



Z° –Ideals in MV –Algebras of Continuous Functions

Mahta Bedrood^a, Farhad Sajadian^b, Arsham Borumand Saeid^a

^aDepartment of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran

^bDepartment of Pure Mathematics and Calculations, Faculty of Mathematics, Higher Education Complex of Bam, Kerman, Iran

Abstract. In this paper, we study MV –algebra of continuous functions $C(X)$ and maximal ideals of $C(X)$. Furthermore, Z –ideal and Z° –ideal of $C(X)$ are introduced and proved that every Z° –ideal in $C(X)$ is a Z –ideal but the converse is not true and every finitely generated Z –ideal is a basic Z° –ideal. Also, we investigate meet and join of two Z –ideals (Z° –ideal) of $C(X)$. Complemented elements of $C(X)$ are examined and their properties have been studied. In particular, the relationship between generated ideal by them and Z –ideals (Z° –ideals) is proved. Finally, we investigate some property of Z° –ideals in basically disconnected space and extremally disconnected space.

1. Introduction

C.C. Chang introduced MV –algebras as algebraic models for Łukasiewicz logic to give its algebraic analysis and proved completeness of Łukasiewicz logic with respect to the variety of all MV –algebras. ([7]). Chang’s completeness theorem states that any MV –algebra equation holding in the standard MV –algebra over the interval $[0,1]$ will hold in every MV –algebra. These algebras relate to the above mentioned system of logic in the same manner as Boolean algebras relate to two classical valued logic.

The first studies of MV –algebras ([3, 5, 7]) were strictly confined to applications to the Łukasiewicz propositional and predicate logics. From this period to the second half of the eighties there were a few scattered results dealing with MV –algebras presented. Since the second half of the eighties there has been a renewal of interest in MV –algebras and their influence has now been extended to other areas of mathematics. In particular, MV –algebras apply to fuzzy set theory ([4, 6]), and most notably, by the work of D. Mundici ([12]), to AF C^* –algebras and lattice ordered abelian groups ([13]). By the work of Mundici ([12]) we know that whenever there is a lattice ordered abelian group with a strong order unit, there is a corresponding MV –algebra.

Considering any topological space (shortly in the sequel, space) and $[0, 1]$ endowed with the natural topology, the family $C(X)$ of all $[0, 1]$ –valued continuous functions defined on X has a structure of MV –algebra, induced pointwise by the MV –operations on $[0, 1]$. The same operations induce on $[0, 1]^X$, if X is a nonempty set, the MV –algebra of all the fuzzy sets of X , called usually Bold algebra of fuzzy sets of X ([2]).

However in this work we study MV –algebra of continuous functions and ideals. We establish a relation between MV –algebras and topological space X . We do not claim profundity but it is always a matter of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06D35; Secondary 06B10, 54C05

Keywords. MV –algebra, (Z° , Z)ideal, zero divisor, complemented element

Received: 02 July 2021; Revised: 10 October 2021; Accepted: 18 November 2021

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Email addresses: Bedrood.m@gmail.com (Mahta Bedrood), fsajadian@bam.ac.ir (Farhad Sajadian), arsham@uk.ac.ir (Arsham Borumand Saeid)

interest when two seemingly disparate parts of mathematics touch hands. One would like to know just how accidental such a link may be. In the work at hand the link is probably not a fluke. The types of regular rings herein are studied widely. One suspects there is also a direct link between these structures and the Łukasiewicz infinite valued logic.

In this paper, $C(X)$ is the MV -algebra of all continuous function on completely regular space X to standard MV -algebra $([0, 1], \oplus, *, 0)$. For each $f \in C(X)$, the set $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$, is the zero set of f . For $M \subseteq X$, by $\text{int}M$ and \overline{M} we mean the interior and the closure of M , respectively. We study maximal ideals of $C(X)$ and show that if X is a compact space, then subset of $C(X)$ such that every element of that equal to zero for a unique $x \in X$ is a maximal ideal. Subsequent, according to the definition Z -ideal and Z° -ideal in MV -algebra A and they are connection with maximal ideals and minimal prime ideals of MV -algebra A search for equivalent definitions of them in MV -algebra $C(X)$. By establishing between intersection of the minimal prime ideals containing a and annihilator of a for all a in MV -algebra A it has been proved that every Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is a Z -ideal. By providing an example, it turned out that the converse is not necessary true but it has been shown that every finitely generated Z -ideal is a basic Z° -ideal and equivalent by generated ideal with a complemented elements of $C(X)$. It is clear that meet of two Z -ideal (Z° -ideal) of $C(X)$ is a Z -ideal (Z° -ideal). Also, join of two Z -ideal is proved that is a Z -ideal but showed not necessarily join of two Z° -ideal is not a Z° -ideal unless X is a basically disconnected. We prove that an element $f \in C(X)$ is not a zero divisor if and only if interior zero set of f is non empty and if $Z(f)$ is a clopen subset of X , then generated ideal by f is equivalent by generated ideal with a complemented element of $C(X)$. It is proved that if every ideal in $C(X)$ consisting of zero divisors is a Z° -ideal, then every $f \in C(X)$ where $\emptyset \neq Z(f) \subseteq X$ is a zero divisor. Finally, we made a connection between basically disconnected space and extremally disconnected space by basic Z° -ideals of $C(X)$.

2. Preliminaries

We recollect some definitions and results which will be used in the sequel:

Definition 2.1. ([7]) An MV -algebra is a structure $(A, \oplus, *, 0)$ where \oplus is a binary operation, $*$ is a unary operation, and 0 is a constant such that the following axioms are satisfied for any $x, y \in A$:

(MV1) $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is an abelian monoid,

(MV2) $(x^*)^* = x$,

(MV3) $0^* \oplus x = 0^*$,

(MV4) $(x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x$.

Note that we have $1 = 0^*$ and the auxiliary operation \odot which are as follows:

$$x \odot y = (x^* \oplus y^*)^*.$$

We recall that the natural order determines a bounded distributive lattice structure such that

$$x \vee y = x \oplus (x^* \odot y) = y \oplus (x \odot y^*) \quad \text{and} \quad x \wedge y = x \odot (x^* \oplus y) = y \odot (y^* \oplus x).$$

Also for any two elements $x, y \in A$, $x \leq y$ if and only if $x^* \oplus y = 1$ if and only if $x \odot y^* = 0$

Lemma 2.2. ([8]) In each MV -algebra A , the following relations hold for all $x, y, z \in A$:

(1) If $x \leq y$, then $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z$ and $x \odot z \leq y \odot z, x \wedge z \leq y \wedge z$,

(2) $x, y \leq x \oplus y$ and $x \odot y \leq x, y, x \leq nx = x \oplus x \oplus \dots \oplus x$ and $x^n = x \odot x \odot \dots \odot x \leq x$,

(3) If $x \leq y$ and $z \leq t$, then $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus t$,

(4) $x \wedge (y \oplus z) \leq (x \wedge y) \oplus (x \wedge z), x \wedge (x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n) \leq (x \wedge x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (x \wedge x_n)$, for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$; in particular $(mx) \wedge (ny) \leq mn(x \wedge y)$, for every $m, n \geq 0$.

