



Orlicz-Lacunary bicomplex sequence spaces of difference operators

Kuldip Raj^a, Ayhan Esi^b, Charu Sharma^a

^aSchool of Mathematics Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J & K, India
^bMalatya Turgut Ozal University, Dept. of Basic Eng.Sci. (Math.Section) 44100, Malatya, Türkiye

Abstract. In the present paper we introduce and study some lacunary difference bicomplex sequence spaces by means of Orlicz functions. We make an effort to study some algebraic and topological properties of these sequence spaces. We also show that these spaces are complete paranormed spaces. Further, some inclusion relations between these spaces and some interesting examples are established. Finally, we prove some results on modified complex Banach Algebra in the third section of the paper.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The algebra of bicomplex numbers is a generalization of the field of complex numbers. In [12] Luna-Elizarrarás and Shapiro have described how to define elementary functions in such an algebra as well as their inverse functions. They also emphasized the deep similarities between the properties of complex and bicomplex numbers. The bicomplex numbers were apparently first introduced in 1892 by Segre [20] that the origin of their function theory is due to the Italian school of Scorza-Dragoni and that a first theory of differentiability in bicomplex numbers was developed by Price in [13]. The set of bicomplex numbers are denoted by \mathbb{C}_2 and defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}_2 &= \{a_1 + ia_2 + ja_3 + ija_4 : a_k \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq k \leq 4\} \\ &= \{z_1 + jz_2 : z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}\},\end{aligned}$$

where i and j are commuting imaginary units that is, $ij = ji, i^2 = j^2 = -1$ and \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers with the imaginary unit i . The set of bicomplex numbers \mathbb{C}_2 have exactly two non-trivial idempotent elements which are denoted by e_1 and e_2 defined as $e_1 = (1 + ij)/2$ and $e_2 = (1 - ij)/2$. Note that $e_1 + e_2 = 1$ and $e_1.e_2 = 0$. The number $\eta = z_1 + jz_2$ can uniquely expressed as a complex combination of e_1 and e_2 (see [18]).

$$\eta = z_1 + jz_2 = {}^1\eta e_1 + {}^2\eta e_2, \tag{1}$$

where ${}^1\eta = z_1 - iz_2$ and ${}^2\eta = z_1 + iz_2$. The complex coefficients ${}^1\eta$ and ${}^2\eta$ are called the idempotent components of η and ${}^1\eta e_1 + {}^2\eta e_2$ is known as idempotent representation of bicomplex number η . In [18], the auxiliary complex spaces \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_2 are defined as

$$\mathbb{A}_1 = \{{}^1\eta : \eta \in \mathbb{C}_2\} \text{ and } \mathbb{A}_2 = \{{}^2\eta : \eta \in \mathbb{C}_2\}.$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40A05; Secondary 40A30

Keywords. Orlicz function, paranorm space, lacunary sequence spaces, bicomplex sequence spaces, solidity

Received: 24 March 2022; Revised: 23 July 2022; Accepted: 24 July 2022

Communicated by Eberhard Malkowsky

Email addresses: kuldipraj68@gmail.com (Kuldip Raj), aesi23@hotmail.com (Ayhan Esi), charu145.cs@gmail.com (Charu Sharma)

Also, the norm in \mathbb{C}_2 is defined as follows:

$$\|\eta\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2} = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} = \sqrt{\frac{|^1\eta|^2 + |^2\eta|^2}{2}} \tag{2}$$

The space $(\mathbb{C}_2, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space by the norm defined in (2). By ω_4, c, c_0 and ℓ_∞ we denote the classes of all bicomplex sequences, convergent sequences, null sequences and all bounded sequences, respectively. Let $p = \{p_k\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $\{p_k^{-1}\} = \{t_k\}$. The set of all real numbers and the set of all natural numbers are denoted by \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} , respectively.

