



## A Note on Extreme Points in the Closed Unit Ball of Upper Triangular $2 \times 2$ Matrices Over a $C^*$ -Algebra

Xiaoyi Tian<sup>a</sup>, Qingxiang Xu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, PR China

**Abstract.** Given a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let  $M_{m \times n}(A)$  be the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices algebra over  $A$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$  be the closed unit ball of  $M_n(A)$ . Let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \in (M_{m+n}(A))_1$  be determined by  $a \in M_{m \times m}(A)$ ,  $b \in M_{m \times n}(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$ . Some characterizations are given such that the above upper triangular matrix  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  and  $X_{m,n}(A)$  respectively, where  $X_{m,n}(A)$  is the subset of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  consisting of all upper triangular matrices.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set consisting of all natural numbers,  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex field,  $A$  is a nonzero unital  $C^*$ -algebra [6], and  $M_{m \times n}(A)$  is the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices algebra over  $A$ , which is simplified to  $M_n(A)$  whenever  $m = n$ . Let  $(A)_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$  be the closed unit ball of  $A$  and  $M_n(A)$ , respectively. The identity of  $M_n(A)$  is denoted simply by 1 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . When  $A = \mathbb{C}$ , we use the notation  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  instead of  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Given  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X_{m,n}(A)$  be the subset of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  consisting of all upper triangular matrices. More precisely,  $x \in X_{m,n}(A)$  if and only if  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , and  $x$  has the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $a \in M_m(A)$ ,  $b \in M_{m \times n}(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$ .

Recall that an element  $v$  in a convex set  $V$  is said to be an extreme point of  $V$  if for every  $y, z \in V$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $v = ty + (1 - t)z$  implies  $v = y = z$ . It is well-known that  $v$  is an extreme point of  $V$  if and only if for every  $y, z \in V$ ,  $v = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$  implies  $v = y = z$ . Clearly, the closed unit ball  $(A)_1$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a convex set. To ensure the existence of an extreme point of  $(A)_1$ , it is necessary that  $A$  has a unit [6, Proposition 1.4.7]. So, all the  $C^*$ -algebras considered in this paper are assumed to be unital.

A useful characterization of the extreme points reads as follows.

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L05; 47L07.

Keywords.  $C^*$ -algebra, upper triangular matrix, extreme point

Received: 25 January 2022; Accepted: 29 August 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11971136) and a grant from Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (18590745200).

Email addresses: [tianxytian@163.com](mailto:tianxytian@163.com) (Xiaoyi Tian), [qingxiang\\_xu@126.com](mailto:qingxiang_xu@126.com) (Qingxiang Xu)

**Lemma 1.1.** (cf. [5, Theorem 1], [6, Proposition 1.4.7]) *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the extreme points of  $(A)_1$  are precisely those elements  $v$  of  $A$  for which*

$$(1 - vv^*)A(1 - v^*v) = 0. \quad (2)$$

**Remark 1.2.** (1) Let  $v \in A$  be such that (2) is satisfied. Due to

$$v^*(1 - vv^*)v(1 - v^*v) = 0,$$

it can be concluded that  $v^*v$  is a projection, i.e.,  $v$  is a partial isometry, whence  $\|v\| \leq 1$ . So, every element  $v$  of  $A$  satisfying (2) will be contained in  $(A)_1$  automatically.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  be the set of all unitary elements in  $A$ . Obviously, (2) is satisfied for every  $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ . Thus, every element of  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  is an extreme point of  $(A)_1$ . Furthermore, if  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(A)_1$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , then both  $ux$  and  $xu$  are extreme points of  $(A)_1$ . It follows that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(A)_1$  if and only if  $uxu^*$  is an extreme point of  $(A)_1$ .

(3) It is well-known that every square matrix has its Schur triangular form [2, P.5]. That is, for every  $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , there exists a unitary  $u \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  such that  $uxu^*$  is an upper triangular matrix. So, to deal with the extreme points of  $(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})_1$ , it needs only to consider the upper triangular matrices. Likewise, it seems interesting to deal with the extreme points given by upper triangular matrices over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. However, as far as we know, little has been done in the literature on the extreme points of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  and  $X_{m,n}(A)$  by choosing elements in  $X_{m,n}(A)$ .

