Filomat 36:1 (2022), 207–219 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2201207F



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# **Generalized Inverses – Idempotents and Projectors**

# Zhimei Fu<sup>a</sup>, Kezheng Zuo<sup>a</sup>, Hui Yan<sup>a</sup>, Honglin Zou<sup>a</sup>, Yang Chen<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hubei Normal University, Hubei, Huangshi, China

**Abstract.** In this paper, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\widetilde{X}$  to be idempotent and orthogonal idempotent, where  $\widetilde{X} \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^{D}, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\dagger,D}, A^{\bigoplus}\}$ . Several characteristics when  $\widetilde{X}$  is idempotent and orthogonal idempotent are derived by core-EP decomposition. Additionally, we give some equivalent conditions when matrix A is orthogonal idempotent, using the properties of some generalized inverses of A.

#### 1. Introduction

Idempotent and orthogonal idempotent matrices are very important concepts in linear algebra, which have been widely used in matrix theory [16], physics [14], statistics and econometrics [18], or numerical analysis [10]. A similar statement can be made about the generalized inverses of matrices, which is a useful tool in areas such as cryptography [12], chemical equations [19], optimization theory [11] and so on. Recently, Baksalary and Trenkler studied characterizations of matrices whose Moore-Penrose is idempotent by the Hartwing-Spindelböck decomposition [2]. And some original features and new properties have been given in [2]. The present paper is devoted to investigating characterizations for some generalized inverses to be idempotent and orthogonal idempotent by utilizing the core-EP decomposition.

Let  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  be the set of  $m \times n$  complex matrices. We denote the identity matrix of order n by  $I_n$ , range space, null space, conjugate transpose and rank of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  by  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ ,  $A^*$  and r(A), respectively. The index of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  denoted by  $\operatorname{ind}(A)$  is the smallest integer  $k \ge 0$  such that  $r(A^k) = r(A^{k+1})$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  be the set consisting of  $n \times n$  complex matrices with index k.

For the readers' convenience, we first recall the definitions of some types of generalized inverses. For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , the Moore-Penrose(MP) inverse of A is the unique matrix  $A^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  satisfying the four Penrose equations [16]:  $AA^{\dagger}A = A$ ,  $A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}$ ,  $(AA^{\dagger})^* = AA^{\dagger}$ ,  $(A^{\dagger}A)^* = A^{\dagger}A$ .

The Drazin inverse of  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ , denoted by  $A^{D}$  [7], is defined to be the unique matrix  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  satisfying the following equations :

$$XAX = X, \quad AX = XA, \quad XA^{k+1} = A^k.$$

Received: 21 January 2021; Accepted: 08 March 2021

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A09; Secondary 15A24

Keywords. Generalized inverse; Core-EP decomposition; idempotent; orthogonal idempotent.

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković-Ilić

Corresponding author: Kezheng Zuo

This research is supported by NSFC(NO.11961076)

Email addresses: fzm19952020@163.com (Zhimei Fu), xiangzuo28@163.com (Kezheng Zuo), 565224996@qq.com (Hui Yan), honglinzou@163.com (Honglin Zou), 275477236@qq.com (Yang Chen)

In particular, the Drazin inverse of A is called the group inverse of A which is denoted by  $A^{\#}$  if  $ind(A) \leq 1$ . Recall that the existence of the group inverse is restricted to the matrices of index 1(known also as the core matrices). For results on Drazin inverse and idempotents, see [4, 5, 13].

In addition, in this paper we use some properties of core-EP inverse, DMP inverse, dual DMP inverse and weak group inverse. Definitions of these generalized inverses are listed below.

For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$ , the unique solution  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  of the following equations

$$XAX = X, \quad \mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}(X^*) = \mathcal{R}(A^k),$$

is called the core-EP inverse of A written as  $A^{\bigoplus}$  [17]. The DMP inverse of  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$  is defined as the unique matrix  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  that satisfying:

$$XAX = X, \quad XA = A^DA, \quad A^kX = A^kA^{\dagger}.$$

Such solution X is denoted by  $A^{D,\dagger}$ . Moreover, it was certified that  $A^{D,\dagger} = A^D A A^{\dagger}$ . Also, the dual DMP inverse of *A* is defined to be the matrix  $A^{\dagger,D} = A^{\dagger}AA^{D}$  [15].