In 1971 Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [11] first investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in detail with certain aims in Banach space theory. An Orlicz function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous, non-decreasing and convex function such that $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Now by using the idea of Orlicz function, we define the following sequence space on bicomplex numbers:

$$\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M = \left\{ \eta = \{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

which is known as an Orlicz \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence space. The space $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ is a Banach space with the norm,

$$\|\eta\|_M = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

A sequence $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak-Orlicz function. A Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition if there exist constants $a, K > 0$ and a sequence $c = (c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_+^1$ (the positive cone of ℓ^1) such that the inequality

$$M_k(2u) \leq KM_k(u) + c_k$$

hold for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$, whenever $M_k(u) \leq a$.

Many authors studied the bicomplex sequence spaces and their property in details. Recently, Değirmen and Sağır [2] studied different bicomplex $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^p$ spaces. They proved that spaces $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^p$ are Banach \mathbb{C}_2 -module for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and the spaces $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^p$ are p -Banach \mathbb{C}_2 -module for $0 < p < 1$. Now we study some more results on bicomplex sequence spaces $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$.

Theorem 1.1. *The Orlicz \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence space is convex.*

Proof. Suppose $\{\eta_k\}, \{\xi_k\} \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M, \rho = \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\lambda\eta_k + (1 - \lambda)\xi_k\|_M &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\lambda\eta_k + (1 - \lambda)\xi_k\|}{\rho}\right) \\ &\leq K \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\lambda\eta_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|(1 - \lambda)\xi_k\|}{\rho_2}\right) \right] \\ &= K \left[\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) + M(1 - \lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|\xi_k\|}{\rho_2}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lambda\eta_k + (1 - \lambda)\xi_k \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$. \square

Remark : The Orlicz \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence space is not strictly convex. Let us show this by an example. Suppose $\{\eta_k\} = (i, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $\{\xi_k\} = (0, -i, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then, we have

$$\|\eta_k\|_M = \|\xi_k\|_M = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\eta_k + (1 - \lambda)\xi_k\|_M &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\lambda\eta_k + (1 - \lambda)\xi_k\|}{\rho}\right) \\ &= M\left(\|\lambda i\| + \|(1 - \lambda)(-i)\|\right) \\ &= \lambda + (1 - \lambda) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $\rho = 1$, $M(\eta) = \eta$ and for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Here $K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. This implies that the Orlicz \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence space is not strictly convex.

Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be the sequence of positive integers such that $k_0 = 0, 0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then θ is called a lacunary sequence. The intervals determined by θ are denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$.

The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r .

The space of all lacunary strongly convergent sequences $|\omega_\theta|$ was defined by Freedman et al. in [7] as

$$|\omega_\theta| = \left\{x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - \lambda| = 0, \text{ for some } \lambda\right\}. \tag{3}$$

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kızmaz [10], who studied the difference sequence spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta), c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$. The notion was further generalized by Et and Çolak [5] by introducing the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m), c(\Delta^m)$ and $c_0(\Delta^m)$. Later the concept have been studied by Bektaş et al. [1] and Et and Esi [6]. Another type of generalization of the difference sequence spaces is due to Tripathy and Esi [22] who studied the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta_n), c(\Delta_n)$ and $c_0(\Delta_n)$. Recently, Esi et al. [4] and Tripathy et al. [21] have introduced a new type of generalized difference operators and unified those as follows.

If n, m are non-negative integers, then for a given sequence space Z we have

$$Z(\Delta_n^m) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta_n^m x_k) \in Z\}$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and ℓ_∞ where $\Delta_n^m x = (\Delta_n^m x_k) = (\Delta_n^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_n^{m-1} x_{k+1})$ and $\Delta_n^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta_n^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} x_{k+ni}.$$

Taking $n = 1$, we get the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta^m), c(\Delta^m)$ and $c_0(\Delta^m)$ studied by Et and Çolak [5]. Taking $m = n = 1$, we get the spaces $\ell_\infty(\Delta), c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ introduced and studied by Kızmaz [10]. For more details about sequence spaces (see [8], [14], [15], [16], [19]) and references therein.

A sequence space E is said to be solid (or normal) if $\{\alpha_k \eta_k\} \in E$, whenever $\{\eta_k\} \in E$ and for any sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ of complex numbers such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $\{\eta_k\} \in E$ implies $\{\eta_{\pi(k)}\} \in E$, where $\pi(k)$ is a permutation of elements of \mathbb{N} .

