



On Stević-Sharma Operator from $Q_K(p, q)$ Space to Zygmund-Type Space

Zhitao Guo^a

^aSchool of Science, Henan Institute of Technology, Xinxiang, 453003, China

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to investigate the boundedness and compactness of Stević-Sharma operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ from $Q_K(p, q)$ and $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ spaces to Zygmund-type space and little Zygmund-type space. We also give the upper and lower estimations for the norm of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$.

1. Introduction

Denote by \mathbb{D} the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} , and $S(\mathbb{D})$ the family of all analytic self-maps of \mathbb{D} . Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $\psi \in H(\mathbb{D})$, the weighted composition operator is defined by

$$(W_{\psi, \varphi} f)(z) = \psi(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

In particular, we can get the composition operator C_φ and multiplication operator M_ψ when $\psi \equiv 1$ and $\varphi(z) \equiv z$, respectively. For the theory of (weighted) composition operators on analytic function spaces, we refer to [2]. The differentiation operator D , which is defined by $(Df)(z) = f'(z)$, $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, plays an important role in operator theory and dynamical system.

In [32, 33], Stević et al. introduced the following so-called Stević-Sharma operator:

$$(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)(z) = \psi_1(z)f(\varphi(z)) + \psi_2(z)f'(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}),$$

where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. By taking some specific choices of the involving symbols, we can easily get the general product-type operators:

$$\begin{aligned} M_\psi C_\varphi &= T_{\psi, 0, \varphi}, & C_\varphi M_\psi &= T_{\psi \circ \varphi, 0, \varphi}, & M_\psi D &= T_{0, \psi, id}, & DM_\psi &= T_{\psi', \psi, id}, & C_\varphi D &= T_{0, 1, \varphi}, \\ DC_\varphi &= T_{0, \varphi', \varphi}, & M_\psi C_\varphi D &= T_{0, \psi, \varphi}, & M_\psi DC_\varphi &= T_{0, \psi \varphi', \varphi}, & C_\varphi M_\psi D &= T_{0, \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \\ DM_\psi C_\varphi &= T_{\psi', \psi \varphi', \varphi}, & C_\varphi DM_\psi &= T_{\psi' \circ \varphi, \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi}, & DC_\varphi M_\psi &= T_{\varphi'(\psi' \circ \varphi), \varphi'(\psi \circ \varphi), \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Some of these operators had been investigated before introduction of Stević-Sharma operator for example in [6, 13, 14, 21, 27–29]. Recently, the research of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ between analytic function spaces has aroused

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B38; Secondary 30H05, 30H40

Keywords. boundedness, compactness, Stević-Sharma operator, $Q_K(p, q)$ space, Zygmund-type space

Received: 27 November 2021; Revised: 13 April 2022; Accepted: 22 April 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12101188) and Doctoral Fund of Henan Institute of Technology (No. KQ2003)

Email address: guotao60698@163.com (Zhitao Guo)

the interest of experts. Under some assumptions, Stević et al. [32, 33] characterized the boundedness, compactness and essential norm of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ on the weighted Bergman space. Liu et al. [16–18, 38] studied the boundedness and compactness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ from several specific analytic function spaces to the weighted-type space or Zygmund-type space. Wang et al. [34] considered the differences of two Stević-Sharma operators and investigated its boundedness, compactness and order boundedness between Banach spaces of analytic functions. Some more related results can be found (see, e.g., [1, 3–5, 7] and the references therein).

A positive continuous function ϕ on $[0, 1)$ is called normal if there exist two positive numbers s and t with $0 < s < t$, and $\delta \in [0, 1)$ such that (see [24])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi(r)}{(1-r)^s} &\text{ is decreasing on } [\delta, 1), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\phi(r)}{(1-r)^s} = 0; \\ \frac{\phi(r)}{(1-r)^t} &\text{ is increasing on } [\delta, 1), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\phi(r)}{(1-r)^t} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a normal function satisfying $\mu(z) = \mu(|z|)$. The Bloch-type space, denoted by \mathcal{B}^μ , consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\mu} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

\mathcal{B}^μ is a Banach space under the above norm. Moreover, \mathcal{B}^μ induces the α -Bloch space \mathcal{B}^α when $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$. In particular, we get the classical Bloch space \mathcal{B} if $\alpha = 1$.

