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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for $\widetilde{X}$ to be idempotent and orthogonal idempotent, where $\widetilde{X} \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D, \dagger}, A^{\dagger, D}, A^{(\boxtimes)}\right\}$. Several characteristics when $\widetilde{X}$ is idempotent and orthogonal idempotent are derived by core-EP decomposition. Additionally, we give some equivalent conditions when matrix $A$ is orthogonal idempotent, using the properties of some generalized inverses of $A$.


## 1. Introduction

Idempotent and orthogonal idempotent matrices are very important concepts in linear algebra, which have been widely used in matrix theory [16], physics [14], statistics and econometrics [18], or numerical analysis [10]. A similar statement can be made about the generalized inverses of matrices, which is a useful tool in areas such as cryptography [12], chemical equations [19], optimization theory [11] and so on. Recently, Baksalary and Trenkler studied characterizations of matrices whose Moore-Penrose is idempotent by the Hartwing-Spindelböck decomposition [2]. And some original features and new properties have been given in [2]. The present paper is devoted to investigating characterizations for some generalized inverses to be idempotent and orthogonal idempotent by utilizing the core-EP decomposition.

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices. We denote the identity matrix of order $n$ by $I_{n}$, range space, null space, conjugate transpose and rank of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ by $\mathcal{R}(A), \mathcal{N}(A), A^{*}$ and $r(A)$, respectively. The index of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ denoted by ind $(A)$ is the smallest integer $k \geq 0$ such that $r\left(A^{k}\right)=r\left(A^{k+1}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ be the set consisting of $n \times n$ complex matrices with index $k$.

For the readers' convenience, we first recall the definitions of some types of generalized inverses. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the Moore-Penrose(MP) inverse of $A$ is the unique matrix $A^{+} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfying the four Penrose equations [16]: $A A^{\dagger} A=A, A^{\dagger} A A^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger},\left(A A^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=A A^{\dagger},\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)^{*}=A^{\dagger} A$.

The Drazin inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$, denoted by $A^{D}$ [7], is defined to be the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$
X A X=X, \quad A X=X A, \quad X A^{k+1}=A^{k}
$$

[^0]In particular, the Drazin inverse of $A$ is called the group inverse of $A$ which is denoted by $A^{\#}$ if ind $(A) \leq 1$. Recall that the existence of the group inverse is restricted to the matrices of index 1 (known also as the core matrices). For results on Drazin inverse and idempotents, see [4, 5, 13].

In addition, in this paper we use some properties of core-EP inverse, DMP inverse, dual DMP inverse and weak group inverse. Definitions of these generalized inverses are listed below.

For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$, the unique solution $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of the following equations

$$
X A X=X, \quad \mathcal{R}(X)=\mathcal{R}\left(X^{*}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{k}\right)
$$

is called the core-EP inverse of $A$ written as $A^{\oplus}$ [17].
The DMP inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is defined as the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ that satisfying:

$$
X A X=X, \quad X A=A^{D} A, \quad A^{k} X=A^{k} A^{\dagger}
$$

Such solution $X$ is denoted by $A^{D, \dagger}$. Moreover, it was certified that $A^{D, \dagger}=A^{D} A A^{\dagger}$. Also, the dual DMP inverse of $A$ is defined to be the matrix $A^{\dagger, D}=A^{\dagger} A A^{D}$ [15].

In 2018, Wang and Chen [21] defined the weak group inverse $X$ of $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ satisfying:

$$
A X^{2}=X, \quad A X=A^{\oplus} A
$$

denoted by $A^{(ᆱ)}$. Moreover, it was proved that $A^{\circledR}=\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{2} A$.
For convenience, we adopt the following notations: $\mathbb{C}_{n}^{P}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ will stand for the subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ consisting of idempotent matrices and Hermitian idempotent matrices, respectively, i.e.,

- $\mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{P}}=\left\{A \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^{2}=A\right\} ;$
- $\mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}=\left\{A \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^{2}=A=A^{*}\right\}=\left\{A \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^{2}=A=A^{\dagger}\right\}$.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some necessary and sufficient conditions for characterizing $\widetilde{X}$ as idempotent are given, where $\widetilde{X} \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D, \dagger}, A^{\dagger, D}, A^{\bowtie}\right\}$. In Section 3, some new properties of $\widetilde{X}$ are obtained, when $\widetilde{X}$ is orthogonal idempotent. In Section 4, we list some equivalent conditions when $A$ is orthogonal idempotent, in terms of some generalized inverses of the matrix $A$.