Based on Lemma 1.1 and the motivation described in Remark 1.2, we provide some direct characterizations of the extreme points in Section 2. Specifically, we will show that there exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and non-zero elements  $a, b, c \in A$  such that  $x$  given by (1) is an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$  (see Theorem 2.6). In Section 3, we study the extreme points of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  furthermore. In the case that  $x$  given by (1) is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ , some necessary and sufficient conditions are investigated under which  $b = 0, c = 0$  and  $a = 0$ , respectively; see Theorems 3.2 and 3.6, and Proposition 3.8. Some specific unital  $C^*$ -algebras are considered; see Corollary 3.3, Proposition 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.7. In Section 4, we focus on the study of the extreme points of  $X_{m,n}(A)$ . Some new results in this direction are obtained; see Proposition 4.1, Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, and Corollary 4.7.

## 2. Some direct characterizations of the extreme points

In this section, we provide some direct characterizations of the extreme points. We first give two definitions as follows.

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that  $A$  is said to be finite [4, 7] if for every element  $v \in A$ ,  $vv^* = 1$  implies  $v^*v = 1$ , and  $A$  is said to be stably finite if  $M_n(A)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 1$ . It is notable that there exists a finite but not stably finite  $C^*$ -algebra [4]. However, if  $A$  is a finite von Neumann algebra, then  $A$  is stably finite [7, Proposition 2.6.1] (see also [7, Theorem 2.5.4]).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a finite von Neumann algebra and  $v \in (A)_1$ . Then  $v$  is an extreme point of  $(A)_1$  if and only if  $v$  is a unitary element of  $A$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ . See Remark 1.2 (2).

$\Rightarrow$ . Suppose  $v \in (A)_1$  is given such that (2) is satisfied. According to Remark 1.2 (1),  $v$  is a partial isometry, hence  $vv^* \sim v^*v$ . Since  $A$  is a finite von Neumann algebra, by [7, Proposition 2.4.2] we have  $1 - vv^* \sim 1 - v^*v$ . Thus, from [8, Lemma 5.2.5] we can conclude that there exists a unitary element  $u \in A$  such that  $u(1 - v^*v)u^* = 1 - vv^*$ . This together with (2) gives

$$1 - vv^* = (1 - vv^*)^2 = (1 - vv^*)u(1 - v^*v)u^* = 0.$$

Therefore,  $v$  is a unitary element by the finiteness of  $A$ .  $\square$

A similar result can be obtained for commutative  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for every  $v \in A$ ,  $v$  is an extreme point of  $(A)_1$  if and only if  $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of the sufficiency is the same as that of Proposition 2.1. Assume that (2) is satisfied. Since  $A$  is commutative and  $v$  is a partial isometry, we have

$$1 - vv^* = (1 - vv^*)(1 - v^*v) \cdot 1 = (1 - vv^*) \cdot 1 \cdot (1 - v^*v) = 0.$$

This shows that  $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** For a characterization of an extreme point to be a unitary in a general  $C^*$ -algebra, the reader is referred to [1].

Our next result concerns the extreme points of the form (1).

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $x \in X_{m,n}(A)$  be given by (1) such that  $a \in M_m(A)$ ,  $b \in M_{m \times n}(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$ . Then  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  if and only if the following conditions are all satisfied:*

$$[1 - (aa^* + bb^*)]M_m(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$[1 - (aa^* + bb^*)]M_m(A)a^*b = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$[1 - (aa^* + bb^*)]M_{m \times n}(A)b^*a = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$[1 - (aa^* + bb^*)]M_{m \times n}(A)[1 - (b^*b + c^*c)] = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$(1 - cc^*)M_{n \times m}(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0, \quad (1 - cc^*)M_{n \times m}(A)a^*b = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$(1 - cc^*)M_n(A)b^*a = 0, \quad (1 - cc^*)M_n(A)[1 - (b^*b + c^*c)] = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$bc^*M_{n \times m}(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0, \quad bc^*M_{n \times m}(A)a^*b = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$bc^*M_n(A)b^*a = 0, \quad bc^*M_n(A)[1 - (b^*b + c^*c)] = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$cb^*M_m(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0, \quad cb^*M_m(A)a^*b = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$cb^*M_{m \times n}(A)b^*a = 0, \quad cb^*M_{m \times n}(A)[1 - (b^*b + c^*c)] = 0. \tag{12}$$

