



The Weakly Rothberger Property of Pixley–Roy Hyperspaces

Zuquan Li^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 311121, P.R. China

Abstract. Let $\text{PR}(X)$ denote the hyperspace of nonempty finite subsets of a topological space X with Pixley–Roy topology. In this paper, by introducing closed-miss-finite networks and using principle ultrafilters, we proved that the following statements are equivalent for a space X :

- (1) $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger;
 - (2) X satisfies $S_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$;
 - (3) X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $S_1(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ for each $x \in X$;
 - (4) X is separable and each principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger in $\text{PR}(X)$.
- We also characterize the weakly Menger property and the weakly Hurewicz property of $\text{PR}(X)$.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper all spaces are assumed to be infinite and T_1 . \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers. ω is the first infinite ordinal.

For a space X , let $\text{PR}(X)$ be the family of all nonempty finite subsets of X . For $A \in \text{PR}(X)$ and an open set $U \subset X$, let

$$[A, U] = \{B \in \text{PR}(X) : A \subset B \subset U\}.$$

The family $\{[A, U] : A \in \text{PR}(X), U \text{ is open in } X\}$ is a base of $\text{PR}(X)$ for the *Pixley–Roy topology* [9] on $\text{PR}(X)$.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be collections of sets of an infinite set X .

$S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the selection principle: for each sequence $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there is a sequence $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $b_n \in A_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an element of \mathcal{B} .

$S_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the selection principle: for each sequence $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there is a sequence $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that B_n is a finite subset of A_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

We recall that an open cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is called an ω -cover of X if every finite subset of X is contained in a member of \mathcal{U} and X is not a member of \mathcal{U} . A family ξ of subsets of a space X is called a π -network of X [3] if for each open set U of X , there exists $M \in \xi$ such that $M \subset U$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54B20; Secondary 54D20

Keywords. Pixley–Roy topology, weakly Rothberger, weakly Menger, weakly Hurewicz, principal ultrafilter, *cf*-network, *rcf*-network, weakly *cf*-network, weakly *rcf*-network

Received: 06 October 2021; Revised: 20 January 2022; Accepted: 22 January 2022

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Email address: hzsdlzq@sina.com (Zuquan Li)

For a space X , we write

- Ω : the collection of ω -covers of X ;
- \mathcal{D} : the collection of dense subsets of X ;
- Π_ω : the collection of π -networks of X consisting of finite subsets of X ;
- Π_k : the collection of π -networks of X consisting of compact subsets of X .

In the theory of selection principles, π -networks and ω -covers play important roles. Some well-known weakly-versions of selection principles of Pixley–Roy space $\text{PR}(X)$ were established in terms of ω -covers or π -networks of X . P. Daniels [2] introduced the weakly Rothberger property and the weakly Menger property and proved that for a metrizable space X , $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger (resp., weakly Menger) if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega, \Omega)$). M. Sakai [11] and M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, B.A. Pansera, B. Tsaban [1] gave that for a countable space X , $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger (resp., weakly Menger) if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega, \Omega)$) if and only if every finite power of X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_\omega, \Pi_\omega)$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Pi_\omega, \Pi_\omega)$). M. Scheepers [12] obtained that for a subset X of the real line, $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger (resp., weakly Menger) if and only if $\text{PR}(X)$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$) if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega, \Omega)$).

On the other hand, we find that ω -covers or π -networks doesn't completely characterize the dual properties of these weak selection principles between a general space X and its hyperspace $\text{PR}(X)$ ([7], Examples 2.9, 2.14 and Remark 2.15). We should introduce new networks or covers different from π -networks or ω -covers of X to be dual to selection principles in the hyperspace $\text{PR}(X)$.

For a general space X , the characterizations of the weakly Rothberger property, the weakly Menger property and the weakly Hurewicz property of $\text{PR}(X)$ are unknown. So the following natural questions arise.

Question 1.1. For a space X , find the collections \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of subsets of X such that:

- $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$;
- $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Menger if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$;
- $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Hurewicz if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

G.Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac and E. Meccariello [3] investigated the Rothberger property in 2^X under co-compact topology F^+ and co-finite topology Z^+ . They proved that for a space X , $(2^X, F^+)$ (resp., $(2^X, Z^+)$) has the Rothberger property if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_k, \Pi_k)$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_\omega, \Pi_\omega)$).

