



Existence and stability results for a coupled system of Hilfer fractional Langevin equation with non local integral boundary value conditions

Khalid Hilal^a, Ahmed Kajouni^a, Hamid Lmou^{a,*}

^aLaboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Competing, Faculty of Sciences and Technics, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Beni Mellal, Morocco.

Abstract. This paper deals with the existence and uniqueness of solution for a coupled system of Hilfer fractional Langevin equation with non local integral boundary value conditions. The novelty of this work is that it is more general than the works based on the derivative of Caputo and Riemann-Liouville, because when $\beta = 0$ we find the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and when $\beta = 1$ we find the Caputo fractional derivative. Initially, we give some definitions and notions that will be used throughout the work, after that we will establish the existence and uniqueness results by employing the fixed point theorems. Finally, we investigate different kinds of stability such as Ulam-Hyers stability, generalized Ulam-Hyers stability.

1. Introduction

The theory of fractional derivatives plays a very important role in modeling problems and describing natural phenomena in different fields such as physics, biology, finance, economics, and bioengineering [2, 5, 6, 12, 14, 17]. With the recent outstanding development in fractional differential equations, the Langevin equation has been considered a part of fractional calculus [3, 4, 10], an equation of the form $m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \lambda \frac{dx}{dt} + \eta(t)$ is called Langevin equation, introduced by Paul Langevin in 1908. The Langevin equation is found to be an effective tool to describe the evolution of physical phenomena in fluctuating environments [18]. For some new developments on the fractional Langevin equation, see, for example, [9, 10].

In the literature, there are several definitions of fractional derivative , among them we find the one of Caputo and Riemann-Liouville. In [11] Hilfer introduced the generalization of these two derivatives named by Hilfer fractional derivative of order α and type $\beta \in [0, 1]$, when $\beta = 0$ we find the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and when $\beta = 1$ we find the Caputo fractional derivative. Many papers have studied coupled systems for fractional differential equations, recently S.K.Ntouyas [16], studied the existence of the

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A33, 39B82

Keywords. Hilfer fractional derivative, Coupled systems, Fractional Langevin equation, Fixed point.

Received: 31 January 2022; Revised: 10 June 2022; Accepted: 13 June 2022

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

* Corresponding author: Hamid Lmou

Email addresses: hilalkhalid2005@yahoo.fr (Khalid Hilal), kajjouni@gmail.com (Ahmed Kajouni), hamid.lmou@usms.ma (Hamid Lmou)

solution for a coupled system given by

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D^{\alpha, \beta} x(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in [a, b], \\ {}^H D^{p, q} y(t) = g(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in [a, b], \\ x(a) = 0, \quad x(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i (I^{\chi_i}(y))(\theta_i), \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y(b) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tau_j (I^{\zeta_j}(x))(\kappa_j). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where ${}^H D^{\alpha, \beta}$, ${}^H D^{p, q}$, are the Hilfer fractional derivative of order α, p , such that $1 < \alpha, p < 2$ and parameter β, q , such that $0 < \beta, q < 1$, $a \geq 0$, I^{χ_i}, I^{ζ_j} are the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order χ_i and ζ_j respectively $\chi_i, \zeta_j > 0$, the points $\theta_i, \kappa_j \in [a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\eta_i, \tau_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. The author proved the existence and uniqueness results by using, Leray-schauder alternative, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, and Banach' fixed point theorem.

Motivated by the work above, we investigate the existence and uniqueness criteria for the solution of the following nonlocal coupled system of Hilfer fractional Langevin equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} ({}^H D^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} + \lambda_1) x(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in [a, b], \\ {}^H D^{p_1, q_1} ({}^H D^{p_2, q_2} + \lambda_2) y(t) = g(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in [a, b], \\ x(a) = 0, \quad x(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (I^{\nu_i}(y))(\eta_i), \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y(b) = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j (I^{\sigma_j}(x))(\xi_j). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Where ${}^H D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i}$, ${}^H D^{p_i, q_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ are the Hilfer fractional derivative of order α_i, p_i , such that $0 < \alpha_i, p_i < 1$ and parameter β_i, q_i , such that $0 < \beta_i, q_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 0$, I^{ν_i}, I^{σ_j} are the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order ν_i and σ_j respectively $\nu_i, \sigma_j > 0$, the points $\eta_i, \xi_j \in [a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\mu_i, \omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions.

This work is organized as follows : In section 2, we recall some basic concepts of fractional calculus. In section 3, we prove the first existence result using Laray-Schauder alternative, and by using Banach's contraction mapping principle we prove the existence and uniqueness for our system (2) as second result. In section 4 we discuss the Ulam-Hyers stability and the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of solutions for Hilfer coupled system.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic definitions and notations of fractional calculus which are needed throughout this paper.

Let $\mathcal{K} = C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ be the space equipped with the norm defined by

$\|x\| = \sup\{|x|, t \in [a, b]\}$, $(\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, and the product space $(\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}} = \|x\| + \|y\|$ for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.1. [13] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ for a continuous function $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be defined as

$$I^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds. \quad (3)$$

Provided that the right-hand side exists on (a, ∞) . Where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Gamma function defined by $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt$, $\Re(z) > 0$.

Definition 2.2. [13] The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a continuous function f is defined by

$${}^{RL}D^\alpha f(t) := D^n I^{n-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds. \quad (4)$$

Where $n-1 < \alpha < n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$, and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α .

Definition 2.3. [13] The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a continuous function f is defined by

$${}^C D^\alpha f(t) := I^{n-\alpha} D^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n f(s) ds. \quad (5)$$

Where $n-1 < \alpha < n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$, and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α .