An $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to Zygmund-type space \mathcal{Z}_μ if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} = |f(0)| + |f'(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|f''(z)| < \infty.$$

Under the above norm, \mathcal{Z}_μ becomes a Banach space. The little Zygmund-type space $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ consists of those functions f in \mathcal{Z}_μ satisfying

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z)|f''(z)| = 0,$$

and it can be shown that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is a closed subspace of \mathcal{Z}_μ . Some results on Bloch-type space and Zygmund-type space and operators on them can be found, for instance, in [4, 7, 9–12, 14, 19, 22, 23, 26, 30, 31, 38–40].

Let $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing continuous function and $g(z, a)$ the Green function with logarithmic singularity at a , i.e., $g(z, a) = \log \frac{1}{|\varphi_a(z)|}$, where $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$ for $a \in \mathbb{D}$. For $p > 0$, $q > -2$, $Q_K(p, q)$ space consists of those $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that (see [20, 35])

$$\|f\|_{Q_K(p,q)}^p = |f(0)| + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q K(g(z, a)) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where dA denotes the normalized Lebesgue area measure in \mathbb{D} . Under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{Q_K(p,q)}$, $Q_K(p, q)$ is a Banach space when $p \geq 1$. An $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ space if

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^q K(g(z, a)) dA(z) = 0.$$

Throughout the paper we assume that (see [35])

$$\int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^q K(-\log r) r dr < \infty,$$

since otherwise $Q_K(p, q)$ consists only of constant functions. Recently, many researchers have studied various concrete operators from or to $Q_K(p, q)$ space. For instance, Kotilainen [8] characterized the boundedness and compactness of composition operator between \mathcal{B}^α and $Q_K(p, q)$ spaces. The boundedness and compactness

of an integral-type operator from $Q_K(p, q)$ space to Bloch-type space and Zygmund-type space were studied by Pan [22] and Ren [23], respectively. Some more related results can be found (see, e.g., [9, 15, 36, 37] and the references therein).

Inspired by the above results, this paper is devoted to investigating the boundedness and compactness of Stević-Sharma operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ from $Q_K(p, q)$ and $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ spaces to Zygmund-type space and little Zygmund-type space.

Throughout the paper we use the letter C to denote a positive constant whose value may change at each occurrence. The notation abbreviation $X \lesssim Y$ or $Y \gtrsim X$ for nonnegative quantities X and Y means that there is a positive constant C such that $X \leq CY$. Moreover, if both $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$ hold, then one says that $X \approx Y$.

2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we state several auxiliary results which will be used in the proofs of the main results.

Lemma 2.1. [25] *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Then*

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \begin{cases} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \ln \frac{e}{1-|z|^2}, & \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}, & \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is well-known (see [40]).

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $\alpha > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$. Then*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \approx |f(0)| + |f'(0)| + \dots + |f^{(n-1)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+n-1} |f^{(n)}(z)|.$$

Lemma 2.3. [35] *Let $p > 0$, $q > -2$ and K be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. For $f \in Q_K(p, q)$, we have $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}$ and*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \leq \|f\|_{Q_K(p,q)}.$$

Lemma 2.4. [39] *Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{B}^α which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f_k(z)| = 0.$$

By a standard arguments in [2, Proposition 3.11], which is omitted here, we can get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $p > 0$, $q > -2$ and K be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Then the operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact if and only if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and for each sequence $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is bounded in $Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) and converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

The lemma below can be obtained by the same method as [19, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2.6. *A closed set K in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact if and only if it is bounded and satisfies*

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{f \in K} \mu(z) |f''(z)| = 0.$$

3. Main results

In this section, our main results are stated and proved. For simplicity of notation, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{A}_0(z) &:= \mu(z)|\psi_1''(z)|, \\ \widetilde{A}_1(z) &:= \mu(z)|2\psi_1'(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_1(z)\varphi''(z) + \psi_2''(z)|, \\ \widetilde{A}_2(z) &:= \mu(z)|\psi_1(z)\varphi'(z)^2 + 2\psi_2'(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_2(z)\varphi''(z)|, \\ \widetilde{A}_3(z) &:= \mu(z)|\psi_2(z)\varphi'(z)^2|, \\ E_0 &:= |\psi_1(0)| + |\psi_1'(0)|, \\ E_1 &:= |\psi_2(0)| + |\psi_2'(0)| + |\psi_1(0)\varphi'(0)|, \\ E_2 &:= |\psi_2(0)\varphi'(0)|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_j &:= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}}, \\ N_j &:= \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}}, \\ R_j &:= \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $p > 0$, $q > -2$ such that $q+2 \geq p$ and K be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\int_0^1 K(-\log r)(1 - r)^{\min\{-1,q\}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\chi_{-1}(q)} r dr < \infty, \tag{1}$$

where $\chi_O(x)$ denotes the characteristic function of the set O . Then the following statements are true.