In this paper, motivated by co-subset ideas of [3], we introduced a new kind of hit-and-miss networks: rcf -network, weakly rcf -network, cf -network and weakly cf -network. We obtain weakly selection principles of $\text{PR}(X)$. We prove that $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger (resp., weakly Menger and weakly Hurewicz) if and only if X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf}^p)$) if and only if X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ (resp., $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\text{fin}}(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf}^p)$) for each $x \in X$ if and only if X is separable and each principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger in $\text{PR}(X)$ (resp., weakly Menger and weakly Hurewicz).

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. ([1, 4, 8, 11]) A space X is said to be weakly Rothberger if for every sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open covers of X , there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is dense in X .

Definition 2.2. ([1, 2, 4, 8]) A space X is said to be weakly Menger if for every sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open covers of X , there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n$ is dense in X .

Definition 2.3. ([4, 10]) A space X is said to be weakly Hurewicz if for every sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open covers of X , there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ such that for every nonempty open $U \subset X$, $U \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{V}_n) \neq \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.4. *If $PR(X)$ is weakly Menger, then X is separable.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{[\{x\}, X] : x \in X\}$. Then $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of open covers of $PR(X)$. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $PR(X) = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{V}_n = \{[\{x_{n,m}\}, X] : 1 \leq m \leq k_n\}.$$

We prove that $\{x_{n,m} : n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq k_n\}$ is a countable dense subset of X . In fact, for each open subset V of X , pick $y \in V$, then $[\{y\}, V]$ is an open subset of $PR(X)$. Thus $[\{y\}, V] \cap (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n) \neq \emptyset$. There exists

$$x_{n_0, m_0} \in \{x_{n,m} : n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq k_n\}$$

such that

$$[\{y\}, V] \cap [\{x_{n_0, m_0}\}, X] \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus $x_{n_0, m_0} \in V$. So X is separable. \square

In order to give characterizations of $PR(X)$ being weakly Rothberger, we define *rcf*-networks and weakly *rcf*-networks of X .

A pair (C, F) of subsets of X is called a *closed-miss-finite pair* of X , if C is closed and F is nonempty finite with $C \cap F = \emptyset$. A *closed-miss-finite family* of X is a family of closed-miss-finite pairs of X .

Recall that a subset U of X is called a *co-finite subset* of X [7] if $0 < |X - U| < \omega$. A family \mathcal{U} consisting of co-finite subsets of X is said to be a *co-finite family* of X . Let $Y \subseteq X$. A subset U of Y is called a *co-finite subset* of Y [7] if $0 \leq |Y - U| < \omega$. A family \mathcal{U} consisting of co-finite subsets of Y is called a *co-finite family* of Y .

Definition 2.5. A closed-miss-finite family ξ of X is called a *regular closed-miss-finite network* (briefly, *rcf-network*) of X , if for each co-finite subset U of X , there exists $(C, F) \in \xi$ such that $C \subset U$ and $F \cap U = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.6. A closed-miss-finite family ξ of X is called a *weakly rcf-network* of X , if for each co-finite subset U of X and $C \subset U$ closed in X , there exists $(C', F') \in \xi$ such that $C' \subset U$ and $F' \cap C = \emptyset$.

An *rcf-network* of X is a weakly *rcf-network* of X . We write

- Π_{rcf} : the collection of *rcf-networks* of X ;
- Π_{wrcf} : the collection of weakly *rcf-networks* of X .

Theorem 2.7. *For a space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $PR(X)$ is weakly Rothberger;
- (2) X satisfies $\mathcal{S}_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$;

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of *rcf-networks* of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{[F, X - C] : (C, F) \in \xi_n\}.$$

Then each \mathcal{U}_n is an open cover of $PR(X)$. Indeed, let $A \in PR(X)$, then $A^c = X - A$ is a co-finite subset of X . There exists $(C, F) \in \xi_n$ such that

$$C \subset A^c \text{ and } F \cap A^c = \emptyset.$$

Then $A \in [F, X - C]$. By (1), pick $[F_n, X - C_n] \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [F_n, X - C_n]} = PR(X).$$

Then $(C_n, F_n) \in \xi_n$ and $\{(C_n, F_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *rcf-network* of X . In fact, let U be a co-finite subset of X and $C \subset U$ closed in X , then $[U^c, X - C]$ is an open subset of $PR(X)$. There is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[U^c, X - C] \cap [F_k, X - C_k] \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus $C_k \subset U$ and $F_k \cap C = \emptyset$. So X satisfies $S_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of open covers of $PR(X)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that each \mathcal{U}_n is a family of basic open sets. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\xi_n = \{(X - V, A) : [A, V] \in \mathcal{U}_n\}.$$

Let U be a co-finite subset of X , there is some $[A, V] \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $U^c \in [A, V]$. Thus $X - V \subset U$ and $A \cap U = \emptyset$. So $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of *rcf*-networks of X . There exists $(X - V_n, A_n) \in \xi_n$ such that $\{(X - V_n, A_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *rcf*-network of X . It is clear that $[A_n, V_n] \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [A_n, V_n] = PR(X)$. \square

A space X is said to be *weakly Lindelöf* [3] if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a set $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is dense in X .