Definition 2.4. (Hilfer fractional derivative [11]) The Hilfer fractional derivative of order α and parameter β of a function (also known as the generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative) is defined by

$${}^H D^{\alpha,\beta} f(t) = I^{\beta(n-\alpha)} D^n I^{(1-\beta)(n-\alpha)} f(t). \quad (6)$$

Where $n-1 < \alpha < n$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t > a$, $D = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)$.

Remark : When $\beta = 0$, the Hilfer fractional derivative corresponds to the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative:

$${}^H D^{\alpha,0} f(t) = D^n I^{(n-\alpha)} f(t). \quad (7)$$

While $\beta = 1$, The Hilfer fractional derivative corresponds to the Caputo fractional derivative:

$${}^H D^{\alpha,1} f(t) = I^{(n-\alpha)} D^n f(t). \quad (8)$$

The following lemma plays a fundamental role in establishing the existence results for the given problem.

Lemma 2.5. [11] Let $f \in L(a, b)$, $n-1 < \alpha \leq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $I^{(1-\beta)(n-\alpha)} f \in AC^k[a, b]$ then

$$\left(I^{\alpha H} D^{\alpha,\beta} f \right)(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{k-(n-\alpha)(1-\beta)}}{\Gamma(k-(n-\alpha)(1-\beta)+1)} \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow +a} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(I^{(1-\beta)(n-\alpha)} f \right)(t). \quad (9)$$

The following lemma deals with a linear variant of the boundary value problem (1).

Lemma 2.6. Let $a \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2, p_1, p_2 < 1$, $0 < \beta_1, \beta_2, q_1, q_2 < 0$, and $\gamma_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i - \alpha_i \beta_i$, $\delta_i = p_i + q_i - p_i q_i$, with $i = 1, 2$, $1 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq 2$, $1 < p_1 + p_2 \leq 2$ and $h_1, h_2 \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. Then the solution for the linear system of Hilfer fractional Langevin differential equations of the form:

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} ({}^H D^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} + \lambda_1) x(t) = h_1(t), & t \in [a, b], \\ {}^H D^{p_1, q_1} ({}^H D^{p_2, q_2} + \lambda_2) y(t) = h_2(t), & t \in [a, b], \\ x(a) = 0, \quad x(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (I^{\gamma_i}(y))(\eta_i), \\ y(a) = 0, \quad y(b) = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j (I^{\delta_j}(x))(\xi_j), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

is equivalent to the integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} h_1(t) - \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \left[\Phi_4 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} h_1(b) \right. \right. \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+v_i} h_2(\eta_i) + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+v_i} y(\eta_i) \Big) \\ & + \Phi_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} h_1(\xi_j) - I^{p_1+p_2} h_2(b) - \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} x(\xi_j) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(b) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) = & I^{p_1+p_2} h_2(t) - \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \left[\Phi_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} h_1(\xi_j) \right. \right. \\ & - I^{p_1+p_2} h_2(b) - \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} x(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(b) \Big) + \Phi_3 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} h_1(b) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+v_i} h_2(\eta_i) + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+v_i} y(\eta_i) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Phi_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{\delta_1+p_2+v_i-1}}{\Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2 + v_i)}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi_3 = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j)}, \quad (15)$$

$$\Phi_4 = \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{\Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)}, \quad (16)$$

$$\Lambda = \Phi_1 \Phi_4 - \Phi_2 \Phi_3 \neq 0. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Applying the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α_1 to both sides of (10) we obtain by using Lemma 2.5

$${}^H D^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} x(t) + \lambda x(t) = I^{\alpha_1} h_1(t) + \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)} (t-a)^{\gamma_1-1}, \quad (18)$$

where c_0 constant and $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_1$. Applying the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α_2 to both sides of (18) we obtain by using Lemma 2.5

$$x(t) = I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} h_1(t) - \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(t) + \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} (t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1} + \frac{c_1}{\Gamma(\gamma_2)} (t-a)^{\gamma_2-1}, \quad (19)$$

from using the boundary condition $x(a) = 0$ in (19) we obtain that $c_1 = 0$. Then, we get

$$x(t) = I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} h_1(t) - \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(t) + \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} (t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}. \quad (20)$$

In the same process, for y we get by using the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order p_1 and p_2 , respectively, on both side of the second equation in (10), and by using Lemma 2.5 we get

$$y(t) = I^{p_1+p_2}h_2(t) - \lambda_2 I^{p_2}y(t) + \frac{d_0}{\Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)}(t-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1} + \frac{d_1}{\Gamma(\delta_2)}(t-a)^{\delta_2-1}, \quad (21)$$

where d_0, d_1 are constants, and by using the boundary condition $y(a) = 0$ in (21) we obtain that $d_1 = 0$. Then we get

$$y(t) = I^{p_1+p_2}h_2(t) - \lambda_2 I^{p_2}y(t) + \frac{d_0}{\Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)}(t-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}, \quad (22)$$

from using the boundary conditions $x(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(I^{\nu_i}(y))(\eta_i)$ and

$y(b) = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j(I^{\sigma_j}(x))(\xi_j)$ in (20) and (22), we get the system

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1 c_0 - \Phi_2 d_0 = \Omega_1, \\ -\Phi_2 c_0 + \Phi_4 d_0 = \Omega_2, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = -I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}h_1(b) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}h_2(\eta_i) + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2}x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+\nu_i}y(\eta_i), \quad (24)$$

$$\Omega_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}h_1(\xi_j) - I^{p_1+p_2}h_2(b) - \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}x(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2}y(b). \quad (25)$$

Solving the system (23) we obtain

$$c_0 = \frac{\Phi_4 \Omega_1 + \Phi_2 \Omega_2}{\Phi_1 \Phi_4 - \Phi_2 \Phi_3}, \quad d_0 = \frac{\Phi_1 \Omega_2 + \Phi_3 \Omega_1}{\Phi_1 \Phi_4 - \Phi_2 \Phi_3}. \quad (26)$$

Substituting the value of c_0 , and d_0 in (20) and (22), respectively yields the solution (11) and (12). The converse follows by direct computation. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.7. (Leray-Schauder alternative [9]) Let X be a Banach space, C a closed subset of X , $\mathcal{A} : C \rightarrow C$ be a completely continuous operator. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \{x \in C : x = \theta \mathcal{A}x \quad ; \quad 0 < \theta < 1\}.$$

Then either the set $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is unbounded, or \mathcal{A} has at least one fixed point.

