

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Non-Linear Bi-Skew Jordan Derivations on *-Algebra

Vahid Darvisha, Mojtaba Nourib, Mehran Razeghic

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China Reading Academy, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China
 ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran
 ^cDepartment of Mathematics, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract. Let \mathcal{A} be a prime *-algebra. In this paper, we suppose that $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Phi(A \diamond B) = \Phi(A) \diamond B + A \diamond \Phi(B)$$

where $A \diamond B = A^*B + B^*A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, Φ is an additive *-derivation.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{R} be a *-algebra. For $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, denoted by $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$ and $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$, which are *-Jordan product and *-Lie product, respectively. These products are found playing a more and more important role in some research topics, and its study has recently attracted many author's attention (for example, see [3, 8, 10, 14]).

Recall that a map $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ is said to be an additive derivation if $\Phi(A + B) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B)$ and $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$. A map Φ is an additive *-derivation if it is an additive derivation and $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$. Derivations are very important maps both in theory and applications, and have been studied intensively ([2, 11–13, 17]).

Let us define λ -Jordan *-product by $A \bullet_{\lambda} B = AB + \lambda BA^*$. We say that the map Φ with the property of $\Phi(A \bullet_{\lambda} B) = \Phi(A) \bullet_{\lambda} B + A \bullet_{\lambda} \Phi(B)$ is a λ -Jordan *-derivation map. It is clear that for $\lambda = -1$ and $\lambda = 1$, the λ -Jordan *-derivation map is a *-Lie derivation and *-Jordan derivation, respectively [1, 15].

A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is a self-adjoint subalgebra of some B(H), the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space, which satisfies the double commutant property: $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}' = \{T \in B(H), TA = AT, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}'' = \{\mathcal{A}'\}'$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{A}$ the center of \mathcal{A} . A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is called a factor if its center is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}I$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, recall that the central carrier of A, denoted by \overline{A} , is the smallest central projection P such that PA = A. It is not difficult to see that \overline{A} is the projection onto the closed subspace spanned by $\{BAx : B \in \mathcal{A}, x \in H\}$. If A is self-adjoint,

 $2020\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 46J10,\,47B48,\,46L10$

Keywords. New product derivation, Prime *-algebra, Additive map

Received: 15 September 2019; Accepted: 24 August 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Corresponding author: Vahid Darvish

The second author is supported by the Talented Young Scientist Program of Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Iran-19-001).

Email addresses: vahid.darvish@mail.com, vdarvish@nuist.edu.cn(Vahid Darvish), mojtaba.nori2010@gmail.com(Mojtaba Nouri), razeghi.mehran19@yahoo.com(Mehran Razeghi)

then the core of A, denoted by \underline{A} , is $\sup\{S \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) : S = S^*, S \leq A\}$. If A = P is a projection, it is clear that \underline{P} is the largest central projection Q satisfying $Q \leq P$. A projection P is said to be core-free if $\underline{P} = 0$ (see [9]). It is easy to see that P = 0 if and only if $\overline{I - P} = I$, [5, 6].

Recently, Yu and Zhang in [18] proved that every non-linear *-Lie derivation from a factor von Neumann algebra into itself is an additive *-derivation. Also, Li, Lu and Fang in [7] have investigated a non-linear λ -Jordan *-derivation. They showed that if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections and λ is a non-zero scalar, then $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-linear λ -Jordan *-derivation if and only if Φ is an additive *-derivation.

On the other hand, many mathematician devoted themselves to study the *-Jordan product $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$. In [19], F. Zhang proved that every non-linear *-Jordan derivation map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ on a factor von neumann algebra with $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ the identity of it is an additive *-derivation.

In [16], we showed that *-Jordan derivation map on every factor von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an additive *-derivation.