Using *rcf*-networks and weakly *rcf*-networks, we can obtain a characterization of the weakly Lindelöf property of $PR(X)$.

Theorem 2.8. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) $PR(X)$ is weakly Lindelöf;
- (2) For each *rcf*-network ξ of X , there exists a set $\{(C_n, F_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \xi$ such that $\{(C_n, F_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *rcf*-network of X .

Next, we define *cf*-networks and weakly *cf*-networks of a subset Y of X .

Let Y be a subset of X . A *closed-miss-finite family* ξ of Y denotes the following family.

$$\xi = \{(C, F) : C, F \subset Y, C \text{ is closed in } X \text{ and } F \text{ is finite with } C \cap F = \emptyset\}.$$

Definition 2.9. Let $Y \subsetneq X$. A closed-miss-finite family ξ of Y is called a *closed-miss-finite network* (briefly, *cf*-network) of Y , if for each co-finite subset U of Y , there exists $(C, F) \in \xi$ such that $C \subset U$ and $F \cap U = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.10. Let $Y \subsetneq X$. A closed-miss-finite family ξ of Y is called a *weakly cf*-network of Y , if for each co-finite subset U of Y and $C \subset U$ closed in X , there exists $(C', F') \in \xi$ such that $C' \subset U$ and $F' \cap C = \emptyset$.

A *cf*-network of Y is a weakly *cf*-network of Y . We write

- Π_{cf} : the collection of *cf*-networks of each $Y \subsetneq X$;
- Π_{wcf} : the collection of weakly *cf*-networks of each $Y \subsetneq X$.

Theorem 2.11. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X satisfies $S_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$;
- (2) X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $S_1(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ for each $x \in X$;

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Lemma 2.4, X is separable since the weakly Menger property is weaker than the weakly Rothberger property. Let $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of *cf*-networks of $X - \{x\}$. For each $(C, A) \in \xi_n$, put

$$\zeta_{(C,A)}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \{(C, A)\}, & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset; \\ \{(C, F) : F \in [X - C]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}\}, & \text{if } A = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\zeta_n = \bigcup_{(C,A) \in \xi_n} \zeta_{(C,A)}^{(n)}.$$

Then each ζ_n is an *rcf*-network of X . In fact, let U be a co-finite subset of X , then $U \cap (X - \{x\})$ is a co-finite subset of $X - \{x\}$. There exists $(C, A) \in \xi_n$ such that

$$C \subset U \cap (X - \{x\}) \text{ and } A \cap (U \cap (X - \{x\})) = \emptyset.$$

Case 1. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then $(C, A) \in \zeta_n$. Since $A \subset X - \{x\}$, then

$$C \subset U \text{ and } A \cap U = (A \cap (X - \{x\})) \cap U = A \cap (U \cap (X - \{x\})) = \emptyset.$$

Case 2. If $A = \emptyset$, take

$$F = X - U \in [X - C]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$$

Then $(C, F) \in \zeta_{(C,A)}^{(n)} \subset \zeta_n$ such that $C \subset U$ and $F \cap U = \emptyset$. By (1), there exists $(C_n, F_n) \in \zeta_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{(C_n, F_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *rcf*-network of X . One readily check that each $(C_n, A_n) \in \xi_n$. We show that $\{(C_n, A_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *cf*-network of $X - \{x\}$. Let U be a co-finite subset of $X - \{x\}$ and $C \subset U$ closed in X , then U is a co-finite subset of X . There exists some $(C_k, F_k) \in \{(C_n, F_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$C_k \subset U \text{ and } F_k \cap C = \emptyset.$$

Then $C_k \subset U$ and $A_k \cap C \subset F_k \cap C = \emptyset$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of *rcf*-networks of X and rearrange $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ as $\{\xi_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Suppose that $\{x_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable dense subset of X . For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\zeta_{n,m} = \{(C, A \cap (X - \{x_m\})) : (C, A) \in \xi_{n,m} \text{ and } C \subset X - \{x_m\}\}.$$