(i) *If $q + 2 > p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$. Moreover, the following asymptotic relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 &\lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p, q) \text{ (or } Q_{K,0}(p, q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\lesssim M_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

(ii) *If $q + 2 = p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if $M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$ and*

$$M_4 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the following asymptotic relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 &\lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p, q) \text{ (or } Q_{K,0}(p, q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\lesssim M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 + E_0 \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(0)|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^j}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

(iii) If $q + 2 < p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded if and only if $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ and $M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$.

Moreover, the following asymptotic relations hold:

$$L_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p, q) \text{ (or } Q_{K,0}(p, q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \lesssim L_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + E_0 + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^j}, \tag{4}$$

where $L_0 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(z)$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $q + 2 > p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded. Note that if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded, and

$$\|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \leq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \tag{5}$$

Taking the function $f(z) = 1 \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, we get

$$L_0 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(z) \leq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} 1\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Likewise, using the function $f(z) = z \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ we obtain

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\psi_1''(z)\varphi(z) + 2\psi_1'(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_1(z)\varphi''(z) + \psi_2''(z)| \leq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} z\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty,$$

which along with (6), the triangle inequality and the fact that $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ implies that

$$L_1 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{7}$$

Taking the functions $f(z) = \frac{z^2}{2}$ and $f(z) = \frac{z^3}{6} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, in the same manner we have

$$L_2 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_2(z) \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty, \tag{8}$$

and

$$L_3 := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_3(z) \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{9}$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, set

$$f_{0,w}(z) = -\frac{\frac{q+2}{p} + 4}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 1} \frac{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)z})^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\frac{3q+6}{p} + 8}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 2} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)z})^{\frac{q+2}{p} + 1}} - \frac{\frac{3q+6}{p} + 8}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^3}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)z})^{\frac{q+2}{p} + 2}} + \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^4}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)z})^{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3}}.$$

Using the condition (1), we have $f_{0,w} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ (see [8]). By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$f'_{0,w}(\varphi(w)) = f''_{0,w}(\varphi(w)) = f'''_{0,w}(\varphi(w)) = 0,$$

and

$$f_{0,w}(\varphi(w)) = -\frac{\frac{4q+8}{p} + 10}{(\frac{q+2}{p} + 1)(\frac{q+2}{p} + 2)(\frac{q+2}{p} + 3)} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p} - 1}},$$

which along with the boundedness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} &\gtrsim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{0,w}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\geq \mu(w) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{0,w})''(w)| \\ &\gtrsim \frac{\widetilde{A}_0(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Thus

$$M_0 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{11}$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, take the function

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,w}(z) = &-\frac{\frac{q+2}{p} + 4}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 2} \frac{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{(\frac{q+2}{p} + 4)(\frac{3q+6}{p} + 7)}{(\frac{q+2}{p} + 2)(\frac{q+2}{p} + 3)} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} \\ &-\frac{\frac{3q+6}{p} + 11}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^3}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} + \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^4}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+3}}', \end{aligned}$$

then $f_{1,w} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ by using the condition (1). Moreover, we have

$$f_{1,w}(\varphi(w)) = f_{1,w}''(\varphi(w)) = f_{1,w}'''(\varphi(w)) = 0,$$

and

$$f_{1,w}'(\varphi(w)) = -\frac{\frac{q+2}{p} + 5}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}},$$

which along with the boundedness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} &\gtrsim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{1,w}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\geq \mu(w) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{1,w})''(w)| \\ &\gtrsim \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(w)|\varphi(w)|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From (7) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \\ &\leq \sup_{|\varphi(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \sup_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi(w)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{q+2}{p}} \sup_{|\varphi(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{A}_1(w) + 2 \sup_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi(w)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(w)|\varphi(w)|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \\ &\lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$M_1 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{13}$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, consider the function

$$f_{2,w}(z) = -\frac{\frac{q+2}{p} + 4}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\frac{3q+6}{p} + 11}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} - \frac{\frac{3q+6}{p} + 10}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^3}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} + \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^4}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+3}}.$$

