Filomat 36:3 (2022), 945–950 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2203945X



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

New Characterizations of the Generalized B-T Inverse

Sanzhang Xu^{a,b}, Dingguo Wang^a

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, 273165, China ^bFaculty of Mathematics and Physics, Huaiyin Institute of Technology, Huaian, 223003, China

Abstract. Characterizations and explicit expressions of the generalized B-T inverse are given, this generalized inverse exists for any square matrix and any integer. The relationships between the generalized B-T inverse and some well-known generalized inverses are investigated. Moreover, an explicit formula of the generalized B-T inverse is given by using Hartwig-Spindelböck decomposition.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Let A^* , $\mathcal{R}(A)$, $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and rank(A) denote the conjugate transpose, column space, null space and rank of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, if $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfies AXA = A, XAX = X, $(AX)^* = AX$ and $(XA)^* = XA$, then X is called a *Moore-Penrose inverse* of A [14, 15]. This matrix X is unique and denoted by A^{\dagger} .

The core inverse and the dual core inverse for a complex matrix were introduced by Baksalary and Trenkler [1]. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called a core inverse of A, if it satisfies $AX = P_A$ and $\mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, where $\mathcal{R}(A)$ denotes the column space of A, and P_A is the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{R}(A)$. And if such a matrix exists, then it is unique (and denoted by A^{\circledast}). Baksalary and Trenkler gave several characterizations of the core inverse by using the decomposition of Hartwig and Spindelböck. Many existence criteria and properties of the core inverse can be found in [1, 2, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20] etc.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $XA^{k+1} = A^k$, XAX = X and AX = XA is called the *Drazin inverse* of A and denoted by A^D [5]. The Drazin inverse of a square matrix always exists and it is unique. The smallest such integer k is called the Drazin index of A, denoted by ind(A). If $ind(A) \le 1$, then the Drazin inverse of A is called the group inverse and denoted by $A^{\#}$.

The DMP-inverse for a complex matrix was introduced by Malik and Thome [10]. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with ind(A) = k. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called a *DMP-inverse* of A, if it satisfies XAX = X, $XA = A^DA$ and $A^kX = A^kA^{\dagger}$. It is unique and denoted by $A^{D,\dagger}$. Malik and Thome gave several characterizations of the DMP-inverse by using the decomposition of Hartwig and Spindelböck [9].

The notion of the core-EP inverse for a complex matrix was introduced by Manjunatha Prasad and Mohana [11]. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a *core-EP inverse* of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if X is an outer inverse of A satisfying

Corresponding author: Sanzhang Xu

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A09; 15A03; 06A06.

Keywords. B-T inverse, Moore-Penrose inverse.

Received: 20 March 2021; Revised: 12 July 2021; Accepted: 18 August 2021

Communicated by Dijana Mosić

The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12001223), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China (No.BK20191047), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Education Committee (No.19KJB110005). The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11871301).

Email addresses: xusanzhang5222@126.com (Sanzhang Xu), dingguo95@126.com (Dingguo Wang)

 $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}(X^*) = \mathcal{R}(A^k)$, where k is the index of A. The such matrix X always exists, it is unique and denoted by *A*[⊕].

The (i, m)-core inverse was introduced in [18] for a complex matrix. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $m, i \in \mathbb{N}$. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called an (i, m)-core inverse of A, if it satisfies $\overline{X} = A^D A X$ and $A^m X = A^i (A^i)^{\dagger}$. If such X exists, then it is unique and denoted by $A_{i,m}^{\oplus}$.

In [6, Definition 1.3], Drazin introduced a new class of outer inverses in the setting of semigroups, namely, the (b, c)-inverse. Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. We say that $y \in R$ is the (b, c)-inverse of a if we have

$$y \in (bRy) \cap (yRc), \ yab = b \text{ and } cay = c. \tag{1}$$

If such $y \in R$ exists, then it is unique and denoted by $a^{\parallel(b,c)}$. The (b,c)-inverse is a generalization of the Moore-Penrose inverse, the Drazin inverse, the group inverse and the core inverse. Many existence criteria and properties of the (b, c)-inverse can be found in [3, 4, 6, 16, 19] etc.