A linear metric space (X, d) is a linear space X with a translation invariant metric d on X such that addition and scalar multiplication are continuous in (X, d) .

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm, if

1. $p(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$;
2. $p(-x) = p(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
3. $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
4. if (λ_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) is a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [23] Theorem 10.4.2, pp. 183).

Remark 1.2. Let M be an Orlicz function and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$, $\forall x > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $\theta = (k_r)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be a lacunary sequence. In this paper we define the following lacunary Orlicz \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence spaces:

$$c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) = \left\{ \{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4 : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } L \in \mathbb{C}_2 \right\},$$

$$c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) = \left\{ \{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4 : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) = \left\{ \{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\ell(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) = \left\{ \{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4 : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, r \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Proposition 1.3. Any \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence $\{\eta_k\}$ belongs to $Z(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ if and only if $\{^1\eta_k\} \in Z(\mathbb{A}_1, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\{^2\eta_k\} \in Z(\mathbb{A}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, where $Z = c, c_0, \ell^\infty, \ell$.

Proof. It is easy to prove. For more details one can see ([13], [18]). \square

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 < p_k \leq \sup p_k = H$, $K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, then

$$\|\eta_k + \xi_k\|^{p_k} \leq K(\|\eta_k\|^{p_k} + \|\xi_k\|^{p_k}) \tag{4}$$

for all k and $\{\eta_k\}, \{\xi_k\} \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Also, $\|\eta\|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, \|\eta\|^H\}$, for all $\eta \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

The aim of the paper is to introduce some lacunary difference \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence spaces by using a sequence of Orlicz functions. We investigate some topological properties such as completeness, solidness, symmetric and establish some inclusion relations concerning these spaces in second section of this paper. We make an effort to study some results on modified complex Banach Algebra in the section third of the paper.

2. Lacunary Orlicz \mathbb{C}_2 -sequence spaces

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then the spaces $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are linear spaces over the complex field \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\}$, $\xi = \{\xi_k\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist positive real numbers $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Let $\rho_3 = \max\{2\|\alpha\|\rho_1, 2\|\beta\|\rho_2\}$. Since (M_k) is non-decreasing and convex by using inequality (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k [\alpha(\Delta_n^m \eta_k) + \beta(\Delta_n^m \xi_k)]\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{\alpha\eta + \beta\xi\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence, $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are linear spaces over the complex field \mathbb{C} . \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a paranormed space with the paranorm

$$g(\eta) = \|\eta_1\| + \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{1}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k) < \infty$.

Proof. (i) Clearly, $g(\eta) \geq 0$, for $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Since $M_k(\theta_1) = 0$, we get $g(\theta_1) = 0$,
 (ii) $g(-\eta) = g(\eta)$,
 (iii) Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\}, \xi = \{\xi_k\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Then there exist $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1$$

and

$$\sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1.$$

Suppose $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, then by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m (\eta_k + \xi_k)\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) &\leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\eta + \xi) &= \|\eta_1\| + \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m(\eta_k + \xi_k)\|}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \|\eta_1\| + \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\quad + \|\eta_1\| + \inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq g(\eta) + g(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let λ be any complex number by definition

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda \eta) &= \|\lambda \eta_1\| + \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m(\lambda \eta_k)\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq |\lambda| \|\eta_1\| + \inf \left\{ (|\lambda|P)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{P} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1, P > 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$. Since $|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda| \sup p_k)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a complete paranormed space, paranormed defined by g .

Proof. Suppose $\{\eta^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, where $\eta^n = \{\eta_k^n\}_{k=1}^\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $g(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j) \rightarrow 0$ as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $\epsilon > 0$ is given and let some $s > 0$ and $x_0 > 0$ be such that $\frac{\epsilon}{sx_0} > 0$ and $\sup_k (p_k)^{t_k} \leq M_k(\frac{sx_0}{2})$. Since $g(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j) \rightarrow 0$, as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j) < \frac{\epsilon}{sx_0}, \text{ for all } i, j \geq n_0.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\eta_1^i - \eta_1^j\| + \inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\} < \frac{\epsilon}{sx_0}.$$

