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#### Abstract

In this paper, a class of complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays is studied. By employing an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem and an approximation technique, several sufficient conditions of the new results on the existence of homoclinic solutions and periodic solutions are established. Moreover, the asymptotic behavior of solutions via the Lyapunov function is also investigated. Finally, for the purpose of validity, an example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of main results.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{p}(t)}{d t}=-d_{p}(t) z_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p}(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{p}(t)=x_{p}(t)+i y_{p}(t)$ denotes the complex-valued state vector associated with the $p$-th neuron, $p$, $q=1,2, \cdots, n, n$ is the number of neurons. For convenience, $z_{p}(t), x_{p}(t)$ and $y_{p}(t)$ are denoted as $z_{p}, x_{p}$ and $y_{p}$, respectively. This model describes the continuous evolution process of the neural networks. $d_{p}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the self-feedback connection weight, $a_{p q}(t), b_{p q}(t)$ are complex-valued connection weight matrices without and with time delays respectively. $f_{q}\left(z_{q}\right), g_{q}\left(z_{q}\right): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are the activation functions of the neurons. $H_{p}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is the external input vector. $\tau_{p q}(t) \geq 0$ correspond to the transmission delays.

The model 1.1) can be rewritten in vector form as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}(t)=-D(t) z(t)+A(t) f(z(t))+B(t) f(z(t-\tau(t)))+H(t), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(t)=\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t), \ldots, z_{n}(t)\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the state vector, $D(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}(t), d_{2}(t), \ldots, d_{n}(t)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $d_{p}>0(p=1,2, \ldots, n)$ is the self-feedback connection weight matrix, $A(t)=\left(a_{p q}\right)_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B(t)=$ $\left(b_{p q}\right)_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are, respectively, the connection weight matrix without and with time delay, $f(z)=$

[^0]$\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}(t)\right), f_{2}\left(z_{2}(t)\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(z_{n}(t)\right)\right)^{\top}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $f(z(t-\tau(t)))=\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}(t)\right)\right), f_{2}\left(z_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}(t)\right)\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(z_{n}(t-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\tau_{n}(t)\right)\right)\right)^{\top}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ are the vector-valued activation functions without and with time delays whose elements consist of complex-valued nonlinear functions, $\tau(t) \geq 0$ correspond to the transmission delays, $H(t)=\left(H_{1}(t), H_{2}(t), \ldots, H_{n}(t)\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the external input vector-valued function.

A complex-valued neural network which can be regarded as the extension of real-valued neural networks in some sense is one that processes information in the complex plane; that is, its state, connection weight, and activation function are complex-valued. It has been discovered essentially useful in extending the scope of their applications in optoelectronics, filtering, imaging, speech synthesis, computer vision, remote sensing, quantum devices, spatiotemporal analysis of physiological neural devices and systems, and artificial neural information processing, see [2], [4], [6], [7], [8], [9], [13], [20], [28] and the references therein.

Compared with the real-valued neural networks, it is more difficult to study the dynamic behaviors of complex-valued neural networks since complex-valued neural networks are quite different and have more complicated properties than the real-valued neural networks. Moreover, a source of instability for neural networks is time delay which inevitably exists in the implementation of artificial neural networks due to the finite switching speed of amplifiers or network congestion. Therefore, stability analysis for delayed complex-valued neural networks has become an important research topic and various criteria have been developed in the literature in recent years, see [5], [8], [16], [17], [19], [21], [22], [23], [25], [26], [27], [29] and the references therein. For example, in [8], Hu and Wang studied global stability for the following complex-valued recurrent neural networks with time-delays of the form:

$$
\dot{z}(t)=-D z+A f(z(t))+B g(z(t-\tau))+u
$$

where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the state vector, $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $d_{j}>0(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ is the self-feedback connection weight matrix, $A=\left(a_{j k}\right)_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B=\left(b_{j k}\right)_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are, respectively, the connection weight matrix without and with time delays, $f(z(t))=\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}(t)\right), f_{2}\left(z_{2}(t)\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(z_{n}(t)\right)\right)^{\top}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $g(z(t-\tau))=\left(g_{1}\left(z_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right), g_{2}\left(z_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right), \ldots, g_{n}\left(z_{n}\left(t-\tau_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{\top}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ are the vector-valued activation functions without and with time delays whose elements consist of complex-valued nonlinear functions, $\tau_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are constant time delays, $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is the external input vector. By considering two classes of activation functions and different approaches, the authors systematically studied the stability problem of the complex-valued recurrent neural networks.