Theorem 2.8. (Banach fixed point theorem) Let X be a Banach space, C a closed subset of X , and $\mathcal{A} : C \rightarrow C$ be a strict contraction. Then \mathcal{A} has a unique fixed point in C

3. Main results

In view of Lemma 2.6, we define the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y)(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1(x, y)(t) \\ \mathcal{A}_2(x, y)(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(x, y)(t) &= I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(t, x(t), y(t)) - \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \\ &\times \left[\Phi_4 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(b, x(b), y(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+v_i} g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i)) \right. \right. \\ &+ \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+v_i} y(\eta_i) \left. \right) + \Phi_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j)) \right. \\ &- I^{p_1+p_2} g(b, x(b), y(b)) - \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} x(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(b) \left. \right) \left. \right], \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2(x, y)(t) &= I^{p_1+p_2} g(t, x(t), y(t)) - \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \\ &\times \left[\Phi_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j)) - I^{p_1+p_2} g(b, x(b), y(b)) \right. \right. \\ &- \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} x(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(b) \left. \right) + \Phi_3 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(b, x(b), y(b)) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+v_i} g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i)) + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+v_i} y(\eta_i) \left. \right) \left. \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

To simplify the computations, we use the following notations:

$$X_1 = |\lambda_1| \left\{ \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \left[|\Phi_4| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} + |\Phi_2| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \right] \right\}, \quad (30)$$

$$Y_1 = |\lambda_2| \left\{ \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \left[|\Phi_4| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + v_i + 1)} + |\Phi_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2 + 1)} \right] \right\}, \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} |\Phi_4| \right) \\ &+ \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} |\Phi_2| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$G_1 = \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \left(|\Phi_4| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + v_i + 1)} + |\Phi_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$X_2 = |\lambda_1| \left\{ \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \left[|\Phi_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\omega_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} + |\Phi_3| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right] \right\}, \quad (34)$$

$$Y_2 = |\lambda_2| \left\{ \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2 + 1)} + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \left[|\Phi_1| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2 + 1)} + |\Phi_3| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + v_i + 1)} \right] \right\}, \quad (35)$$

$$F_2 = \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda|\Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} \left(|\Phi_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\omega_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} + |\Phi_3| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \right) \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)} \left(1 + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda|\Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} |\Phi_1| \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda|\Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} |\Phi_3| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+\nu_i+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

3.1. Existence result via Leray-Schauder alternative

Our first result is based on Leray-Schauder alternative

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $f, g : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, are continuous functions, and there exist real constants $M_i, \bar{M}_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq M_1 + M_2|x| + M_3|y|, \quad (38)$$

$$|g(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq \bar{M}_1 + \bar{M}_2|x| + \bar{M}_3|y|, \quad (39)$$

if

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = (F_1 + F_2)M_2 + (G_1 + G_2)\bar{M}_2 + (X_1 + X_2) < 1, \quad (40)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = (F_1 + F_2)M_3 + (G_1 + G_2)\bar{M}_3 + (Y_1 + Y_2) < 1, \quad (41)$$

then, the system (2) has at least one solution on $[a, b]$. Where X_i, Y_i, F_i, G_i , $i = 1, 2$ are given by (30) – (37).

Proof. The operator \mathcal{A} defined in (27) is continuous, by the continuity of functions f and g . We will show that the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ is completely continuous. Let $\mathcal{B}_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} : \|(x, y)\| \leq r\}$ be bounded set in $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$. Then, for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$, there exist positive real numbers \bar{f} and \bar{g} such that $|f(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq \bar{f}$ and $|g(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq \bar{g}$. Then, for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_1(x, y)\| &\leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left\{ I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |f(t, x(t), y(t))| + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x(t)| + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda|\Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |f(b, x(b), y(b))| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i} |g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i))| \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x(b)| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_2+\nu_i} |y(\eta_i)| \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j))| + I^{p_1+p_2} |g(b, x(b), y(b))| \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |x(\xi_j)| + |\lambda_2| I^{p_2} |y(b)| \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)}\bar{f} + |\lambda_1|\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\|x\| + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda|\Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\
&\times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)}\bar{f} + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_1+p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+v_i+1)}\bar{g} \right. \right. \\
&+ |\lambda_1|\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\|x\| + |\lambda_2|\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_2+v_i+1)}\|y\| \Big) \\
&+ |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)}\bar{f} + \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)}\bar{g} \right. \\
&+ |\lambda_1|\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)}\|x\| + |\lambda_2|\frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{p_2+1}\|y\| \Big) \Big] \\
&\leq F_1\bar{f} + X_1\|x\| + G_1\bar{g} + Y_1\|y\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1(x, y)\| \leq F_1\bar{f} + X_1\|x\| + G_1\bar{g} + Y_1\|y\|. \quad (42)$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2(x, y)\| \leq F_2\bar{f} + X_2\|x\| + G_2\bar{g} + Y_2\|y\|. \quad (43)$$

From (42), (43), it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x, y)\| \leq [F_1 + F_2]\bar{f} + [G_1 + G_2]\bar{g} + [X_1 + X_2]r + [Y_1 + Y_2]r. \quad (44)$$

Then the operator \mathcal{A} is uniformly bounded.