*Proof.* Direct computation yields

$$1 - xx^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (aa^* + bb^*) & -bc^* \\ -cb^* & 1 - cc^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

$$1 - x^*x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a^*a & -a^*b \\ -b^*a & 1 - (b^*b + c^*c) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Utilizing Lemma 1.1 we see that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  if and only if

$$(1 - xx^*)M_{m+n}(A)(1 - x^*x) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Substituting (13) and (14) into (15) yields the equivalence of (15) with (3)–(12).  $\square$

An application of the preceding proposition is as follows.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $x$  be given by (1). Then  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  if and only if for every  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), the element*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 a & \lambda_2 b \\ 0 & \lambda_3 c \end{pmatrix}$$

*is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  be given such that  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). Clearly, equations (3)–(12) are satisfied for  $a, b$  and  $c$  if and only if these equations are satisfied for  $\lambda_1 a, \lambda_2 b$  and  $\lambda_3 c$ .  $\square$

We end this section by another application of Proposition 2.4.

**Theorem 2.6.** *There exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and non-zero elements  $a, b, c \in A$  such that  $x$  given by (1) is an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the separable Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be its usual orthonormal basis. Put  $A = \mathbb{B}(H)$ , the set of all bounded linear operator on  $H$ . Let  $a$  be the unilateral shift characterized by  $ae_n = e_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose  $b = 1 - aa^*$  and  $c = a^*$ . Then  $b$  is a projection whose range is spanned by  $e_1$ . Consequently,  $a, b$  and  $c$  are all nonzero, and

$$aa^* + bb^* = cc^* = 1, \quad bc^* = cb^* = 0,$$

which lead clearly to (3)–(12).  $\square$

### 3. Some special cases of the extreme points of $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$

Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $x \in X_{m,n}(A)$  is given by (1) such that  $a \in M_m(A), b \in M_{m \times n}(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$ . When  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ , by Remark 1.2 (1),  $x$  is a partial isometry, so according to (13) and (14), we have

$$aa^* + bb^* \leq 1, \quad b^*b + c^*c \leq 1. \tag{16}$$

In what follows, we investigate conditions under which  $b = 0, c = 0$  and  $a = 0$ , respectively. To this end, we need a lemma as follows.

**Lemma 3.1.** [6, Proposition 1.4.5] *Let  $x$  and  $a$  be elements of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfying  $x^*x \leq a$ . Then for every  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists  $u \in A$  with  $\|u\| \leq \|a^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}\|$  such that  $x = ua^\alpha$ .*

#### 3.1. Characterizations of $b = 0$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $x$  given by (1) is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ . Then the following statements are all equivalent:*

- (i)  $b = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ ;
- (iii)  $a$  and  $c$  are extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$  respectively such that

$$(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c) = 0, \quad (1 - cc^*)M_{n \times m}(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0; \tag{17}$$

- (iv)  $a^*a + bb^* \leq 1$  and  $b^*b + cc^* \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* The implication of (i)  $\implies$  (ii) is clear.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). Let

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

By assumption  $z$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ , so we have  $z \in (M_{m+n}(A))_1$ . It follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $y$  is also an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ . Therefore,  $x, y, z \in (M_{m+n}(A))_1$  and  $z = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$ , which gives  $x = y = z$ , hence  $b = 0$ .

(ii)⇔(iii). Let  $z$  be defined by (18). By (3)–(12) with  $b = 0$  therein, we know that  $z$  is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$  if and only if (17) as well as

$$(1 - aa^*)M_m(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - cc^*)M_n(A)(1 - c^*c) = 0$$

are satisfied. The latter two equations are exactly the characterizations of  $a$  and  $c$  to be the extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$ , respectively (see Lemma 1.1).

(i)⇒(iv). Since  $b = 0$ , we have  $\max\{\|a\|, \|c\|\} = \|x\| \leq 1$ , hence

$$a^*a + bb^* = a^*a \leq 1, \quad b^*b + cc^* = cc^* \leq 1.$$

(iv)⇒(i). By assumption we have  $bb^* \leq 1 - a^*a$ , so according to Lemma 3.1 there exists  $u \in M_m(A)$  such that

$$(bb^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} = u(1 - a^*a)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Taking  $*$ -operation, we arrive at

$$(bb^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - a^*a)^{\frac{1}{3}}u^*. \tag{19}$$