For any MV -algebra A we shall denote by $B(A)$ the set of all complemented elements of $L(A)$ such that $L(A)$ is distributive lattice with 0 and 1 .

In the paper A is an MV -algebra.

Theorem 2.3. ([15]) For every element e in A , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $e \in B(A)$,
- (2) $e \vee e^* = 1$,
- (3) $e \wedge e^* = 0$,
- (4) $e \oplus e = e$,
- (5) $e \odot e = e$.

Definition 2.4. ([8]) An ideal of A is a nonempty subset I of A satisfying the following conditions:

- (I1) If $x \in I$, $y \in A$ and $y \leq x$, then $y \in I$,
- (I2) If $x, y \in I$, then $x \oplus y \in I$.

We denote by $Id(A)$ the set of all ideals of A .

Definition 2.5. ([8]) Let I be an ideal of A . If $I \neq A$, then I is a proper ideal of A .

•([8]) A proper ideal I of A is called prime ideal if for all $x, y \in A$, $x \wedge y \in I$, then $x \in I$ or $y \in I$.

We denote by $Spec(A)$ the set of all prime ideals of an MV -algebra A .

•([8]) An ideal I of A is called a minimal prime ideal of A :

- 1) $I \in Spec(A)$;
- 2) If there exists $Q \in Spec(A)$ such that $Q \subseteq I$, then $I = Q$.

We denote by $Min(A)$ the set of all minimal prime ideals of A .

•([15]) An ideal I of A is called maximal if and only if for each ideal $J \neq I$, if $I \subseteq J$, then $J = A$.

We denote by $Max(A)$ the set of all maximal ideals of A .

Definition 2.6. ([15]) Let X be a nonempty subset of A . Then $Ann(X)$ is the annihilator of X defined by:

$$Ann(X) = \{a \in A : a \wedge x = 0, \forall x \in X\}.$$

Remark 2.7. ([15]) Let $X \subseteq A$. The ideal of A generated by X will be denoted by $\langle X \rangle$. We have

(1) $\langle X \rangle = \{a \in A \mid a \leq x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X\}$. In particular, $\langle a \rangle = \{x \in A \mid x \leq na, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

We denote by $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$, the ideal of A generated by $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

(2) For $I_1, I_2 \in Id(A)$,

$$I_1 \wedge I_2 = I_1 \cap I_2, \quad I_1 \vee I_2 = (I_1 \cup I_2) = \{a \in A : a \leq x \oplus y; x \in I_1, y \in I_2\}.$$

Definition 2.8. ([10]) Let X be a nonempty subset of A . The set of all zero-divisors of X is denoted by $Z_X(A)$ and is defined as follows:

$$Z_X(A) = \{a \in A : \exists 0 \neq x \in X \text{ such that } x \wedge a = 0\}.$$

Zero element of an MV -algebra is a zero divisor, which is called trivial zero divisor. We denote by Z_A the set of all zero divisors of A .

One can easily show that $Ann(X) \subseteq Z_X(A)$.

Notation: let $a \in A$. Define

$$M(a) = \{M \in Max(A) : a \in M\} \quad P(a) = \{P \in Min(A) : a \in P\}.$$

$$M_a = \bigcap \{M : M \in Max(A), a \in M\} \quad P_a = \bigcap \{P : P \in Min(A), a \in P\}.$$

If I is an ideal of A , define

$$M_I = \bigcap \{M : M \in Max(A), I \subseteq M\} \quad P_I = \bigcap \{P : P \in Min(A), I \subseteq P\}.$$

Theorem 2.9. ([9]) Let $P \in Min(A)$ and I be finitely generated ideal. Then $I \subseteq P$ if and only if $Ann(I) \not\subseteq P$.

Lemma 2.10. ([9]) *If $0 \neq x \in A$, then there exists $P \in \text{Min}(A)$ such that $x \notin P$.*

Definition 2.11. ([1]) (1) A proper ideal I of A is called a Z° -ideal if $P_a \subseteq I$, for each $a \in I$.
 (2) A proper ideal I of A is called a Z -ideal if $M_a \subseteq I$, for each $a \in I$.

Remark 2.12. ([1]) *If a is a zero divisor of MV -algebra of A , then P_a is a Z° -ideal which is called a basic Z° -ideal. Also, every intersection of Z° -ideals (Z -ideals) is a Z° -ideal (Z -ideal).*

Proposition 2.13. ([1]) *If $a \in A$ and X is a subset of A , then*

- (1) $P_a = \{b \in A \mid \text{Ann}(a) \subseteq \text{Ann}(b)\}$,
- (2) $P_a = \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(a))$
- (3) $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(X))) = \text{Ann}(X)$.

Theorem 2.14. ([1]) *Every Z -ideal of A is the intersection of the minimal prime ideals containing it.*

We have $[0, 1]$ and $[-\infty, +\infty]$ are homeomorphic, so we can replace the definitions that depend on $[-\infty, +\infty]$ with $[0, 1]$. Such as the following definition:

Definition 2.15. ([11]) A space X is said to be completely regular provided that it is a Hausdorff space such that, whenever F is a closed set and x is a point in its complement, there exists a function $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and $f(F) = \{0\}$.

Remark 2.16. ([11]) Let $f \in C(X)$. The set $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ is called zero set and $X \setminus Z(f)$ is called cozero-set.

Definition 2.17. ([11]) A space X is said to be extremally disconnected if every open set has an open closure; X is basically disconnected if every cozero-set has an open closure.