This implies $\|\eta_1^i - \eta_1^j\| < \frac{\epsilon}{sx_0}$ and

$$\inf \left\{ (\rho)^{\frac{p_k}{H}} : \sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

It shows that $\{\eta_1^i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C}_2 . Since \mathbb{C}_2 is a modified complex Banach algebra, then $\{\eta_1^i\}$ converges in \mathbb{C}_2 . Suppose $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \eta_1^i = \eta_1$. Thus then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_1^i - \eta_1^j\| < \frac{\epsilon}{sx_0}$, we get

$$\|\eta_1^i - \eta_1\| < \frac{\epsilon}{sx_0}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)\|}{g(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1.$$

This implies

$$\left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)\|}{g(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1 \leq M_k \left(\frac{sx_0}{2} \right)$$

and thus,

$$\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k^i - u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k^j\| \leq \left(\frac{sx_0}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon}{sx_0}\right) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

which shows that $(u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k^i)$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C}_2 for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $(u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k^i)$ converges in \mathbb{C}_2 . Suppose $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k^i = \xi_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n^m \eta_k^i = \xi_1 - \eta_1$. On repeating the same procedure, we obtain $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n^m \eta_{k+1}^i = \xi_k - \eta_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by continuity of (M_k) , we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1,$$

so that

$$\sup_r \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)\|}{\rho} \right) \right] (t_k)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right) \leq 1.$$

Let $i \geq n_0$ and taking infimum of each $\rho > 0$, we have

$$g(\eta^i - \eta) < \epsilon.$$

So $\{\eta^i - \eta\} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence, $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Therefore, $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete paranormed space. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. If $\sup_k [M_k(x)]^{p_k} < \infty$ for all fixed $x > 0$, then

$$c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subseteq \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|).$$

Proof. Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Then there exists positive number $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Define $\rho = 2\rho_1$. Since (M_k) is non-decreasing and convex, also using inequality (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &= \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k + L - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|L\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|L\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{\eta_k\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let $0 < \inf p_k = h \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H < \infty$ and $\mathcal{M} = (M_k), \mathcal{M}' = (M'_k)$ be two sequences of Orlicz functions satisfying Δ_2 -condition. Then we have

(i) $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$;

(ii) $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$;

(iii) $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. If $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $M_k(t) < \epsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Let

$\xi_k = M'_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \leq \delta} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \geq \delta} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \leq \delta} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k} &\leq [M_k(1)]^H \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \leq \delta} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k} \\ &\leq [M_k(2)]^H \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \leq \delta} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For $\xi_k > \delta, \xi_k < \frac{\xi_k}{\delta} < 1 + \frac{\xi_k}{\delta}$. Since M'_k 's are non-decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$M_k(\xi_k) < M_k\left(1 + \frac{\xi_k}{\delta}\right) < \frac{1}{2}M_k(2) + \frac{1}{2}M_k\left(\frac{2\xi_k}{\delta}\right).$$

Since $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ satisfies Δ_2 -condition, we can write

$$M_k(\xi_k) < \frac{1}{2}T \frac{\xi_k}{\delta} M_k(2) + \frac{1}{2}T \frac{\xi_k}{\delta} M_k(2) = T \frac{\xi_k}{\delta} M_k(2).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \geq \delta} M_k[\xi_k]^{p_k} \leq \max\left(1, \left(T \frac{M_k(2)}{\delta}\right)^H\right) \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, \xi_k \geq \delta} [\xi_k]^{p_k}. \tag{6}$$

From equation (5) and (6), we have $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. This completes the proof of (i). Similarly, we can prove the others. \square

Theorem 2.6. Let $0 < h = \inf p_k = p_k < \sup p_k = H < \infty$. Then for a sequence of Orlicz functions $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ which satisfies Δ_2 -condition, we have

(i) $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$;

(ii) $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$;

(iii) $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. It is easy to prove so we omit the details. \square

Theorem 2.7. Let $0 < h = \inf p_k = p_k < \sup p_k = H < \infty$. Then for a sequence of Orlicz functions $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ which satisfies Δ_2 -condition, we have

(i) $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^{m-1}, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$;