Next, we show that the operator \mathcal{A} is completely continuous. Let $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]; t_1 < t_2$ then for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{A}_1(x(t_2), y(t_2)) - \mathcal{A}_1(x(t_1), y(t_1))| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2-s)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1}) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \right. \\
&- (t_2-s)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \Big| \\
&+ \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2-s)^{\alpha_2-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha_2-1}) x(s) ds \right. \\
&+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha_2-1} x(s) ds \Big| + \frac{|(t_2-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1} - (t_1-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}|}{|\Lambda|\Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\
&\times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |f(b, x(b), y(b))| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_1+q_2+v_i} |g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i))| \right. \right. \\
&+ |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x(b)| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_2+v_i} |y(\eta_i)| \Big) \\
&+ |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j))| + I^{p_1+p_2} |g(b, x(b), y(b))| \right. \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |x(\xi_j)| + |\lambda_2| I^{p_2} |y(b)| \Big) \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\bar{f}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \left(\left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}) ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} ds \right| \right) \\
&+ \frac{|\lambda_1|}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha_2 - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_2 - 1}) x(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha_2 - 1} x(s) ds \right| \\
&+ \frac{|(t_2 - a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} - (t_1 - a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}|}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\frac{(b - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \bar{f} + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b - a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \|x\| \right) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \bar{g} + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \|y\| \Big] \\
&+ |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \bar{f} + \frac{(b - a)^{p_1 + p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} \bar{g} \right. \\
&+ |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \|x\| + |\lambda_2| \frac{(b - a)^{p_2}}{p_2 + 1} \|y\| \Big] \\
&\leq \frac{\bar{f}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \left(\left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}) ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} ds \right| \right) \\
&+ \frac{r|\lambda_1|}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \left(\left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha_2 - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha_2 - 1}) ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha_2 - 1} ds \right| \right) \\
&+ \frac{|(t_2 - a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} - (t_1 - a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}|}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\frac{(b - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \bar{f} + |\lambda_1| \frac{r(b - a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \bar{g} + |\lambda_2| r \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \Big] \\
&+ |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \bar{f} + \frac{(b - a)^{p_1 + p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} \bar{g} \right. \\
&+ |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{r(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} + |\lambda_2| \frac{r(b - a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2 + 1)} \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

In the same process, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}_2(x(t_2), y(t_2)) - \mathcal{A}_2(x(t_1), y(t_1))| &\leq \frac{\bar{g}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2)} \left(\left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{p_1 + p_2 - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{p_1 + p_2 - 1}) ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{p_1 + p_2 - 1} ds \right| \right) \\
&- (t_1 - s)^{p_1 + p_2 - 1} ds \Big| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{p_1 + p_2 - 1} ds \right| \\
&+ \frac{r|\lambda_2|}{\Gamma(p_2)} \left(\left| \int_a^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{p_2 - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{p_2 - 1}) ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{p_2 - 1} ds \right| \right) \\
&+ \frac{|(t_2 - a)^{\delta_1 + p_2 - 1} - (t_1 - a)^{\delta_1 + p_2 - 1}|}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \left[|\Phi_1| \left(\frac{(b - a)^{p_1 + p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} \bar{g} + |\lambda_2| \frac{r(b - a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2 + 1)} \right) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \bar{f} + |\lambda_1| r \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ |\Phi_3| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \bar{g} + \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \bar{f} \right. \\ \left. + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{r(\eta_i - a)^{p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} + |\lambda_1| \frac{r(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \right).$$

As $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ the right-hand side of the two above inequality tends to zero, implies that $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is equicontinuous. Therefore it follows by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem that $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is relatively compact then $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is completely continuous.

Next, we will prove that the set $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \mid \mathcal{A}(x, y) = \theta \mathcal{A}(x, y); 0 < \theta < 1\}$, is bounded.

Let $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{M}$, with $(x, y) = \theta \mathcal{A}(x, y)$, for any $t \in [a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \theta \mathcal{A}_1(x, y)(t), \\ y(t) = \theta \mathcal{A}_2(x, y)(t). \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

Then

$$|x(t)| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} (M_1 + M_2|x| + M_3|y|) + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \|x\| \\ + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} (M_1 + M_2|x| + M_3|y|) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} (\bar{M}_1 + \bar{M}_2|x| + \bar{M}_3|y|) + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \|x\| \right) \right. \\ \left. + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \|y\| \right) + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \right. \\ \left. \times (M_1 + M_2|x| + M_3|y|) + \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} (\bar{M}_1 + \bar{M}_2|x| + \bar{M}_3|y|) \right. \\ \left. + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \|x\| + |\lambda_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{p_2 + 1} \|y\| \right) \right] \\ \leq F_1 (M_1 + M_2|x| + M_3|y|) + G_1 (\bar{M}_1 + \bar{M}_2|x| + \bar{M}_3|y|) + X_1 \|x\| + Y_1 \|y\|.$$

Then,

$$\|x\| \leq (F_1 M_1 + G_1 \bar{M}_1) + (F_1 M_2 + G_1 \bar{M}_2 + X_1) \|x\| + (F_1 M_3 + G_1 \bar{M}_3 + Y_1) \|y\|. \quad (46)$$