Lemma 2.18. ([14]) *Let $X = A \cup B$ such that A and B be closed subsets of X . Also, let $f : A \rightarrow Y$ and $g : B \rightarrow Y$ be continuous functions. If $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A \cap B$, then there exists continuous function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$, and $h(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in B$.*

Theorem 2.19. ([14]) *Let X be a topological space. If ζ is a collection of compact subsets of X such that every finite intersection of elements ζ be nonempty, then intersection of all the elements of ζ is nonempty.*

Theorem 2.20. ([14]) *Let X be a compact space and $f \in C(X)$. Then there exist $c, d \in X$ such that $f(c) \leq f(x) \leq f(d)$, for all $x \in X$.*

3. Ideal theory of $C(X)$

Let X be a completely regular space. In this paper, we denote by $C(X)$ the MV -algebra of all continuous functions on topological space X to standard MV -algebra $([0, 1], \oplus, *, 0)$. For every $f, g \in C(X)$ we define $(f \oplus g)(x) = f(x) \oplus g(x)$, $f^*(x) = (f(x))^*$ and $0(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$. Obviously, $(C(X), \oplus, *, 0)$ is an MV -algebra. Let $f \in C(X)$ and I be an ideal of $C(X)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} Z(f) &= \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\} & Z(X) &= \{Z(f) : f \in C(X)\} \\ Z(I) &= \{Z(f) : \forall f \in I\} & Z^{-1}(Z(I)) &= \{f \in C(X) : Z(f) \in Z(I)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $f_1, f_2 \in C(X)$. Then

- (1) $Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) = Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$,
- (2) $\text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) = \text{int}Z(f_1) \cap \text{int}Z(f_2)$.

Proof. (1) It is clear.

(2) If $x \in \text{int}Z(f_1) \cap \text{int}Z(f_2)$, then there exist open subsets U_1 and U_2 of X such that $x \in U_1 \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_1)$ and $x \in U_2 \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_2)$. Put $U = U_1 \cap U_2$. Obviously, $U \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_1) \cap \text{int}Z(f_2)$. Hence $U \subseteq \text{int}(Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2))$, so $U \subseteq Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$. Thus $x \in Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2)$ then $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = 0$, hence $(f_1 \oplus f_2)(x) = 0$ so $x \in Z(f_1 \oplus f_2)$. On the other hand U is an open subset of X such that $x \in U$ so $x \in U \subseteq Z(f_1 \oplus f_2)$. Then $x \in \text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2)$, implies that $\text{int}Z(f_1) \cap \text{int}Z(f_2) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2)$. Now, if $y \in \text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2)$, then $y \in Z(f_1 \oplus f_2)$. So $(f_1 \oplus f_2)(y) = 0$, thus $f_1(y) = f_2(y) = 0$ which implies that $Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) \subseteq Z(f_1)$ and $Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) \subseteq Z(f_2)$. Hence $\text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_1)$ and $\text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_2)$. So $\text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f_1) \cap \text{int}Z(f_2)$. Therefore $\text{int}Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) = \text{int}Z(f_1) \cap \text{int}Z(f_2)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $\tau = \{\text{int}Z(f) : f \in C(X)\}$. Then τ is a topological basis for X .

Proof. By Lemma 3.1(2), it is sufficient to show that for an open set U and $x \in U$, there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $x \in \text{int}Z(f) \subseteq U$. If U is an open subset of X and $x \in U$, then there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $g(X \setminus U) = \{0\}$ and $g(x) = 1$. Put $f = |(g - (1/4)) \wedge 0|$. Obviously,

$$x \in \text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(f) = \{x \in X : g(x) \geq 1/4\} = g^{-1}([1/4, 1]) \subseteq U.$$

Therefore τ is a basis for X . \square

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and (a, b) be an open interval in \mathbb{R} . Put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in (-\infty, a - 1] \\ -x + a & x \in (a - 1, a) \\ 0 & x \in [a, b] \\ x - b & x \in (b, b + 1) \\ 1 & x \in [b, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $(a, b) = \text{int}Z(f)$. Then $\tau = \{\text{int}Z(f) : f \in C(\mathbb{R})\}$ is a basis for standard topology on \mathbb{R} .

Lemma 3.4. Let I be an ideal of $C(X)$. Then $Z(I)$ is closed under finite intersections and supersets.

Proof. Let $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z(I)$. Then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in I$ such that $Z_1 = Z(f_1), Z_2 = Z(f_2)$. Hence $f_1 \oplus f_2 \in I$, so $Z(f_1 \oplus f_2) \in Z(I)$. By Lemma 3.1(1), $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \in Z(I)$. Let $Z_1 \in Z(I), Z' \in Z(X)$ and $Z_1 \subseteq Z'$. Then there exist $f_1 \in I$ and $f \in C(X)$ such that $Z_1 = Z(f_1)$ and $Z' = Z(f)$. Hence $f_1 \wedge f \in I$, so $Z(f_1 \wedge f) \in Z(I)$. Obviously, $Z(f) = Z(f_1 \wedge f)$ thus $Z' \in Z(I)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. If I is an ideal of $C(X)$, then $Z^{-1}(Z(I))$ is an ideal of $C(X)$. Also $I \subseteq Z^{-1}(Z(I))$.

Proof. Obviously, $Z^{-1}(Z(I))$ is a nonempty subset of $C(X)$. Let $f, g \in Z^{-1}(Z(I))$. Then $Z(f), Z(g) \in Z(I)$. By Lemma 3.4, we get that $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \in Z(I)$ thus $Z(f \oplus g) \in Z(I)$, then $f \oplus g \in Z^{-1}(Z(I))$. Let $f \in Z^{-1}(Z(I)), g \in C(X)$ and $g \leq f$. Then $Z(f) \in Z(I)$ and $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$. It follows from Lemma 3.4, that $Z(g) \in Z(I)$ thus $g \in Z^{-1}(Z(I))$. Obviously, $I \subseteq Z^{-1}(Z(I))$. \square

Lemma 3.6. Let $f, g \in C(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $P_g \subseteq P_f$,
- (2) $P(f) \subseteq P(g)$,
- (3) $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$,
- (4) $\text{Ann}(f) \subseteq \text{Ann}(g)$.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Let $P \in P(f)$. Then $P_f \subseteq P$, hence $P_g \subseteq P$. So $g \in P$, thus $P \in P(g)$. Then $P(f) \subseteq P(g)$.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) It is clear.

(3 \Rightarrow 4) Let $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$ and $h \in \text{Ann}(f)$. Then $(h \wedge f)(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$ which implies that $h(x) = 0$ or $f(x) = 0$, so $X \setminus Z(h) \subseteq Z(f)$. Since $Z(h)$ is closed subset of X we get $\text{int}(X \setminus Z(h)) = Z(h)$. Hence

$$X \setminus Z(h) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g) \subseteq Z(g).$$

Then $(g \wedge h)(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore $h \in \text{Ann}(g)$.