The core inverse has several generalized forms, such as the DMP-inverse, the core-EP inverse and $\langle i, m \rangle$ core inverse. In the paper, we will investigate a generalization of the core inverse, namely, the generalized B-T inverse, which also is a generalization of B-T inverse [2], the B-T inverse also called generalized core inverse, let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, a matrix $A_{qn}^{\diamond} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ which is equal to $(A^2 A^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$ is called the generalized core inverse of Α.

2. Characterizations and expressions of the generalized B-T inverse

In this section, we introduce a new inverse, which is a generalization of B-T inverse [2].

Definition 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. A matrix $A_{qn}^{\diamond} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ satisfying

$$A_{qn}^{\diamond} = (A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} \tag{2}$$

is called the generalized B-T inverse of A.

It is seen from Definition 2 that A_{an}^{\diamond} exists for every $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and is unique.

The next example says that the generalized B-T inverse of A is different from the generalized core inverse of A.

Example 2.2. Let *R* be the ring of all bi-finite real matrices with transpose as involution and let $e_{i,j}$ be the matrix in *R* with 1 in the (*i*, *j*) position and 0 elsewhere. Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{i+1,i}$ and $B = A^*$, now $AB = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} e_{i,i}$, BA = I. It is easy to check that $A^2A^{\dagger} = \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} e_{i+1,i}$ and $A^3A^{\dagger} = \sum_{i=4}^{\infty} e_{i+1,i}$, that is $A^2A^{\dagger} \neq A^3A^{\dagger}$, which we can get that $A_{qn}^{\diamond} \neq A^{\diamond}$, thus the generalized B-T inverse of A is different from the generalized core inverse of A.

Example 2.3. The generalized B-T inverse is different from the DMP-inverse, core-EP inverse and (i, m)-core inverse,

 $\text{where } i, m \text{ are arbitrary. Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}. \text{ Then it is easy to check that } A_{g2}^{\diamond} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2/5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ but } A^{d,\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A^{\oplus} = A_{i,m}^{\oplus} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$

Proposition 2.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{N}(A_{an}^{\diamond}) = \mathcal{N}((A^{n+1})^*)$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{N}(A_{an}^{\diamond})$, then $A_{an}^{\diamond}X = (A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^{\dagger}X = 0$, which implies $(A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^*X = 0$. Taking involution on $(A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^*X = 0$, we can get $X^*((A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^*)^* = 0$, that is $X^*A^{n+1}A^{\dagger} = 0$. Multiplying by A on the right side of $X^*A^{n+1}A^{\dagger} = 0$, we can get $X^*A^{n+1} = 0$, hence $X \in \mathcal{N}((A^{n+1})^*)$. Conversely, let $Y \in \mathcal{N}((A^{n+1})^*)$, that is $(A^{n+1})^*Y = 0$. Then $Y^*A^{n+1} = 0$ by taking involution on $(A^{n+1})^*Y = 0$. Multiplying by A^{\dagger} on the right side of $Y^*A^{n+1} = 0$, we can get $Y^*A^{n+1}A^{\dagger} = 0$. Taking involution on $Y^*A^{n+1}A^{\dagger} = 0$, we have $(A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^*Y = 0$, that is $A_{an}^{\diamond}Y = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}(A_{an}^{\diamond}) = \mathcal{N}((A^{n+1})^*)$. \Box

Remark 2.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have $A_{qn}^{\diamond} = 0 \Leftrightarrow (A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow A^{n+1}A^{\dagger} = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{n+1} = 0$. Thus, $A_{an}^{\diamond} = 0$ if and only if $A^{n+1} = 0$. That is, we can use the generalized B-T inverse of A to character the nilpotent matrix.

Proposition 2.6. Let $A, B, U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with $B = UAU^*$ and U is unitary matrix. Then for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $B_{qn}^{\diamond} = UA_{qn}^{\diamond}U^*.$

Proof. Since

$$B_{gn}^{\diamond} = (B^{n+1}B^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = ((UAU^{*})^{n+1}(UAU^{*})^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = (UA^{n+1}A^{\dagger}U^{*})^{\dagger} = U(A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^{\dagger}U^{*},$$

so we have $B_{an}^{\diamond} = UA_{an}^{\diamond}U^*$. \Box

Theorem 2.7. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the generalized B-T inverse of A is the $(P_A(A^n)^*, P_A(A^n)^*)$ -inverse of $P_A(A^n)^*$.