Then $f_{2,w} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ and

$$f_{2,w}(\varphi(w)) = f'_{2,w}(\varphi(w)) = f''_{2,w}(\varphi(w)) = 0, \quad f'''_{2,w}(\varphi(w)) = -\frac{2}{\frac{q+2}{p} + 3} \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}^2}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}}.$$

Since $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} &\geq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{2,w}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\geq \mu(w) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{2,w})''(w)| \\ &\geq \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(w) |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From (8) and (14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} \\ &\leq \sup_{|\varphi(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} + \sup_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi(w)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1} \sup_{|\varphi(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{A}_2(w) + 4 \sup_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi(w)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(w) |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} \\ &\leq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$M_2 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{15}$$

Set

$$f_{3,w}(z) = -\frac{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + 3 \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} - 3 \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^3}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} + \frac{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^4}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+3}},$$

where $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f_{3,w} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ and

$$f_{3,w}(\varphi(w)) = f'_{3,w}(\varphi(w)) = f''_{3,w}(\varphi(w)) = 0, \quad f'''_{3,w}(\varphi(w)) = 6 \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}^3}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}}.$$

Since $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} &\geq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{3,w}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\geq \mu(w) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{3,w})''(w)| \\ &\geq \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(w) |\varphi(w)|^3}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From (9) and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} \\ & \leq \sup_{|\varphi(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} + \sup_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi(w)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(w)}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2} \sup_{|\varphi(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{A}_3(w) + 8 \sup_{\frac{1}{2} < |\varphi(w)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(w)|\varphi(w)|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2n}} \\ & \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$M_3 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty. \tag{17}$$

Combining (11), (13), (15) with (17) we see that

$$M_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \tag{18}$$

Conversely, assume that $M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$. By using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, for each $f \in Q_K(p, q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(z)|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}^n f)''(z)| \\ & \leq \widetilde{A}_0(z)|f(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_1(z)|f'(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_2(z)|f''(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_3(z)|f'''(\varphi(z))| \\ & \leq \frac{\widetilde{A}_0(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \\ & \leq (M_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3) \|f\|_{Q_K(p,q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)(0)| + |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)'(0)| \\ & \leq E_0|f(\varphi(0))| + E_1|f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2|f''(\varphi(0))| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} \|f\|_{Q_K(p,q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In view of (19) and (20), we conclude that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and

$$\|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p,q) \text{ (or } Q_{K,0}(p,q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \lesssim M_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}}. \tag{21}$$

From (5), (18) and (21) we deduce that (2) holds.

(ii) Suppose that $q + 2 = p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded. From the proof of (i), we see that (13), (15) and (17) also hold in this case. That is, $M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$. Take the function

$$f_{4,w}(z) = \ln \frac{e}{1 - \varphi(w)z},$$

where $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $f_{4,w} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ (see [8]) and it is easy to calculate that

$$f_{4,w}(\varphi(w)) = \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2}, \quad f'_{4,w}(\varphi(w)) = \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}}{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2},$$

$$f''_{4,w}(\varphi(w)) = \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}^2}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^2}, \quad f'''_{4,w}(\varphi(w)) = \frac{2\overline{\varphi(w)}^3}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^3},$$

which along with the boundedness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ and the triangle inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} &\geq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{4,w}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\geq \mu(w) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{4,w})''(w)| \\ &\geq \widetilde{A}_0(w) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2} - \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(w)|\varphi(w)|}{1 - |\varphi(w)|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(w)|\varphi(w)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^2} - \frac{2\widetilde{A}_3(w)|\varphi(w)|^3}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