## 2. Characterizations of matrices whose some generalized inverses are idempotent

In the section, some necessary and sufficient conditions for the idempotency of $A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D, \dagger}, A^{\dagger, D}$ and $A^{(1)}$ are investigated. We start with the core-EP decomposition.

Wang proposed a new decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$, which is referred to as core-EP decomposition [20]. It can be given in what follows.

Lemma 2.1. [20](core-EP decomposition) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ can be written as the sum of matrices $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, i.e., $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where
(a) $A_{1} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{C M}$;
(b) $A_{2}^{k}=0$;
(c) $A_{1}^{*} A_{2}=A_{2} A_{1}=0$.

Lemma 2.2. [20] Let the core-EP decomposition of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Then there exists a unitary matrix U such that:

$$
A_{1}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T & S  \tag{2.1}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}, \quad A_{2}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & N
\end{array}\right] U^{*},
$$

where $T$ is nonsingular, $r(T)=r\left(A^{k}\right)=t$ and $N$ is nilpotent of index $k$. Furthermore, the core-EP inverse of $A$ is

$$
A^{\oplus}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & 0  \tag{2.2}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} .
$$

The decomposition of $A, A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1), is unique [19, Theorem 2.4]. Matrices $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are called core part and nilpotent part, respectively. It is easy to verify that $A_{1}=A A^{\oplus} A$.

Lemma 2.3. [9] Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then

$$
A^{D}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & \left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T}  \tag{2.3}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

where $\widetilde{T}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{j} S N^{k-1-j}$. Furthermore, $\widetilde{T}=0$ if and only if $S=0$.
Lemma 2.4. [6] Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then

$$
A^{\dagger}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} \Delta & -T^{*} \Delta S N^{\dagger}  \tag{2.4}\\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{+} N\right) S^{*} \Delta & N^{\dagger}-\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta S N^{+}
\end{array}\right] U^{*},
$$

where $\Delta=\left(T T^{*}+S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*}\right)^{-1}$.
According to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, a straightforward computation shows that [9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{D, \dagger}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & \left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*},  \tag{2.5}\\
& A^{\dagger, D}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} \Delta & T^{*} \Delta T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{+} N\right) S^{*} \Delta & \left(I_{n-t}-N^{+} N\right) S^{*} \Delta T^{-k} \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right] U^{*} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.5. [21] Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then

$$
A^{(1)}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & T^{-2} S  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} .
$$

It's easy to prove that the group inverse of $A$ is idempotent if and only if $A$ is idempotent. In [1], the authors gave that the core inverse of $A$ is idempotent if and only if $A$ is idempotent. Baksalary and Trenkler have shown that, in general, the idempotency of a matrix is not inherited by its Moore-Penrose inverse(see [2]). These authors have given some equivalent conditions for $A^{\dagger}$ to be idempotent. The following results are given for $\widetilde{X}$ to be idempotent, where $\widetilde{X} \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D, \dagger}, A^{\dagger, D}, A^{\circledR}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1), $X \in$ $\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D,+}, A^{@}\right\}$. Then X is idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $T=I_{t}$;
(b) $A^{k}=A^{k+1}$;
(c) $A X=X$;
(d) $A X^{k}=X^{k}$;
(e) $A^{k} X^{k}=X$;
(f) $X A^{k}=A^{k}$.