In the same process, we can obtain

$$\|y\| \leq (F_2 M_1 + G_2 \bar{M}_1) + (F_2 M_2 + G_2 \bar{M}_2 + X_2) \|x\| + (F_2 M_3 + G_2 \bar{M}_3 + Y_2) \|y\|, \quad (47)$$

which imply that

$$\|x\| + \|y\| \leq (F_1 + F_2) M_1 + (G_1 + G_2) \bar{M}_1 + \left[(F_1 + F_2) M_2 + (G_1 + G_2) \bar{M}_2 \right. \\ \left. + (X_1 + X_2) \right] \|x\| + \left[(F_1 + F_2) M_3 + (G_1 + G_2) \bar{M}_3 + (Y_1 + Y_2) \right] \|y\|,$$

thus, we obtain

$$\|(x, y)\| \leq \frac{(F_1 + F_2)M_1 + (G_1 + G_2)\bar{M}_1}{\min(1 - \mathcal{K}_1; 1 - \mathcal{K}_2)}. \quad (48)$$

Where $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$ are given by (40) – (41). From (48) the set \mathfrak{M} is bounded. Therefore, by applying Theorem 2.7, the operator \mathcal{A} has at least one fixed point. Therefore, we deduce that problem (2) has at least one solution on $[a, b]$. \square

3.2. Uniqueness result via Banach's fixed point theorem

To deal with the existence and uniqueness of solution for our system (2), we use Banach contraction principle.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f, g : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. In addition, we assume that :

(H1) There exist constants $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 > 0$ such that, for all $t \in [a, b]$ and $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t, x_2, y_2) - f(t, x_1, y_1)| \leq \mathcal{L}_1(|x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|), \quad (49)$$

$$|g(t, x_2, y_2) - g(t, x_1, y_1)| \leq \mathcal{L}_2(|x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|). \quad (50)$$

Then, the problem (1) has a unique solution on $[a, b]$, if

$$(F_1 + F_2)\mathcal{L}_1 + (G_1 + G_2)\mathcal{L}_2 + (X_1 + X_2) + (Y_1 + Y_2) < 1. \quad (51)$$

Proof. Consider the operator \mathcal{A} defined in (27). The system (2) is then transformed into a fixed point problem $(x, y)(t) = \mathcal{A}(x, y)(t)$. By using Banach contraction principle we will show that \mathcal{A} has a unique fixed point.

We set $\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f(t, 0, 0)| = L_1 < \infty$, and $\sup_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t, 0, 0)| = L_2 < \infty$ and choose $r > 0$ such that

$$r \geq \frac{(F_1 + F_2)L_1 + (G_1 + G_2)L_2}{1 - [(F_1 + F_2)\mathcal{L}_1 + (G_1 + G_2)\mathcal{L}_2 + (X_1 + X_2) + (Y_1 + Y_2)]}. \quad (52)$$

Now, we show that $\mathcal{AB}_r \subset \mathcal{B}_r$, where $\mathcal{B}_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} : \|(x, y)\| \leq r\}$. for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_r$, $t \in [a, b]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x(t), y(t))| &\leq |f(t, x(t), y(t)) - f(t, 0, 0)| + |f(t, 0, 0)| \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}_1(|x(t)| + |y(t)|) + L_1 \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}_1(\|x\| + \|y\|) + L_1 \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}_1 r + L_1, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

similarly, we have

$$|g(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq \mathcal{L}_2(\|x\| + \|y\|) + L_2 \leq \mathcal{L}_2 r + L_2. \quad (54)$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_1(x, y)(t)| &\leq \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left\{ I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} |f(t, x(t), y(t))| + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x(t)| + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} |f(b, x(b), y(b))| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_1 + q_2 + v_i} |g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i))| \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x(b)| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_2 + v_i} |y(\eta_i)| \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j} |f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j))| + I^{p_1 + p_2} |g(b, x(b), y(b))| \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |x(\xi_j)| + |\lambda_2| I^{p_2} |y(b)| \Big) \Big] \Big\} \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} (\mathcal{L}_1 r + L_1) + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)} \|x\| + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} (\mathcal{L}_1 r + L_1) + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+\nu_i+1)} (\mathcal{L}_2 r + L_2) \right. \right. \\
& + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)} \|x\| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2+\nu_i+1)} \|y\| \Big) \\
& + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} (\mathcal{L}_1 r + L_1) + \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)} (\mathcal{L}_2 r + L_2) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \|x\| + |\lambda_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{p_2+1} \|y\| \right) \right] \\
& \leq (F_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + G_1 \mathcal{L}_2 + X_1 + Y_1) r + F_1 L_1 + G_1 L_2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1(x, y)\| \leq (F_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + G_1 \mathcal{L}_2 + X_1 + Y_1) r + F_1 L_1 + G_1 L_2. \quad (55)$$

Similarly, we find that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2(x, y)\| \leq (F_2 \mathcal{L}_1 + G_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + X_2 + Y_2) r + F_2 L_1 + G_2 L_2. \quad (56)$$

Then, from (52) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{A}(x, y)\| & \leq [(F_1 + F_2) \mathcal{L}_1 + (G_1 + G_2) \mathcal{L}_2 + (X_1 + X_2) + (Y_1 + Y_2)] r \\
& + (F_1 + F_2) L_1 + (G_1 + G_2) L_2 \leq r,
\end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

which implies that $\mathcal{AB}_r \subset \mathcal{B}_r$.

