



Subordination results for some subclasses of analytic functions using generalized q -Dziok-Srivastava-Catas operator

R. M. El-Ashwah^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Damietta University New Damietta, Egypt

Abstract. We introduce two classes of analytic functions related to conic domains, using a new generalized q -Dziok-Srivastava-Catas operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $r \leq s + 1$; $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 < q < 1$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\ell \geq 0$). Basic properties of these classes are studied, such as coefficients estimate. For these new function classes, we establish subordination theorems and also, point out some new and known consequences of our main results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let A denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

For functions $f(z) \in A$, given by (1.1), and $g(z) \in A$ defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Definition 1.1. [10, Chapter 6, p. 190] (Subordination Principle). For two functions f and g , analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{U} , and write

$$f < g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50

Keywords. Uniformly convex function, Subordination, Conic domain, q -Dziok-Srivastava-Catas operator

Received: 08 January 2022; Revised: 10 April 2022; Accepted: 17 June 2022

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email address: r_elashwah@yahoo.com (R. M. El-Ashwah)

if there exists a Schwarz function $\varphi(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\varphi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\varphi(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Given η ($0 \leq \eta < 1$), a function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class of starlike functions of order η in \mathbb{U} , denoted by $\mathcal{ST}(\eta)$, (see [32]) if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \eta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \eta < 1).$$

On the other hand, a function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{CV}(\eta)$ of convex functions of order η in \mathbb{U} if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \eta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \eta < 1).$$

In particular, the classes $\mathcal{CV} \equiv \mathcal{CV}(0)$ and $\mathcal{ST} \equiv \mathcal{ST}(0)$ are, respectively, the familiar classes of convex and starlike functions in \mathbb{U} .

A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class of uniformly convex functions of order η and type δ , denoted by $\mathcal{UCV}(\delta, \eta)$ (see [7]) if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \eta \right\} > \delta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|,$$

where $\delta \geq 0$, $\eta \in [-1, 1)$ and $\delta + \eta \geq 0$ and is said to be in a corresponding class denoted by $\mathcal{SP}(\delta, \eta)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \eta \right\} > \delta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|,$$

where $\delta \geq 0$, $\eta \in [-1, 1)$ and $\delta + \eta \geq 0$.

It is obvious that $f(z) \in \mathcal{UCV}(\delta, \eta)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{SP}(\delta, \eta)$. These classes generalize various other classes. For $\delta = 0$, we get, respectively, the classes $\mathcal{CV}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{ST}(\eta)$. The class $\mathcal{UCV}(1, 0) \equiv \mathcal{UCV}$ is called uniformly convex functions introduced by Goodman with geometric interpretation in [16]. The class $\mathcal{SP}(1, 0) \equiv \mathcal{SP}$ is defined by Ronning in [33]. The classes $\mathcal{UCV}(1, \eta) \equiv \mathcal{UCV}(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{SP}(1, \eta) \equiv \mathcal{SP}(\eta)$ are investigated by Ronning in [34]. For $\eta = 0$, the classes $\mathcal{UCV}(\delta, 0) \equiv \delta - \mathcal{UCV}$ and $\mathcal{SP}(\delta, 0) \equiv \delta - \mathcal{SP}$, respectively, are defined by Kanas and Wisniowska in [23] and [24] (see also Kanas and Srivastava [22]).

Geometric interpretation [2] (see also [42]). $f \in \mathcal{UCV}(\delta, \eta)$ and $f \in \mathcal{SP}(\delta, \eta)$ if and only if $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ and $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$, respectively, take all the values in the conic domain $R_{\delta, \eta}$ which is included in the right half plane such that

$$R_{\delta, \eta} = \left\{ u + iv : u > \delta \sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \eta \right\}. \tag{2}$$