Proof. (a). By (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7), it is easy to verify that $A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D,+}$ and $A^{@}$ are idempotents if and only if $T=I_{t}$.
(b). By $A=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}T & S \\ 0 & N\end{array}\right] U^{*}$, we have

$$
A^{k}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k} & \widetilde{T}  \tag{2.8}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

where $\widetilde{T}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{j} S N^{k-1-j}$. Thus, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{k}=A^{k+1} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k} & \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k+1} & T \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c). By (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$
X=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & X_{1}  \tag{2.9}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

where $X_{1} \in\left\{0,\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T},\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger}, T^{-2} S\right\}$, in the case when $X \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D,+}, A^{@}\right\}$, respectively. Thus, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A X=X & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T X_{1} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & X_{1} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d). By (2.9), it follows that

$$
X^{k}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-k} & T^{-k+1} X_{1}  \tag{2.10}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

where $X_{1} \in\left\{0,\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T},\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger}, T^{-2} S\right\}$, in the case when $X \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D,+}, A^{\bowtie}\right\}$, respectively. Since $A X^{k}=X^{k}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A X^{k}=X^{k} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-k+1} & T^{-k+2} X_{1} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-k} & T^{-k+1} X_{1} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$(e)$ and $(f)$. These proofs are similar to that of (d).
If $A^{\dagger, D}$ is idempotent, it can be verified that each of the statements $(a),(b)$ in Theorem 2.6 holds. However, we can see that any of the four statements $(c),(d),(e),(f)$ in Theorem 2.6 is not satisfied when $X=A^{\dagger, D}$ is idempotent. We now give the following example to illustrate it.
Example 2.7. Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have that $\operatorname{ind}(A)=2$, and

$$
A^{\dagger, D}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad A^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is easy to see that $A A^{\dagger, D} \neq A^{\dagger, D}, A\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{2} \neq\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{2}, A^{2}\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{2} \neq A^{\dagger, D}$ and $A^{\dagger, D} A^{2} \neq A^{2}$.
Now, the equivalent conditions when $A^{\dagger, D}$ is idempotent are given in what follows.
Theorem 2.8. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then $A^{+, D}$ is idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $T=I_{t}$;
(b) $A^{k}=A^{k+1}$;
(c) $A^{\dagger, D} A=A^{\dagger, D}$;
(d) $\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}$;
(e) $\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{\dagger, D}$;
(f) $A^{k} A^{\dagger, D}=A^{k}$.

Proof. (a). Since $\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{2}=A^{\dagger} A^{D}$, we have that $A^{\dagger, D}$ is idempotent if and only if $A^{\dagger, D}=A^{\dagger} A^{D}$. Premultiplying $A^{\dagger} A A^{D}=A^{\dagger} A^{D}$ by $A$, we obtain that $A A^{D}=A^{D}$. By the point (b) of Theorem 2.6 , we get $T=I_{t}$.

Conversely, if $T=I_{t}$, it can be directly checked that $A^{\dagger, D}=A^{\dagger} A^{D}$ from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6).
(b). This follows similarly as in the point (b) of Theorem 2.6.
(c). If $A^{\dagger, D}$ is idempotent, then $\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{*}$ is also idempotent. It is noteworthy that $\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{*}=\left(A^{*}\right)^{D} A^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)^{\dagger}=$ $\left(A^{*}\right)^{D, t}$. Thus we now have $\left(A^{*}\right)^{D,+}$ is idempotent, then it follows from condition (c) in Theorem 2.6 that $A^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)^{D, \dagger}=\left(A^{*}\right)^{D, \dagger}$. By taking the conjugate transpose of $A^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)^{D, \dagger}=\left(A^{*}\right)^{D, \dagger}$, we now obtain that $A^{\dagger, D} A=$ $A^{\dagger, D}$. The above proof is completely reversible.

The proofs of the last three conditions are similar to point (c).
Remark 2.9. If $A^{+, D}$ in Theorem 2.8 is replaced by $A^{D}$, Theorem 2.8 is still valid.
We know that $A^{\dagger, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{P}$ doesn't satisfy each of the four statements $(c),(d),(e)$ and $(f)$ in Theorem 2.6. Next theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions such that all four statements are satisfied.