Next, we will show that the operator \mathcal{A} is a contraction,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{A}_1(x_2, y_2)(t) - \mathcal{A}_1(x_1, y_1)(t)| \leq I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |f(t, x_2(t), y_2(t)) - f(t, x_1(t), y_1(t))| \\
& + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x_2(t) - x_1(t)| + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |f(b, x_2(b), y_2(b)) - f(b, x_1(b), y_1(b))| \right. \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_1+q_2+\nu_i} |g(\eta_i, x_2(\eta_i), y_2(\eta_i)) - g(\eta_i, x_1(\eta_i), y_1(\eta_i))| \\
& + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x_2(b) - x_1(b)| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_2+\nu_i} |y_2(\eta_i) - y_1(\eta_i)| \Big) \\
& + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |f(\xi_j, x_2(\xi_j), y_2(\xi_j)) - f(\xi_j, x_1(\xi_j), y_1(\xi_j))| \right. \\
& \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| I^{\alpha_2} |x_2(\xi_j) - x_1(\xi_j)| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_2+\nu_i} |y_2(\eta_i) - y_1(\eta_i)| \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + I^{p_1+p_2} |g(b, x_2(b), y_2(b)) - g(b, x_1(b), y_1(b))| \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |x_2(\xi_j) - x_1(\xi_j)| + |\lambda_2| I^{p_2} |y_2(b) - y_1(b)| \Big] \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|) + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \|x_2 - x_1\| \\
& + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|) \right. \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|) \\
& + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \|x_2 - x_1\| + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \|y_2 - y_1\| \Big] \\
& + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 (\|x_2 - x_1\| \right. \\
& + \|y_2 - y_1\|) + \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|) \\
& \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \|x_2 - x_1\| + |\lambda_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{p_2 + 1} \|y_2 - y_1\| \right) \right\} \\
& \leq (F_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + G_1 \mathcal{L}_2 + X_1 + Y_1) (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1(x_2, y_2)(t) - \mathcal{A}_1(x_1, y_1)(t)\| \leq (F_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + G_1 \mathcal{L}_2 + X_1 + Y_1) (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|). \quad (58)$$

Similarly, we find that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2(x_2, y_2)(t) - \mathcal{A}_2(x_1, y_1)(t)\| \leq (F_2 \mathcal{L}_1 + G_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + X_2 + Y_2) (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|). \quad (59)$$

From (58) and (59) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{A}(x_2, y_2) - \mathcal{A}(x_1, y_1)\| & \leq [(F_1 + F_2) \mathcal{L}_1 + (G_1 + G_2) \mathcal{L}_2 + (X_1 + X_2) \\
& + (Y_1 + Y_2)] (\|x_2 - x_1\| + \|y_2 - y_1\|).
\end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

As $(F_1 + F_2) \mathcal{L}_1 + (G_1 + G_2) \mathcal{L}_2 + (X_1 + X_2) + (Y_1 + Y_2) < 1$, then \mathcal{A} is a contraction operator. Therefore, by Banach's fixed-point theorem, the operator \mathcal{A} has a unique fixed point which is indeed a unique solution of system (2) on $[a, b]$. The proof is completed. \square

4. Ulam–Hyers stability analysis

In this section, we study Ulam–Hyers (U-H), generalized Ulam–Hyers (G-U-H), stability of solution to the Hilfer coupled system (2).

Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) > 0$, we consider the following inequalities

$$\left| {}^H D^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} ({}^H D^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} + \lambda_1) \tilde{x}(t) - f(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) \right| \leq \varepsilon_1, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (61)$$

$$\left| {}^H D^{p_1, q_1} ({}^H D^{p_2, q_2} + \lambda_2) \tilde{y}(t) - g(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) \right| \leq \varepsilon_2, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (62)$$

and $\tilde{x}(b) = x(b)$, $\tilde{y}(b) = y(b)$

Definition 4.1. [1, 7] The Hilfer coupled system is U-H stable if there exists $\lambda = (\lambda_f, \lambda_g) > 0$, such that for each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) > 0$ and for each solution $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ of inequalities (61), (62), there exists $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ solution of the coupled system (2) complying with

$$\|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - (x, y)\|_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}} \leq \lambda \varepsilon. \quad (63)$$

Definition 4.2. [1, 7] The Hilfer coupled system is G-U-H stable if there exists $\varphi = (\varphi_f, \varphi_g) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(0) = (\varphi_f(0), \varphi_g(0)) = (0, 0)$, such that for each $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) > 0$ and for each solution $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ of inequalities (60) – (61), there exists $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ solution of the coupled system (2) complying with

$$\|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - (x, y)\|_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}} \leq \varphi(\varepsilon). \quad (64)$$

Remark 4.3. A function $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ is a solution of inequalities (61) – (62) if and only if there exists a function $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$i\text{- } |h_1(t)| \leq \varepsilon_1 \text{ and } |h_2(t)| \leq \varepsilon_2,$$

$$ii\text{- } \text{for } t \in [a, b]$$

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} ({}^H D^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} + \lambda_1) \tilde{x}(t) = f(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) + h_1(t) \\ {}^H D^{p_1, q_1} ({}^H D^{p_2, q_2} + \lambda_2) \tilde{y}(t) = g(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) + h_2(t). \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

To simplify the computations, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)} + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\ &\times \left[|\Phi_4| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_1+p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+v_i+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\ &\times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(|\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_2+v_i+1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_1+p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+v_i+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 \right) \right. \\ &\left. + |\Phi_2| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 + |\lambda_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2+1)} + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} \\ &\times \left[|\Phi_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 + |\Phi_3| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_1+p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+v_i+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i-a)^{p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_2+v_i+1)} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 = & \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_1| \left(|\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} + \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 \right) \right. \\
& \left. + |\Phi_3| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+\nu_i+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 \right], \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4. Assume that (H1) hold, if $A_1 > 1, A_2 > 1$, and $1 - \frac{B_1 B_2}{(1-A_1)(1-A_2)} \neq 0$ then the system (2) is Ulam–Hyers stable on $[a, b]$ and consequently generalized Ulam–Hyers stable, where $A_i, B_i, i = 1, 2$ are given by (66) – (69).