Denote by $\rho(P_{\delta, \eta})$ ($\delta \geq 0, -1 \leq \eta < 1$), the family of functions p , such that $p \in \rho$ and $p < P_{\delta, \eta}$ in \mathbb{U} , where ρ denotes the well-known class of Caratheodory functions and the function $P_{\delta, \eta}$ maps the unit disc conformally onto the domain $R_{\delta, \eta}$ such that $1 \in R_{\delta, \eta}$ and $\partial R_{\delta, \eta}$ is a curve defined by the equality

$$\partial R_{\delta,\eta} = \left\{ u + iv : u^2 = \left(\delta \sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2 + \eta} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

From elementary computations we see that $\partial R_{\delta,\eta}$ represents the conic sections symmetric about the real axis. Thus $R_{\delta,\eta}$ is an elliptic domain for $\delta > 1$, a parabolic domain for $\delta = 1$, a hyperbolic domain for $0 < \delta < 1$ and a right half plane $u > \eta$ for $\delta = 0$.

The functions, which play the role of extremal functions of the class $\rho(P_{\delta,\eta})$, were obtained in [2] as follows:

$$P_{\delta,\eta}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+(1-2\eta)z}{1-z} & \delta = 0, \\ 1 + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right)^2 & \delta = 1, \\ \frac{1-\eta}{1-\delta^2} \cos \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \delta \right) i \log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right\} - \frac{\delta^2-\eta}{1-\delta^2} & 0 < \delta < 1, \\ \frac{(1-\eta)}{\delta^2-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2K(t)} \right) \int_0^{\frac{u(z)}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-t^2x^2}} dx + \frac{\delta^2-\eta}{\delta^2-1} & \delta > 1, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $u(z) = \frac{z-\sqrt{t}}{1-\sqrt{tz}}$, $t \in (0, 1)$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and t is chosen such that $\delta = \cosh \frac{\pi K'(t)}{4K(t)}$, $K(t)$ is Legendre’s complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $K'(t)$ is complementary integral of $K(t)$.

For $\delta = 0$ obviously $P_{0,\eta}(z) = 1 + 2(1-\eta)z + 2(1-\eta)z^2 + \dots$, for $\delta = 1$ (compare [28] and [34]) $P_{1,\eta}(z) = 1 + \frac{8}{\pi^2}(1-\eta)z + \frac{16}{3\pi^2}(1-\eta)z^2 + \dots$, by comparing Taylor series expansion in [25], we get for $0 < \delta < 1$

$$P_{\delta,\eta}(z) = 1 + \frac{(1-\eta)}{1-\delta^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{2k} 2^l \binom{B}{l} \binom{2k-1}{2k-l} \right] z^k,$$

where $B = \frac{2}{\pi} \cos^{-1} \delta$ and for $\delta > 1$,

$$P_{\delta,\eta}(z) = 1 + \frac{\pi^2(1-\eta)}{4\sqrt{t}(\delta^2-1)K^2(t)(1+t)} \times \left\{ z + \frac{4K^2(t)(t^2+6t+1) - \pi^2}{24\sqrt{t}K^2(t)(1+t)} z^2 + \dots \right\}.$$

In the recent years, practical applications of q -calculus (quantum calculus) in the fields of q -difference equation, optimal control, q -transform analysis and number theory are an efficient area of research. Jackson [19, 20] was the successful first to develop q -integral and q -derivative in a systematic way and later geometrical interpretation of the q -analysis has been recognized through studies of quantum groups.

Fractional calculus appears more and more frequently for the modelling of relevant systems in several fields of applied sciences. Fractional q -calculus is the q -extension of ordinary fractional calculus. Researchers have claimed it to construct and investigated several classes of analytic and bi-univalent functions and their interesting results are extremely numerous to discuss.

Definition 1.2. Jackson [19] defined the q -derivative of a function $f(z)$ of the form (1) as follows

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1} \quad (z \neq 0), \tag{4}$$

where

$$[k]_q = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} q^l = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{k-1} & (k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \\ 0 & (k = 0) \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(z) = f'(z).$$

A q -analog of the class of starlike functions was first introduced by Ismail et al. [18] by means of the q -difference operator $D_q f(z)$, $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 < q < 1$. Also, several authors studied many applications of q -calculus and its generalization associated with various families of analytic and univalent (or multivalent) functions (for example see [26, 31, 39, 43, 45, 46]).