From (13), (15), (17) and the fact that $|\varphi(w)| < 1$ it follows that

$$M_4 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} < \infty.$$

Hence we have

$$M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \tag{22}$$

Conversely, assume that $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 < \infty$. For each $f \in Q_K(p, q)$, by using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(z) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}^n f)''(z)| \\ &\leq \widetilde{A}_0(z) |f(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_1(z) |f'(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_2(z) |f''(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_3(z) |f'''(\varphi(z))| \\ &\lesssim \widetilde{A}_0(z) \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(z)}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^3} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq (M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4) \|f\|_{Q_K(p,q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)(0)| + |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)'(0)| \\ &\leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| \\ &\lesssim \left(E_0 \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(0)|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^j} \right) \|f\|_{Q_K(p,q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

From (23) and (24) we see that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and

$$\|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p,q) \text{ (or } Q_{K,0}(p,q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \lesssim M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 + E_0 \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(0)|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^j},$$

which along with (5) and (22) yields (3).

(iii) Suppose that $q + 2 < p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded. From the proof of (i), we see that (6), (13), (15) and (17) also hold in this case. That is, $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ and $M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$. We also have

$$L_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu}. \tag{25}$$

On the contrary, assume that $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ and $M_1, M_2, M_3 < \infty$. By using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, for each $f \in Q_K(p, q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(z)|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}^n f)''(z)| \\ & \leq \widetilde{A}_0(z)|f(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_1(z)|f'(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_2(z)|f''(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_3(z)|f'''(\varphi(z))| \\ & \leq \widetilde{A}_0(z)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_1(z)}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+1}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} + \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}+2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{q+2}{p}}} \\ & \leq (L_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3)\|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)(0)| + |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)'(0)| \\ & \leq E_0|f(\varphi(0))| + E_1|f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2|f''(\varphi(0))| \\ & \leq \left(E_0 + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1-|\varphi(0)|^2)^j}\right)\|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

From (26) and (27) we deduce that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and

$$\|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_K(p, q) \text{ (or } Q_{K,0}(p, q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \leq L_0 + M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + E_0 + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{E_j}{(1-|\varphi(0)|^2)^j}. \tag{28}$$

Combining (5), (25) with (28) we can assert that (4) holds. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $p > 0$, $q > -2$ such that $q+2 \geq p$ and K be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that (1) holds. Then the following statements are true.

(i) If $q + 2 > p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact if and only if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and $N_0 = N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$.

(ii) If $q + 2 = p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact if and only if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded, $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$ and

$$N_4 := \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} = 0.$$

(iii) If $q + 2 < p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact if and only if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $q + 2 > p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact. It is evident that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded. Let $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $|\varphi(z_k)| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$f_{j,k}(z) = f_{j,z_k}(z), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where f_{j,z_k} is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, we have $\{f_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}, j=0,1,2,3}$ are norm bounded sequences in $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, and it is easily seen that $f_{j,k}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{j,k}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, from (10), (12), (14) and (16) it follows that

$$\frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z_k)|\varphi(z_k)|^j}{(1-|\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} \lesssim \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{j,k}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{30}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (30) and employing (29), we can see that $N_0 = N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and $N_0 = N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z_k)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} < \epsilon, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{31}$$

whenever $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 we have L_0, L_1, L_2, L_3 , which are defined in (6)–(9), are finite.

Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{Q_K(p,q)} \lesssim 1$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Applying (31), Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &= |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k)(0)| + |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k)'(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k)''(z)| \\ &\leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + \sum_{j=0}^3 \left(\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} \widetilde{A}_j(z) |f_k^{(j)}(\varphi(z))| + \sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \widetilde{A}_j(z) |f_k^{(j)}(\varphi(z))| \right) \\ &\leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + \sum_{j=0}^3 L_j \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(j)}(\varphi(z))| + \sum_{j=0}^3 \sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} \\ &\leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + \sum_{j=0}^3 L_j \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(j)}(w)| + 4\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Since $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that f_k', f_k'' and f_k''' also do by Cauchy’s estimate. In particular, $\{\varphi(0)\}$ and $\{w : |w| \leq \delta\}$ are compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , hence letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (32) yields

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \leq 4\epsilon.$$

From the arbitrariness of ϵ it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} = 0$, and so by Lemma 2.5, $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact.

