1)K_1)|$ holds. Note $H = G - Q$, where $Q \subseteq V(K_{amr+k})$ with $|Q| = k$. Hence, G is not fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] J. Cai, X. Wang, G. Yan, A note on the existence of fractional f -factors in random graphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series* 30(3) (2014) 677–680.
- [2] R. Cymer, M. Kano, Generalizations of Marriage Theorem for degree factors, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 32 (2016) 2315–2322.
- [3] J. Li, On neighborhood condition for graphs to have $[a, b]$ -factors, *Discrete Mathematics* 260 (2003) 217–221.
- [4] Y. Li, M. Cai, A degree condition for a graph to have $[a, b]$ -factors, *Journal of Graph Theory* 27 (1998) 1–6.
- [5] Z. Li, G. Yan, X. Zhang, On fractional (g, f) -covered graphs, *OR Transactions (China)* 6(4) (2002) 65–68.
- [6] G. Liu, L. Zhang, Characterizations of maximum fractional (g, f) -factors of graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 156 (2008) 2293–2299.
- [7] G. Liu, L. Zhang, Toughness and the existence of fractional k -factors of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 308 (2008) 1741–1748.
- [8] H. Matsuda, A neighborhood condition for graphs to have $[a, b]$ -factors, *Discrete Mathematics* 224 (2000) 289–292.
- [9] S. Wang, W. Zhang, On k -orthogonal factorizations in networks, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 55(2) (2021) 969–977.
- [10] S. Wang, W. Zhang, Research on fractional critical covered graphs, *Problems of Information Transmission* 56(3) (2020) 270–277.
- [11] J. Yan, G. Liu, On 2-factors with cycles containing specified edges in a bipartite graph, *Discrete Mathematics* 309 (2009) 1112–1117.
- [12] T. Yashima, Binding number, minimum degree and (g, f) -factors of graphs, *Contributions to Discrete Mathematics* 13(2) (2018) 137–141.
- [13] Y. Yuan, R. Hao, A degree condition for fractional $[a, b]$ -covered graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 143 (2019) 20–23.
- [14] Y. Yuan, R. Hao, A neighborhood union condition for fractional ID- $[a, b]$ -factor-critical graphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series* 34(4) (2018) 775–781.
- [15] Y. Yuan, R. Hao, Independence number, connectivity and all fractional (a, b, k) -critical graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 39 (2019) 183–190.
- [16] S. Zhou, A result on fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series* 37(4) (2021) 657–664.
- [17] S. Zhou, Binding numbers and restricted fractional (g, f) -factors in graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 305 (2021) 350–356.
- [18] S. Zhou, Degree conditions and path factors with inclusion or exclusion properties, *Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie*, *Accept*.
- [19] S. Zhou, Path factors and neighborhoods of independent sets in graphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series*, DOI: 10.1007/s10255-022-1096-2
- [20] S. Zhou, Remarks on restricted fractional (g, f) -factors in graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, DOI: 10.1016/j.dam.2022.07.020
- [21] S. Zhou, Q. Bian, Q. Pan, Path factors in subgraphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 319 (2022) 183–191.
- [22] S. Zhou, H. Liu, Discussions on orthogonal factorizations in digraphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series* 38(2) (2022) 417–425.
- [23] S. Zhou, H. Liu, Y. Xu, A note on fractional ID- $[a, b]$ -factor-critical covered graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 319 (2022) 511–516.
- [24] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, H. Liu, On $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor deleted graphs, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series* 38(1) (2022) 178–186.
- [25] S. Zhou, J. Wu, Q. Bian, On path-factor critical deleted (or covered) graphs, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 96(4) (2022) 795–802.
- [26] S. Zhou, J. Wu, Y. Xu, Toughness, isolated toughness and path factors in graphs, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 106(2) (2022) 195–202.
- [27] S. Zhou, Y. Xu, Z. Sun, Degree conditions for fractional (a, b, k) -critical covered graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 152 (2019) 105838.