In 2004, Gasper and Rahman [15, Page 4] defined a q -hypergeometric series which is given by

$${}_r\varphi_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi_1; q)_k (\xi_2; q)_k \dots (\xi_r; q)_k}{(q; q)_k (\zeta_1; q)_k (\zeta_2; q)_k \dots (\zeta_s; q)_k} [(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}]^{1+s-r} z^k,$$

where $\binom{k}{2} = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r \leq s + 1$, $q \neq 0$, ξ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) and ζ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) are complex numbers, $\zeta_j \neq q^{-n}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) are such that the denominator factors in the series are never zero.

Definition 1.3. [37] For $v, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, q -shifted factorial is defined by

$$(v; q)_0 = 1, \quad (v; q)_k = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} (1 - vq^l), \tag{6}$$

and in terms of the basic (or q -) gamma function

$$(q^v; q)_k = \frac{(1 - q)^k \Gamma_q(v + k)}{\Gamma_q(v)} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where the q -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(1 - q)^{1-x} (q; q)_\infty}{(q^x; q)_\infty} \quad (|q| < 1, x \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

where

$$(v; q)_\infty = \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} (1 - vq^l) \quad |q| < 1.$$

For the q -gamma function $\Gamma_q(x)$, it is known that (see [15])

$$\Gamma_q(x + 1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$$

where $[x]_q$ denotes by (5). It is also known that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(q^v; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n} = (v)_n = v(v + 1)(v + 2) \dots (v + n - 1).$$

Note that the series ${}_r\varphi_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; q, z)$ converges absolutely for all z if $r \leq s$ and for $|z| < 1$ if $r = s + 1$. Further, note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} {}_r\varphi_s(q^{\xi_1}, \dots, q^{\xi_r}, q^{\zeta_1}, \dots, q^{\zeta_s}; q, (q - 1)^{1+s-r} z) = {}_r\mathfrak{F}_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; z)$$

which is a well known generalized hypergeometric functions [15].

Corresponding to the function ${}_r\varphi_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; q, z)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_rH_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; q, z) &= z {}_r\varphi_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; q, z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi_1; q)_{k-1}(\xi_2; q)_{k-1} \dots (\xi_r; q)_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{k-1}(\zeta_1; q)_{k-1}(\zeta_2; q)_{k-1} \dots (\zeta_s; q)_{k-1}} \left[(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \right]^{1+s-r} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Bhardwaj and Sharma [8] are defined a linear operator $\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q) = \mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_i; \zeta_j; q, z) : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)f(z) = {}_rH_s(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s; q, z) * f(z). \tag{7}$$

For a function $f(z)$ of the form (1), the series expansion of $\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)f(z)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi_1; q)_{k-1}(\xi_2; q)_{k-1} \dots (\xi_r; q)_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{k-1}(\zeta_1; q)_{k-1}(\zeta_2; q)_{k-1} \dots (\zeta_s; q)_{k-1}} \left[(-1)^{k-1} q^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \right]^{1+s-r} a_k z^k \tag{8}$$

which converges absolutely in \mathbb{U} if $r \leq s + 1$. The operator $\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)$ is called a q -analogue of Dziok-Srivastava operator.

Let

$$\Gamma(\xi_1; q, k) = \frac{(\xi_1; q)_{k-1}(\xi_2; q)_{k-1} \dots (\xi_r; q)_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{k-1}(\zeta_1; q)_{k-1}(\zeta_2; q)_{k-1} \dots (\zeta_s; q)_{k-1}} \left[(-1)^{k-1} q^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \right]^{1+s-r},$$

then (8) reduces to

$$\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(\xi_1; q, k) a_k z^k. \tag{9}$$