Then $\{\zeta_{n,m} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of *cf*-networks of $X - \{x_m\}$. In fact, for each co-finite U of $X - \{x_m\}$, then U is a co-finite subset of X . There exists $(C, A) \in \xi_{n,m}$ such that

$$C \subset U \subset X - \{x_m\} \text{ and } A \cap U = \emptyset.$$

Thus $(C, A \cap (X - \{x_m\})) \in \zeta_{n,m}$ such that

$$C \subset U \text{ and } [A \cap (X - \{x_m\})] \cap U = \emptyset.$$

By (2), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists

$$(C_{n,m}, A_{n,m} \cap (X - \{x_m\})) \in \zeta_{n,m}$$

such that $\{(C_{n,m}, A_{n,m} \cap (X - \{x_m\})) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *cf*-network of $X - \{x_m\}$. One readily check that $(C_{n,m}, A_{n,m}) \in \xi_{n,m}$ for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $\{(C_{n,m}, A_{n,m}) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *rcf*-network of X . Indeed, let U be a co-finite subset of X and $C \subset U$ closed in X .

Case 1. $U - C \neq \emptyset$. Take $x_m \in (X - C) \cap U$, then

$$C \subset U - \{x_m\} \subset X - \{x_m\}.$$

Since $U - \{x_m\}$ is a co-finite subset of $X - \{x_m\}$, there exists

$$(C_{n,m}, A_{n,m} \cap (X - \{x_m\})) \in \zeta_{n,m}$$

such that

$$C_{n,m} \subset U - \{x_m\} \text{ and } [A_{n,m} \cap (X - \{x_m\})] \cap C = \emptyset.$$

Hence $C_{n,m} \subset U$ and $A_{n,m} \cap C = \emptyset$ since $x_m \notin C$.

Case 2. $C = U$. Take $x_m \in X - C$, then

$$C \subset U \subset X - \{x_m\}.$$

Since U is a co-finite subset of $X - \{x_m\}$, there exists

$$(C_{n,m}, A_{n,m} \cap (X - \{x_m\})) \in \zeta_{n,m}$$

such that

$$C_{n,m} \subset U \text{ and } [A_{n,m} \cap (X - \{x_m\})] \cap C = \emptyset.$$

Hence $C_{n,m} \subset U$ and $A_{n,m} \cap C = \emptyset$. So X satisfies $S_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$. \square

Finally, for a subset Y of X , we define the weakly Rothberger property, the weakly Menger property and the weakly Hurewicz property of Y .

Definition 2.12. A subset Y of a space X is said to be *weakly Rothberger* in X if for every sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of covers of Y sets open in X , there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $Y \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n}$.

Definition 2.13. A subset Y of a space X is said to be *weakly Menger* in X if for every sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of covers of Y sets open in X , there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $Y \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n}$.

Definition 2.14. A subset Y of X is said to be *weakly Hurewicz* in X if for every sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of covers of Y sets open in X , there are finite subfamilies $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ such that for every nonempty open U of X with $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, $U \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{V}_n) \neq \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let \mathcal{R} be a family of sets. By a *filter* in \mathcal{R} [5] we means a subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) If $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (3) If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subset A_1$, then $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}$.

A filter \mathcal{F} is called an *ultrafilter* in \mathcal{R} , if every filter \mathcal{F}' in \mathcal{R} that contains \mathcal{F} we have $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$. Let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter. If $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, then \mathcal{F} is called a *principal ultrafilter*.

For $x \in X$, Let

$$\mathcal{F}[x] = \{A \in \text{PR}(X) : x \in A\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}[x]$ is a principal ultrafilter since $\bigcap \mathcal{F}[x] = \{x\}$.