In 2018, Wang and Chen [21] defined the weak group inverse X of  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$  satisfying:

$$AX^2 = X, \quad AX = A^{\textcircled{T}}A,$$

denoted by  $A^{\textcircled{0}}$ . Moreover, it was proved that  $A^{\textcircled{0}} = (A^{\textcircled{0}})^2 A$ .

For convenience, we adopt the following notations:  $\mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{P}}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{OP}}$  will stand for the subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  consisting of idempotent matrices and Hermitian idempotent matrices, respectively, i.e.,

- $\mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{P}} = \{A \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^2 = A\};$
- $\mathbb{C}_n^{\text{OP}} = \{A \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^2 = A = A^*\} = \{A \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, A^2 = A = A^+\}.$

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some necessary and sufficient conditions for characterizing  $\widetilde{X}$  as idempotent are given, where  $\widetilde{X} \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^D, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\dagger,D}, A^{\bigoplus}\}$ . In Section 3, some new properties of  $\widetilde{X}$  are obtained, when  $\widetilde{X}$  is orthogonal idempotent. In Section 4, we list some equivalent conditions when A is orthogonal idempotent, in terms of some generalized inverses of the matrix A.

## 2. Characterizations of matrices whose some generalized inverses are idempotent

In the section, some necessary and sufficient conditions for the idempotency of  $A^{\textcircled{}}$ ,  $A^{D}$ ,  $A^{D,\dagger}$ ,  $A^{\dagger,D}$  and  $A^{\textcircled{}}$  are investigated. We start with the core-EP decomposition.

Wang proposed a new decomposition of  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$ , which is referred to as core-EP decomposition [20]. It can be given in what follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** [20](core-EP decomposition) Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$ . Then A can be written as the sum of matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , *i.e.*,  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where

- (a)  $A_1 \in \mathbb{C}_n^{CM}$ ;
- (b)  $A_2^k = 0;$
- (c)  $A_1^*A_2 = A_2A_1 = 0.$

**Lemma 2.2.** [20] Let the core-EP decomposition of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be as in Lemma 2.1. Then there exists a unitary matrix U such that:

Z. Fu et al. / Filomat 36:1 (2022), 207–219 209

$$A_1 = U \begin{bmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad A_2 = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} U^*, \tag{2.1}$$

where *T* is nonsingular,  $r(T) = r(A^k) = t$  and *N* is nilpotent of index *k*. Furthermore, the core-EP inverse of *A* is

$$A^{\textcircled{T}} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
(2.2)

The decomposition of A,  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1), is unique [19, Theorem 2.4]. Matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are called core part and nilpotent part, respectively. It is easy to verify that  $A_1 = AA^{\textcircled{}}A$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [9] Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then

$$A^{D} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & (T^{k+1})^{-1} \widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*},$$
(2.3)

where  $\widetilde{T} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T^j S N^{k-1-j}$ . Furthermore,  $\widetilde{T} = 0$  if and only if S = 0.

**Lemma 2.4.** [6] Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then

$$A^{\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{*} \bigtriangleup & -T^{*} \bigtriangleup SN^{\dagger} \\ (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^{*} \bigtriangleup & N^{\dagger} - (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^{*} \bigtriangleup SN^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} U^{*},$$
(2.4)

where  $\triangle = (TT^* + S(I_{n-t} - N^\dagger N)S^*)^{-1}$ .