We introduce the linear extended q -analogue of Dziok-Srivastava-Catas operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}$ as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{0,s,r} f(z) &= f(z) \\ \mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{1,s,r} f(z) &= (1 - \tau)\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)f(z) + \frac{\tau}{[1 + \ell]_q z^{\ell-1}} D_q(z^\ell \mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q)f(z)) = \mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{s,r} f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + \ell]_q + \tau([k + \ell]_q - [1 + \ell]_q)}{[1 + \ell]_q} \Gamma(\xi_1; q, k) a_k z^k \\ &\vdots \\ \mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) &= \mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{s,r} (\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m-1,s,r} f(z)) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\tau \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$. It follows from (1) and (10) that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) a_k z^k \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) = \left[\frac{[1 + \ell]_q + \tau([k + \ell]_q - [1 + \ell]_q)}{[1 + \ell]_q} \Gamma(\xi_1; q, k) \right]^m. \tag{12}$$

By virtue of (7) and (11), $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)$ can be written in terms of convolution as follows:

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) = \underbrace{\left[\left(\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q) \star \mathfrak{G}_{\ell,\tau}^q(z) \right) \star \dots \star \left(\mathfrak{H}_s^r(\xi_1; q) \star \mathfrak{G}_{\ell,\tau}^q(z) \right) \right]}_{m\text{-times}} \star f(z)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\ell,\tau}^q(z) = \frac{z - \left(1 - \frac{q^\ell}{[1+\ell]_q} \tau\right) qz^2}{(1-z)(1-qz)}.$$

Remark 1.4. Note that the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}$ generalizes several previously studied familiar operators, and we will mention some of the interesting particular cases as follows:

- (i) For $r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = q^2, \xi_2 = q, \zeta_1 = q^{2-\varrho}$, and $\ell = 0$ we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau}^{\varrho,m}$ studied by Abelman et al. [1] (see also [27, with $\ell = 0$]);
- (ii) For $r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = q^2, \xi_2 = q, \zeta_1 = q^{2-\varrho}, \tau = \ell = 0$ and $m = 1$ we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,z}^{\varrho}$ studied by Purohit and Raina [29];
- (iii) For $r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = q^{1+\lambda} (\lambda > -1), \xi_2 = q, \zeta_1 = q, \tau = \ell = 0$ and $m = 1$ we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\lambda+1}$ studied by Kanas and Raducanu [21];
- (iv) For $r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = \xi_2 = q, \zeta_1 = q^{n+1} (n > -1), \ell = \tau = 0$ and $m = 1$ we obtain the operator \mathfrak{F}_q^{n+1} studied by Arif et al. [5];
- (v) For $r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = \xi_2 = \zeta_1 = q$ we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{Z}_q^m(\tau, \ell)$ studied by Aouf and Madian [4];
- (vi) For $r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = \xi_2 = \zeta_1 = q, \ell = 0$ and $\tau = 1$ we obtain the operator \mathfrak{Z}_q^m studied by Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian [17];
- (vii) For $q \rightarrow 1^-$ we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}$ studied by El-Ashwah et al. [13];
- (viii) For $q \rightarrow 1^-, r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = 2, \xi_2 = 1, \zeta_1 = 2 - \alpha (\alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ and $\ell = 0$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\tau,0}^{m,1,2} f(z) = \mathfrak{D}_{\tau}^{m,\alpha} f(z)$ studied by Al-Oboudi and Al-Amoudi [3];
- (ix) For $q \rightarrow 1^-, r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = a (a > 0), \xi_2 = 1, \zeta_1 = c (c > 0)$ and $\ell = 0$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\tau,0}^{m,1,2} f(z) = I_{\tau,a,c}^m f(z)$ studied by Prajapat and Raina [30];
- (x) For $q \rightarrow 1^-, r = 2, s = 1$ and $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \zeta_1 = 1$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\tau,\ell}^{m,1,2} f(z) = I^m(\tau, \ell) f(z)$ studied by Catas [9];
- (xi) For $q \rightarrow 1^-, m = 1$ and $\tau = \ell = 0$, we obtain the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{0,0}^{1,s,r} f(z) = H_{s,r}(\xi_1)$ studied by Dziok and Srivastava [11, 12].