In [17], Pan et al further discussed the exponential stability of a class of complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays of the form:

$$
\frac{d z_{k}(t)}{d t}=-d_{k} z_{k}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(w_{k j} f_{j}\left(z_{j}(t)\right)+v_{k j} g_{j}\left(z_{j}\left(t-\tau_{j}(t)\right)\right)+J_{k}\right.
$$

where $z_{k}(t)=x_{k}(t)+i y_{k}(t), k, j=1, \ldots, n . n$ is the number of units in the neural networks, $z_{k}(t)$ corresponds to the state variable, $d_{k}\left(d_{k}>0\right)$ represents the neuron charging time constant, $f_{j}\left(z_{j}\right), g_{j}\left(z_{j}\right): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are the activation functions of the neurons, $w_{k j}, v_{k j} \in \mathbb{C}$ stand for the weights of the neuron interconnections, $J_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the external bias, and $\tau_{j}(t)\left(0 \leq \tau_{j}(t) \leq \tau\right)$ corresponds to the transmission delays. By using the conjugate system of the complex-valued neural networks and the Brouwer's fixed point theorem, sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of an equilibrium are obtained.

As we all know, the problem of existence of homoclinic solutions and periodic solutions is one of the most important problems in qualitative theory of differential systems. However, to the best of our knowledge, the corresponding theory of homoclinic solutions for the complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays is not investigated till now.

Motivated by the above discussion, in this paper, we aim to study the existence of homoclinic solutions and periodic solutions of (1.2) by applying an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem and some analysis techniques. Moreover, we also study the asymptotic behavior results of the solutions for (1.2). It is worthy to point out that it is the first time to investigate the homoclinic solutions for the complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays.

As is well known, a solution $u(t)$ of (1.1) is named homoclinic (to 0 ) if $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. In addition, if $u \neq 0$, then $u$ is called a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

In order to study the existence of homoclinic solutions for the system (1.1), from the work in [12], [15], [18], [24], we can know that the existence of a homoclinic solution of (1.1) is obtained as a limit of a certain sequence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions for the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{p}(t)}{d t}=-d_{p}(t) z_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}, H_{p_{k}}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a $2 k T$-periodic function such that

$$
H_{p_{k}}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{p}(t), \quad t \in\left[-k T, k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
H_{p}\left(k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\frac{H_{p}(-k T)-H_{p}\left(k T-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(t-k T+\varepsilon_{0}\right), \quad t \in\left[k T-\varepsilon_{0}, k T\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0} \in(0, T)$ is a constant independent of $k$. The existence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions to 1.3 is obtained by applying an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem [14]. The contributions and novelties are stated as following:

- The existence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions of time-varying delayed complex-valued neural networks has been studied, which is more generalized than the previous $T$-periodic solutions.
- Homoclinic solutions is firstly considered for the time-varying delayed complex-valued neural networks, which has never been studied before.
- Asymptotic behavior of the solutions is also investigated, some previous stability results on the complex-valued neural networks with constant delays can be extended.
The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give some definitions and some useful lemmas. Section 3 and 4 is devoted to establishing some criteria for the existence and globally exponentially stability of homoclinic and periodic solution for 1.2 . Finally, in section 5 , an numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the obtained results.


## 2. Preliminary

Throughout this paper, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{a}_{p q}=\max _{1 \leq p, q \leq n} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|a_{p q}(t)\right|, \bar{b}_{p q}=\max _{1 \leq p, q \leq n} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|b_{p q}(t)\right|, \bar{d}_{p q}=\max _{1 \leq p, q \leq n} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|d_{p q}(t)\right|, \\
& \underline{a}_{p q}=\min _{1 \leq p, q \leq n} \inf _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|a_{p q}(t)\right|, \underline{b}_{p q}=\min _{1 \leq p, q \leq n} \operatorname{iff}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|b_{p q}(t)\right|, \underline{d}_{p q}=\min _{1 \leq p, q \leq n} \inf _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|b_{p q}(t)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notations $i$ denotes the imaginary unite, that is, $i=\sqrt{-1} . z(t)$ represents the complex-valued function, that is, $z(t)=x(t)+i y(t)$, where $x(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ denote the $n$-dimensional real spaces and complex vector spaces respectively.

For convenience, throughout this paper, $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2 k T}=\left\{\varphi_{p} \mid \varphi_{p} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \varphi_{p}(t+2 k T) \equiv \varphi_{p}(t), p=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}, \\
& C_{2 k T}^{1}=\left\{\varphi_{p} \mid \varphi_{p} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \varphi_{p}(t+2 k T) \equiv \varphi_{p}(t), p=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left\|\varphi_{p}\right\|_{0}=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|$. If the norms of $C_{2 k T}$ and $C_{2 k T}^{1}$ are defined by $\|\cdot\|_{C_{2 k T}}=\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C_{2 k T}^{1}}=$ $\max \left\{\left\|\varphi_{p}\right\|_{0},\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\right\}$, then $C_{2 k T}$ and $C_{2 k T}^{1}$ are all Banach spaces. Furthermore, for $\varphi_{p} \in C_{2 k T},\left\|\varphi_{p}\right\|_{2}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|\varphi_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Lemma 2.1. If $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}, a>0$ is a constant, then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
|\varphi(t)| \leq(2 a)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a}|\varphi(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+a(2 a)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-a}^{t+a}\left|\varphi^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

This lemma is a special case of Lemma 2.2 in [24].
Lemma 2.2. [24] Let $\varphi_{k} \in C_{2 k T}^{1}$ be a $2 k T$-periodic function for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\left\|\varphi_{k}\right\|_{0} \leq A_{0}, \quad\left\|\varphi_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{0} \leq A_{1}, \quad\left\|\varphi_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{0} \leq A_{2}
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are constants independent of $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a function $\varphi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that for each interval $[c, d] \subset \mathbb{R}$, there is a subsequence $\left\{\varphi_{k_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\varphi_{k_{j}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(t)$ uniformly on $[c, d]$.