(ii) Suppose that $q + 2 = p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact, then it is bounded obviously. From the proof of (i), we can see that $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$. Let $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} satisfying $|\varphi(z_k)| \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, set

$$f_{4,k}(z) = \left(\ln \frac{e}{1 - \frac{e}{\varphi(z_k)z}} \right)^2 \left(\ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2} \right)^{-1},$$

then $\{f_{4,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequences in $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ and converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{4,k}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} = 0. \tag{33}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{4,k}\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ &\geq \mu(z_k) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_{4,k})''(z_k)| \\ &\geq \widetilde{A}_0(z_k) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2} - \frac{2\widetilde{A}_1(z_k)|\varphi(z_k)|}{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{2}{\ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2}} + 2 \right) \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z_k)|\varphi(z_k)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^2} - \left(\frac{6}{\ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2}} + 2 \right) \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z_k)|\varphi(z_k)|^3}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (34) and employing (33), the fact that $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$, we get $N_4 = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N_4 = 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} < \epsilon, \tag{35}$$

and

$$\frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z_k)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^j} < \epsilon, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{36}$$

whenever $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{Q_K(p, q)} \lesssim 1$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Applying (35), (36), Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ & \leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + \sum_{j=0}^3 L_j \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(j)}(\varphi(z))| \\ & \quad + \sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^j} \\ & \leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + \sum_{j=0}^3 L_j \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(j)}(w)| + 4\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Analysis similar to (i) shows that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact.

(iii) Suppose that $q + 2 < p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact, then it is bounded. Moreover, from (i) it follows that $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p} - 1 + j}} < \epsilon, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{37}$$

whenever $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{Q_K(p, q)} \lesssim 1$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{D} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Applying (37), Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \\ & \leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + L_0 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f_k(\varphi(z))| \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 L_j \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(j)}(\varphi(z))| + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p} - 1 + j}} \\ & \leq E_0 |f(\varphi(0))| + E_1 |f'(\varphi(0))| + E_2 |f''(\varphi(0))| + L_0 \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} |f_k(w)| + \sum_{j=1}^3 L_j \sup_{|w| \leq \delta} |f_k^{(j)}(w)| + 3\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Note that $0 < \frac{q+2}{p} < 1$, applying Lemma 2.4 yields

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} |f_w(z)| = 0.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (38) and by the same arguments as before, we can deduce that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is compact. \square

When the target space is $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p > 0$, $q > -2$ and K be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is bounded if and only if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_j(z) = 0, \tag{39}$$

where $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. Assume that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is bounded, then it is evident that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and for every $f \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, we have $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$. Taking $f(z) = 1 \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ yields

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} 1)'(z)| = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_0(z) = 0. \tag{40}$$

Instead of using the function $f(z) = z \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |\psi_1''(z)\varphi(z) + 2\psi_1'(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_1(z)\varphi''(z) + \psi_2''(z)| = 0,$$

which along with (40), the triangle inequality and the fact that $|\varphi(z)| < 1$, we deduce that (39) holds for $j = 1$. By using the functions $f(z) = \frac{z^2}{2}$ and $f(z) = \frac{z^3}{6} \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, in the same manner we can see that (39) holds for $j = 2, 3$.

Conversely, suppose that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ is bounded and (39) holds for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then for each polynomial $r(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} r)''(z)| &\leq \widetilde{A}_0(z) |r(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_1(z) |r'(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_2(z) |r''(\varphi(z))| + \widetilde{A}_3(z) |r'''(\varphi(z))| \\ &\lesssim \widetilde{A}_0(z) + \widetilde{A}_1(z) + \widetilde{A}_2(z) + \widetilde{A}_3(z). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $|z| \rightarrow 1$ in the above inequality and employing (39) gives

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} r)''(z)| = 0,$$

which says that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} r \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$. Since the set of all polynomials is dense in $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ (see [8]), and hence for each $f \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, there is a sequence of polynomials $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|r_k - f\|_{Q_K(p,q)} = 0$, which along with the boundedness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ implies that

$$\|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} r_k - T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f\|_{\mathcal{Z}_\mu} \leq \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\|_{Q_{K,0}(p,q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\mu} \cdot \|r_k - f\|_{Q_K(p,q)} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is a closed subspace of \mathcal{Z}_μ , we have $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$, and consequently $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is bounded. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $p > 0$, $q > -2$ such that $q+2 \geq p$ and K be a nonnegative nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that (1) holds. Then the following statements are true.*