Very recently the authors of [4] discussed some bijective maps preserving the new product $A^*B + B^*A$ between von Neumann algebras with no central abelian projections. In other words, Φ holds in the following condition

$$\Phi(A^*B + B^*A) = \Phi(A)^*\Phi(B) + \Phi(B)^*\Phi(A).$$

They showed that such a map is sum of a linear *-isomorphism and a conjugate linear *-isomorphism.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper, we prove that if \mathcal{A} is a prime *-algebra then $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ which holds in the following condition

$$\Phi(A \diamond B) = \Phi(A) \diamond B + A \diamond \Phi(B)$$

where $A \diamond B = A^*B + B^*A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, is an additive *-derivation.

We say that \mathcal{A} is prime, that is, for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ if $A\mathcal{A}B = \{0\}$, then A = 0 or B = 0.

2. Main Results

In this section, we show that Φ which satisfies in the following assumption is an *-additive derivation.

Assumption 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a prime *-algebra and

$$\Phi(A \diamond B) = \Phi(A) \diamond B + A \diamond \Phi(B) \tag{1}$$

for $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ where $A \diamond B = A^*B + B^*A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is easy to prove $\Phi(0) = 0$. We need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. Let Φ satisfy in Assumption 1, then we show that $\Phi(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$, $\Phi(-\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ and $\Phi(i\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

Proof. For showing that $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0$, we write

$$\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond \frac{I}{2} + \frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right).$$

So,

$$\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*. \tag{2}$$

So $\Phi(\frac{I}{2})^* = 0$ then $\Phi(\frac{I}{2}) = 0$

For proving $\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0$, we can write

$$\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2} \diamond - \frac{I}{2}\right) = \frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) = \frac{\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)^*}{2}.\tag{3}$$

It follows from the above equation that $\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)$ is self-adjoint. On the other hand, we have

$$\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2} \diamond -\frac{I}{2}\right) = -\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond -\frac{I}{2}.$$

Then

$$\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) = -\left(\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) + \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right). \tag{4}$$

Since $\Phi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is self-adjoint, from (4) we have the result.

For showing $\Phi(i\frac{I}{2}) = 0$, we have the following

$$\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2} \diamond i\frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond i\frac{I}{2} + i\frac{I}{2}\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) = -i\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) + i\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^*.$$

So,

$$\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^* - \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0\tag{5}$$

Also, we have

$$\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2} \diamond i \frac{I}{2}\right) = \frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right).$$

So,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^* + \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)}{2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right)^* + \Phi\left(i\frac{I}{2}\right) = 0. \tag{6}$$

From (5) and (6), we have $\Phi(i\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let Φ satisfy in Assumption 1 then we show that

1.
$$\Phi(-iA) = -i\Phi(A)$$
.

2.
$$\Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A)$$
.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we can check that

$$\Phi\left(-iA \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(A \diamond i\frac{I}{2}\right).$$

So,

$$\Phi(-iA) \diamond \frac{I}{2} = \Phi(A) \diamond i\frac{I}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\Phi(-iA)^* + \Phi(-iA) = i\Phi(A)^* - i\Phi(A). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, one can check that

$$\Phi\left(-iA \diamond i\frac{I}{2}\right) = \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2} \diamond A\right).$$

So,

$$\Phi(-iA) \diamond i\frac{I}{2} = -\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi(A).$$

It follows that

$$i\Phi(-iA)^* - i\Phi(-iA) = -\Phi(A) - \Phi(A)^*. \tag{8}$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$-\Phi(-iA)^* + \Phi(-iA) = -i\Phi(A) - i\Phi(A)^*. \tag{9}$$

By adding equations (7) and (9) we have

$$\Phi(-iA) = -i\Phi(A).$$

Similarly, we can show that $\Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A)$. \square

Our main theorem is as follows:

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a prime *-algebra. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfies in

$$\Phi(A \diamond B) = \Phi(A) \diamond B + A \diamond \Phi(B) \tag{10}$$

where $A \diamond B = A^*B + B^*A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then Φ is an *-additive derivation.

Let P_1 be a nontrivial projection in \mathcal{A} and $P_2 = I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1$. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i \mathcal{A} P_j$, i, j = 1, 2, then $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we may write $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$. In all that follow, when we write A_{ij} , it indicates that $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. For showing additivity of Φ on \mathcal{A} , we use above partition of \mathcal{A} .