(4 \Rightarrow 3) Let $\text{Ann}(f) \subseteq \text{Ann}(g)$. To prove that $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$, it suffices to show that $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$. Suppose $x \in \text{int}Z(f)$ and $x \notin Z(g)$. Since $x \notin X \setminus \text{int}Z(f)$, then there exists $0 \neq h \in C(X)$ such that $h(X \setminus \text{int}Z(f)) = \{0\}$ and $h(x) = 1$. Clearly, $(h \wedge f)(x) = 0$ and $(h \wedge g)(x) \neq 0$, which is impossible.

(4 \Leftrightarrow 1) By Proposition 2.13 (2,3), we get that $P_g \subseteq P_f$ if and only if $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(g)) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(f))$ if and only if $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(f))) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(g)))$ if and only if $\text{Ann}(f) \subseteq \text{Ann}(g)$. \square

Corollary 3.7. (1) Let $f, g \in C(X)$. Then $\text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(g)$ if and only if $\text{Ann}(f) = \text{Ann}(g)$.

(2) Let $f \in C(X)$. Then $P_f = \{g \in C(X) \mid \text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)\}$.

Proposition 3.8. Let I be an ideal of $C(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) I is a Z° -ideal,

(2) $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$ and $f \in I$ imply that $g \in I$.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Let $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$ and $f \in I$. Since I is a Z° -ideal, hence $P_f \subseteq I$. It follows from Lemma 3.6, that $P_g \subseteq P_f$, so $g \in I$.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) For every $f \in I$, We must show that $P_f \subseteq I$. Let $g \in P_f$. Obviously, $P_g \subseteq P_f$ by Lemma 3.6, we get that $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$, then $g \in I$. \square

Corollary 3.9. Let J be a Z° -ideal of $C(X)$ and $f \in J$. Then $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\text{int}Z(f) = \emptyset$. Then $\text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(i)$ such that $i(x) = 1$, for all $x \in X$. Hence $i \in J$, so $J = C(X)$ that is impossible. \square

The following is an example that the join of two Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is a proper ideal that is not a Z° -ideal. In addition, It has been shown that every ideal contains a Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is not necessary a Z° -ideal.

Example 3.10. (1) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $I = \{f \in C(X) : [0, \infty) \subseteq Z(f)\}$ and $J = \{f \in C(X) : (-\infty, 0] \subseteq Z(f)\}$. Obviously, I and J are Z° -ideals of $C(X)$. Define $k_1(x) = 1 \wedge |x|$, for all $x \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $k_1(x) = 0$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, $k_2(x) = 1 \wedge x$, for all $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $k_2(x) = 0$, for all $x \in (-\infty, 0]$, $k(x) = |x|$, for all $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $k(x) = 1$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$. Obviously, $k_1 \in I$, $k_2 \in J$ and $k = k_1 \oplus k_2$. Since $k \in I \vee J$ and $\text{int}Z(k) = \emptyset$, then $I \vee J$ is not a Z° -ideal.

(2) Let $I = \{f \in C(X) : (-\infty, 1] \subseteq Z(f)\}$ and $J = \{f \in C(X) : (-\infty, 0] \cup \{\frac{1}{2}\} \subseteq Z(f)\}$.

It is claimed that I is a Z° -ideal. Let $f, g \in C(X)$ such that $(-\infty, 1] \subseteq Z(f)$ and $(-\infty, 1) = \text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(g)$. On the other hand $(-\infty, 1) = (-\infty, 1] \subseteq Z(g)$, hence $g \in I$. We deduce that I is a Z° -ideal. Now, J is not a Z° -ideal, since for each $f, g \in C(X)$ such that $Z(f) = (-\infty, 0] \cup \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ and $Z(g) = (-\infty, 0] \cup \{\frac{1}{3}\}$, we have $\text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(g)$, $f \in I$ and $g \notin I$. Obviously, I is a subset of J . Hence every ideal contains a Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is not necessary a Z° -ideal.

Now, we are going to investigate topological spaces X , such that the join of two Z° -ideals in $C(X)$ is either a Z° -ideal or $C(X)$.

Theorem 3.11. If I and J are Z° -ideals of $C(X)$ and X is a basically disconnected space, then $I \vee J$ is either a Z° -ideal or $C(X)$.

Proof. Let I and J be two Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ and suppose that $I \vee J \neq C(X)$. Let $f \in I \vee J$, and $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$, for some $g \in C(X)$. It is claimed that $g \in I \vee J$. Since $f \in I \vee J$, then $f \leq h \oplus k$ where $h \in I$ and $k \in J$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. if $h(x) = k(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$, then $f = 0$. So $Z(f) = X$ hence $\text{int}Z(f) = X$, thus $\text{int}Z(g) = X$, then $Z(g) = X$. So $g(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$. We obtain $g \in I \vee J$.

Case 2. if $h \neq 0$ and $k \neq 0$, we show that $g \in I \vee J$. Now, since X is a basically disconnected space, $\text{int}Z(k)$ and $\text{int}Z(h)$ are closed subsets of X . By Corollary 3.9, we have $\text{int}Z(h) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{int}Z(k) \neq \emptyset$. Put $A = X \setminus \text{int}Z(k)$, then A and $\text{int}Z(k)$ are disjoint clopen subsets of X . Thus there exists $k' \in C(X)$ such that

$k'(A) = \{1\}$ and $k'(intZ(k)) = \{0\}$. So $Z(k') = intZ(k)$. Then $intZ(k') = intZ(k)$ thus $k' \in J$. Similarly, there exists $h' \in C(X)$ such that $Z(h') = intZ(h)$ and $h' \in I$. Obviously, $Z(h) \cap Z(k) \subseteq Z(f)$ then $intZ(h) \cap intZ(k) \subseteq intZ(f)$, so $Z(h') \cap Z(k') \subseteq intZ(f)$. Thus $Z(h' \oplus k') \subseteq intZ(f)$, hence $Z(h' \oplus k') \subseteq intZ(g)$, then $Z(h' \oplus k') \subseteq Z(g)$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. if $x \in Z(g)$, then $g(x) = 0$ So $g(x) \leq h'(x) \oplus k'(x)$.

Case 2. if $x \notin Z(g)$, then $x \notin Z(h' \oplus k')$. So $x \notin Z(h')$ or $x \notin Z(k')$, hence $h'(x) = 1$ or $k'(x) = 1$, thus $(h' \oplus k')(x) = 1$. So $g(x) \leq (h' \oplus k')(x)$.

Therefore $g(x) \leq (h' \oplus k')(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Hence $g \in I \vee J$. \square

Theorem 3.12. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then $I = \{f \in C(X) : f(x_0) = 0\}$ is a maximal ideal of $C(X)$.