According to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, a straightforward computation shows that [9]

$$A^{D,\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & (T^{k+1})^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*},$$
(2.5)

$$A^{\dagger,D} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^* \triangle & T^* \triangle T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\ (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger} N) S^* \triangle & (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger} N) S^* \triangle T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
(2.6)

**Lemma 2.5.** [21] Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then

$$A^{\textcircled{W}} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & T^{-2}S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

$$(2.7)$$

It's easy to prove that the group inverse of *A* is idempotent if and only if *A* is idempotent. In [1], the authors gave that the core inverse of *A* is idempotent if and only if *A* is idempotent. Baksalary and Trenkler have shown that, in general, the idempotency of a matrix is not inherited by its Moore-Penrose inverse(see [2]). These authors have given some equivalent conditions for  $A^+$  to be idempotent. The following results are given for  $\widetilde{X}$  to be idempotent, where  $\widetilde{X} \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^D, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\dagger,D}, A^{\textcircled{O}}\}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1),  $X \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^D, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\bigoplus}\}$ . Then X is idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

- (a)  $T = I_t$ ; (b)  $A^k = A^{k+1}$ ;
- (c) AX = X; (d)  $AX^k = X^k$ ;
- (e)  $A^k X^k = X;$  (f)  $X A^k = A^k.$

*Proof.* (*a*). By (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7), it is easy to verify that  $A^{\textcircled{}}$ ,  $A^D$ ,  $A^{D,\dagger}$  and  $A^{\textcircled{}}$  are idempotents if and only if  $T = I_t$ .

(b). By 
$$A = U\begin{bmatrix} T & 5\\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} U^*$$
, we have  

$$A^k = U\begin{bmatrix} T^k & \widetilde{T}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$
(2.8)

where  $\widetilde{T} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T^j S N^{k-1-j}$ . Thus, we get that

$$A^{k} = A^{k+1} \iff U \begin{bmatrix} T^{k} & \widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{k+1} & T\widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$
$$\iff T = I_{t}.$$

(c). By (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$X = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & X_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$
(2.9)

where  $X_1 \in \{0, (T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}, (T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger}, T^{-2}S\}$ , in the case when  $X \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^D, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\bigoplus}\}$ , respectively. Thus, we obtain that

$$AX = X \iff U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & TX_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & X_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$$
$$\iff T = I_t.$$

(d). By (2.9), it follows that

$$X^{k} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-k} & T^{-k+1}X_{1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*},$$
(2.10)

where  $X_1 \in \{0, (T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}, (T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger}, T^{-2}S\}$ , in the case when  $X \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^D, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\bigoplus}\}$ , respectively. Since  $AX^k = X^k$ , it follows that

$$AX^{k} = X^{k} \iff U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-k+1} & T^{-k+2}X_{1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-k} & T^{-k+1}X_{1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$
$$\iff T = I_{t}.$$

(*e*) and (*f*). These proofs are similar to that of (*d*).  $\Box$ 

If  $A^{\dagger,D}$  is idempotent, it can be verified that each of the statements (*a*), (*b*) in Theorem 2.6 holds. However, we can see that any of the four statements (*c*), (*d*), (*e*), (*f*) in Theorem 2.6 is not satisfied when  $X = A^{\dagger,D}$  is idempotent. We now give the following example to illustrate it.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the matrix

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

We have that ind(A) = 2, and

$$A^{\dagger,D} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (A^{\dagger,D})^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*It is easy to see that*  $AA^{\dagger,D} \neq A^{\dagger,D}$ ,  $A(A^{\dagger,D})^2 \neq (A^{\dagger,D})^2$ ,  $A^2(A^{\dagger,D})^2 \neq A^{\dagger,D}$  and  $A^{\dagger,D}A^2 \neq A^2$ .

Now, the equivalent conditions when  $A^{\dagger,D}$  is idempotent are given in what follows.

**Theorem 2.8.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{\iota}^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then  $A^{\dagger,D}$  is idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

(b)  $A^k = A^{k+1}$ : (*a*)  $T = I_t$ : (d)  $(A^{\dagger,D})^k A = (A^{\dagger,D})^k$ ; (c)  $A^{\dagger,D}A = A^{\dagger,D}$ ; (e)  $(A^{\dagger,D})^k A^k = A^{\dagger,D}$ : (f)  $A^k A^{\dagger,D} = A^k$ .