Theorem 2.15. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ for each $x \in X$;
- (2) X is separable and each principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger in $\text{PR}(X)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of base open covers of $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\xi_n = \{(X - V, A \cap (X - \{x\})) : [A, V] \in \mathcal{U}_n\}.$$

Then $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of *cf*-networks of $X - \{x\}$. In fact, let U be a co-finite subset of $X - \{x\}$, then $U^c \in \mathcal{F}[x]$. There exists $[A, V] \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $U^c \in [A, V]$. Then

$$X - V \subset U \text{ and } (A \cap (X - \{x\})) \cap U = A \cap U = \emptyset.$$

By (1), there exists $(X - V_n, A_n \cap (X - \{x\})) \in \xi_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{(X - V_n, A_n \cap (X - \{x\})) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly *cf*-network of $X - \{x\}$. Then each $[A_n, V_n] \in \mathcal{U}_n$. We show that $\mathcal{F}[x] \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [A_n, V_n]}$. Indeed, let $B \in \mathcal{F}[x]$ and $[A, V]$ a neighbourhood of B in $\text{PR}(X)$, then $X - V \subset X - B \subset X - \{x\}$. There exists $(X - V_k, A_k \cap (X - \{x\}))$ such that

$$X - V_k \subset X - B \text{ and } (A_k \cap (X - \{x\})) \cap (X - V) = A_k \cap (X - V) = \emptyset.$$

Thus

$$A \subset B \subset V_k \text{ and } A_k \subset V.$$

So $A \cup A_k \subset V \cap V_k$. It implies that $[A, V] \cap [A_k, V_k] \neq \emptyset$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of *cf*-networks of $X - \{x\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{[F \cup \{x\}, X - C] : (C, F) \in \xi_n\}.$$

Then \mathcal{U}_n is an open cover of $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$. In fact, let $B = B_1 \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}[x]$, where $B_1 \in [X - \{x\}]^{<\omega}$, then $(X - \{x\}) - B_1$ is a co-finite subset of $X - \{x\}$. There exists $(C, F) \in \xi_n$ such that

$$C \subset (X - \{x\}) - B_1 \text{ and } F \cap ((X - \{x\}) - B_1) = \emptyset.$$

Since $(X - \{x\}) - B_1 = X - B$, we have

$$C \subset X - B$$

and

$$(F \cup \{x\}) \cap (X - B) = (F \cup \{x\}) \cap ((X - \{x\}) - B_1) = F \cap ((X - \{x\}) - B_1) = \emptyset.$$

So $B \in [F \cup \{x\}, X - C]$. By (2), there exists $[F_n \cup \{x\}, X - C_n] \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}[x] \subset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [F_n \cup \{x\}, X - C_n]}.$$

Then each $(C_n, F_n) \in \xi_n$ and $\{(C_n, F_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a weakly cf -network of $X - \{x\}$. Indeed, for a co-finite subset U of $X - \{x\}$ and $C \subset U$ closed in X , $[U^c, X - C]$ is a neighbourhood of $U^c \in \mathcal{F}[x]$. There exists $[F_k \cup \{x\}, X - C_k]$ such that

$$[U^c, X - C] \cap [F_k \cup \{x\}, X - C_k] \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $U^c \subset X - C_k$ and $F_k \cup \{x\} \subset X - C$. So $C_k \subset U$ and $F_k \cap C = \emptyset$. \square

Corollary 2.16. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger;
- (2) X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$;
- (3) X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ for each $x \in X$;
- (4) X is separable and each principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Rothberger in $\text{PR}(X)$.

Using the methods of Theorems 2.7, 2.11 and 2.15, we can obtain the following.

Theorem 2.17. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Menger;
- (2) X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf})$;
- (3) X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf})$ for each $x \in X$;
- (4) X is separable and each principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Menger in $\text{PR}(X)$.

Motivated by groupability ideas of [6], we introduced p - rcf -networks and weakly p - cf -networks to characterize the weakly Hurewicz property.

Definition 2.18. A partitioned closed-miss-finite family $\xi = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ of X is said to be a weakly p - rcf -network of X , if for each co-finite subset U of X and closed set $C \subset U$, there exists $(C_n, F_n) \in \xi_n$ such that $C_n \subset U$ and $F_n \cap C = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote Π_{wrcf}^p the collection of weakly p - rcf -networks of X .

Theorem 2.19. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\text{PR}(X)$ is weakly Hurewicz;
- (2) X satisfies $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wrcf}^p)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of rcf -networks of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{[F, X - C] : (C, F) \in \xi_n\}$$

is an open cover of $PR(X)$. By (1), pick a finite subset $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every nonempty open $W \subset PR(X)$, $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n \neq \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\zeta_n = \{(C, F) : [F, X - C] \in \mathcal{V}_n\}.$$