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) > 0$, and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ satisfies inequalities (61) – (62), and $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ be the unique solution of the problem (2) with the conditions $\tilde{x}(b) = x(b), \tilde{y}(b) = y(b)$, then by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) = & I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(t, x(t), y(t)) - \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\gamma_1+\alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[\Phi_4 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(b, x(b), y(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i} g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i)) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+\nu_i} y(\eta_i) \right) + \Phi_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j)) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - I^{p_1+p_2} g(b, x(b), y(b)) - \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} x(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(b) \right) \right], \tag{70}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) = & I^{p_1+p_2} g(t, x(t), y(t)) - \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} \\
& \times \left[\Phi_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} h_1(\xi_j) - I^{p_1+p_2} g(b, x(b), y(b)) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} x(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} y(b) \right) + \Phi_3 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(b, x(b), y(b)) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i} g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i)) + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} x(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+\nu_i} y(\eta_i) \right) \right]. \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

Since, $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ satisfies inequalities (61) – (62), by the remark we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^H D^{\alpha_1, \beta_1} ({}^H D^{\alpha_2, \beta_2} + \lambda_1) \tilde{x}(t) = f(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) + h_1(t), & t \in [a, b], \\ {}^H D^{p_1, q_1} ({}^H D^{p_2, q_2} + \lambda_2) \tilde{y}(t) = g(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) + h_2(t), & t \in [a, b], \\ \tilde{x}(a) = x(a), \quad \tilde{x}(b) = x(b), \\ \tilde{y}(a) = y(a), \quad \tilde{y}(b) = y(b), \end{cases} \tag{72}$$

then by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}(t) = & I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) - \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} \tilde{x}(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[\Phi_4 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(b, \tilde{x}(b), \tilde{y}(b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+v_i} g(\eta_i, \tilde{x}(\eta_i), \tilde{y}(\eta_i)) \right. \right. \\
& + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} \tilde{x}(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+v_i} \tilde{y}(\eta_i) \Big) + \Phi_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} f(\xi_j, \tilde{x}(\xi_j), \tilde{y}(\xi_j)) \right. \\
& \left. \left. - I^{p_1+p_2} g(b, \tilde{x}(b), \tilde{y}(b)) - \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} \tilde{x}(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} \tilde{y}(b) \right) \right] + I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} h_1(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}(t) = & I^{p_1+p_2} g(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) - \lambda_2 I^{p_2} \tilde{y}(t) + \frac{(t-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{\Lambda \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \\
& \times \left[\Phi_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} f(\xi_j, \tilde{x}(\xi_j), \tilde{y}(\xi_j)) - I^{p_1+p_2} g(b, \tilde{x}(b), \tilde{y}(b)) \right. \right. \\
& - \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} \tilde{x}(\xi_j) + \lambda_2 I^{p_2} \tilde{y}(b) \Big) + \Phi_3 \left(-I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(b, \tilde{x}(b), \tilde{y}(b)) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_1+p_2+v_i} g(\eta_i, \tilde{x}(\eta_i), \tilde{y}(\eta_i)) + \lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} \tilde{x}(b) - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i I^{p_2+v_i} \tilde{y}(\eta_i) \right) \right] \\
& + I^{p_1+p_2} h_2(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

On the other hand, we have, for each $t \in [a, b]$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{x}(t) - x(t)| \leq & I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |f(t, \tilde{x}(t), \tilde{y}(t)) - f(t, x(t), y(t))| \\
& + |\lambda_1 I^{\alpha_2} \tilde{x}(t) - x(t)| + \frac{(t-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_1+q_2+v_i} |g(\eta_i, \tilde{x}(\eta_i), \tilde{y}(\eta_i)) - g(\eta_i, x(\eta_i), y(\eta_i))| \right. \right. \\
& + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| I^{p_2+v_i} |\tilde{y}(\eta_i) - y(\eta_i)| \Big) \\
& + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |f(\xi_j, \tilde{x}(\xi_j), \tilde{y}(\xi_j)) - f(\xi_j, x(\xi_j), y(\xi_j))| \right. \\
& \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| I^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j} |\tilde{x}(\xi_j) - x(\xi_j)| \right) \right] + I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} |h_1(t)| \\
\leq & \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 (\|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} + \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}}) + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\
& + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1+\alpha_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(|\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + v_i + 1)} \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+v_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + v_i + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 (\|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} + \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}}) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 (\|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \right. \\
& + \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}}) + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \Big) \Big] + \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \varepsilon_1 \\
& \leq A_1 \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} + B_1 \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} + C_1 \varepsilon_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies,

$$\|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_1}{1 - A_1} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{B_1}{1 - A_1} \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (75)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 = & \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 + |\lambda_1| \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_4| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 + |\Phi_2| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + |\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \right) \right], \quad (76)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 = & \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 + \frac{(b-a)^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\gamma_1 + \alpha_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_4| \left(|\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 \right) \right. \\
& \left. + |\Phi_2| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 \right], \quad (77)
\end{aligned}$$

$$C_1 = \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1)}. \quad (78)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_2}{1 - A_2} \varepsilon_2 + \frac{B_2}{1 - A_2} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (79)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 = & \frac{(b-a)^{p_1 + p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 + |\lambda_2| \frac{(b-a)^{p_2}}{\Gamma(p_2 + 1)} + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1 + p_2 - 1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1 + p_2)} \\
& \times \left[|\Phi_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \sigma_j + 1)} \mathcal{L}_1 + |\Phi_3| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1 + p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \mathcal{L}_2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + |\lambda_2| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_2 + \nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_2 + \nu_i + 1)} \right) \right], \quad (80)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &= \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 + \frac{(b-a)^{\delta_1+p_2-1}}{|\Lambda| \Gamma(\delta_1+p_2)} \\ &\quad \times \left[|\Phi_1| \left(|\lambda_1| \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} + \sum_{j=1}^m |\omega_j| \frac{(\xi_j - a)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\sigma_j+1)} \mathcal{L}_1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\Phi_3| \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i| \frac{(\eta_i - a)^{p_1+p_2+\nu_i}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+\nu_i+1)} \mathcal{L}_2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