Theorem 2.10. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $T=I_{t}$ and $\mathcal{N}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$;
(b) $A A^{\dagger, D}=A^{\dagger, D}$;
(c) $A\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}=\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}$;
(d) $A^{k}\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}=A^{\dagger, D}$;
(e) $A^{\dagger, D} A^{k}=A^{k}$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. Notice that $\mathcal{N}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$ is equivalent with $S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right)=0$. If $T=I_{t}$, then the result can be directly checked by (2.6).
$(b) \Rightarrow(c)$. It is evident.
(c) $\Rightarrow(a)$. Note that $\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}=A^{\dagger}\left(A^{D}\right)^{k-1}$. By $(c)$, we have $A A^{\dagger}\left(A^{D}\right)^{k-1}=A^{\dagger}\left(A^{D}\right)^{k-1}$. Thus, it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-k+1} & T^{-2 k+1} \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} \Delta T^{-k+1} & T^{*} \Delta T^{-2 k+1} \widetilde{T} \\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta T^{-k+1} & \left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta T^{-2 k+1} \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\widetilde{T}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{j} S N^{k-1-j}$. Hence $T^{*} \triangle=I_{t},\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*}=0$, which implies $T=I_{t}, \mathcal{N}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$.
(b) $\Rightarrow(d)$. Combining $A A^{\dagger, D}=A A^{D}$ with $A A^{\dagger, D}=A^{\dagger, D}$ immediately leads to the conclusion that $A^{k}\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}=\left(A^{D}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{D} A=A A^{D}=A^{\dagger, D}$.
$(d) \Rightarrow(e)$. By $(d)$ and the fact that $A^{k}\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k}=A A^{D}$, we get that $A^{+, D} A^{k}=A^{k}\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A A^{D} A^{k}=A^{k}$.
$(e) \Rightarrow(b)$. Postmultiplying $A^{\dagger, D} A^{k}=A^{k}$ by $\left(A^{D}\right)^{k}$ we have that $A^{+, D}=A A^{D}=A A^{\dagger, D}$.

Similarly, we can also deduce that $A^{\oplus}, A^{D,+}$ and $A^{\boxtimes}$ don't satisfy any of the four conditions (c), (d), (e) and $(f)$ in Theorem 2.8 as will be shown in the next example:

Example 2.11. Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -2
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have that $\operatorname{ind}(A)=2$, and

$$
A^{\oplus}=A^{D,+}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], A^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

As in the Example 4.3, we can get that $X^{\prime} A \neq X^{\prime},\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{2} A \neq\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{2},\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{2} A^{2} \neq X^{\prime}$ and $A^{2} X^{\prime} \neq A^{2}$ for $X^{\prime} \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D,+}\right\}$.
Example 2.12. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have that $\operatorname{ind}(A)=2$, and

$$
A^{(囚)}=\left(A^{@}\right)^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], A^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is easy to see that $A^{@} A \neq A^{@},\left(A^{@}\right)^{2} A \neq\left(A^{@}\right)^{2},\left(A^{@}\right)^{2} A^{2} \neq A^{@}$ and $A^{2} A^{@} \neq A^{2}$.
The following theorems present some conditions such that $A^{\oplus}, A^{D,+}$ and $A^{@}$ satisfy $(c),(d),(e)$ and $(f)$ of Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.13. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$;
(b) $A^{\oplus} A=A^{\oplus}$;
(c) $\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k}$;
(d) $\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{\oplus}$;
(e) $A^{k} A^{\oplus}=A^{k}$.