Making use of the linear extended q -analogue of Dziok-Srivastava-Catas operator given by (11), we introduce the subclass $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ of q -uniformly starlike functions of order η and type δ in \mathbb{U} and the subclass $\mathfrak{X}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ of q -uniformly convex functions of order η and type δ in \mathbb{U} as follows:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zD_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - \eta \right) > \delta \left| \frac{zD_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - 1 \right| \tag{13}$$

$(0 < q < 1, \delta \geq 0, \eta \in [-1, 1), \eta + \delta \geq 0),$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D_q \left(zD_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right) \right)}{D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)} - \eta \right) > \delta \left| \frac{D_q \left(zD_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right) \right)}{D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)} - 1 \right| \tag{14}$$

$(0 < q < 1, \delta \geq 0, \eta \in [-1, 1), \eta + \delta \geq 0).$

respectively, where $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r \leq s + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\ell \geq 0$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. From (13) and (14), it follows that

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \in \mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) \iff zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta), \tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta).$$

Note that:

- (i) $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,1,2}(q, q; q; \eta, 0) = \mathcal{S}_{q,m}^*(\tau, \ell, \eta)$ (see [4]);
- (ii) $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,0,0}^{1,1,2}(q^{\lambda+1}, q; q; \eta, \delta) = \mathcal{ST}(\lambda, \delta, \eta, q)$ ($\lambda > -1$) (see [21]);
- (iii) $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,0,0}^{1,1,2}(q, q; q^{n+1}; \eta, 0) = \mathcal{Q}(n, \eta, q)$ ($n > -1$) (see [5, with $A = 1 - 2\eta$ and $B = -1$]);
- (iii) $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,1,0}^{m,1,2}(q, q; q; \eta, \delta) = \mathcal{S}_q(\eta, \delta, m)$ (see [17]);
- (iv) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{Y}_{\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) = \mathcal{SP}_{\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) = \mathcal{UCV}_{\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ (see [13]);
- (v) For $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and different choices of the parameters $r, s, \xi_1, \zeta_1, \ell, \tau, \eta, \delta$ and m , we will obtain special subclasses which studied by various authors (see [3, 14, 35, 36, 41, 44]).

From geometric interpretation, (13) and (14), $f(z) \in \mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ and $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}(z) = \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z))}$ and $\mathcal{P}(z) = \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)}$, respectively, take all values in the conic domain $R_{\delta,\eta}$ given in (2) which is included in right half plane, we may rewrite the conditions (13) and (14) in the form

$$\mathcal{P} < P_{\delta,\eta}$$

where the functions $P_{\delta,\eta}$ given in (3).

By virtue of (13), (14) and the properties of the domain $P_{\delta,\eta}$, we have, respectively

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z))} \right\} > \frac{\delta + \eta}{1 + \delta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} \right\} > \frac{\delta + \eta}{1 + \delta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which means that

$$f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \in \mathcal{ST} \left(\frac{\delta + \eta}{1 + \delta} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{ST}.$$

and

$$f(z) \in \mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \in \mathcal{CV} \left(\frac{\delta + \eta}{1 + \delta} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{CV},$$

Definition 1.5. (Subordinating Factor Sequence). An infinite sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever $f(z)$ of the form (1) is analytic, univalent and convex in \mathbb{U} , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k c_k z^k < f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; a_1 = 1). \tag{16}$$

A finite sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if (1) implies (16) whenever $c_{n+1} = c_{n+2} = \dots = 0$. The class of such infinite sequences, will be denote by \mathcal{F} , and the class of sequences of length n by \mathcal{F}_n .

Lemma 1.6. [47, p. 690, Theorem 2] The sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of complex numbers is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k z^k \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

2. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume throughout the paper that $0 < q < 1$, $-1 \leq \eta < 1$, $\delta \geq 0$, $\delta + \eta \geq 0$, $\tau, \ell \geq 0$, $r, s, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r \leq s + 1$, $\xi_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$, and $\zeta_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, s)$ are positive and real.

First, we obtain sufficient conditions for a function to belong to the classes $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$.