By separating the state, the connection weight, the activation function and the external input into its real and imaginary part, then system (1.3) can be rewritten as follows for $p=1,2, \cdots, n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{p}(t)}{d t} & =-d_{p}(t) x_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)-\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& -\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}^{R}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d y_{p}(t)}{d t} & =-d_{p}(t) y_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}^{I}(t) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{p}(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{p}(t)\right), y_{p}(t)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{p}(t)\right), a_{p q}^{R}(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{p q}(t)\right), a_{p q}^{I}(t)=\operatorname{Im}\left(a_{p q}(t)\right), b_{p q}^{R}(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left(b_{p q}(t)\right), b_{p q}^{I}(t)=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(b_{p q}(t)\right), H_{p}^{R}(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left(H_{p}(t)\right), H_{R}^{I}(t)=\operatorname{Im}\left(H_{p}(t)\right), f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)\right), f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)\right), f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t-\right.$ $\left.\left.\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right)$.

Define $U_{k}=V_{k}=\left\{z_{p}(t)=x_{p}(t)+i y_{p}(t), x_{p} \in C_{2 k T}, y_{p} \in C_{2 k T}, p=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ with the norm

$$
\left\|z_{p}\right\|_{u_{k}}=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|x_{p}(t)\right|+\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|y_{p}(t)\right| .
$$

Then $U_{k}$ and $V_{k}$ are Banach spaces when they are endowed with above norm.
For $p=1,2, \cdots, n$, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{p, x}(t)= & -d_{p}(t) x_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)-\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}^{R}(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{p, y}(t)= & -d_{p}(t) y_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}^{I}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, define the operator

$$
L: D(L) \subset U_{k} \rightarrow V_{k}, L z_{p}=z_{p}^{\prime}
$$

and define the nonlinear operator

$$
N: \bar{\Omega} \subset U_{k} \rightarrow V_{k}, \quad N z_{p}=\binom{\Phi_{p, x}(t)}{\Psi_{p, y}(t)}, \quad z_{p} \in U_{k}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open bounded subset of $U_{k}$. Clearly, the problem of the existence of a $2 k T$-periodic solution to (1.3) is equivalent to the problem of the existence of a solution in $\bar{\Omega}$ for the equation $L z_{p}=N z_{p}$.

By simply calculating, we have

$$
\operatorname{ker} L=\left\{z_{p} \in U_{k} \mid z_{p} \equiv c \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \operatorname{Im} L=\left\{z_{p} \in V_{k}, \int_{0}^{2 k T} z_{p}(s) d s=0\right\} . \diamond
$$

Therefore, $L$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero.
Define

$$
P: U_{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L, \quad P z_{p}=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{0}^{2 k T} z_{p}(s) d s, Q: V_{k} \rightarrow V_{k} / \operatorname{Im} L, Q z_{p}=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{0}^{2 k T} z_{p}(s) d s
$$

It is easy to verify that $P$ and $Q$ are two continuous projections such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L, \operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$.
It follows that $L_{D(L) \cap \operatorname{ker} P}: D(L) \cap \operatorname{ker} P \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ is invertible, and the generalized inverse $K_{P}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow$ $D(L) \cap \operatorname{ker} P$ can be written by

$$
\left(K_{p} z_{p}\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{2 k T} G_{k}(t, s) z_{p}(s) d s, G_{k}(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{s-2 k T}{2 k T}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \\
\frac{s}{2 k T}, & s \leq t \leq 2 k T
\end{array}\right.
$$

For all $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset U_{k}$, we can see that $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ is a relative compact set of $U_{k}$ and $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is a bounded set of $V_{k}$, so the operator $N$ is $L$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Lemma 2.3. [14 Assume that $\Omega$ is an open bounded set in $U_{k}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) For each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, the equation

$$
\frac{d z_{p}(t)}{d t}+\lambda d_{p}(t) z_{p}(t)-\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)-\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)-\lambda H_{p_{k}}(t)=0
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega$.
(ii) The equation

$$
\Delta\left(c_{p}\right):=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{0}^{2 k T}\left(-d_{p}(\xi) c_{p}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)+H_{p_{k}}(\xi)\right) d \xi=0
$$

has no solution on $\partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) The Brouwer degree

$$
d_{B}\{\Delta, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\} \neq 0
$$

Then (1.3) has a $2 k T$-periodic solution in $\bar{\Omega}$

Definition 2.4. 8] If $z^{*}(t)=\left(z_{1}^{*}(t), z_{2}^{*}(t), \ldots, z_{n}^{*}(t)\right)^{\top}$ is a periodic solution of 1.1 and $z(t)=\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t), \ldots, z_{n}(t)\right)^{\top}$ is any solution of (1.1) satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{p}(t)-z_{p}^{*}(t)\right|=0, p=1,2, \cdots
$$