- (i) *If $q + 2 > p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact if and only if $R_0 = R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $q + 2 = p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact if and only if $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$ and*

$$R_4 := \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = 0.$$

- (iii) *If $q + 2 < p$, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact if and only if $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ and $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $q+2 > p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact. Then the compactness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu}$ easily follows. By using Theorem 3.2, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that (31) holds whenever $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Note that if $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact, then $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact. Moreover, the compactness of $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ implies that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_{K,0}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is bounded. Then (39) follows from Theorem 3.3, and for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\widetilde{A}_j(z) \leq \epsilon(1 - \delta^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{41}$$

whenever $\eta < |z| < 1$. From (31), when $\eta < |z| < 1$ and $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$, we have

$$\frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} < \epsilon, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{42}$$

On the other hand, when $\eta < |z| < 1$ and $|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta$, using (41) yields

$$\frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} \leq \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - \delta^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} < \epsilon, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{43}$$

From (42) and (43) we deduce that $R_0 = R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $R_0 = R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$. Let $f \in Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$), analysis similar to (19) in the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that

$$\mu(z)|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)''(z)| \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p}-1+j}} \|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)}.$$

Taking the supremum in the above inequality over all $f \in Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) such that $\|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)} \leq 1$ and letting $|z| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{\|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)} \leq 1} \mu(z)|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)''(z)| = 0.$$

Therefore, the operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact by Lemma 2.6.

(ii) Suppose that $q + 2 = p$ and $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact. By (i), we can see that $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$. From Theorem 3.2, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that (36) holds whenever $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$. Moreover, (39) follows from Theorem 3.3, and for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\widetilde{A}_0(z) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\ln \frac{e}{1-\delta^2}}, \tag{44}$$

whenever $\eta < |z| < 1$. From (36), when $\eta < |z| < 1$ and $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$, we have

$$\widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} < \epsilon. \tag{45}$$

On the other hand, when $\eta < |z| < 1$ and $|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta$, using (44) yields

$$\widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \leq \widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - \delta^2} < \epsilon. \tag{46}$$

From (45) and (46) we conclude that $R_4 = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = 0$. Let $f \in Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$), then we have

$$\mu(z)|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)''(z)| \lesssim \left(\widetilde{A}_0(z) \ln \frac{e}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^j} \right) \|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)}.$$

Analysis similar to (i) shows that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact.

(iii) Suppose that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact. From (i) we see that $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$. Taking $f(z) = 1 \in Q_{K,0}(p, q)$, we have $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} 1 = \psi_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$.

Conversely, assume that $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 0$ and $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$, i.e., $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_0(z) = 0$. Let $f \in Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$), then we have

$$\mu(z) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)''(z)| \lesssim \left(\widetilde{A}_0(z) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\widetilde{A}_j(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^j} \right) \|f\|_{Q_K(p, q)}.$$

Similar to (i) we deduce that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : Q_K(p, q)$ (or $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$) $\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mu,0}$ is compact. \square