Theorem 2.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1) is in $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty ([k]_q (1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| |a_k| \leq 1 - \eta, \tag{17}$$

where $\Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1)$ is defined by (12).

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\delta \left| \frac{z D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - 1 \right) < 1 - \eta.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \left| \frac{z D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - 1 \right) \\ & \leq (1 + \delta) \left| \frac{z D_q \left(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z) \right)}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \delta) \sum_{k=2}^\infty ([k]_q - 1) \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| |a_k| |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^\infty \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| |a_k| |z|^{k-1}} \\ & < \frac{(1 + \delta) \sum_{k=2}^\infty ([k]_q - 1) \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^\infty \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \eta)$ if (17) is satisfied. \square

By virtue of (15) and Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.2. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1) is in $\mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left[[k]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta) \right] \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| |a_k| \leq 1 - \eta, \tag{18}$$

where $\Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1)$ is defined by (12).

Remark 2.3.

- (i) Taking $m = 1, r = 2, s = 1, \xi_1 = q^{\lambda+1} (\lambda > -1), \xi_2 = \zeta_1 = q$ and $\ell = \tau = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the results obtained by Kanas and Raducanu [21];
- (ii) Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, we obtain the results obtained by El-Ashwah et al. [13].

Second, In view of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, we define $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) \subset \mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ which consists of functions $f \in A$ whose coefficients satisfy the inequalities (17) and (18), respectively. Now, we investigate some subordination results for the functions in the classes $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ employing the technique used earlier by Attiya [6] and Srivastava and Attiya [40].

Theorem 2.4. Let the function $f(z) \in A$ defined by (1) be in the class $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$. Then

$$\frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta) \right\}} (f * \phi)(z) < \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \phi \in \mathcal{CV}), \tag{19}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \{f(z)\} > - \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{20}$$

The constant $\frac{([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1-\eta) \right\}}$ is the best estimate.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$, and let $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ be any function in the class \mathcal{CV} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta) \right\}} (f * \phi)(z) \\ &= \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta) \right\}} \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Definition 1.5, the assertion of the theorem will hold if the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta) \right\}} a_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordination factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. In view of Lemma 1.6, this equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} a_k z^k \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{21}$$

Since $\Phi(\kappa) = ([k]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|$ is an increasing function of k ($k > 2$). Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} a_k z^k \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} z \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} a_k z^k \right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} r \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,k}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} |a_k| r^k \\ &> 1 - \frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} r \\ & \quad - \frac{(1 - \eta)}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta)} r = 1 - r > 0 \quad (|z| = r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (21) holds true in \mathbb{U} . This prove (19), (20) follows by taking $\phi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in (19).

Now we consider the function $f_0(z) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$ given by

$$f_0(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \eta)}{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|} z^2 \quad (-1 \leq \eta < 1; \delta \geq 0)$$

which is a member of the class $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$, then by using (19), we have

$$\frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta) \right\}} f_0(z) < \frac{z}{1-z}.$$

It can be easily verified that

$$\min_{|z| \leq 1} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right|}{2 \left\{ ([2]_q(1 + \delta) - (\delta + \eta)) \left| \Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau, \ell, \xi_1) \right| + (1 - \eta) \right\}} f_0(z) \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then the constant $\frac{([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|}{2\{([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|+(1-\eta)\}}$ is the best possible. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let the function $f(z) \in A$ defined by (1) be in the class $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta)$. Then

$$\frac{[2]_q([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|}{2\{[2]_q([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|+(1-\eta)\}}(f * \phi)(z) < \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \phi \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)\} > -\frac{[2]_q([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|+(1-\eta)}{[2]_q([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The constant $\frac{[2]_q([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|}{2\{[2]_q([2]_q(1+\delta)-(\delta+\eta))|\Theta_{q,2}^m(\tau,\ell,\xi_1)|+(1-\eta)\}}$ is the best estimate.

Remark 2.6.