We call $z^{*}(t)$ is globally asymptotic stable.
For the sake of convenience, we list the following assumptions which will be used by us in studying the existence of homoclinic solutions and periodic solutions to the (1.2) in Section 3 .
(H1) There exists constant $M_{q} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left|f_{q}\left(z_{q}\right)\right| \leq M_{q}, z_{q} \in \mathbb{C}, q=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

(H2) For $p=1,2, \cdots, n, t \in \mathbb{R}, d_{p}(t)$ are positive continuous periodic real-functions and $d_{p}^{\prime}(t)<0, a_{p q}(t), b_{p q}(t)$, $H_{p}(t)$ are all continuous periodic functions.
(H3) $H_{p} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function, $H_{p}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$ and

$$
B:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}^{1 / 2} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|<+\infty,
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is determined by (1.4).
(H4) $H_{p} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded function, $H_{p}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$ and

$$
\left(\int_{-T}^{T}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}^{1 / 2} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|<+\infty .
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is determined by (1.4).
Remark 2.5. It follows from (1.4) that $\left|H_{p_{k}}(t)\right| \leq \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|$. So if assumption (H3) holds, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|H_{p_{k}}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}<B$.

## 3. Homoclinic and periodic solutions

In order to investigate the existence of $2 k T$-periodic solutions to (1.3), we need to study some properties of all the possible $2 k T$-periodic solutions to the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{p}(t)}{d t} & =\lambda\left[-d_{p}(t) x_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)-\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}^{R}(t)\right], \lambda \in(0,1] \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d y_{p}(t)}{d t} & =\lambda\left[-d_{p}(t) y_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p_{k}}^{I}(t)\right], \lambda \in(0,1] \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Gamma \subset U_{k}$ represents the set of all the $2 k T$-periodic solutions to (1.3).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the assumptions (H1)-(H3) hold, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $z_{p} \in \Gamma$, then there are positive constants $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$, which are independent of $k$ and $\lambda$, such that

$$
\left\|z_{p}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{1},\left\|z_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{2},\left\|z_{p}\right\|_{u_{k}} \leq A_{3},\left\|z_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq A_{4}, p=1,2, \ldots n .
$$

Proof Multiplying both sides of (3.1) by $x_{p}^{\prime}(t)$ and integrating on the interval $[-k T, k T]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left(x_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t= & -\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) d_{p}(t) x_{p}(t) d t+\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} \int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) a_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} \int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) a_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right) d t+\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} \int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) b_{p q}^{R}(t) f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right) d t  \tag{3.3}\\
& -\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} \int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) b_{p q}^{I}(t) f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right) d t+\lambda \int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) H_{p_{k}}^{R}(t) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-k T}^{k T} x_{p}^{\prime}(t) d_{p}(t) x_{p}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-k T}^{k T} d_{p}(t) d x_{p}^{2}(t)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-k T}^{k T} d_{p}^{\prime}(t) x_{p}^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting 3.4 into 3.3 and by (H1) and (H3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\delta}{2}\left\|x_{p}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\mid b_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|b_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+B\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\min _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}}-d_{p}^{\prime}(t)$.
From the inequality above, we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\bar{b}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{b}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+B\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta}{2}\left\|x_{p}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|b_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|b_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}\right) \cdot\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+B\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from 3.6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{b}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{b}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+B\right):=\widehat{w}_{p} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.7, we can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{p}\right\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{a}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{b}_{p q}^{R} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\bar{b}_{p q}^{I} M_{q}\right\|_{2}+B\right) \cdot \widehat{w}_{p}\right]^{1 / 2}:=\widetilde{w}_{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{p}(t)\right| & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-k T}^{t+k T}\left|x_{p}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-k T}^{t+k T}\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (3.8) and (3.9) yields

$$
\left|x_{p}(t)\right| \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{w}_{p}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{w}_{p} .
$$