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We give some claims that prove Φ is additive on each \mathcal{A}_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2.

Claim 1. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}).$$

Let $T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12})$, we should prove that T = 0. For $X_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ we can write that

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond X_{21} + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond \Phi(X_{21}) = \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond X_{21})$$

$$= \Phi(A_{11} \diamond X_{21}) + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond X_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) \diamond X_{21} + A_{11} \diamond \Phi(X_{21})$$

$$+ \Phi(A_{12}) \diamond X_{21} + A_{12} \diamond \Phi(X_{21})$$

$$= (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12})) \diamond X_{21} + (A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond \Phi(X_{21}).$$

So, we obtain

$$T \diamond X_{21} = 0.$$

Since $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$ we have

$$T_{21}^* X_{21} + T_{22}^* X_{21} + X_{21}^* T_{21} + X_{21}^* T_{22} = 0.$$

From the above equation and primeness of \mathcal{A} we have $T_{22} = 0$ and

$$T_{21}^* X_{21} + X_{21}^* T_{21} = 0. (11)$$

On the other hand, similarly by applying iX_{21} instead of X_{21} in above, we obtain

$$iT_{21}^*X_{21} + iT_{22}^*X_{21} - iX_{21}^*T_{21} - iX_{21}^*T_{22} = 0.$$

Since $T_{22} = 0$ we obtain from the above equation that

$$-T_{21}^* X_{21} + X_{21}^* T_{21} = 0. (12)$$

From (11) and (12) we have

$$X_{21}^*T_{21}=0.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is prime, then we get $T_{21} = 0$.

It suffices to show that $T_{12} = T_{11} = 0$. For this purpose for $X_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ we write

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(((A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1) = \Phi((A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1 + ((A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12})\diamond \Phi(P_1) \\ &= (\Phi(A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12} + (A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond \Phi(X_{12}))\diamond P_1 + (A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12}\diamond \Phi(P_1) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12}\diamond P_1 + A_{11}\diamond \Phi(X_{12})\diamond P_1 + A_{12}\diamond \Phi(X_{12})\diamond P_1 \\ &+ A_{11}\diamond X_{12}\diamond \Phi(P_1) + A_{12}\diamond X_{12}\diamond \Phi(P_1). \end{split}$$

So, we showed that

$$\Phi(((A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond X_{12}) \diamond P_1) = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1 + A_{11} \diamond \Phi(X_{12}) \diamond P_1
+ A_{12} \diamond \Phi(X_{12}) \diamond P_1 + A_{11} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi(P_1) + A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi(P_1).$$
(13)

Since $A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1 = 0$ we have

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(((A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1) = \Phi((A_{11}\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1) + \Phi((A_{12}\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11}\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1 + (A_{11}\diamond X_{12})\diamond \Phi(P_1) + \Phi(A_{12}\diamond X_{12})\diamond P_1 + (A_{12}\diamond X_{12})\diamond \Phi(P_1) \\ &= (\Phi(A_{11})\diamond X_{12} + A_{11}\diamond \Phi(X_{12}))\diamond P_1 + (A_{11}\diamond X_{12})\diamond \Phi(P_1) \\ &+ (\Phi(A_{12})\diamond X_{12} + A_{12}\diamond \Phi(X_{12}))\diamond P_1 + (A_{12}\diamond X_{12})\diamond \Phi(P_1) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11})\diamond X_{12}\diamond P_1 + A_{11}\diamond \Phi(X_{12})\diamond P_1 + A_{11}\diamond X_{12}\diamond \Phi(P_1) \\ &+ \Phi(A_{12})\diamond X_{12}\diamond P_1 + A_{12}\diamond \Phi(X_{12})\diamond P_1 + A_{12}\diamond X_{12}\diamond \Phi(P_1). \end{split}$$