Proof. Obviously, $I \in Id(C(X))$. Let $Q \in Id(C(X))$ be such that $I \subsetneq Q \subseteq C(X)$. Then there exists $g_1 \in Q$ such that $g_1 \notin I$. So $g_1(x_0) \neq 0$. Put $U = \{x \in X : (1/2)g_1(x_0) < g_1(x)\} = \{x \in X : x \in g_1^{-1}((1/2)g_1(x_0), 1]\}$, hence U is an open subset of X and $C = X \setminus U$ is a closed subset of X . Thus there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(C) = 1$ and $f(x_0) = 0$, imply that $f \in I$. Put $g = f \oplus g_1$. Obviously, $g \in Q$. Now, we consider two cases:

Case 1: if $x \in C$, then $f(x) = 1$ hence $g(x) = 1$.

Case 2: if $x \in U$, then $(1/2)g_1(x_0) < g_1(x)$.

Therefore $0 < (1/2)g_1(x_0) \leq g(x)$, for all $x \in X$. So, by Archimedean property there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mg(x) = 1$, for all $x \in X$. Hence $Q = C(X)$. Therefore $I \in Max(C(X))$. \square

Now, converse of Theorem 3.12, is proved with an extra condition.

Theorem 3.13. If X is a compact space, then every maximal ideal M of $C(X)$ has the form M^x for a unique $x \in X$ where

$$M^x = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0\}.$$

Proof. First we show that $\bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.19, it suffices to show that $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \cap \dots \cap Z(f_n) \neq \emptyset$,

where $f_i \in M$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \cap \dots \cap Z(f_n) = \emptyset$. Hence $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i)(x) \neq 0$, for all $x \in X$. So by Theorem 2.20, there exist $x' \in X$ and $p \in (0, 1]$ such that $\min(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i)(x') = p$. Then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i)(x) = 1$, for all $x \in X$ this implies that $M = C(X)$, which is a contradiction. Hence there exists $x \in \bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f)$ such that $M = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0\}$. If there exists $y \in \bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f)$ such that $x \neq y$, then $M \subsetneq \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = f(y) = 0\}$, which is a contradiction. \square

Example 3.14. If $X = [0, 1]$, then $I = \{f \in C(X) : f(1/2) = 0\}$ and $J = \{f \in C(X) : f(1/3) = 0\}$ are maximal ideals of $C(X)$. Hence $C(X)$ is not a local MV-algebra.

Lemma 3.15. Let $f, g \in C(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $M_g \subseteq M_f$,
- (2) $M(f) \subseteq M(g)$.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Let $M \in M(f)$. Then $M \in Max(C(X))$ and $f \in M$. So $M_f \subseteq M$ thus $M_g \subseteq M$. We obtain $g \in M$, hence $M \in M(g)$.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Let $t \in M_g$ but $t \notin M_f$. Then there exists $M \in Max(C(X))$ such that $f \in M$ and $t \notin M$. Since $M(f) \subseteq M(g)$, thus $g \in M$. Hence $t \notin M_g$, which is impossible. \square

Lemma 3.16. If $f, g \in C(X)$ and $M(f) \subseteq M(g)$, then $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$.

Proof. Let $x \in Z(f)$. Then $f \in M$ such that $M \in Max(C(X))$. Hence $M \in M(f)$, so $M \in M(g)$. Thus $g \in M$ implies that $x \in Z(g)$. We deduce that $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$. \square

The following example shows that the converse Lemma 3.16, is not necessary correct.

Example 3.17. Let $f, g \in C((0, 1))$, such that $f(x) = \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})$ and $g(x) = |\frac{1}{2} - \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})|$, for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Then $Z(f) = \emptyset$ and $Z(g) = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, we deduce that $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$. It is claimed that $M(f) \not\subseteq M(g)$. If $M(f) \subseteq M(g)$, then there exists $M \in M(g)$ such that $f \in M$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2} = |\sin(\frac{\pi x}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} - \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})| \leq |\sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})| + |\frac{1}{2} - \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})| = \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2}) + |\frac{1}{2} - \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2})|, \forall x \in (0, 1)$$

Put $K := f \oplus g$. Hence $k \in M$, such that $k(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, for all $x \in (0, 1)$. We obtain $i \in M$, such that $i(x) = 1$, for all $x \in (0, 1)$ that is impossible. Therefore $M(f) \not\subseteq M(g)$.

Proposition 3.18. Let I be an ideal of $C(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) I is a Z -ideal,
- (2) $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$ and $f \in I$ imply that $g \in I$.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Let $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$ and $f \in I$. Then $intZ(f) \subseteq intZ(g)$. It follows from Lemma 3.6, that $Ann(f) \subseteq Ann(g)$. Since $f \in I$ by Theorem 2.14, we have $f \in P_1$, i.e, $f \in P$, for each $P \in Min(C(X))$ such that $I \subseteq P$. It follows from Theorem 2.9, that $Ann(f) \not\subseteq P$, thus $Ann(g) \not\subseteq P$. By Theorem 2.9, so $g \in P$. Hence $g \in P_I$. So $g \in I$.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Let $f \in I$. We must show that $M_f \subseteq I$. Let $g \in M_f$, obviously $M_g \subseteq M_f$. By Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.16, we get that $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$, then $g \in I$. \square

Corollary 3.19. (1) If I is a Z° -ideal of $C(X)$, then I is a Z -ideal.

(2) Let J be a Z -ideal of $C(X)$ and $f \in J$. Then $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Now, we give examples that are shown every Z -ideal is not necessary a Z° -ideal and there exists an ideal of $C(X)$ such that is not a Z -ideal nor Z° -ideal.

Example 3.20. (1) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Then $I = \{f \in C(X) : [0, 1] \cup \{2\} \subseteq Z(f)\}$ is a Z -ideal, but I is not a Z° -ideal, since for each $f, g \in C(X)$ such that $Z(f) = [0, 1] \cup \{2\}$ and $Z(g) = [0, 1] \cup \{3\}$, we have $intZ(f) = intZ(g)$, $f \in I$ and $g \notin I$.

(2) Let $X = [0, 1], I = \{f\}$ such that $f(x) = x$, for all $x \in X$ and suppose that $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$, for all $x \in X$. Obviously, $Z(f) = Z(g) = 0$, $intZ(f) = intZ(g) = \emptyset$. It is claimed that $g \notin I$. If $g \in I$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sqrt{x} \leq nx$, for each $x \in [0, 1]$. Hence $1 \leq n\sqrt{x}$, for each $x \in [0, 1]$ which is impossible. Therefore I is not a Z -ideal nor Z° -ideal.

Theorem 3.21. If I and J are Z -ideals of $C(X)$, then $I \vee J$ is a Z -ideal of $C(X)$.