Note that the first equation in (7) implies that

$$(1 - cc^*)M_{n \times m}(A)(1 - a^*a)^{\frac{1}{3}} = 0,$$

so we may use (19) to obtain

$$(1 - cc^*)M_{n \times m}(A)(bb^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0,$$

which clearly gives

$$(1 - cc^*)M_{n \times m}(A)bb^* = 0.$$

It follows that

$$(1 - cc^*)b^*b[(1 - cc^*)b^*b]^* = 0,$$

and thus

$$(1 - cc^*)b^*b = 0,$$

which leads furthermore to

$$(1 - cc^*)b^*[(1 - cc^*)b^*]^* = 0.$$

Consequently,  $(1 - cc^*)b^* = 0$  and thus

$$b(1 - cc^*) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Similarly, there exists  $v \in M_n(A)$  such that

$$(b^*b)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - cc^*)^{\frac{1}{3}}v^*.$$

The equation above together with (20) yields  $b(b^*b)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ , which leads furthermore to  $(b^*b)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 0$ , therefore  $b = 0$ . □

The equivalence of items (i) and (iv) in the preceding theorem together with (16) gives a corollary immediately as follows.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be elements of a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Suppose that  $x$  given by (1) is an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$ , then  $b = 0$ .*

Inspired by (16) and Corollary 3.3, we give a new characterization of the commutativity as follows.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra such that for all  $a, b \in A$ , the inequality  $aa^* + bb^* \leq 1$  implies  $a^*a + bb^* \leq 1$ , then  $A$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Given every  $b \in (A)_1$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , put  $a = (1 - bb^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}u^*$ . Then clearly  $aa^* + bb^* = 1$ , whence

$$u(1 - bb^*)u^* + bb^* = a^*a + bb^* \leq 1,$$

which gives  $bb^* \leq u(bb^*)u^*$ . Replacing  $u$  with  $u^*$ , we arrive at  $bb^* \leq u^*(bb^*)u$ , hence  $u(bb^*)u^* \leq bb^*$ . Consequently,  $u(bb^*)u^* = bb^*$  and thus  $u(bb^*) = (bb^*)u$ .

Now, let  $A_+$  denote the set of all positive elements in  $A$ . For every  $x \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ , put  $b = \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then for every  $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , from the equation  $u(bb^*) = (bb^*)u$  we obtain  $ux = xu$ , which ensures the commutativity of  $A$ , since every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is spanned by  $A_+$  and  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ , respectively.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a finite von Neumann algebra and  $x$  given by (1) is an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ , then  $b = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption  $M_k(A)$  is a finite von Neumann algebra for all  $k \geq 1$ , so Proposition 2.1 indicates that  $x$  is a unitary element of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ . Thus,  $1 = xx^*$ . Combining this equation with (13) yields  $bc^* = 0$  and  $1 = cc^*$ , which yield  $b = 0$ , since by the finiteness of  $M_n(A)$  we have  $c^*c = 1$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. Characterizations of $c = 0$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $x$  given by (1) be an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ . Then  $c = 0$  if and only if*

$$a^*a = 1, \quad b^*b = 1, \quad b^*a = 0. \tag{21}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $c = 0$ . Then it follows from (7) and (8) that

$$M_{n \times m}(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0, \quad b^*a = 0, \quad b^*b = 1.$$

Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in M_{n \times m}(A)$  be defined by

$$z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots,$$

$$z_{m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In virtue of  $z_i(1 - a^*a) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we arrive at  $a^*a = 1$ . This shows the validity of (21).

Conversely, assume that (21) is satisfied. Combining (21) with the second equation in (8) and the second equation in (10), we obtain

$$(1 - cc^*)c^*c = 0, \quad bc^*c^*c = 0.$$

Thus,  $c^*c^*c = b^*bc^*c^*c = 0$ , hence  $c^*c = (1 - cc^*)c^*c = 0$ . This shows that  $c = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *There exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and an element  $x$  given by (1) with  $c = 0$  such that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$ .*

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$ , the Cuntz algebra  $O_n$  ([3, Section 1], [9, Section 5]) is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by isometries  $s_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i s_i^* = 1$ . Let  $A = O_n$ . Choose any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , put  $a = s_i$  and  $b = s_j$ . Then (21) is satisfied for such a pair of  $a$  and  $b$ , hence the element  $x$  given by (1) with  $c = 0$  is an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$ .  $\square$

### 3.3. Characterizations of $a = 0$

The following propositions can be obtained by using the same method employed in the proof of Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $x$  given by (1) be an extreme point of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ . Then  $a = 0$  if and only if  $bb^* = 1, cc^* = 1$  and  $cb^* = 0$ .*