*Proof.* (a). Since  $(A^{\dagger,D})^2 = A^{\dagger}A^D$ , we have that  $A^{\dagger,D}$  is idempotent if and only if  $A^{\dagger,D} = A^{\dagger}A^D$ . Premultiplying  $A^{\dagger}AA^{D} = A^{\dagger}A^{D}$  by A, we obtain that  $AA^{D} = A^{D}$ . By the point (b) of Theorem 2.6, we get  $T = I_{t}$ .

Conversely, if  $T = I_t$ , it can be directly checked that  $\hat{A}^{\dagger,D} = \hat{A}^{\dagger}A^D$  from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6).

(b). This follows similarly as in the point (b) of Theorem 2.6.

(c). If  $A^{\dagger,D}$  is idempotent, then  $(A^{\dagger,D})^*$  is also idempotent. It is noteworthy that  $(A^{\dagger,D})^* = (A^*)^D A^* (A^*)^\dagger = (A^*)^{D,\dagger}$ . Thus we now have  $(A^*)^{D,\dagger}$  is idempotent, then it follows from condition (c) in Theorem 2.6 that  $A^*(A^*)^{D,\dagger} = (A^*)^{D,\dagger}$ . By taking the conjugate transpose of  $A^*(A^*)^{D,\dagger} = (A^*)^{D,\dagger}$ , we now obtain that  $A^{\dagger,D}A = (A^*)^{D,\dagger} = (A^*)^{D,\dagger}$ .  $A^{\dagger,D}$ . The above proof is completely reversible.

The proofs of the last three conditions are similar to point (*c*).  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.9.** If  $A^{\dagger,D}$  in Theorem 2.8 is replaced by  $A^D$ , Theorem 2.8 is still valid.

We know that  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^P$  doesn't satisfy each of the four statements (*c*), (*d*), (*e*) and (*f*) in Theorem 2.6. Next theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions such that all four statements are satisfied.

**Theorem 2.10.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (b)  $AA^{\dagger,D} = A^{\dagger,D}$ ; (a)  $T = I_t$  and  $\mathcal{N}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$ ; (c)  $A(A^{\dagger,D})^k = (A^{\dagger,D})^k$ : (d)  $A^k (A^{\dagger,D})^k = A^{\dagger,D};$
- (e)  $A^{\dagger,D}A^k = A^k$ .

*Proof.* (*a*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*b*). Notice that  $\mathcal{N}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$  is equivalent with  $S(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N) = 0$ . If  $T = I_t$ , then the result can be directly checked by (2.6).

 $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ . It is evident.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$ . Note that  $(A^{\dagger,D})^{k} = A^{\dagger}(A^{D})^{k-1}$ . By (c), we have  $AA^{\dagger}(A^{D})^{k-1} = A^{\dagger}(A^{D})^{k-1}$ . Thus, it follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} T^{-k+1} & T^{-2k+1}\widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T^* \triangle T^{-k+1} & T^* \triangle T^{-2k+1}\widetilde{T} \\ (I_{n-t} - N^\dagger N)S^* \triangle T^{-k+1} & (I_{n-t} - N^\dagger N)S^* \triangle T^{-2k+1}\widetilde{T} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\widetilde{T} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{j} S N^{k-1-j}$ . Hence  $T^* \Delta = I_t$ ,  $(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* = 0$ , which implies  $T = I_t$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(S)$ .  $(b) \Rightarrow (d)$ . Combining  $AA^{\dagger,D} = AA^D$  with  $AA^{\dagger,D} = A^{\dagger,D}$  immediately leads to the conclusion that

 $A^{k}(A^{\dagger,D})^{k} = (A^{D})^{k}A^{k} = A^{D}\breve{A} = AA^{D} = A^{\dagger,D}.$ 

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). By (d) and the fact that  $A^k (A^{\dagger,D})^k = AA^D$ , we get that  $A^{\dagger,D}A^k = A^k (A^{\dagger,D})^k A^k = AA^D A^k = A^k$ . (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Postmultiplying  $A^{\dagger,D}A^k = A^k$  by  $(A^D)^k$  we have that  $A^{\dagger,D} = AA^D = AA^{\dagger,D}$ .  $\Box$ 