thus, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{p}\right\|_{0}=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|x_{p}(t)\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left\{(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{w}_{p}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{w}_{p}\right\}:=\widehat{\rho}_{p} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\widehat{\rho_{p}}$ is independent of $k$ and $\lambda$.
Moreover, from (3.1), we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|d_{p}(t)\right|\left|x_{p}(t)\right|+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left|a_{p q}^{R}(t)\right|\left|f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)\right|+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left|a_{p q}^{I}(t)\right|\left|f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)\right|+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left|b_{p q}^{R}(t)\right|\left|f_{q}^{R}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right| \\
&+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left|b_{p q}^{I}(t)\right|\left|f_{q}^{I}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right|+\left|\psi_{p_{k}}^{R}(t)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with (H1), (H3) and (3.10) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{0}=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left(\bar{d}_{p} \widehat{\rho}_{p}+\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\bar{a}_{p q}^{R}+\bar{a}_{p q}^{I}+\bar{b}_{p q}^{R}+\bar{b}_{p q}^{I}\right) M_{q}+B\right\}:=\widetilde{\rho}_{p} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\widetilde{\rho}_{p}$ is independent of $k$ and $\lambda$.
By using the same methods as above, we can see that there exists four positive constants $\widehat{\sigma}_{p}, \widetilde{\sigma}_{p}, \widehat{\delta}_{p}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{p}$, which are independent of $k$ and $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \widehat{\sigma}_{p},\left\|y_{p}\right\|_{2} \leq \widetilde{\sigma}_{p} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{p}\right\|_{0} \leq \widehat{\delta}_{p},\left\|y_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{0} \leq \widetilde{\delta}_{p} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $z_{p}(t)=x_{p}(t)+i y_{p}(t)$, then by (3.10), (3.11) and (3.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{p}\right\| \|_{u_{k}}=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|x_{p}(t)\right|+\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|y_{p}(t)\right| \leq \widehat{\rho}_{p}+\widehat{\delta}_{p}:=A_{3}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{p}^{\prime}\right\| u_{k}=\max _{1 \leq p \leq n} \sup _{t \in[0,2 k T]}\left|z_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left\|x_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{0}+\left\|y_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{0} \leq \widetilde{\rho}_{p}+\widetilde{\delta}_{p}:=A_{4} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (3.8), (3.9) and (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{p}\right\|_{2} & =\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}(t)+i y_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|y_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \widetilde{w}_{p}+\widetilde{\sigma}_{p}:=A_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} & =\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|z_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(t)+i y_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|x_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|y_{p}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \widehat{w}_{p}+\widehat{\sigma}_{p}:=A_{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.14)-(3.17), we know that all the conclusions of Theorem 3.1] hold.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 3.1] are satisfied, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N},(1.3)$ has at least one $2 k T$-periodic solution $z_{p_{k}}(t)$ in $\Gamma \subset U_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{p_{k}}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{1},\left\|z_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{2},\left\|z_{p_{k}}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq A_{3},\left\|z_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq A_{4}, p=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ are constants defined in Theorem 3.1
Proof In order to apply Lemma 2.3 for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{p}(t)}{d t}=-\lambda d_{p}(t) z_{p}(t)+\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\lambda \sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+\lambda H_{p_{k}}(t), \lambda \in(0,1] \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{1} \subset U_{k}$ represent the set of all $2 k T$-periodic solutions to 3.19 . Since $(0,1) \subset(0,1]$, then we can see that $\Omega_{1} \subset \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is defined by Theorem 3.1 If $z_{p} \in \Omega_{1}$, then by applying Theorem3.1, we can have

$$
\left\|z_{p}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq A_{3}, \quad\left\|z_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq A_{4} .
$$

Let

$$
\Omega_{2}=\left\{z_{p}: z_{p} \in \operatorname{ker} L, Q N z_{p}=0\right\} .
$$

If $z_{p} \in \Omega_{2}$, then $z_{p}=c_{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
Q N z_{p}=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left(-d_{p}(\xi) c_{p}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)+H_{p_{k}}(\xi)\right) d \xi
$$

i.e.,

$$
\Delta\left(c_{p}\right):=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{0}^{2 k T}\left(-d_{p}(\xi) c_{p}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)+H_{p_{k}}(\xi)\right) d \xi=0
$$

Now, if we set

$$
\Omega=\left\{z_{p}: z_{p} \in U_{k},\left\|z_{p}\right\|_{0} \leq A_{3},\left\|z_{p}^{\prime}\right\|_{0} \leq A_{4}+1\right\},
$$

then $\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2} \subset \Omega$. So the condition (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied.
In order to verifying the condition (iii) in Lemma 2.3 . we define

$$
H\left(z_{p}, \mu\right):(\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}) \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H\left(z_{p}, \mu\right)=-\mu z_{p}+(1-\mu) \Delta\left(z_{p}\right)
$$

where

$$
\Delta\left(z_{p}\right):=\frac{1}{2 k T} \int_{0}^{2 k T}\left(-d_{p}(s) z_{p}(s)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(s) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(s)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(s) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(s)\right)+H_{p_{k}}(s)\right) d s
$$

From assumption (H1)-(H3), it is easy to see that

$$
H\left(z_{p}, \mu\right) \neq 0, \quad \forall\left(z_{p}, \mu\right) \in[\partial(\Omega \cap \mathbb{R})] \times[0,1]
$$

Hence,

$$
d_{B}(\Delta, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0)=\operatorname{deg}\left(H\left(z_{p}, 0\right), \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(H\left(z_{p}, 1\right), \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\right) \neq 0
$$