$$C_2 = \frac{(b-a)^{p_1+p_2}}{\Gamma(p_1+p_2+1)}. \quad (82)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{cases} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{B_1}{1-A_1} \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_1}{1-A_1} \varepsilon_1, \\ \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{B_2}{1-A_2} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_2}{1-A_2} \varepsilon_2. \end{cases} \quad (83)$$

Then (79) can be writing as matrices as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{B_1}{1-A_1} \\ -\frac{B_2}{1-A_2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_1}{1-A_1} \varepsilon_1 \\ \frac{C_2}{1-A_2} \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (84)$$

by simple computations, the above inequality becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Delta} & \frac{B_1}{\Delta(1-A_1)} \\ \frac{B_2}{\Delta(1-A_2)} & \frac{1}{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_1}{1-A_1} \varepsilon_1 \\ \frac{C_2}{1-A_2} \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (85)$$

where $\Delta = 1 - \frac{B_1 B_2}{(1-A_1)(1-A_2)} \neq 0$, this leads to

$$\|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_1}{\Delta(1-A_1)} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{B_1 C_2}{\Delta(1-A_1)(1-A_2)} \varepsilon_2. \quad (86)$$

$$\|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{B_2 C_1}{\Delta(1-A_1)(1-A_2)} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{C_2}{\Delta(1-A_2)} \varepsilon_2. \quad (87)$$

We get

$$\|\tilde{x} - x\|_{\mathcal{K}} + \|\tilde{y} - y\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_1(1-A_2) + B_2 C_1}{\Delta(1-A_1)(1-A_2)} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{C_2(1-A_1) + B_1 C_2}{\Delta(1-A_1)(1-A_2)} \varepsilon_2, \quad (88)$$

for $\varepsilon = \max(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and $\lambda = \frac{C_1(1-A_2) + B_2 C_1 + C_2(1-A_1) + B_1 C_2}{\Delta(1-A_1)(1-A_2)}$, we obtain

$$\|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - (x, y)\|_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}} \leq \lambda \varepsilon. \quad (89)$$

This proves that the Hilfer coupled system (2), is U-H stable.
Moreover, by setting $\varphi(\varepsilon) = \lambda \varepsilon$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ we get

$$\|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - (x, y)\|_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}} \leq \varphi(\varepsilon). \quad (90)$$

This shows that the Hilfer coupled system (2) is G-H-U stable. \square

References

- [1] Abbas S., Benchohra M., Graef JR., *Implicit fractional differential and integral equations*. Berlin: De Gruyter; 2018. (De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications; 26);
- [2] Aldawish I., Samet B., *Necessary conditions for the existence of global solutions to nonlinear fractional differential inequalities and systems*, art.n.4347755, Journal of Function Spaces, (2022);
- [3] Banas J., Goebel K., *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Dekker, New York, 1980;
- [4] Cernea A., *On a multi point boundary value problem for a fractional order differential inclusion*, Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 19(1) (2013), pp.73-83;
- [5] Deimling K., *Multivalued Differential Equations*, Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications vol. 1, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, 1992;
- [6] Eddine N.C., Ragusa M.A., *Generalized critical Kirchhoff-type potential systems with Neumann boundary conditions*, Applicable Analysis, doi:10.1080/00036811.2022.2057305, (2022);
- [7] Fazli H., Nieto J.J., *Fractional Langevin equation with anti-periodic boundary conditions*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 114 (2018), pp. 332-337;
- [8] Fazli H., Sun H.G., Nieto J.J., *Fractional Langevin equation involving two fractional orders: existence and uniqueness*, Mathematics, 8(5) (2020), p. 743;
- [9] Granas A., Dugundji J., *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005;
- [10] Hilal K., Guida K., Ibnelazy L., Oukessou M., *Existence results for an impulsive fractional integro-differential equations with a non-compact semigroup*, in Recent Advances in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Logic Systems, S. Melliani and O. Castillo, Eds., Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, 2019, pp. 191-211;
- [11] Hilfer R., *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000;
- [12] Ilhan E., *Analysis of the spread of Hookworm infection with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative*, Turkish Journal of Science, vol.7 (1), (2022);
- [13] Lakshmikantham V., Vatsala A.S., *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, vol. 69(8) (2008), pp. 2677-2682;
- [14] Rehman M., Khan R., Asif N., *Three point boundary value problems for nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 31(4) (2011), pp. 1337-1346;
- [15] Salem A., Alghamdi B., *Multi-strip and multi-point boundary conditions for fractional Langevin equation*, Fractal and Fractional, 4(2) (2020), p. 18;
- [16] Wongcharoen A., Ntouyas S.K., Tariboon J., "On coupled systems for Hilfer fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions," Journal of Mathematics, vol. 2020, Article ID 2875152, 12 pages, 2020;
- [17] Yang J., Ma J.C., Zhao S., Ge Y., *Fractional multi-point boundary value problem of fractional differential equations*, Mathematics in Practice and Theory, 41(11) (2011), pp. 188-194.
- [18] Zhou Y., *Fractional evolution equations and inclusions analysis and control*. Elsevier Academic Press 2016;