(ii) $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^{m-1}, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$;

(iii) $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^{m-1}, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. Here we prove the result for $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and for other cases it will follow on applying similar arguments. Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^{m-1}, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Then there exist $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \tag{7}$$

On considering 2ρ , by the convexity of Orlicz function, we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_k\|}{2\rho} \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_{k+n}\|}{\rho} \right) \right].$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{2\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq K \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_{k+n}\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}.$$

Then using (7), we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{2\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Thus, $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^{m-1}, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. \square

Theorem 2.8. Let $0 \leq p_k \leq s_k$ for all k and let $(\frac{s_k}{p_k})$ be bounded. Then

$$c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, s, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|).$$

Proof. Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, s, u, \|\cdot\|)$, write

$$r_k = \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{s_k}$$

and $\mu_k = \frac{p_k}{s_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $0 < \mu_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $0 < \mu \leq \mu_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define sequences $\{v_k\}$ and $\{w_k\}$ as follows :

For $r_k \geq 1$, let $v_k = r_k$ and $w_k = 0$ and for $r_k < 1$, let $v_k = 0$ and $w_k = r_k$. Then, clearly for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$r_k = v_k + w_k, \quad r_k^{\mu_k} = v_k^{\mu_k} + w_k^{\mu_k}.$$

Now it follows that $v_k^{\mu_k} \leq v_k \leq r_k$ and $w_k^{\mu_k} \leq w_k$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} r_k^{\mu_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} (v_k^{\mu_k} + w_k^{\mu_k}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} r_k + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} w_k^{\mu_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for each k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} w_k^\mu &= \sum_{k \in I_r} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} w_k\right)^\mu \left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right)^{1-\mu} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k \in I_r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_r} w_k\right)^\mu\right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^\mu \left(\sum_{k \in I_r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right)^{1-\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}\right)^{1-\mu} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} w_k\right)^\mu \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} r_k^{\mu k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} r_k + \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} w_k\right)^\mu.$$

Hence, $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.9. (i) If $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subseteq c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|).$$

(ii) If $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H < \infty$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|) \subseteq c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|).$$

Proof. (i) Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Then

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Since $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

Thus, $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|)$.

(ii) Let $p_k \geq 1$ for each k and $\sup p_k < \infty$. Let $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Then for each $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right] \leq \epsilon < 1 \text{ for all } r \geq N.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right].$$

Therefore, $\eta = \{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.10. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a sequence of Orlicz functions, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. If $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H < \infty$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) = c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|).$$

Proof. It is easy to prove so we omit the details. \square

Proposition 2.11. *The spaces $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are Banach spaces.*

Theorem 2.12. *The spaces $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are not solid in general.*

Example 2.13. *Let $M_k(x) = x$, $(p_k) = (u_k) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho = 1$, $m = 0$ and $\theta = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Consider a sequence $\{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4$ given as $\eta_k = \{\eta_k^{(s)}\} = \{2, 2, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\{\eta_k^{(s)}\} \in c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Now, let $\{\alpha_k\} = \{(-1)^k\}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\alpha_k \eta_k^{(s)}\} \notin c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Therefore, $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is not solid.*

Let $\{\eta_k\} \in \omega_4$ defined as $\eta_k = \{\eta_k^{(s)}\} = \{k^2, k^2 + 1, k^2 + 2, \dots\}$, $\forall k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\eta_k^{(s)}\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ as well as $\{\eta_k^{(s)}\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Now, let $\{\alpha_k\} = \{(-1)^k\}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\alpha_k \eta_k^{(s)}\} \notin c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ as well as $\{\alpha_k \eta_k^{(s)}\} \notin \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence, the spaces $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are not solid.

Theorem 2.14. *The spaces $c_0(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are not symmetric in general.*

To show that the spaces are not symmetric in general, consider the following example.