Proof. Since the generalized B-T inverse of A is the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A^{n+1}A^{\dagger}$, and the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A^{n+1}A^{\dagger}$ is the $((A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^*, (A^{n+1}A^{\dagger})^*)$ -inverse of $A^{n+1}A^{\dagger}$, so we can get the generalized B-T inverse of *A* is the $(P_A(A^n)^*, P_A(A^n)^*)$ -inverse of $P_A(A^n)^*$. \Box

By [1, Theorem 1], we have $(A^{\oplus})^n = (A^n)^{\oplus}$ for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, but it is not true for the generalized B-T inverse

of *A*, a counterexample can be found as follows: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{3\times3}$. Then it is easy to check that $A_{g2}^{\diamond} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2/5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $(A_{g2}^{\diamond})^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/25 & 0 & 0 \\ 2/25 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, it is trivial that $(A_{g2}^{\diamond})^2$ is not an idempotent matrix. By

the definition of the generalized B-T inverse of A, we have $(A^2)_{g2}^{\diamond} = [(A^2)^3(A^2)^{\dagger}]^{\dagger} = [A^2(A^2)^{\dagger}]^{\dagger} = A^2(A^2)^{\dagger}$, which is an idempotent matrix, thus we have $(A^2)_{g2}^{\diamond} \neq (A_{g2}^{\diamond})^2$ by $(A_{g2}^{\diamond})^2$ is not an idempotent matrix.

3. How to compute the generalized B-T inverse of A

Every matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of rank *r* can be represented in the form

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \tag{3}$$

where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary, $\Sigma = \sigma_1 I_{r_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_t I_{r_t}$ is the diagonal matrix of the nonzero singular values of A, where $\sigma_1 > \sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_t > 0$, $r_1 + \cdots + r_t = r$, and $K \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ and $L \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times (n-r)}$ satisfy

 $KK^* + LL^* = I_r.$

The decomposition in (3) is known as the Hartwig-Spindelböck decomposition [9].

Theorem 3.1. Let $A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$ be the Hartwig-Spindelböck decomposition of A as in (3) and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then, we have

$$A_{gn}^{\diamond} = U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
(4)

Proof. Let $A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$ be the Hartwig-Spindelböck decomposition of A as in (3) and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$A^{n+1} = U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n+1} & (\Sigma K)^n \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$
(5)

and

$$A^{\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} K^* \Sigma^{-1} & 0\\ L^* \Sigma^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$
(6)

By (5) and (6), we have

$$A^{n+1}A^{\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n+1} & (\Sigma K)^{n}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} K^{*}\Sigma^{-1} & 0 \\ L^{*}\Sigma^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$

$$= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} K^{*}\Sigma^{-1} & 0 \\ L^{*}\Sigma^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$

$$= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} I_{r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$

$$= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}.$$
(7)

The rest is clear by the properties of the Moore-Penrose inverse. \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, A_{gn}° is the generalized B-T inverse of A and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then A_{gn}° is a {2, 3}-inverse of A^n .

Proof. Let $A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$ be the Hartwig-Spindelböck decomposition of A as in (3) and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we have

$$A^{n} = U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1} \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}.$$
(8)

By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$A_{gn}^{\diamond} = U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
⁽⁹⁾

By (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{split} A^{n}A_{gn}^{\circ} &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n}((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}, \end{split}$$

By (9), we have

$$\begin{split} A_{gn}^{\circ} A^{n} A_{gn}^{\circ} &= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = A_{gn}^{\circ}, \end{split}$$

that is,

$$A_{gn}^{\diamond}A^{n}A_{gn}^{\diamond} = A_{gn}^{\diamond}.$$
(10)

By (10), we have A_{gn}^{\diamond} is a {2, 3}-inverse of A^n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

948

In the following theorem, we will give the relationship between the generalized B-T inverse and Moore-Penrose inverse.

Theorem 3.3. Let A has the Hartwig-Spindelböck decomposition of A as in (3) and A_{gn}^{\diamond} be the generalized B-T inverse of A with $n \in \mathbf{N}$. If $((\Sigma K)^n)^*(\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L = 0$, then A_{qn}^{\diamond} is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A^n .