Then $\zeta_n \subset \xi_n$ is finite. We show that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta_n$ is a weakly p -rcf-network of X . Let U be a co-finite subset of X and $C \subset U$ closed in X , then $[U^c, X - C]$ is an open subset of $PR(X)$. There exists $[F_n, X - C_n] \in \mathcal{V}_n$ such that

$$[U^c, X - C] \cap [F_n, X - C_n] \neq \emptyset$$

for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $(C_n, F_n) \in \zeta_n$ such that $C_n \subset U$ and $F_n \cap C = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of open covers of $PR(X)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that \mathcal{U}_n is a family of base open sets of $PR(X)$. Let

$$\xi_n = \{(X - V, A) : [A, V] \in \mathcal{U}_n\}.$$

Then $\{\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of rcf-networks of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite subset $\zeta_n \subset \xi_n$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta_n$ is a weakly p -rcf-network of X . Let

$$\mathcal{V}_n = \{[A, V] : (X - V, A) \in \zeta_n\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$ is finite. For every nonempty open $[B, U]$ of $PR(X)$, $X - B$ is a co-finite subset of X and $X - U \subset X - B$ is closed in X . There exists $(X - V_n, A_n) \in \zeta_n$ such that

$$X - V_n \subset X - B \text{ and } A_n \cap (X - U) = \emptyset$$

for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $[B, U] \cap [A_n, V_n] \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $[B, U] \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n \neq \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $PR(X)$ is weakly Hurewicz. \square

Definition 2.20. Let $Y \subsetneq X$. A partitioned closed-miss-finite family $\xi = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ of Y is called a *weakly p -cf-network* of Y , if for each co-finite subset U of Y and $C \subset U$ closed in X , there exists $(C_n, F_n) \in \xi_n$ such that $C_n \subset U$ and $F_n \cap C = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write Π_{wcf}^p the collection of weakly p -cf-networks of each subset $Y \subsetneq X$.

From Theorem 2.19, in a similar way of Theorems 2.7, 2.11 and 2.15, one can prove the following.

Theorem 2.21. For a space X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) $PR(X)$ is weakly Hurewicz;
- (2) X satisfies $\mathcal{S}_{fin}(\Pi_{rcf}, \Pi_{wcf}^p)$;
- (3) X is separable and $X - \{x\}$ satisfies $\mathcal{S}_{fin}(\Pi_{cf}, \Pi_{wcf}^p)$ for each $x \in X$;
- (4) X is separable and each principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}[x]$ in $PR(X)$ is weakly Hurewicz in $PR(X)$.

In [4], G. Di Maio and Lj.D.R. Kočinac introduced the definitions of the quasi-Rothberger property, the quasi-Menger property and the quasi-Hurewicz property. They pointed that a space X is quasi-Rothberger (resp., quasi-Menger and quasi-Hurewicz) if and only if every closed subspace of X is weakly Rothberger (resp., weakly Menger and weakly Hurewicz). So we ask:

Question 2.22. For a space X , find the collections \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of subsets of X such that:

$PR(X)$ is quasi-Rothberger if and only if X satisfies $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$;

$PR(X)$ is quasi-Menger if and only if X satisfies $\mathcal{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$;

$PR(X)$ is quasi-Hurewicz if and only if X satisfies $\mathcal{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

References

- [1] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, B.A. Pansera, B. Tsaban, Diagonalizations of dense families, *Topology Appl.* 165 (2014) 12–25.
- [2] P. Daniels, Pixley–Roy spaces over subsets of the reals, *Topology Appl.* 29 (1988) 93–106.
- [3] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, E. Meccariello, Selection principles and hyperspace topologies, *Topology Appl.* 153 (2005) 912–923.
- [4] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, A note on quasi–Menger and similar spaces, *Topology Appl.* 179 (2015) 148–155.
- [5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd Edition, Sigma Ser. Pure Math., Vol. 6, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers (VII): Groupability, *Fund. Math.* 179 (2003) 131–155.
- [7] Z. Li, Remarks on R -separability of Pixley–Roy hyperspaces, *Filomat*, in press.
- [8] B. Pansera, Weaker forms of the Menger property, *Quaest. Math.* 35 (2012) 161–169.
- [9] C. Pixley, P. Roy, Uncompletable Moore spaces, in: *Proc. Auburn Topology Conf.* (1969) 75–85.
- [10] M. Sakai, The weak Hurewicz property of Pixley–Roy hyperspaces, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) 2531–2537.
- [11] M. Sakai, Selective separability of Pixley–Roy hyperspaces, *Topology Appl.* 159 (2012) 1591–1598.
- [12] M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers (V): Pixley–Roy spaces of sets of reals, and ω -covers, *Topology Appl.* 102 (2000) 13–31.