- (i) Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain the results which studied by El-Ashwah et al. [13];
- (ii) For different choices on $f \in A, r, s, \xi_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ and $\zeta_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, s), \ell, \tau, m$ and q , we will obtain several results analogous to special cases of the operator mentioned in Remark 1.4 and the classes given by (13) and (14) (see [13]).

3. Conclusion

In our investigation, we generalized the fractional q -calculus and q -Hypergeometric function to define the linear convolution q -Dziok-Srivastava-Catas operator $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r} (m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}, r \leq s+1; r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1, \tau \geq 0, \ell \geq 0)$. Using this operator we defined and study the subclass $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) (\delta \geq 0, \eta \in [-1, 1), \eta + \delta \geq 0)$ of q -uniformly starlike functions of order η and type δ in \mathbb{U} and the subclass $\mathfrak{R}_{q,\tau,\ell}^{m,s,r}(\xi_1; \zeta_1; \eta, \delta) (\delta \geq 0, \eta \in [-1, 1), \eta + \delta \geq 0)$ of q -uniformly convex functions of order η and type δ in \mathbb{U} . We have derived their associated coefficient estimates. For these function classes, we establish subordination theorems and also, point out some new and known consequences of the results. There are some obvious connection between the classical q -analysis, which we used here, and the so-called (p, q) -analysis. Specifically, we can see that the results for the q -analogues, which we have considered in this article for $0 < q < 1$, can easily be translated into the corresponding results for the (p, q) -analogues (with $0 < p, q < 1$) by applying some obvious parametric and argument variations, for details about the fractional (p, q) -calculus see [37, p. 340] and [38, p. 511-512].

4. Acknowledgments

The author would like to express her sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable comments, which helped improve the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. Abelman, K. A. Selvakumaran, M. M. Rashidi and S. D. Purohit, Subordination conditions for a class of non-bazilevič type defined by using fractional q -calculus operators, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, 32 (2017), no. 2, 255-267.
- [2] R. Aghalary and Gh. Azadi, The Dziok–Srivastava operator and k -uniformly starlike functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 6(2005), no. 2, Art. 52, 1-7.
- [3] F. M. Al-Oboudi and K. A. Al-Amoudi, On classes of analytic functions related to conic domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 339(2008), 655-667.
- [4] M. K. Aouf and S. M. Madian, Subordination factor sequence results for starlike and convex classes defined by Catas operator, *Afr. Mat.*, 32(2021), 1239-1251.
- [5] M. Arif, M. U. Haq and J. L. Liu, A subfamily of univalent functions associated with analogue of Noor integral operator, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2018, Art. ID 3818915, 5 pages.
- [6] A. A. Attiya, On some applications of a subordination theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 311(2005), no. 2, 489-494.
- [7] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang J. Math.* 28(1997), no.1, 17-32.
- [8] H. Bhardwaj and P. Sharma, An application of q -hypergeometric series, *GANITA* 27(2021), no.1, 161-169.
- [9] A. Catas, On certain classes of p -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications: GFTA 2007 Proceedings (Istanbul, Turkey; 20-24 August 2007)* (S. Owa and Y. Polatoğlu, Editors), pp. 241–250, TC İstanbul Kültür University Publications, Vol. 91, TC İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, Turkey, 2008.
- [10] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259 springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [11] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Applied Math. Comput.* 103(1999), 1-13.
- [12] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 14 (2003), no. 1, 7-18.
- [13] R. M. El-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf, A. Shamy and E. E. Ali, Subordination results for some subclasses of analytic functions, *Math. Bohem.*, 136(2011), no. 3, 311-331.
- [14] B. A. Frasin, Subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by linear operator, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7(2006), no. 4, Art. 134, 1-7.
- [15] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, 2ed. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [16] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 56(1991), 87-92.
- [17] M. Govindaraj and S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving q -calculus, *Anal. Math.* 43(2017), no. 3, 475–487.
- [18] M. E. Ismail, E. Merkes and D. Styer, A generalization of starlike functions, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, 14(1990), 77-84.
- [19] F. H. Jackson, On q -definite integrals, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41(1910), no. 15, 193-203.
- [20] F. H. Jackson, q -difference equations, *Amer. J. Math.*, 32(1910), no. 4, 305-314.
- [21] S. Kanas and D. Raducanu, Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains, *Math. Slovaca*, 64(2014), no. 5, 1183–1196.
- [22] S. Kanas and H. M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k -uniformly convex functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 9(2000), no. 2, 121–132.
- [23] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k -uniform convexity, *Comput. Appl. Math.* 105(1999), 327–336.
- [24] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, Conic domains and starlike functions, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 45(2000), no. 3, 647–657.
- [25] S. Kanas and T. Yaguchi, Subclasses of k -uniformly convex and starlike functions defined by generalized derivative, II, *Publ. Inst. Math.* 69(2001), no. 83, 91–100.
- [26] B. Khan, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, Q. Z. Ahmad and M. Tahir, Applications of certain conic domains to a subclass of q -starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions, *Symmetry*, 13 (2021), Art. 574, 1–18
- [27] W. Y. Kota and R. M. El-Ashwah, Some applications of subordination theorems associated with fractional q -calculus operator, *Math. Bohem.*, DOI: 10.21136/MB.2022.0047-21.
- [28] W. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 57(1992), 165–175.
- [29] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with fractional q -calculus operators, *Math. Scand.*, 109(1) (2011), 55-70.
- [30] J. K. Prajapat and R. K. Raina, Subordination theorem for a certain subclass of analytic functions involving a linear multiplier operator, *Indian J. Math.* 51(2009), no. 2, 267-276.
- [31] M. S. Rehman, Q. Z. Ahmad, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus and M. Tahir, Coefficient inequalities for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with conic domain, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2020 (2020), Art. 179, 1–17.
- [32] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. Math. J.*, 37(1936), no.2, 374-408.
- [33] F. Ronning, On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, 45(1991), no. 14, 117-122.
- [34] F. Ronning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(1993), no.1, 189-196.
- [35] T. Rosy and G. Murugsundarmoorthy, Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* 15(2004), no. 2, 231-242.
- [36] T. Rosy, K. G. Subramanian and G. Murugsundarmoorthy, Neighbourhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivatives, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 4(2003), no. 4, Art. 64, 1-19.