Proof. (b) $\Leftrightarrow(a)$. From (2.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\oplus} A=A^{\oplus} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T^{-1} S \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, S=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(c) \Leftrightarrow(a)$. The proof is similar to $(b) \Leftrightarrow(a)$.
$(d) \Leftrightarrow(a)$. From (2.2) and (2.8), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{\oplus} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, \widetilde{T}=0\left(\text { where } \widetilde{T}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S N^{j}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, S=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(e) \Leftrightarrow(a)$. Similar as the part $(d) \Leftrightarrow(a)$.
Theorem 2.14. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $T=I_{t}$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(N^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{T})$;
(b) $A^{D, \dagger} A=A^{D, \dagger}$;
(c) $\left(A^{D, \dagger}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{D, \dagger}\right)^{k}$;
(d) $\left(A^{D,+}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{D,+}$;
(e) $A^{k} A^{D, \dagger}=A^{k}$.
where $\widetilde{T}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S N^{j}$.
Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow(b)$. We know that $\mathcal{N}\left(N^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{T})$ is equivalent to $\widetilde{T}\left(I_{n-t}-N N^{\dagger}\right)=0$. Thus the result can be directly verified by (2.5).
$(b) \Rightarrow(c)$. Evident.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Using (2.5), by $\left(A^{D, t}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{D, t}\right)^{k}$, we get that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-k+1} & T^{k} S+T^{-2 k} \widetilde{T N} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-k} & T^{-2 k} \widetilde{T} N N^{+} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $T=I_{t}, \widetilde{T}\left(I_{n-t}-N N^{\dagger}\right)=0$, which is equivalent to $T=I_{t}, \mathcal{N}\left(N^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{T})$.
(b) $\Rightarrow(d)$. Combining $A^{D, \dagger} A=A^{D,+}$ with $A^{D, \dagger} A=A^{D} A$ immediately leads to the conclusion that $\left(A^{D,+}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A A^{D}=A^{D} A=A^{D, \dagger}$.
$(d) \Rightarrow(e)$. Since $\left(A^{D, \dagger}\right)^{k}=\left(A^{D}\right)^{k-1} A^{\dagger}$. By (d), if $k=1$, we get that $A^{k} A^{D, \dagger}=A^{k}\left(A^{D, \dagger}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{k}\left(A^{D}\right)^{k-1} A^{\dagger} A^{k}=$ $A A^{\dagger} A=A$. If $k \geq 2$, we have that $A^{k} A^{D, \dagger}=A^{k}\left(A^{D, \dagger}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{k}\left(A^{D}\right)^{k-1} A^{\dagger} A^{k}=A^{D} A^{2} A^{\dagger} A^{k}=A^{k}$.
$(e) \Rightarrow(b)$. Multiplying $A^{k} A^{D,+}=A^{k}$ by $\left(A^{D}\right)^{k}$ we have that $A^{D,+}=A^{D} A=A^{D,+} A$.
Theorem 2.15. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $T=I_{t}$ and $S N=0$;
(b) $A^{@} A=A^{@}$;
(c) $\left(A^{@}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{@}\right)^{k}$;
(d) $\left(A^{@}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{@}$;
(e) $A^{k} A^{(®)}=A^{k}$.

Proof. (b) $\Leftrightarrow(a)$. From (2.7), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{@} A=A^{@} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T^{-1} S+T^{-2} S N \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & T^{-2} S \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, S N=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(c) \Leftrightarrow(a)$. Similar as $(b) \Leftrightarrow(a)$.
(d) $\Leftrightarrow(a)$. From (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{\bowtie}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{\bowtie} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & T^{-2} S \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T^{-1}=I_{t}, \widetilde{T}=S \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, S N=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(e) \Leftrightarrow(a)$. Similar as $(d) \Leftrightarrow(a)$.
Remark 2.16. If the integer $k$ in Theorems 2.6, 2.8, 2.10, 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15 is placed by $l(l \geq k)$, all the Theorems are still valid.

## 3. Characterizations of matrices whose some generalized inverses are orthogonal idempotent

It is widely known that $\mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{P}}$. Meanwhile, it follows from (2.2) that $A^{\oplus} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ if and only if $A^{\oplus} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{P}$. Therefore each of the six terms listed in Theorem 2.6 is equivalent to $A^{\oplus} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$. Then the main aim of this section is to investigate some characterizations for $A^{@}, A^{D}, A^{D,+}$ and $A^{\dagger, D}$ to be an orthogonal idempotent.

We will discuss some equivalent conditions for $A^{\circledR}$ and $A^{D}$ to be an orthogonal idempotent.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1), $X_{2} \in\left\{A^{D}, A^{@}\right\}$. Then $X_{2}$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$;
(b) $A^{k}=A^{*} A^{k}$;
(c) $A X_{2}=X_{2}^{*}$;
(d) $X_{2} A=X_{2}^{*}$;
(e) $A X_{2}=A^{2} A^{\oplus}$;
(f) $X_{2} A=A^{2} A^{\oplus}$;
(g) $A^{k} X_{2}^{*}=A^{k}$;
(h) $X_{2}^{*} A^{k}=A^{k}$;
(i) $A^{\oplus} A=A^{\oplus}$;
(j) $A^{k} A^{\oplus}=A^{k}$;
(k) $\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{\oplus}$;
(l) $\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k}$.