So,

$$\Phi(((A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond X_{12}) \diamond P_1) = \Phi(A_{11}) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1 + A_{11} \diamond \Phi(X_{12}) \diamond P_1
+ A_{11} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi(P_1) + \Phi(A_{12}) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1
+ A_{12} \diamond \Phi(X_{12}) \diamond P_1 + A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi(P_1).$$
(14)

From (13) and (14) we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12}) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1 = \Phi(A_{11}) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1 + \Phi(A_{12}) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1.$$

It follows that $T \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_1 = 0$, so $T_{11}^* X_{12} + X_{12}^* T_{11} = 0$. We have $T_{11}^* X_{12} = 0$ or $T_{11} X P_2 = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$, then we have $T_{11} = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $T_{12} = 0$ by applying P_2 instead of P_1 in above.

Claim 2. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ we have

1.
$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}).$$

2.
$$\Phi(A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22})$$
.

We show that

$$T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) = 0.$$

So, we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond X_{21} + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(X_{21})
= \Phi((A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond X_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11} \diamond X_{21}) + \Phi(A_{12} \diamond X_{21}) + \Phi(A_{21} \diamond X_{21})
(\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21})) \diamond X_{21} + (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \diamond \Phi(X_{21}).$$

It follows that $T \diamond X_{21} = 0$. Since $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$ we have

$$T_{22}^* X_{21} + T_{21}^* X_{21} + X_{21}^* T_{22} + C_{21}^* T_{21} = 0.$$

Therefore, $T_{22} = T_{21} = 0$.

From Claim 1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21})\diamond X_{12}+(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21})\diamond \Phi(X_{12})\\ &=\Phi((A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21})\diamond X_{12})=\Phi((A_{11}+A_{12})\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{21}\diamond X_{12})\\ &=\Phi(A_{11}\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{12}\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{21}\diamond X_{12})\\ &=(\Phi(A_{11})+\Phi(A_{12})+\Phi(A_{21}))\diamond X_{12}+(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21})\diamond \Phi(X_{12}). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$T_{11}^* X_{12} + T_{12}^* X_{12} + X_{12}^* T_{11} + X_{12}^* T_{12} = 0.$$

Then $T_{11} = T_{12} = 0$. Similarly

$$\Phi(A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22}).$$

Claim 3. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22}).$$

We show that

$$T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{22}) = 0.$$

From Claim 2, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22})\diamond X_{12}+(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22})\diamond\Phi(X_{12})\\ &=\Phi((A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22})\diamond X_{12})\\ &=\Phi((A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21})\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{22}\diamond X_{12})\\ &=\Phi(A_{11}\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{12}\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{21}\diamond X_{12})+\Phi(A_{22}\diamond X_{12})\\ &=(\Phi(A_{11})+\Phi(A_{12})+\Phi(A_{21})+\Phi(A_{22}))\diamond X_{12}\\ &+(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22})\diamond\Phi(X_{12}). \end{split}$$

So, $T \diamond X_{12} = 0$. It follows that

$$T_{11}^* X_{12} + T_{12}^* X_{12} + X_{12}^* T_{11} + X_{12}^* T_{12} = 0.$$

Then $T_{11} = T_{12} = 0$.

Similarly, by applying X_{21} instead of X_{12} in above, we obtain $T_{21} = T_{22} = 0$.

Claim 4. For each A_{ij} , $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ such that $i \neq j$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii}).$$

It is easy to show that

$$(P_i + A_{ij})(P_j + B_{ij}) + (P_j + B_{ij}^*)(P_i + A_{ii}^*) = A_{ij} + B_{ij} + A_{ii}^* + B_{ij}^*.$$

So, we can write

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(A_{ij}+B_{ij})+\Phi(A_{ij}^*+B_{ij}^*)=\Phi((P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond(P_j+B_{ij}))\\ &=\Phi(P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond(P_j+B_{ij})+(P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond\Phi(P_j+B_{ij})\\ &=(\Phi(P_i)+\Phi(A_{ij}^*))\diamond(P_j+B_{ij})+(P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond(\Phi(P_j)+\Phi(B_{ij}))\\ &=\Phi(P_i)\diamond B_{ij}+P_i\diamond\Phi(B_{ij})+\Phi(A_{ij}^*)\diamond P_j+A_{ij}^*\diamond\Phi(P_j)\\ &=\Phi(P_i\diamond B_{ij})+\Phi(A_{ij}^*\diamond P_j)\\ &=\Phi(B_{ij})+\Phi(B_{ij}^*)+\Phi(A_{ij})+\Phi(A_{ij}^*). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we show that