Therefore, by applying Lemma 2.3 . we can see that 3.19 has a $2 k T$-periodic solution $z_{p_{k}} \in \bar{\Omega}$. Obviously, $z_{p_{k}}(t)$ is a $2 k T$-periodic solution to (1.3) for the case of $\lambda \neq 1$, so $z_{p_{k}} \in \Gamma$. Thus, by using Theorem 3.1. we get
$\left\|z_{p_{k}}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{1},\left\|z_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq A_{2},\left\|z_{p_{k}}\right\|_{u_{k}} \leq A_{3},\left\|z_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|_{u_{k}} \leq A_{4}, p=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume conditions (H1), (H2) and (H4) hold, then (1.2) has at least one 2T-periodic solution.
Proof From the assumption (H3), we see that $H_{p} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous $2 T$-periodic function, $H_{p}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0, T>0$ is a given constant, and

$$
\left(\int_{-T}^{T}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}^{1 / 2} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|H_{p}(t)\right|<+\infty .
$$

So by using Theorem 3.2, we know that (1.1) has at least one $2 T$-periodic solution. Thus, 1.2 has at least one $2 T$-periodic solution.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $f(0)=0, \lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} H_{p}(t)=0$ and conditions (H1) holds, then 1.2) has at least one homoclinic solution.

Proof From Theorem 3.2, we see that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $2 k T$-periodic solution $z_{p_{k}}(t)$ to (1.3). So for every $k \in \mathbb{N}, z_{p_{k}}(t)$ is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{p_{k}}(t)}{d t}=-d_{p}(t) z_{p_{k}}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{k}}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{k}}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p}(t) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\left\|z_{p_{k}}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq A_{4} .
$$

Then by (3.20) and (H1), we have

$$
\left\|z_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|_{U_{k}} \leq \max _{1 \leq p \leq n}\left\{\bar{d}_{p} A_{4}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} M_{q}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} M_{q}+B\right\}:=\rho, p=1,2, \cdots, n .
$$

Clearly, $\rho$ is a constant independent of $k$. By applying Lemma 2.2. we can see that there exists a $z_{p_{0}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a subsequence $\left\{z_{p_{k_{j}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{p_{k}}\right\}$ such that for each interval $[c, d] \in \mathbb{R}, z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t) \rightarrow z_{p_{0}}(t)$ and $z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)$ uniformly on $[c, d]$. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a<b$, there must exist a positive integer $j_{0}$ such that for $j>j_{0}$, $\left[a-\left\|\tau_{p q}\right\|_{0}, b+\left\|\tau_{p q}\right\|_{0}\right] \subset\left[-k_{j} T, k_{j} T-\varepsilon_{0}\right]$. So for $t \in\left[a-\left\|\tau_{p q}\right\|_{0}, b+\left\|\tau_{p q}\right\|_{0}\right]$, it follows from (1.4) and (3.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{{k_{j}}}(t)}{d t}=-d_{p}(t) z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{k_{j}}}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{k_{j}}}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p}(t) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $z_{p_{k_{j}}}(s) \rightarrow z_{p_{0}}(s), z_{p_{k_{j}}}\left(s-\tau_{p q}(s)\right) \rightarrow z_{p_{0}}(s)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, and by 3.21 , we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{d z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)}{d t}=-d_{p}(t) z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{k_{j}}}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{k_{j}}}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p}(t) \\
\rightarrow & -d_{p}(t) z_{p_{0}}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{p_{0}}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{p_{0}}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p}(t):=\omega(t), \\
& \text { uniformly on }[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with the fact that $z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t)$ is the continuous differential for $z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)$ on $(a, b)$ for every $j>j_{0}$, and $z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \omega(t)$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, then we can obtain $\omega(t)=\frac{d}{d t}\left[z_{p_{0}}(t)\right]$ on $(a, b)$. Since $a, b$ are arbitrary and $a<b$, we get $\omega(t)=\frac{d}{d t}\left[z_{p_{0}}(t)\right], t \in \mathbb{R}$, that means $z_{p_{0}}(t)$ is a solution of 1.1).

In the following, we will prove that $z_{p_{0}}(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$.
Since

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|z_{p_{0}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-n T}^{n T}\left(\left|z_{p_{0}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-n T}^{n T}\left(\left|z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t
$$

clearly, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $k_{j}>n$, then it follows from Theorem 3.2 that,

$$
\int_{-n T}^{n T}\left(\left|z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \leq \int_{-k_{j} T}^{k_{j} T}\left(\left|z_{p_{k_{j}}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{k_{j}}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \leq A_{1}^{2}+A_{2}^{2}
$$

Let $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and $j \rightarrow+\infty$, then we have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|z_{p_{0}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \leq A_{1}^{2}+A_{2}^{2}
$$

and as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geq r}\left(\left|z_{p_{0}}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the Lemma 2.1. we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z_{p_{0}}(t)\right| & \leq(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|z_{p_{0}}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left[(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+T(2 T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\int_{t-T}^{t+T}\left(\left|z_{p_{0}}(\xi)\right|^{2}+\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2}\right) d \xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|t| \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0, \text { as }|t| \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $f(0)=0$ and $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} H_{p}(t)=0$, it follows that

$$
z_{p_{0}}^{\prime}(t)=-d_{p}(t) z_{p_{0}}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{0}}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q_{0}}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)+H_{p}(t) \rightarrow 0, \text { as }|t| \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Therefore, (3.24) holds. So there exist a homoclinic solution for (1.1).
Furthermore, since $z_{0}(t)=\left(z_{1_{0}}(t), z_{2_{0}}(t), \ldots, z_{n_{0}}(t)\right)^{\top}$, then from (3.23), we can see that $z_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0=(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{\top}$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. Similar, by (3.24), we can have $z_{0}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0=(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{\top}$ as $|t| \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus, (1.2) has at least one homoclinic solution. Therefore, the prove of Theorem 3.3 is completed.