Example 2.15. *Let $M_k(x) = x$, $(p_k) = 2$, $(u_k) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho = 1$, $m = 0$ and $\theta = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that $\{\eta_k\} = \{\eta_k^s\} = \{k^2, k^2 + 1, k^2 + 2, \dots\}$, $\forall k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \cap \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Consider the rearranged sequence, (ξ_k) of (η_k) defined as*

$$\{\xi_k\} = \{\eta_1^s, \eta_8^s, \eta_2^s, \eta_{27}^s, \eta_3^s, \eta_{64}^s, \eta_4^s, \dots\}.$$

Then $\{\xi_k\} \notin c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ as well as $\{\xi_k\} \notin \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence, $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ are not symmetric in general. Similarly, we can prove for other space.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{M}_1 = M_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = M_2$ be the Orlicz functions with Δ_2 conditions and $p = (p_k) \in l^\infty$, then $c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}_1, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \cap c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}_2, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|) \subset c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$.*

Proof. Let $\{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}_1, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|) \cap c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}_2, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Then \exists some $L \in \mathbb{C}_2$, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_1 \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_k - L\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} t_k \rightarrow 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_2 \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^{m-1} \eta_k - L\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} t_k \rightarrow 0. \tag{9}$$

Let $\rho = \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$. Then,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[(M_1 + M_2) \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} t_k \right\} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_1 \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_2 \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k - L\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), we get $\{\eta_k\} \in c(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2, \Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot\|)$. \square

Theorem 2.17. *The sequence space $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is convex.*

Proof. Let $\{\eta_k\}, \{\xi_k\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\left\{ \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}$$

are finite. Now, let $\rho = \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \lambda \eta_k + u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k (1 - \lambda)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \lambda \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right)^{p_k} + \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k (1 - \lambda)\|}{\rho_2} \right)^{p_k} \\ & = \lambda \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho_1} \right)^{p_k} + (1 - \lambda) \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \xi_k\|}{\rho_2} \right)^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lambda \eta_k + (1 - \lambda) \xi_k \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Thus, $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is convex. \square

3. Modified complex Banach Algebra

From many years a lot of results has been published on modified complex Banach Algebra by various mathematicians. Recently Nilay Sager and Birsen Sağır [17] worked on completeness of bicomplex sequence space. By using modified complex Banach Algebra they have proved bicomplex Hölder’s Inequality and several other interesting results.

The norm of the product of two bicomplex numbers and the product of their norms are connected by means of the following inequality:

$$\|\eta \xi\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\eta\| \|\xi\|. \tag{10}$$

The inequality given in (10) is the best possible relation. For this reason, we call $(\mathbb{C}_2, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ as modified complex Banach algebra.

Justification of (10): Let $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Then $\|\eta \xi\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\eta\| \|\xi\|$.

Let $\eta = (z_1 + jz_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$ and $\xi = z_3 + jz_4 \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Then

$$\eta \xi = (z_1 + jz_2)(z_3 + jz_4) = z_1(z_3 + jz_4) + jz_2(z_3 + jz_4).$$

Moreover, $\|z_1(z_3 + jz_4)\| = \|z_1\| \|z_3 + jz_4\|$ and $\|jz_2(z_3 + jz_4)\| = \|j\| \|z_2\| \|z_3 + jz_4\| = \|z_2\| \|z_3 + jz_4\|$. Therefore, from the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta \xi\| &= \|(z_1 + jz_2)(z_3 + jz_4)\| \leq \|z_1\| \|z_3 + jz_4\| + \|z_2\| \|z_3 + jz_4\| \\ &\leq (\|z_1\| + \|z_2\|) \|z_3 + jz_4\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2\|z_1\| \|z_2\| \leq \|z_1\|^2 + \|z_2\|^2$, then $(\|z_1\| + \|z_2\|)^2 \leq 2(\|z_1\|^2 + \|z_2\|^2)$. Thus, we have

$$(\|z_1\| + \|z_2\|) \leq \sqrt{2}(\|z_1\|^2 + \|z_2\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, $\|\eta\xi\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|\eta\|\|\xi\|$.

We note that the constant $\sqrt{2}$ is the best possible one in above justification. Moreover, if we combine the last results with the fact that $(\mathbb{C}_2, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, we obtain that $(\mathbb{C}_2, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ is a **modified complex Banach algebra**.