- [37] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A: Sci.*, 44(2020), 327–344.
- [38] H. M. Srivastava, Some parametric and argument variations of the operators of fractional calculus and related special functions and integral transformations, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 22(2021), 1501–1520.
- [39] H. M. Srivastava, Z. Ahmad, N. Khan, N. Khan and B. Khan, Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for a subclass of q -starlike functions associated with a general conic domain, *Mathematics* 7 (2019), Art. 181, 1-15.
- [40] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, Some subordination result associated with certain subclasses of analytic function, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 5(2004), no. 4, Art. 82, 1-6.
- [41] H. M. Srivastava, S. Hussain, I. Ahmad and S. G. Ali-Shah, Coefficient bounds for analytic and bi-univalent functions associated with some conic domains, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 23 (2022), 741–753.
- [42] H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, M. T. Rahim, Q. Z. Ahmad and Y. Zeb, Properties of spiral-like close-to-convex functions associated with conic domains, *Mathematics*, 7 (2019), Art. 706, 1–12.
- [43] H. M. Srivastava, B. Khan, N. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad and M. Tahir, A generalized conic domain and its applications to certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 49 (2019), no. 7, 2325–2346.
- [44] H. M. Srivastava and A. K. Mishra, Applications of fractional calculus to parabolic starlike and uniformly convex functions *Comput. Math. Appl.* 39(2000), 57-69.
- [45] H. M. Srivastava, T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, A generalized conic domain and its applications to certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with the basic (or q -) calculus, *AIMS Mathematics*, 6(2021), no. 6, 6580–6602.
- [46] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Wanas and R. Srivastava, Applications of the q -Srivastava-Attiya operator involving a certain family of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials, *Symmetry* 13 (2021), Art. 1230, 1-14.
- [47] H. S. Wilf, Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1961), 689-693.