Proof. Let $Z(g) \subseteq Z(f)$ and $g \in I \vee J$. Then $g \leq g_1 \oplus g_2$ such that $g_1 \in I$ and $g_2 \in J$. Obviously, $Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \subseteq Z(g)$, so $Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \subseteq Z(f)$. Define

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \\ f(x) \left(\frac{g_1(x)}{g_1(x) + g_2(x)} \right) & x \notin Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \\ f(x) \left(\frac{g_2(x)}{g_1(x) + g_2(x)} \right) & x \notin Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that h and k are continuous functions. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2)$. Since $f \in C(X)$ then there exists open subset V of X such that $f(V) \subseteq (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. On the other hand $h(x) \leq f(x) < \varepsilon$, for all $x \in V$. So $h(V) \subseteq (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, thus h is continuous at x_0 . Therefore $h \in C(X)$. Similarly, it is proved that $k \in C(X)$. Obviously, $f = h \oplus k, Z(g_1) \subseteq Z(h)$ and $Z(g_2) \subseteq Z(k)$. So $h \in I$ and $k \in J$, we deduce that $f \in I \vee J$. \square

It was shown in Example 3.20(1), that every Z -ideal is not a Z° -ideal. Now, conditions are provided that Z -ideals connection by Z° -ideals.

Lemma 3.22. *Let $e \in A$. Then $e \in B(A)$ if and only if $\text{Ann}(e) = (e^*]$.*

Proof. If $e \in B(A)$, then $e \wedge e^* = 0$. We obtain $e^* \in \text{Ann}(e)$, hence $(e^*] \subseteq \text{Ann}(e)$. Let $x \in \text{Ann}(e)$. Then $x \wedge e = 0$, thus $x \odot a = 0$. So $x \leq e^*$, then $x \in (e^*]$. Hence $\text{Ann}(e) \subseteq (e^*]$. Therefore $\text{Ann}(e) = (e^*]$. Converse is clear. \square

Corollary 3.23. *Let $e \in B(A)$. Then $P_e = (e]$.*

Proof. Let $e \in B(A)$. Then $\text{Ann}(e) = (e^*]$, so $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(e)) = \text{Ann}((e^*])$. By Lemma 3.22 and Proposition 2.13 (2), we get that $P_e = (e]$. \square

Lemma 3.24. (1) *If $f \in C(X)$, then $\text{Ann}(f) = \{0\}$ if and only if $\text{int}Z(f) = \emptyset$.*

(2) *If $e \in B(C(X))$, then $Z(e)$ is an open subset of X .*

(3) *Let $f \in C(X)$ be such that $\inf(f(X \setminus Z(f))) \neq 0$ and $Z(f)$ be an open subset of X . Then there exists $e \in B(C(X))$ such that $(e] = (f]$.*

Proof. (1) Let $i \in C(X)$ be such that $i(x) = 1$, for all $x \in X$. Obviously, $\text{Ann}(i) = \{0\}$, $Z(i) = \emptyset$ and $\text{int}Z(i) = \emptyset$. Now, if $\text{Ann}(f) = \{0\}$, then $\text{Ann}(f) = \text{Ann}(i)$. It follows from Corollary 3.7(1), that $\text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(i)$. Hence $\text{int}Z(f) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, if $\text{int}Z(f) = \emptyset$, then $\text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(i)$. It follows from Corollary 3.7(1), that $\text{Ann}(f) = \text{Ann}(i)$ implies that $\text{Ann}(f) = \{0\}$.

(2) By hypothesis $e \in B(C(X))$, so $(e \oplus e)(x) = e(x)$, for all $x \in X$. We deduce that $e(x) \oplus e(x) = \min\{2e(x), 1\} = e(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Hence $e(x) = 0$ or $e(x) = 1$, for all $x \in X$. Put $K = \{x : e(x) = 1\}$. Obviously, $Z(e) \cap K = \emptyset$ and $Z(e) \cup K = X$, then K and $Z(e)$ are clopen subsets of X . Therefore $\text{int}Z(e) = Z(e)$.

(3) Define $e : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $e(x) = 0$, for all $x \in Z(f)$ and $e(x) = 1$, for all $x \notin Z(f)$. By Lemma 2.18, we get that $e \in C(X)$. Obviously, $e \in B(C(X))$ and $Z(f) = \text{int}Z(f) = Z(e)$. It is claimed that $(f] = (e]$. we consider two cases:

Case 1. if $x \in Z(f)$, then $e(x) = f(x) = 0$. So $e(x) \leq f(x)$.

Case 2. if $x \notin Z(f)$, then $f(x) \neq 0$. By hypothesis $\inf f(x) \neq 0$, we imply that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nf(x) = 1$. So $e(x) \leq nf(x)$.

Hence $e \in (f]$, thus $(e] \subseteq (f]$. Now, it is clear that $(f] \subseteq (e]$. Then $(e] = (f]$. \square

Proposition 3.25. *Let K be finitely generated Z -ideal in $C(X)$. Then K is a basic Z° -ideal and there exists $e \in B(C(X))$ such that $K = (e]$.*

Proof. Let $K = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$ and $f := f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus \dots \oplus f_n$. Obviously, $f \in K$ hence $(f] \subseteq K$. On the other hand, $f_i \leq f$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, hence $f_i \in (f]$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\} \subseteq (f]$, so $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n] \subseteq (f]$, implies that $K \subseteq (f]$. Hence $K = (f]$. Obviously, $Z(f) = Z(\sqrt{f})$ and $f \in K$, by hypothesis and Proposition 3.18, hence $\sqrt{f} \in K$. So there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sqrt{f(x)} \leq nf(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Now, $f(x) \neq 0$, for all $x \notin Z(f)$, hence $\sqrt{f(x)} \leq nf(x)$. So $1 \leq n\sqrt{f(x)}$, thus $(1/n) \leq \sqrt{f(x)}$ implies that $(1/n^2) \leq f(x)$. So $\beta = \{x \in X : (1/n^2) \leq f(x)\} = \{x \in X : f(x) \in [1/n^2, 1]\}$ is a closed subset of X and $X = Z(f) \cup \beta$, imply that $Z(f)$ is a clopen subset of X . It follows from Lemma 3.24 (3), that there exists $e \in B(C(X))$ such that $(e] = (f]$. By Corollary 3.23, we get that $K = (e] = P_e$. \square

Now, we give an example for previous proposition.

Example 3.26. Let $X = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ and $I = (f_1, f_2]$ such that

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in (0, 1) \\ 1/2x & x \in (1, 2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in (0, 1) \\ x/4 & x \in (1, 2) \end{cases}$$

We define $f = f_1 \oplus f_2$ and $e \in C(X)$ such that $e(x) = 0$, for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $e(x) = 1$, for all $x \in (1, 2)$. Obviously, $I = [f]$ is a Z -ideal and $I = [e] = P_e$.