But in the usual definition of a **complex Banach algebra**, the norm of the product of two elements is required to be equal to or less than the product of the norms of these elements that is, $\|z_1z_2\| \leq \|z_1\|\|z_2\|$. This is the difference between the complex Banach algebra and the modified complex Banach algebra.

Romesh et al. [9] introduced the spectrum of the unilateral shift operator by using $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^2$. Dubey et al. [3] studied the Orlicz bicomplex sequence spaces. They proved that the bicomplex sequence spaces $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ is a Banach space and used as Complex Banach Algebra. They studied the different properties of linear operators such as boundedness, compactness etc.

Now we prove some results on modified Complex Banach Algebra.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{z_k\}, z, y \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

(i) If $z_k \rightarrow z$ then $yz_k \rightarrow yz$ and $z_ky \rightarrow zy$;

(ii) If $z_k \rightarrow z$ and $y_k \rightarrow y$ then $z_ky_k \rightarrow zy$.

Proof. (i) Since $z_k \rightarrow z$, $\|z_k - z\| \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{C}_2 and hence we have

$$\|yz_k - yz\| = \|y(z_k - z)\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|y\|\|z_k - z\| \rightarrow \sqrt{2}\|y\|.0 \in \mathbb{C}_2.$$

Other case can be proved in the similar manner.

(ii) If $z_k \rightarrow z$ also $\|z_k\| \rightarrow \|z\|$, hence $\|z_k\|$ is bounded say by M . Now, for given ϵ , let N_z be such that $k \geq N_z \Rightarrow \|z_k - z\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2}\|y\|}$ if $y \neq 0$ and arbitrary otherwise, so that in any case $\|z_k - z\|\|y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2}}$. Let N_y such that $k \geq N_y \Rightarrow \|y_k - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2}M}$ (choose $M > 0$) for $N = \max(N_z, N_y)$ holds if $k \geq N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_ky_k - zy\| &= \|z_ky_k - z_ky + z_ky - zy\| \\ &\leq \|z_ky_k - z_ky\| + \|z_ky - zy\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\|z_k\|\|y_k - y\| + \sqrt{2}\|y\|\|z_k - z\| \\ &< \sqrt{2}M \times \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2}M} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $z_ky_k \rightarrow zy$. \square

Now, let us define $\omega_4 = \{\{\eta_k\} : \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \eta_k \in \mathbb{C}_2\}$. This space of all \mathbb{C}_2 sequences forms a \mathbb{C}_2 -module (see [17]). Also, ω_4 forms a \mathbb{C}_2 -module with the operations addition and bicomplex scaler multiplication as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus : \omega_4 \times \omega_4 &\rightarrow \omega_4, (\eta, s) \rightarrow \eta + s = (\eta_k \oplus s_k), \\ \odot : \mathbb{C}_2 \times \omega_4 &\rightarrow \omega_4, (\vartheta, \eta) \rightarrow \vartheta \odot \eta = \vartheta\eta = (\vartheta\eta_k), \\ \otimes : \mathbb{C}_2 \otimes \omega_4 &\rightarrow \omega_4, (\vartheta, \eta) \rightarrow \vartheta \cdot \eta = \vartheta\eta = (\vartheta\eta_k), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\{\eta_k\}, \{s_k\} \in \omega_4$ and $\forall \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

Remark: $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ is a subspace of ω_4 .

Proof. It is obvious that $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M \subset \omega_4$. Let $\{\eta_k\}, \{s_k\} \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$. Then $\exists \rho_1, \rho_2$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho_1}\right) < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|s_k\|}{\rho_2}\right) < \infty.$$

Let $\rho = \max(\rho_1, \rho_2)$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k + s_k\|}{\rho}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho_2}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|s_k\|}{\rho_2}\right),$$

which means that $\eta_k \oplus s_k \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$. Now, suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{\eta_k\} \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$. Since

$$\|\alpha\eta_k\| = |\alpha|\|\eta_k\|$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho}\right) < \infty.$$

We can easily say that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\alpha\eta_k\|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \implies |\alpha| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho}\right) < \infty.$$

So, $\alpha \odot \eta_k \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$. Thus, $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ is a subspace of ω_4 . \square

Remark: $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a subspace of ω_4 .