Proof. (a). By (2.3) we get that $A^{D}$ is an orthogonal projector if and only if $T=I_{t}$ and $\widetilde{T}=0$, i.e., $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$. Similarly, by (2.7) we have that $A^{@} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ if and only if $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$.
(b). Suppose $A^{k}=A^{*} A^{k}$. Using (2.8), it follows that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k} & \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} T^{k} & T^{*} \widetilde{T} \\
S^{*} T^{k} & S^{*} \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $T^{*}=I_{t}$ and $\widetilde{T}=0$, which is equivalent to $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$. The sufficient condition can be easily checked.
(c). Assume $X_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$, it's easy to verify that $A X_{2}=\left(X_{2}\right)^{*}$.

On the contrary, from (2.3) and (2.7), we have

$$
X_{2}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & W  \tag{3.1}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

where $W \in\left\{\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T}, T^{-2} S\right\}$. If $A X_{2}=\left(X_{2}\right)^{*}$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T W \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*} & 0 \\
W^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $W \in\left\{\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T}, T^{-2} S\right\}$. It implies $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$ since $T$ is nonsingular.
(d), (e) and $(f)$. These proofs are analogous to that of (c).
(g). By (2.8) and (3.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{k}\left(X_{2}\right)^{*}=A^{k} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k}\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*}+\widetilde{T} W^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k} & \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \widetilde{T}=0, T^{k}\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*}=T^{k} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow S=0, T=I_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(h). By (2.8) and (3.1), we have that $X_{2}^{*} A^{k}=A^{k}$ is equivalent with,

$$
U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*} T^{k} & \left(T^{-1}\right)^{*} \widetilde{T} \\
W^{*} T^{k} & W^{*} \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{k} & \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

where $W \in\left\{\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T}, T^{-2} S\right\}$, which is equivalent with $T=I_{t}, S=0$.
(i) $-(l)$. Note that $X_{2}$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if $T=I_{t}$ and $S=0$. Thus, these can be directly demonstrated by Theorem 2.13.

Secondly, several sufficient and necessary conditions for $A^{D, t} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ are given in the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then $A^{D,+}$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $T=I_{t}$ and $S N=0$;
(b) $A A^{D, t}=\left(A^{D, t}\right)^{*}$;
(c) $A^{D, \dagger} A=A^{@}$;
(d) $A A^{@}=A^{D}$;
(e) $A^{@} A=A^{@}$;
(f) $A^{k} A^{(囚)}=A^{k}$;
(g) $\left(A^{@}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{@}$;
(h) $\left(A^{@}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{@}\right)^{k}$.

Proof. (a). By (2.5) it is easy to verify that $A^{D, t} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ if and only if $T=I_{t}$ and $\widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger}=0$, i.e., $T=I_{t}$ and $S N=0$.
(b). By (2.5) we have that $A A^{D, t}=\left(A^{D, t}\right)^{*}$ is equivalent with

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T^{-k} \widetilde{T} N N^{+} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T^{-1}\right)^{*} & 0 \\
\left(\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{+}\right)^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

which is further equivalent with $T=I_{t}$ and $S N=0$.
(c). By (2.5) and (2.7), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{D,+} A=A^{@} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} & T^{-2} S \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T^{-1}=I_{t}, T^{-k} \widetilde{T}=T^{-2} S \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, S N=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d). The proof follows directly by (c).
$(e)-(h)$. The proof follows by $(a)$ and Theorem 2.15.

Finally, some equivalent conditions for $A^{\dagger, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ are given in the following theorem.
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $A^{\dagger, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$;
(b) $T=I_{t}$ and $\widetilde{T}=S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right)$;
(c) $T=I_{t}$ and $A^{\dagger} A^{k}=\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{*}$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. Since $A^{+, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{P}}$, we have by Theorem 2.8 that $T=I_{t}$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$
A^{\dagger, D}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta & \Delta \widetilde{T}  \tag{3.2}\\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta & \left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right] U^{*},
$$

where $\widetilde{T}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} S N^{j}$ and $\Delta=\left(I_{t}+S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*}\right)^{-1}$. Since $A^{\dagger, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$, we get that $\widetilde{T}=S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right)$.
$(b) \Rightarrow(c)$. It follows by a direct calculations with the use of (2.4), (2.6) and (2.8).
(c) $\Rightarrow(a)$. Since $T=I_{t}$, we get

$$
A^{\dagger}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta & -\Delta S N^{\dagger} \\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta & N^{\dagger}-\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta S N^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right] U^{*}, \quad A^{k}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & \widetilde{T} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*} .
$$