Remark 3.5. Although, the existence of homoclinic solutions of the real systems have been widely studied, see, to name a few, [12], [15], [18], [24], there is no result on homoclinic solutions of the complex-valued neural networks. As we all known, the problem of existence of homoclinic solutions is one of the most important problems in qualitative theory of differential systems. Theorem 3.3] and Theorem 3.4 have provided the new results on homoclinic solutions of the complex-valued neural networks. They can be regarded as the first results on such problem.

## 4. Asymptotic behaviours of solution $z(t)=0$

In order to obtain globally asymptotic stability, let $H_{p}(t)=0$ and $f_{p}(0)=0$. Then (1.1) is changed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z_{p}(t)}{d t}=-d_{p}(t) z_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $z=0$ is the equilibriun point of (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, further that
(H5) there exists a positive constant $L_{q}$, and $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\left|f_{q}(x)-f_{q}(y)\right| \leq L_{q}|x-y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}, q=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

and

$$
x_{q} f_{q}\left(x_{q}\right)<-\eta\left|x_{q}\right|^{2}, \quad q=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

(H6) Let $\xi_{p}>0$, where

$$
\xi_{p}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \inf \left[2 \underline{d}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}-\gamma_{p}(t)\right]
$$

where $\alpha_{p}=\sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}, \beta_{p}=\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}, \quad \gamma_{p}(t):=\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}+\bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} \omega_{p q}(t)\right), \omega_{p q}(t)=\frac{1}{1-\tau_{p q}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{p q}(t)\right)}$, $\tilde{\tau}_{p q}(t)$ is inverse function of $t-\tau_{p q}(t)$.
Then (4.1) has unique periodic wave solution $z^{*}(t)=\left(z_{1}^{*}(t), z_{2}^{*}(t), \ldots, z_{n}^{*}(t)\right)^{\top}$ which is globally asymptotic stable.
Proof Suppose $x(t)$ be any solution of (4.1). Let

$$
V_{p}(t)=\left(z_{p}(t)\right)^{2}, p=1,2, \cdots, n
$$

Derivation of it along the solution of (4.1) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{p}^{\prime}(t) & =2 z_{p}(t) z_{p}^{\prime}(t)=2 z_{p}(t)\left[-d_{p}(t) z_{p}(t)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right)\right] \\
& =-2 d_{p} z_{p}^{2}(t)+2 z_{p}(t) \sum_{q=1}^{n} a_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}(t)\right)+2 z_{p}(t) \sum_{q=1}^{n} b_{p q}(t) f_{q}\left(z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right) \\
& \leq-2 \underline{d}_{p} z_{p}^{2}(t)-2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+2\left|z_{p}(t)\right| \sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}(t)\right|+2\left|z_{p}(t)\right| \sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right| \\
& \leq-2 \underline{d}_{p} z_{p}^{2}(t)-2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\alpha_{p}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}(t)\right|^{2}+\beta_{p}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =-\left(2 \underline{d}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{\substack{q=1, p \neq q}}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
V_{\tau_{p q}}(t)=\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} \int_{t-\tau_{p q}(t)}^{t} \omega_{p q}(s) z_{q}^{2}(s) d s
$$

Then we have

$$
V_{\tau_{p q}}^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left[\omega_{p q}(t) z_{q}^{2}(t)-z_{p}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right]
$$

Choose the Lyapunov function for 4.1) in the following form:

$$
V(t)=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left[V_{p}(t)+V_{\tau_{p q}}(t)\right] .
$$

Derivating it along the solution of (4.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\prime}(t) \leq & \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\{-\left(2 \underline{a}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left[\omega_{p q}(t) z_{q}^{2}(t)-z_{p}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\{-\left(2 \underline{d}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} \omega_{p q}(t) z_{q}^{2}(t)-\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} z_{p}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{p q}(t)\right)\right\}  \tag{4.2}\\
= & \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\{-\left(2 \underline{a}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{n} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}\left|z_{q}(t)\right|^{2}+\sum_{q=1}^{n} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} \omega_{p q}(t) z_{q}^{2}(t)\right\} \\
= & -\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\{\left(2 \underline{a}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}-\sum_{q=1}^{n}\left(\bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}+\bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} \omega_{p q}(t)\right)\left|z_{q}(t)\right|^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & -\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(2 \underline{d}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}-\gamma_{p}(t)\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Assumption (H6) yields, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $\xi_{p}-\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $T$ (enough large) such that

$$
2 \underline{d}_{p}+2 \underline{a}_{p p} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}-\gamma_{p}(t) \geq \xi_{p}-\varepsilon, \text { for all } t>T
$$

which together with (4.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(t) \leq-\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(\xi_{p}-\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{p}(t)\right|^{2}<0, \text { for all } t>T \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of 4.3 from $T$ to $+\infty$ gives

$$
V(t)+\int_{T}^{+\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(\xi_{p}-\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{p}(s)\right|^{2} d s \leq V(0)
$$

By applying the Barbalat's Lemma [1], we can have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left|z_{p}(t)\right|=0
$$

Therefore, the prove of Theorem 4.1 is completed.