Proof. This remark can be proved in similar manner as proof of above remark. \square

Theorem 3.2. $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ is a \mathbb{C}_2 -submodule of ω_4 .

Proof. As $\ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ is a subspace of ω_4 . Also, we obtain that $\{\eta_k\} \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}_2 - \{0\}$.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k \vartheta\|}{\rho}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{(\sqrt{2})\|\eta_k\|\|\vartheta\|}{\rho}\right) = (\sqrt{2})\|\vartheta\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\|\eta_k\|}{\rho}\right) < \infty.$$

Thus, $\forall \{\eta_k\} \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M, \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}_2$ implies $\eta_k \vartheta \in \ell_{\mathbb{C}_2}^M$. \square

Theorem 3.3. $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a \mathbb{C}_2 -submodule of ω_4 .

Proof. As $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a subspace of ω_4 . Now, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}_2$ and $\forall \{\eta_k\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left(\left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k \vartheta\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \\ & \leq \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left(\left[M_k \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\| \|\vartheta\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \\ & = \sqrt{2} \|\vartheta\| \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|u_k \Delta_n^m \eta_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right] \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}_2$ and $\forall \{\eta_k\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$, we have $\vartheta \eta_k \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}_2, \theta, \mathcal{M}, \Delta_n^m, p, u, \|\cdot\|)$ is a \mathbb{C}_2 -submodule of ω_4 . \square

References

- [1] Ç. A. Bektaş, M. Et and R. Çolak, Generalized difference sequence spaces and their dual spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 292 (2004) 423–432.
- [2] N. Değirmen and B. Sağır, Some new notes on the Bicomplex sequence spaces $\ell_p(BC)$, *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.* 13 (2022) 66–76.
- [3] S. Dubey, R. Kumar and K. Sharma, A note on Bicomplex Orlicz sapces, arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.7112 (2014) 1–12.
- [4] A. Esi, B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, On some new type generalized difference sequence spaces, *Math. Slovaca* 57 (2007) 475–482.
- [5] M. Et and R. Çolak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow. J. Math.* 21 (1995) 377–386.
- [6] M. Et and A. Esi, On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 23 (2000) 25–32.
- [7] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Raphael, Some Cesàro type summability spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 37 (1978) 508–520.
- [8] B. Hazarika and E. Savaş, Some I-convergent lambda-summable difference sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers defined by a sequence of Orlicz, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 54 (2011) 2986–2998.
- [9] R. Kumar, K. Sharma, R. Tundup and S. Wazir, Orlicz Spaces with Bicomplex Scalars, arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.7112 (2014).
- [10] H. Kızmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24 (1981) 169–176.
- [11] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 10 (1971) 379–390.
- [12] M. E. Luna-Elizarrarás and M. Shapiro, Bicomplex numbers and their elementary functions, *Cubo* 14 (2012) 61–80.
- [13] G. B. Price, *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [14] K. Raj and C. Sharma, Applications of strongly convergent sequences to Fourier series by means of modulus functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 150 (2016) 396–411.
- [15] K. Raj and A. Kilicman, On certain generalized paranormed spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2015) 2015: 37.
- [16] K. Raj, S. Jamwal and S. K. Sharma, New classes of generalized sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 15 (2013) 730–737.
- [17] N. Sager and B. Sağır, On completeness of some Bicomplex sequence spaces, *Palest. J. Math.* 9 (2020) 891–902.
- [18] R. K. Srivastava, Bicomplex numbers: Analysis and applications, *Math. Student* 72 (2003) 69–87.
- [19] E. Savaş and M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformations in some sequence spaces, *Istanb. Univ. Fen Fak. Mat. Derg.* 52 (1993) 1–5.
- [20] C. Segre, Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse e gli enti iperalgebrici, *Math. Ann.* 40 (1892) 413–467.
- [21] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi and B. Tripathy, On a new type of generalized difference Cesàro sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.* 31 (2005) 333–340.
- [22] B. C. Tripathy and A. Esi, A new type of difference sequence spaces, *Int. J. Sci. Tech.* 1 (2006) 11–14.
- [23] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 85, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1984.