Thus, it follows from $A^{\dagger} A^{k}=\left(A^{\dagger, D}\right)^{*}$ and (3.2) that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta & \Delta \widetilde{T} \\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta & \left(I_{n-t}-N^{+} N\right) S^{*} \Delta \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta & \Delta S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) \\
(\widetilde{T})^{*} \Delta & (\widetilde{T})^{*} S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $\widetilde{T}=S\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right)$. Consequently, we have $A^{\dagger, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$.
Corollary 3.4. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1), $X_{2} \in\left\{A^{D}, A^{(\otimes}\right\}$. If $X_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$, then any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $A^{D,+} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$;
(b) $A^{\dagger, D} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$.

Proof. It's evident from Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.
Remark 3.5. If the integer $k$ in Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 is placed by $l(l \geq k)$, all the Theorems are still valid in the section.

## 4. Further properties of orthogonal idempotent

In this section, we study equivalent conditions for a matrix $A$ to be orthogonal idempotent in terms of some other generalized inverses, like core-EP, Drazin, DMP and dual DMP and weak group inverse.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ and $\widetilde{X} \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{D}, A^{D, \dagger}, A^{\dagger, D}, A^{\left({ }^{( }\right)}\right\}$. Then $A$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if $\widetilde{X} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{P}}$ and $A^{l}=A^{*}$, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq k$.

Proof. Suppose that $A$ is given by $A=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}T & S \\ 0 & N\end{array}\right] U^{*}$, it's clear that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\text {OP }}$ if and only if $T=I_{t}, S=0$ and $N=0$. Thus we can easily conclude that $\widetilde{X} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{P}}$ and $A^{l}=A^{*}$.

Conversely, if $\widetilde{X} \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{P}$, we have $T=I_{t}$ by Theorem 2.6. We now obtain that

$$
A=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & S  \tag{4.1}\\
0 & N
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

By $A^{l}=A^{*}$, it's easy to verify that $S=0$ and $N=0$.
Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1) and let $X \in\left\{A^{\oplus}, A^{@}, A^{D}\right\}$. Then $A$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $A^{*} X=A^{*}$;
(b) $X A^{*}=A^{*}$;
(c) $A^{D,+} A^{*}=A^{*}$;
(d) $A^{*} A^{\dagger, D}=A^{*}$.

Proof. It is noteworthy that we just have to verify that each of the four conditions is equivalent to $T=I_{t}$, $S=0$ and $N=0$.
(a) and (b). According to (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7), it's not difficult to demonstrate that statement (a) and (b) are equivalent to $T=I_{t}, S=0$ and $N=0$.
(c). By (2.5), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{D,+} A^{*}=A^{*} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{-1} T^{*}+\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{+} S^{*} & \left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger} N^{*} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} & 0 \\
S^{*} & N^{*}
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T^{*} T^{-1}=T^{*}, S^{*}=0, N^{*}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, S=0, N=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(d). Suppose that $A^{*} A^{\dagger, D}=A^{*}$, it follows from (2.6) that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T^{*}\right)^{2} \Delta & \left(T^{*}\right)^{2} \Delta T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\
S^{*} T^{*} \Delta+N^{*}\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta & S^{*} T^{*} \Delta T^{-k} \widetilde{T}+N^{*}\left(I_{n-t}-N^{\dagger} N\right) S^{*} \Delta T^{-k} \widetilde{T}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} & 0 \\
S^{*} & N^{*}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since $T$ and $\Delta$ are nonsingular, we now deduce that $\left(T^{*}\right)^{2} \Delta=T^{*}, \widetilde{T}=0$ and $N^{*}=0$. Combining these three equations, we obtain that $T=I_{t}, S=0$ and $N=0$. The reverse is obvious.

Notice that we can imply $A^{*} A^{D, \dagger}=A^{*}$ and $A^{\dagger, D} A^{*}=A^{*}$ if $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{\mathrm{OP}}$ in Theorem 4.1. But, the converse is invalid. We present the following example to illustrate that.