**Proposition 3.9.** *There exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and an element  $x$  given by (1) with  $a = 0$  such that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$ .*

## 4. Characterizations of the extreme points of $X_{m,n}(A)$

Given  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X_{m,n}(A)$  is obviously a convex subset of  $(M_{m+n}(A))_1$ , which however is not invariant under the  $*$ -operation. Due to the latter property of  $X_{m,n}(A)$ , some new phenomena may happen in dealing with the extreme points of  $X_{m,n}(A)$ . Our first result in this direction is as follows.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For every unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $x$  given by (1) with  $m = n$  such that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $X_{n,n}(A)$ , whereas neither  $a$  nor  $c$  is an extreme point of  $(M_n(A))_1$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $y, z \in M_n(A)$ , let  $s = \begin{pmatrix} y & 1 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$  and  $t = \begin{pmatrix} y & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} s$ , we have

$$1 + \|y\|^2 = 1 + \|yy^*\| = \|1 + yy^*\| = \|tt^*\| = \|t\|^2 \leq \|s\|^2.$$

Similarly,  $1 + \|z\|^2 \leq \|s\|^2$ . It follows that  $\|s\| \leq 1$  if and only if  $y = z = 0$ . Due to this observation and the fact that  $1$  is an extreme point of  $(M_n(A))_1$ , we see that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is an extreme point of  $X_{n,n}(A)$ .  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we study the extreme points of  $X_{m,n}(A)$  under the restriction that  $a$  and  $c$  are extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$ , respectively. For this, we provide a useful lemma as follows.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $a \in M_m(A), b \in M_{m \times n}(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$  be such that both  $a$  and  $c$  are nonzero partial isometries. Suppose that  $x$  given by (1) satisfies  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , then  $b = (1 - aa^*)b(1 - c^*c)$ .*

*Proof.* A simple computation shows that

$$xx^* = \begin{pmatrix} aa^* + bb^* & bc^* \\ cb^* & cc^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

So, if we put  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} xx^*$ , then we have

$$1 \geq \|ss^*\| = \|cb^*bc^* + cc^*\|.$$

Since  $cc^*$  is a nonzero projection and  $e \triangleq cb^*bc^*$  is a positive element satisfying  $cc^* \cdot e \cdot cc^* = e$ , the inequality above implies that  $e = 0$ , or equivalently,  $bc^* = 0$ . Furthermore, from (22) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \|xx^*\| \geq \|aa^* + bb^*\| \geq \|aa^*(aa^* + bb^*)aa^*\| \\ &= \|aa^* + (aa^*b)(aa^*b)^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $aa^*b = 0$ . It follows that

$$b = (1 - aa^*)b = (1 - aa^*)b(1 - c^*c).$$

Hence,  $b$  has the form as desired.  $\square$

A direct application of the preceding lemma is as follows.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $a \in M_m(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$  are nonzero partial isometries such that  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c) = 0$ . Then for every  $b \in M_{m \times n}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ , the element  $x$  given by (1) satisfies  $\|x\| > 1$ .*

We provide an additional lemma for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $x$  be given by (1) such that both  $a \in M_m(A)$  and  $c \in M_n(A)$  are partial isometries, and at least one of  $a$  and  $c$  is nonzero. Then  $\|x\| = \max\{1, \|b\|\}$  for every  $b \in (1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c)$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumptions on  $a, b$  and  $c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &= \|xx^*\| = \|\text{diag}(aa^* + bb^*, cc^*)\| \\ &= \max\{\max\{\|aa^*\|, \|bb^*\|\}, \|cc^*\|\} = \max\{1, \|b\|\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thereby showing that  $\|x\| = \max\{1, \|b\|\}$ , as desired.  $\square$

Our first main result of this section reads as follows.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $x$  be given by (1) such that  $a$  and  $c$  are extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$  respectively, and  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c) \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $x$  is an extreme point of  $X_{m,n}(A)$  if and only if  $b$  is an extreme point of the closed unit ball of  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c)$ .*

*Proof.* For simplicity, we denote  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c)$  and its closed unit ball by  $B$  and  $(B)_1$ , respectively. Suppose that