Theorem 3.27. (1) Every basic Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is principal if and only if X is basically disconnected.
 (2) Any arbitrary intersections of basic Z° -ideals in $C(X)$ is principal if and only if X is extremally disconnected.

Proof. (1) Suppose that every basic Z° -ideal in $C(X)$ is principal. To prove that $\overline{X - Z(f)}$ is open subset of X , it suffices to show that $\text{int}Z(f)$ is closed, for all $f \in C(X)$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. if f is not a zero divisor, then $\text{Ann}(f) = \{0\}$. It follows from Lemma 3.24(1), that $\text{int}Z(f) = \emptyset$.

Case 2. if f is a zero divisor, then $\text{Ann}(f) \neq \{0\}$. By hypothesis, there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $P_f = [g]$. By Corollary 3.19(1) and Proposition 3.25, there exists $e \in B(C(X))$ such that $P_f = [e]$. Now, it is claimed that $\text{int}Z(f) = \text{int}Z(e)$. Obviously, $f \in P_f = [e]$, so $f \in [e]$. Hence there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(x) \leq ne(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Thus $Z(e) \subseteq Z(f)$ implies that $\text{int}Z(e) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f)$. Let $e \in P_f$. Obviously, by Corollary 3.7(2), we have $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(e)$. Now, by Lemma 3.24(2), we get that $\text{int}Z(f) = Z(e)$.

Conversely, let X be a basically disconnected space and $f \in C(X)$ with $\text{Ann}(f) \neq \{0\}$. By Lemma 3.24 (1), we have $F = \text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ is a closed subset of X . Define $e_1 : F \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $e_1(F) = 0$ and $e_2 : X \setminus F \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $e_2(X \setminus F) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.18, that there exists $e \in C(X)$ such that

$$e(x) = \begin{cases} e_1(x) & x \in F \\ e_2(x) & x \in X \setminus F \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $e \in B(C(X))$ and it is claimed that $P_f = [e]$. Let $g \in P_f$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. if $x \in X \setminus F$, then $e(x) = 1$. Hence $g(x) \leq e(x)$, for all $x \in X \setminus F$.

Case 2. if $x \in F = \text{int}Z(f)$, hence by hypothesis $g \in P_f$ and Lemma 3.24 (2), we get that $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$. Then $x \in \text{int}Z(g)$ so $x \in Z(g)$, hence $g(x) = 0$. We obtain $g(x) \leq e(x)$, for all $x \in F$.

Then $g \in [e]$ hence $P_f \subseteq [e]$. Let $k \in [e]$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k(x) \leq (ne)(x)$, for all $x \in X$. So $Z(e) \subseteq Z(k)$, hence $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(k)$ then $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(k)$. It follows from Corollary 3.7(2), that $k \in P_f$ thus $[e] \subseteq P_f$. Therefore $[e] = P_f$.

(2) Suppose that every intersection of basic Z° -ideals is principal and G is an open subset of X . By Theorem 3.2, there exists $S \subseteq C(X)$ such that $G = \bigcup_{f \in S} \text{int}Z(f)$ and $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.24, we have $\text{Ann}(f) \neq \{0\}$ so f is a zero divisor of $C(X)$. By hypothesis, there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $\bigcap_{f \in S} P_f = [g]$. Then $[g]$ is a Z° -ideal so by Corollary 3.19, $[g]$ is a Z -ideal. It follows from Proposition 3.25, that there exists $e \in B(C(X))$ such that $[g] = [e]$. Now, by Lemma 3.24(2), this shows that $Z(g) = Z(e)$ is an open subset of X . It is claimed that $\overline{G} = Z(g)$. Let $x \in G = \bigcup_{f \in S} \text{int}Z(f)$. Then there exists $f \in S$ such that $x \in \text{int}Z(f)$. Because $[g] = \bigcap_{f \in S} P_f$, for every $f \in S$ thus $g \in P_f$ by Corollary 3.7(2), we get that $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$. Hence $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$, so $G \subseteq Z(g)$ implies that $\overline{G} \subseteq Z(g)$. Let $x \in Z(g)$ and $x \notin \overline{G}$. Then there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that $h(x) = 1$ and $h(\overline{G}) = \{0\}$. Let $\beta \in \text{int}Z(f)$, for every $f \in S$. Then $\beta \in G$, hence $\beta \in \overline{G}$ so $h(\beta) = 0$. We deduce that $\beta \in Z(h)$, so $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(h)$. Hence $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(h)$ thus $h \in P_f$ implies that $h \in \bigcap_{f \in S} P_f = [g]$. Therefore there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h(\alpha) \leq (ng)(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in X$. On the other hand, $h(x) = 1$ and $g(x) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $Z(g) \subseteq \overline{G}$, therefore $Z(g) = \overline{G}$.

Conversely, let X be an extremally disconnected space and $I = \bigcap_{f \in S} P_f$ be such that $S \subseteq C(X)$. Obviously, $G = \overline{\bigcup_{f \in S} \text{int}Z(f)}$ is an open and closed subset of X . Define $e_1 : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $e_1(G) = 0$ and $e_2 : X \setminus G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $e_2(X \setminus G) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.18, that there exists $e \in C(X)$ such that

$$e(x) = \begin{cases} e_1(x) & x \in G \\ e_2(x) & x \in X \setminus G \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $e \in B(C(X))$ and by Lemma 3.24(2), we get that $Z(e)$ is an open subset of X hence $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(e)$, for all $f \in S$. It follows from Corollary 3.7(2), that $e \in P_f$, for all $f \in S$. So $e \in \bigcap_{f \in S} P_f = I$, then $(e] \subseteq I$. Let $g \in I = \bigcap_{f \in S} P_f$. Then $g \in P_f$, for all $f \in S$. It follows from Corollary 3.7(2), that $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$, for all $f \in S$ thus $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$, for all $f \in S$ therefore $G = \overline{\bigcup_{f \in S} \text{int}Z(f)} \subseteq Z(g)$. Since $X = G \cup (X \setminus G)$ we consider two cases:

Case 1. if $x \in G$, then $x \in Z(g)$. So $g(x) \leq e(x)$, for all $x \in G$.

Case 2. if $x \in X \setminus G$, then $e(x) = 1$. Hence $g(x) \leq e(x)$, for all $x \in X \setminus G$.