## 5. An illustrative example

Example 5.1. Consider the following two-neuron complex-valued recurrent neural networks with time-varying delays:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}(t)=-D(t) z(t)+A(t) f(z(t))+B(t) f(z(t-\tau(t)))+H(t) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(t)=\binom{\frac{2}{3}+e^{-t}, 0}{0, \frac{2}{3}+e^{-t}}, A(t)=\binom{\frac{1}{5}-\frac{i}{5} \sin t, \frac{2}{5}-\frac{i}{5} \cos t}{\frac{2}{5}-\frac{i}{5} \cos t, \frac{1}{5}-\frac{i}{5} \sin t} B(t)=\binom{\frac{1}{6}-\frac{i}{6} \cos t, \frac{1}{6}-\frac{i}{6} \sin t}{\frac{1}{6}-\frac{i}{6} \sin t, \frac{1}{6}-\frac{i}{6} \cos t}, \\
& H(t)=\binom{-\frac{1}{3}+2 i \sin t}{-\frac{1}{3}+3 i \cos t}, \tau(t)=2 t, \quad f_{q}\left(z_{q}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} x_{q}+\frac{i}{2} y_{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{q}=x_{q}+i y_{q} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p, q=1,2$. Then we can see that the conditions (H1)-(H4) are satisfied. Thus, by applying Theorem 3.1 3.4, 5.1) has at least one periodic solution and one homoclinic solution.

Furthermore, for any $x_{q}=x_{q}^{R}+i x_{q}^{I} \in \mathbb{C}, y_{q}=y_{q}^{R}+i y_{q}^{I} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $q=1,2$, we have

$$
\left|f_{q}\left(x_{q}\right)-f_{q}\left(y_{q}\right)\right|=\left|-\frac{1}{2} x_{q}^{R}+\frac{i}{2} x_{q}^{I}+\frac{1}{2} y_{q}^{R}-\frac{i}{2} y_{q}^{I}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left|x_{q}^{R}-y_{q}^{R}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{q}^{I}-y_{q}^{I}\right|^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left|x_{q}-y_{q}\right|
$$

and

$$
f_{q}\left(z_{q}\right) z_{q}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} x_{q}+\frac{i}{2} y_{q}\right) \cdot\left(x_{q}+i y_{q}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|x_{q}\right|^{2}+\left|y_{q}\right|^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left|z_{q}\right|^{2} .
$$

Then, we have $L_{q}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta=\frac{1}{2}$, which implies that (H5) holds. Moreover, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{a}_{p}=\frac{2}{3}, \bar{a}_{p p}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}, \bar{a}_{p q}=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}, \bar{b}_{p q}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}, \alpha_{p}=\sum_{q=1, p \neq q}^{2} \bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{10}, \beta_{p}=\sum_{q=1}^{2} \bar{b}_{p q} L_{q}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}, \\
& \omega_{p q}(t)=-1, \gamma_{p}(t):=\sum_{q=1}^{2}\left(\bar{a}_{p q} L_{q}+\bar{b}_{p q} L_{q} \omega_{p q}(t)\right)=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{10}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{12},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{p} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \inf \left[2 \underline{d}_{p}+2{\underset{a}{p p}} \eta-\alpha_{p}-\beta_{p}-\gamma_{p}(t)\right]=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \inf \left[\frac{4}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{10}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{10}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}\right] \\
& =\frac{4}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that the solution of (5.1) is globally asymptotic stable.
Remark 5.2. Though [5], [17], [21], [22], [28] the complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays are studied, the methods using in [5], [17], [21], [22], [28] to obtain the periodic solutions are Matrix measure method, different approaches or Brouwer's fixed point theorem, which are different from the methods using in this paper. In this paper, we use an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem. Moreover, the problem of existence of homoclinic solution is not touched in the references and hence the results there cannot directly be applied to (5.1) either.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we are concerned with the complex-valued neural networks with time-varying delays. The results on the existence of at least one homoclinic solution and periodic solution have been completely established by means of an extension of Mawhin's continuation theorem and an approximation technique, the global exponential stability of the solutions are further obtained by applying the Lyapunov function. It must be mentioned that it is the first time to discuss the existence of homoclinic solutions for the complexvalued neural networks with time-varying delays and the methods of obtaining the periodic solutions in this paper are different from the corresponding ones in the literature. So, the results established in the present paper are essentially new and can improve and extend previous works.

The proposed results in the paper can be applied to the impulsive or stochastic complex-valued neural networks and further consider the fixed-time stability of the homoclinic solutions and periodic solutions, some related papers can be referred, such as [3], [10], [11]. These are the further researches.
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