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) + \Phi(A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}^*) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}) + \Phi(A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij}^*). \tag{15}$$

By an easy computation, we can write

$$(P_i + A_{ij})(iP_j + iB_{ij}) + (-iP_j - iB_{ij}^*)(P_i + A_{ij}^*) = iA_{ij} + iB_{ij} - iA_{ij}^* - iB_{ij}^*.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(iA_{ij}+iB_{ij})+\Phi(-iA_{ij}^*-iB_{ij}^*)=\Phi((P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond(iP_j+iB_{ij}))\\ &=\Phi(P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond(iP_j+iB_{ij})+(P_i+A_{ij}^*)\diamond\Phi(iP_j+iB_{ij})\\ &=(\Phi(P_i)+\Phi(A_{ij}^*))\diamond(iP_j+iB_{ij})+(P_i+A_{ij}^*)(\Phi(iP_j)+\Phi(iB_{ij}))\\ &=\Phi(P_i)\diamond iB_{ij}+P_i\diamond\Phi(iB_{ij})+\Phi(A_{ij}^*)\diamond iP_j+A_{ij}^*\diamond\Phi(iP_j)\\ &=\Phi(P_i\diamond iB_{ij})+\Phi(A_{ij}^*\diamond iP_j)\\ &=\Phi(iB_{ij})+\Phi(-iB_{ij}^*)+\Phi(iA_{ij})+\Phi(-iA_{ij}^*). \end{split}$$

We showed that

$$\Phi(iA_{ij}+iB_{ij})+\Phi(-iA_{ij}^*-iB_{ij}^*)=\Phi(iB_{ij})+\Phi(-iB_{ij}^*)+\Phi(iA_{ij})+\Phi(-iA_{ij}^*).$$

From Lemma 2.2 and the above equation, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) - \Phi(A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}^*) = \Phi(B_{ij}) - \Phi(B_{ij}^*) + \Phi(A_{ij}) - \Phi(A_{ij}^*). \tag{16}$$

By adding equations (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\Phi(A_{ij}+B_{ij})=\Phi(A_{ij})+\Phi(B_{ij}).$$

Claim 5. For each A_{ii} , $B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ such that $1 \le i \le 2$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii}).$$

We show that

$$T = \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) - \Phi(A_{ii}) - \Phi(B_{ii}) = 0.$$

We can write

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(A_{ii}+B_{ii}) \diamond P_j + (A_{ii}+B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(P_j) = \Phi((A_{ii}+B_{ii}) \diamond P_j) \\ &= \Phi(A_{ii} \diamond P_j) + \Phi(B_{ii} \diamond P_j) \\ &\Phi(A_{ii}) \diamond P_j + A_{ii} \diamond \Phi(P_j) + \Phi(B_{ii}) \diamond P_j + B_{ii} \diamond \Phi(P_j) \\ &= (\Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii})) \diamond P_j + (A_{ii}+B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(P_j). \end{split}$$

So, we have

$$T \diamond P_j = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain $T_{ij} = T_{ji} = T_{jj} = 0$. On the other hand, for every $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond X_{ij} + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(X_{ij}) = \Phi((A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond X_{ij})$$

$$= \Phi(A_{ii} \diamond X_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ii} \diamond X_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) \diamond X_{ij} + A_{ii} \diamond \Phi(X_{ij})$$

$$+ \Phi(B_{ii}) \diamond X_{ij} + B_{ii} \diamond \Phi(X_{ij})$$

$$= (\Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii})) \diamond X_{ij} + (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond \Phi(X_{ij}).$$

So,

$$(\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) - \Phi(A_{ii}) - \Phi(B_{ii})) \diamond X_{ij} = 0.$$

It follows that $T \diamond X_{ij} = 0$ or $T_{ii}X_{ij} = 0$. By knowing that \mathcal{A} is prime, we have $T_{ii} = 0$. Hence, the additivity of Φ comes from the above claims.