Example 4.3. Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have that $\operatorname{ind}(A)=1$,

$$
A^{D, \dagger}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad A^{\dagger, D}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{3}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
-\frac{2}{5} & \frac{3}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\
\frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\
-\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is easy to see that $A^{*} A^{D,+}=A^{*}, A^{+, D} A^{*}=A^{*}$ and $A^{2}=A$, but $A^{*} \neq A$.

In the following theorem, we are going to give some new equivalent conditions such that the reverse is also true.
Lemma 4.4. [2] Assume that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then $A$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if both $A$ and $A^{+}$are idempotent.
Theorem 4.5. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). If $A^{+}$is idempotent, then $A$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $A^{*} A^{D, t}=A^{*}$;
(b) $A^{+, D} A^{*}=A^{*}$.

Proof. Combining Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.4, we just have to prove that each of the two statements is equivalent to the fact that $A$ is idempotent, which is also equivalent to the requirement that $T=I_{t}$ and $N=0$.
(a). From (2.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{*} A^{D, t}=A^{*} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} T^{-1} & T^{*}\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{+} \\
S^{*} T^{-1} & S^{*}\left(T^{k+1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{+}
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} & 0 \\
S^{*} & N^{*}
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T^{*} T^{-1}=T^{*}, N^{*}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, N=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(b). By (2.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{+, D} A^{*}=A^{*} & \Longleftrightarrow U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} \Delta\left(T^{*}+T^{-k} \widetilde{T} S^{*}\right) & T^{*} T^{-k} \widetilde{T} N^{*} \\
\left(I_{n-t}-N^{+} N\right) S^{*} \Delta\left(T^{*}+T^{-k} \widetilde{T} S^{*}\right) & \left(I_{n-t}-N^{+} N\right) S^{*} \Delta T^{-k} \widetilde{T} N^{*}
\end{array}\right] U^{*}=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T^{*} & 0 \\
S^{*} & N^{*}
\end{array}\right] U^{*} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T^{*} \Delta\left(T^{*}+T^{-k} \widetilde{T} S^{*}\right)=T^{*}, N^{*}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T=I_{t}, N=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.6. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by $(2.1), X_{2} \in\left\{A^{D}, A^{@}\right\}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $A$ is idempotent and $X_{2}$ is orthogonal idempotent;
(b) $A$ is idempotent and $A$ is either Hermitian, EP, or normal ;
(c) A is core matrix and $X_{2}$ is orthogonal idempotent;
(d) $A$ is orthogonal idempotent.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. Obviously, condition $(a)$ in the theorem can be equivalently expressed as the conjunction $T=I_{t}, S=0$ and $N=0$. Therefore, the point $(b)$ is apparently satisfied.
$(b) \Rightarrow(c)$. We know that idempotency of $A$ is equivalent with $T=I_{t}$ and $N=0$. Then, $A$ can be expressed in the following form

$$
A=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{t} & S  \tag{4.2}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}
$$

Thus, if $A$ is either Hermitian, EP, or normal, we get $S=0$. From Theorem 3.1, it follows that $X_{2}$ is orthogonal idempotent.
$(c) \Rightarrow(d)$. Because $A$ is core matrix, we get that $N=0$. It can be verified directly by Theorem 3.1 that $A$ is orthogonal idempotent.
$(d) \Rightarrow(a)$. The proof is obvious.
Corollary 4.7. Suppose that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are given by (2.1). If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{P}$, then $A$ is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:
(a) $A \oplus^{\oplus} A=A^{\oplus}$;
(c) $\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A^{k}=A^{\oplus}$;
(b) $A^{k} A^{\oplus}=A^{k}$;
(d) $\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k} A=\left(A^{\oplus}\right)^{k}$.

Proof. From (2.1), it's easy to prove that $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n}^{P}$ if and only if $T=I_{t}, N=0$. By Theorem 2.13, we have that each of the four statements given in the theorem is equivalent with $S=0$. Thus the corollary holds.

Remark 4.8. If the integer $k$ in Corollary 4.7 is replaced by $l(l \geq k)$, Corollary 4.7 still holds.
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