References

- [1] M. S. Al Ghafri, J. S. Manhas. On Stević-Sharma operators from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted-type spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 23 (2020), 1051-1077.
- [2] C. C. Cowen, B. D. MacCluer. *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [3] Z. Guo. On Stević-Sharma operator from weighted Bergman-Orlicz spaces to Bloch-type spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 25 (2022), 91-107.
- [4] Z. Guo, L. Liu, Y. Shu. On Stević-Sharma operator from the mixed norm spaces to Zygmund-type spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 24 (2021), 445-461.
- [5] Z. Guo, Y. Shu. On Stević-Sharma operators from Hardy spaces to Stević weighted spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 23 (2020), 217-229.
- [6] R. A. Hibscheiler, N. Portnoy. Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 35 (2005), 843-855.
- [7] Z. Jiang. On Stević-Sharma operator from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-Orlicz space. *Adv. Difference Equ.* 228 (2015), 12 pp.
- [8] M. Kotilainen. On composition operators in Q_K type spaces. *J. Funct. Space Appl.* 5 (2007), 103-122.
- [9] S. Li. On an integral-type operator from the Bloch space into the $Q_K(p, q)$ space. *Filomat* 26 (2012), 331-339.
- [10] S. Li, S. Stević. Volterra-type operators on Zygmund spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2007), Art. ID 32124, 10 pp.
- [11] S. Li, S. Stević. Products of composition and integral type operators from H^∞ to the Bloch space. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 53 (2008), 463-474.
- [12] S. Li, S. Stević. Products of Volterra type operator and composition operator from H^∞ and Bloch spaces to the Zygmund space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 345 (2008), 40-52.
- [13] S. Li, S. Stević. Composition followed by differentiation from mixed-norm spaces to α -Bloch spaces. *Sb. Math.* 199 (2008), 1847-1857.
- [14] S. Li, S. Stević. Products of composition and differentiation operators from Zygmund spaces to Bloch spaces and Bers spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2010), 3144-3154.
- [15] S. Li, H. Wulan. Composition operators on Q_K spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007), 948-958.
- [16] Y. Liu, X. Liu, Y. Yu. On an extension of Stević-Sharma operator from the mixed-norm space to weighted-type spaces. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 62 (2017), 670-694.
- [17] Y. Liu, Y. Yu. On an extension of Stević-Sharma operator from the general space to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* 11 (2017), 261-288.
- [18] Y. Liu, Y. Yu. On Stević-Sharma type operator from the Besov spaces into the weighted-type space H_μ^∞ . *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 22 (2019), 1037-1053.
- [19] K. Madigan, A. Matheson. Compact composition operator on the Bloch space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347 (1995), 2679-2687.
- [20] X. Meng. Some sufficient conditions for analytic functions to belong to $Q_{K,0}(p, q)$ space. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2008), Art. ID 404636, 9 pp.
- [21] S. Ohno. Products of differentiation and composition on Bloch spaces. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 46 (2009), 1135-1140.
- [22] C. Pan. On an integral-type operator from $Q_K(p, q)$ spaces to α -Bloch spaces. *Filomat* 25 (2008), 163-173.
- [23] Y. Ren. An integral-type operator from $Q_K(p, q)$ spaces to Zygmund-type spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 236 (2014), 27-32.
- [24] A. Shields, D. Williams. Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 162 (1971), 287-302.
- [25] S. Stević. On an integral operator on the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . *J. Inequal. Appl.* 1 (2005), 81-88.
- [26] S. Stević. On an integral operator from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-type space on the unit ball. *Glasg. Math. J.* 51 (2009), 275-287.
- [27] S. Stević. Norm and essential norm of composition followed by differentiation from α -Bloch spaces to H_μ^∞ . *Appl. Math. Comput.* 207 (2009), 225-229.
- [28] S. Stević. Weighted differentiation composition operators from mixed-norm spaces to weighted-type spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 211 (2009), 222-233.
- [29] S. Stević. Weighted differentiation composition operators from the mixed-norm space to the n th weighted-type space on the unit disk. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2010), Art. ID 246287, 15 pp.
- [30] S. Stević. On an integral-type operator from Zygmund-type spaces to mixed-norm spaces on the unit ball. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2010), Art. ID 198608, 7 pp.

- [31] S. Stević, A. K. Sharma. Composition operators from weighted Bergman-Privalov spaces to Zygmund type spaces on the unit disk. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 105 (2012), 77-86.
- [32] S. Stević, A. K. Sharma, A. Bhat. Essential norm of products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2011), 2386-2397.
- [33] S. Stević, A. K. Sharma, A. Bhat. Products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman space. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011), 8115-8125.
- [34] S. Wang, M. Wang, X. Guo. Differences of Stević-Sharma operators. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 14 (2020), 1019-1054.
- [35] H. Wulan, J. Zhou. Q_K type spaces of analytic functions. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* 4 (2006), 73-84.
- [36] C. Yang, W. Xu, M. Kotilainen. Composition operators from Bloch type spaces into Q_K type spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 379 (2011), 26-34.
- [37] W. Yang. Products of composition and differentiation operators from $Q_K(p, q)$ spaces to Bloch-type spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2009), Art. ID 741920, 14pp.
- [38] F. Zhang, Y. Liu. On a Stević-Sharma operator from Hardy spaces to Zygmund-type spaces on the unit disk. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory.* 12 (2018), 81-100.
- [39] X. Zhang. Weighted Cesàro operators on Dirichlet type spaces and Bloch type spaces of C^n . *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 26 (2005), 139-150.
- [40] K. Zhu. Bloch type spaces of analytic functions. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 23 (1993), 1143-1177.