In the rest of this paper, we show that Φ is *-derivation.

Claim 6. Φ preserves star.

Since $\Phi(I) = 0$ then we can write

$$\Phi(I \diamond A) = I \diamond \Phi(A).$$

Then

$$\Phi(A + A^*) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)^*.$$

So, we showed that Φ preserves star.

Claim 7. we prove that Φ is derivation.

For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\Phi(AB + B^*A^*) = \Phi(A^* \diamond B)$$

$$= \Phi(A^*) \diamond B + A^* \diamond \Phi(B)$$

$$= \Phi(A^*)^*B + \Phi(B)^*A^* + B^*\Phi(A^*) + A\Phi(B).$$

On the other hand, since Φ preserves star, we have

$$\Phi(AB + B^*A^*) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B) + B^*\Phi(A^*) + \Phi(B)^*A^*. \tag{17}$$

So, from (17), we have

$$\Phi(i(AB - B^*A^*) = \Phi(A(iB) + (iB)^*A^*)$$

= $\Phi(A)(iB) + A\Phi(iB) + (iB)^*\Phi(A^*) + \Phi(iB)^*A^*.$

Therefore, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\Phi(AB - B^*A^*) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B) - B^*\Phi(A^*) - \Phi(B^*)A^*. \tag{18}$$

By adding equations (17) and (18), we have

$$\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B).$$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Z. Bai, S. Du, The structure of non-linear Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012) 2701-2708
- [2] E. Christensen, Derivations of nest algebras, Ann. Math. 229 (1977) 155-161.
- [3] J. Cui, C.K. Li, Maps preserving product XY YX* on factor von Neumann algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 833-842.
- [4] C. Li, F. Zhao, Q. Chen, Nonlinear maps preserving product X*Y + Y*X on von Neumann algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 44 (2018) 729–738.
- [5] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras I, New York, Academic Press (1983).
- [6] R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras II, New York, Academic Press (1986).
- [7] C. Li, F. Lu, X. Fang, Nonlinear ξ–Jordan *-derivations on von Neumann algebras, Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 62 (2014)
- [8] C. Li, F. Lu, X. Fang, Nonlinear mappings preserving product $XY + YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013), 2339-2345.
- [9] C. R. Miers, Lie homomorphisms of operator algebras, Pacific J Math. 38 (1971) 717–735.
- [10] L. Molnár, A condition for a subspace of B(H) to be an ideal, Linear Algebra Appl. 235 (1996), 229-234.
- [11] S. Sakai, Derivations of W*-algebras, Ann. Math. 83 (1966) 273-279.
- [12] P. Šemrl, Additive derivations of some operator algebras, Illinois J. Math. 35 (1991) 234-240.
- [13] P. Šemrl, Ring derivations on standard operator algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 112 (1993) 318-324.
- [14] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. Rohi, Additivity of maps preserving products $AP \pm PA^*$ on C^* -algebras, Math. Slovaca 67 (2017) 213–220.
- [15] A. Taghavi, M. Nouri, M. Razeghi, V. Darvish, A note on non-linear *-Jordan derivations on *-algebras, Math. Slovaca 69 (3) (2019) 639-646.
- [16] A. Taghavi, H. Rohi, V. Darvish, Non-linear *-Jordan derivations on von Neumann algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016)426–439.
- [17] A. Taghavi, M. Nouri, M. Razeghi, V. Darvish, Non-linear λ-Jordan triple *-derivation on prime *-algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 48 (8) (2018) 2705–2716.
- [18] W. Yu, J. Zhang, Nonlinear *-Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 1979-1991.
- [19] F. Zhang, Nonlinear skew Jordan derivable maps on factor von Neumann algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016) 2090–2103.