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

are given in  $X_{m,n}(A)$  such that  $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$ . Then  $a = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2)$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + c_2)$ , and

$$\max\{\|a_i\|, \|b_i\|, \|c_i\|\} \leq \max\{\|y\|, \|z\|\} \leq 1$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ , which lead to  $a_1 = a_2 = a$ ,  $c_1 = c_2 = c$ , and  $b_1, b_2 \in (B)_1$  by Lemma 4.2. Then the desired conclusion is immediate from Lemma 4.4.  $\square$

Our second main result of this section reads as follows.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $x$  be given by (1) with  $b = 0$ . Then  $x$  is an extreme point of  $X_{m,n}(A)$  if and only if the following conditions are both satisfied:*

- (i)  $a$  and  $c$  are extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$ , respectively;
- (ii)  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c) = 0$ .



*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M_{m+n}(A)$  defined by  $Y = M_m(A) \oplus M_n(A)$ , and let  $(Y)_1$  be the closed unit ball of  $Y$ . Obviously, we have

$$(Y)_1 \subseteq X_{m,n}(A) \subseteq (M_{m+n}(A))_1.$$

By Lemma 1.1, it is easy to verify that  $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  is an extreme point of  $(Y)_1$  if and only if

$$(1 - aa^*)M_m(A)(1 - a^*a) = 0, \quad (1 - cc^*)M_n(A)(1 - c^*c) = 0,$$

or equivalently,  $a$  and  $c$  are extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$ , respectively.

Assume that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $X_{m,n}(A)$ , then apparently  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(Y)_1$ , hence  $a$  and  $c$  are extreme points of  $(M_m(A))_1$  and  $(M_n(A))_1$ , respectively. In particular, both  $a$  and  $c$  are nonzero isometries (see Remark 1.2 (1)). Suppose that  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c) \neq \{0\}$ . Then there exists  $w \in M_{m \times n}(A)$  such that

$$0 < \|w\| < 1, \quad (1 - aa^*)w(1 - c^*c) = w. \tag{23}$$

Let

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} a & w \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} a & -w \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

In virtue of (23) and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\|y\| = \|z\| = \max\{1, \|w\|\} = 1.$$

It follows that  $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$  with  $y, z \in (Y)_1$  such that  $x \neq y$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $(Y)_1$ . This shows that  $(1 - aa^*)M_{m \times n}(A)(1 - c^*c) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Let

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

be chosen in  $(Y)_1$  such that  $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$ . Then  $a = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2)$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + c_2)$ , and

$$\max\{\|a_1\|, \|c_1\|, \|a_2\|, \|c_2\|\} \leq \max\{\|y\|, \|z\|\} \leq 1,$$

which yield  $a_1 = a_2 = a$  and  $c_1 = c_2 = c$ . Hence, we may combine  $\|y\| \leq 1$  and  $\|z\| \leq 1$  with Corollary 4.3 to conclude that  $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ , and thus  $y = z = x$ . This completes the proof that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $X_{m,n}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *There exist a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and an element  $x$  given by (1) with  $b = 0$  such that  $x$  is an extreme point of  $X_{1,1}(A)$ , whereas  $x$  fails to be an extreme point of  $(M_2(A))_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_3$  generated by isometries  $s_1, s_2$  and  $s_3$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^3 s_i s_i^* = 1$ . Put  $a = s_1^*$  and  $c = s_2$ . Then  $aa^* = c^*c = 1$  and  $(1 - cc^*)(1 - a^*a) = s_3 s_3^* \neq 0$ . Therefore, conditions (i) and (ii) stated in Theorem 4.6 are satisfied, whereas the second equation in (17) fails to be true for  $m = n = 1$ . Thus, the element  $x$  given by (1) with  $b = 0$  and  $a, c$  be chosen as above meets the demanding.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] R. Berntzen, Extreme points of the closed unit ball in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 74 (1997) 99–100.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Matrix analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 169, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] J. Cuntz, Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 57 (1977) 173–185.
- [4] N. P. Clarke, A finite but not stably finite  $C^*$ -algebra, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 96 (1986) 85–88.
- [5] R. V. Kadison, Isometries of operator algebras, *Annals of Mathematics* (2) 54 (1951) 325–338.
- [6] G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups* (London Math. Soc. Monographs 14), Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [7] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [8] N. E. Wegge-Olsen,  *$K$ -theory and  $C^*$ -algebras*, the Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [9] Q. Xu, Induced ideals and purely infinite simple Toeplitz algebras, *Journal of Operator Theory* 62 (2009) 33–64.