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{n}A_{gn}^{\circ}A^{n} &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, the condition such that $A^n A_{qn}^{\diamond} A^n = A^n$ is $(\Sigma K)^n ((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n-1} \Sigma L = 0$, which is equivalent to $((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger}(\Sigma K)^n((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger}(\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L = 0$, that is $((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger}(\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L = 0$, so if $((\Sigma K)^n)^*(\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L = 0$, then

$$A^n A^{\diamond}_{an} A^n = A^n \tag{11}$$

By (8) and (9), we have

$$A_{gn}^{\diamond}A^{n} = U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$
$$= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{n} & (\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$
$$= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger}(\Sigma K)^{n} & ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger}(\Sigma K)^{n-1}\Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}.$$
(12)

If $((\Sigma K)^n)^{\dagger} \Sigma K(\Sigma L)^{n-1} = 0$, then by (12), we have

$$\begin{split} A_{gn}^{\circ} A^{n} &= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n} & ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n-1} \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} ((\Sigma K)^{n})^{\dagger} (\Sigma K)^{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}. \end{split}$$

So,

$$(A_{gn}^{\diamond}A^{n})^{*} = A_{gn}^{\diamond}A^{n}.$$
(13)

By Theorem 3.2, (11) and (13), one can prove A_{qn}^{\diamond} is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A^n by the definition of the Moore-Penrose inverse.

References

- O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler. Core inverse of matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 2010;58(6):681-697.
 O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler. On a generalized core inverse, Appl Math Comput. 2014:236:450-457.
- [3] J. Benítez, E. Boasso, H.W. Jin. On one-sided (B, C)-inverses of arbitrary matrices, Electron J Linear Algebra. 2017;32:391-422. [4] E. Boasso, G. Kantún-Montiel. The (b, c)-inverse in rings and in the Banach context, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics.
- 2017,14:112.

- [5] M.P. Drazin. Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer Math Monthly. 1958;65:506-514.
- [6] M.P. Drazin. A class of outer generalized inverses, Linear Algebra Appl. 2012;436:1909-1923.
- [7] T.T. Li, J.L. Chen, D. Mosić. The core inverses of differences and products of projections in rings with involution, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas. 2019;113(4):3283-3296.
- [8] T.T. Li, D. Mosić, J.L. Chen. The forward order laws for the core inverse, Aequat. Math. 2021;95:415-431.
- [9] R.E. Hartwig, K. Spindelböck. Matrices for which A* and A⁺ commute. Linear Multilinear Algebra. 1983;14:241-256.
- [10] S.B. Malik, N. Thome. On a new generalized inverse for matrices of an arbitrary index, Appl Math Comput. 2014:226:575-580.
- [11] K. Manjunatha Prasad, K.S. Mohana, Core-EP inverse, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 62(6) (2014), 792-802.
- [12] M. Mehdipour, A. Salemi. On a new generalized inverse of matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 2018;66(5):1046-1053.
 [13] D. Mosić, Predrag S. Stanimirović, J.K. Sahoo, R. Behera, Vasilios N.Katsikis. One-sided weighted outer inverses of tensors,
- Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 2021;388:113293.
- [14] E.H. Moore. On the reciprocal of the general algebraic matrix, Bull Amer Math Soc. 1920;26:394-395.
- [15] R. Penrose. A generalized inverse for matrices, Math Proc Cambridge Philos Soc. 1955;51:406-413.
- [16] D.S. Rakić. A note on Rao and Mitra's constrained inverse and Drazin's (b, c) inverse, Linear Algebra Appl. 2017;523:102-108.
- [17] D.S. Rakić, Nebojša Č. Dinčić, D.S. Djordjević, Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution, Linear Algebra Appl. 463 (2014), 115-133.
- [18] S.Z. Xu, J.L. Chen and J. Benítez, D.G. Wang. Generalized core inverse of matrices, Miskolc Mathematical Notes. 2019,20(1):565-584.
- [19] S.Z. Xu, J. Benítez. Existence criteria and expressions of the (*b*, *c*)-inverse in rings and their applications, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics. 2018,125:14.
- [20] H.L. Zhou, J.L. Chen, Pedro Patrício. Reverse order law for the core inverse in rings, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics. 2018,15:145.