Thus $g \in (e]$, we obtain $I \subseteq (e]$. Therefore $I = (e]$. \square

Theorem 3.28. *Let every ideal of $C(X)$ containing zero divisors be a Z° -ideal. Then every $f \in C(X)$ with $\emptyset \neq Z(f) \subseteq X$ is a zero divisor and P_f is a principal ideal of $C(X)$.*

Proof. We consider two cases:

Case 1. if $f(X)$ is a finite subset of $[0, 1]$ and $\{a_0 = 0, a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ be such that $f^{-1}(0) = A_0 = Z(f)$, $f^{-1}(a_1) = A_1, \dots, f^{-1}(a_n) = A_n$ and $X = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$. We define $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g(x) = 1$, for all $x \in Z(f)$ and $g(x) = 0$, for all $x \notin Z(f)$. Obviously, by Lemma 2.18, we have $g \in C(X)$. Hence $(f \wedge g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\} = 0$, for all $x \in X$, then f is a zero divisor.

Case 2. if $f(X)$ is an infinite subset of $[0, 1]$ and $a, b \in f(X)$ such that $0 < a < b < 1$. Define

$$C = \{y \in X : a \geq f(y)\} = \{y \in X : f(y) \in [0, a]\} = f^{-1}([0, a])$$

$$B = \{y \in X : a \leq f(y)\} = \{y \in X : f(y) \in [a, 1]\} = f^{-1}([a, 1]).$$

Obviously, since $0 < a < b < 1$, neither B contains C nor C contains B . It is clear that B and C are closed subset of X such that $X = B \cup C$ and $B \cap C = \{x \in X : f(x) = a\}$. Define $\phi_1 : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $\phi_1(x) = f(x)$, for all $x \in C$ and $\phi_2 : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$ where $\phi_2(B) = a$. Obviously $\phi_1(B \cap C) = \phi_2(B \cap C)$. It follows from Lemma 2.18, that

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x) & x \in C \\ \phi_2(x) & x \in B \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function. Put $h(x) = |\phi(x) - f(x)|$, for all $x \in X$. It is clear that $h \neq 0$ and $Z(h) = C$. Similarly, there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $Z(g) = B$ and $g \neq 0$. Then $(f \wedge g \wedge h)(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$ so $h \in \text{Ann}(f \wedge g)$ hence $\text{Ann}(f \wedge g) \neq \{0\}$ thus $f \wedge g$ is a zero divisor of $C(X)$. Since $f \wedge g \in (f \wedge g]$, so by hypothesis, $(f \wedge g]$ is a Z° -ideal and by Corollary 3.19, we get that $(f \wedge g]$ is a Z -ideal. Now, by Proposition 3.25, there exists $e \in B(C(X))$ such that $(f \wedge g] = (e]$. It follows from Lemma 3.24(2), that $Z((f \wedge g]) = Z(e) = \text{int}Z(e)$. Obviously, $Z(f \wedge g) = Z(f) \cup Z(g) = Z(e)$ and $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$, then $Z(f) = Z(f \wedge g) \setminus Z(g) = Z(f \wedge g) \cap (Z(g))^c$. Hence $Z(f)$ is an open subset of X and $Z(f) = \text{int}Z(f)$. By hypothesis $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 3.24 (2), we have $\text{Ann}(f) \neq \{0\}$. Hence f is a zero divisor of $C(X)$.

On the other hand, f is a zero divisor, then $(f]$ is a Z° -ideal so $P_f \subseteq (f]$. Obviously, $(f] \subseteq P_f$, hence $(f] = P_f$. \square

4. Conclusion

We investigated ideals of continuous of functions $C(X)$ and concluded that if X is compact space, then every maximal ideal M of $C(X)$ has the form M^x for a unique $x \in X$ where $M^x = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0\}$. For every element f of Z -ideal (Z° -ideal) has $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ ($\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$) and every Z° -ideal is a Z -ideal but converse is not true. Also join of two Z -ideals is a Z -ideal but if X is a basically disconnected, then join of two Z° -ideals is a Z° -ideal. If g is a complemented element of $C(X)$, then $Z(g)$ is an open subset of X and if g is an element of $C(X)$ such that $\text{inf}(g(X)) \neq 0$ then $Z(g)$ is an open subset of X . Furthermore, every $f \in C(X)$ is not a zero divisor if and only if $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$. It is proved that for each complemented element e of $C(X)$, $\text{Ann}(e) = (e^*]$ and $Z(e)$ is an open subset of X . Also, we conclude that there exist connections between elements X and $C(X)$, for example for every $f, g \in C(X)$, if $M(f) \subseteq M(g)$, then $Z(f) \subseteq Z(g)$ and $\text{int}Z(f) \subseteq \text{int}Z(g)$ if and only if $\text{Ann}(f) \subseteq \text{Ann}(g)$.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the reviewers for excellent suggestions that have been incorporated into the paper.

References

- [1] M. Bedrood, F. Sajadian, G. Lenzi, A. Borumand Saeid, Z° -ideals in MV -algebras, submitted.
- [2] L. Belluce, Semisimple algebras of infinite-valued logic and bold fuzzy set theory, *Canad. J. Math.* 38 (1986) 1356–1379.
- [3] L.P. Belluce, Further results on infinite valued predicate logic, *J. Symb. Logic* 29 (1964) 69–78.
- [4] L.P. Belluce, Generalized fuzzy connectives on MV -algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 205 (1997) 485–499.
- [5] L.P. Belluce, C.C. Chang, A weak completeness theorem for infinite valued first order logic, *J. Symb. Logic* 28 (1963) 43–50.
- [6] L.P. Belluce, A. Di Nola, S. Sessa, Triangular norms, MV -algebras and bold fuzzy set theory, *Math. Japon.* 36 (1991) 481–487.
- [7] C.C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many valued logic, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1958) 467–490.
- [8] R. Cignoli, I.M.L. D'Ottaviano, D. Mundici, *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, (2000).
- [9] F. Forouzesh, F. Sajadian, M. Bedrood, Inverse topology in MV -algebras, *Math. Bohemica* 144 (2019) 273–285.
- [10] F. Forouzesh, F. Sajadian, M. Bedrood, Some results in types of extensions of MV -algebras, *Publ. Inst. Math.* 105(119) (2019) 161–177.
- [11] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer, 1976.
- [12] D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF C^* -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential logic, *J. Funct. Anal.* 65 (1986) 15–63.
- [13] D. Mundici, Mapping abelian l -groups with strong unit One-One into MV -algebras, *J. Algebra* 98 (1986) 76–81.
- [14] J.R. Munkres, *Topology*, Dorling Kindersely, India (2000).
- [15] D. Piciu, *Algebras of Fuzzy Logic*, Ed. Universitaria Craiova (2007).