Similarly, we can also deduce that  $A^{\textcircled{}}$ ,  $A^{D,\dagger}$  and  $A^{\textcircled{}}$  don't satisfy any of the four conditions (*c*), (*d*), (*e*) and (*f*) in Theorem 2.8 as will be shown in the next example:

**Example 2.11.** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have that ind(A) = 2, and

As in the Example 4.3, we can get that  $X'A \neq X'$ ,  $(X')^2A \neq (X')^2$ ,  $(X')^2A^2 \neq X'$  and  $A^2X' \neq A^2$  for  $X' \in \{A^{\textcircled{D}}, A^{D,\dagger}\}$ .

Example 2.12. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have that ind(A) = 2, and

$$A^{\textcircled{W}} = (A^{\textcircled{W}})^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that  $A^{\bigotimes}A \neq A^{\bigotimes}$ ,  $(A^{\bigotimes})^2A \neq (A^{\bigotimes})^2$ ,  $(A^{\bigotimes})^2A^2 \neq A^{\bigotimes}$  and  $A^2A^{\bigotimes} \neq A^2$ .

The following theorems present some conditions such that  $A^{\textcircled{}}$ ,  $A^{D,\dagger}$  and  $A^{\textcircled{}}$  satisfy (*c*), (*d*), (*e*) and (*f*) of Theorem 2.8.

**Theorem 2.13.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- $\begin{array}{ll} (a) \ T = I_t \ and \ S = 0; \\ (b) \ A^{\bigoplus} A = A^{\bigoplus}; \\ (c) \ (A^{\bigoplus})^k A = (A^{\bigoplus})^k; \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ll} (d) \ (A^{\bigoplus})^k A^k = A^{\bigoplus}; \\ \end{array}$
- (e)  $A^k A^{\textcircled{}} = A^k$ .

*Proof.* (*b*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (*a*). From (2.2), it follows that

$$\begin{split} A^{\bigoplus}A = A^{\bigoplus} & \longleftrightarrow \quad U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & T^{-1}S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* \\ & \longleftrightarrow \quad T = I_t, \ S = 0. \end{split}$$

(*c*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (*a*). The proof is similar to (*b*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (*a*).

 $(d) \Leftrightarrow (a)$ . From (2.2) and (2.8), we obtain that

$$(A^{\textcircled{T}})^{k}A^{k} = A^{\textcircled{T}} \iff U \begin{bmatrix} I_{t} & T^{-k}\widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{t}$$
$$\iff T = I_{t}, \ \widetilde{T} = 0 (where \ \widetilde{T} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} SN^{j})$$
$$\iff T = I_{t}, \ S = 0.$$

 $(e) \Leftrightarrow (a)$ . Similar as the part  $(d) \Leftrightarrow (a)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.14.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(b)  $A^{D,\dagger}A = A^{D,\dagger}$ : (a)  $T = I_t$  and  $\mathcal{N}(N^*) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{T})$ ; (d)  $(A^{D,\dagger})^k A^k = A^{D,\dagger};$ (c)  $(A^{D,\dagger})^k A = (A^{D,\dagger})^k;$ (e)  $A^k A^{D,\dagger} = A^k$ .

where  $\widetilde{T} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} SN^{i}$ .

*Proof.* (*a*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*b*). We know that  $\mathcal{N}(N^*) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{T})$  is equivalent to  $\widetilde{T}(I_{n-t} - NN^{\dagger}) = 0$ . Thus the result can be directly verified by (2.5).

 $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ . Evident.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Using (2.5), by  $(A^{D,\dagger})^k A = (A^{D,\dagger})^k$ , we get that

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} T^{-k+1} & T^kS + T^{-2k}\widetilde{T}N \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} T^{-k} & T^{-2k}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

Hence  $T = I_t$ ,  $\widetilde{T}(I_{n-t} - NN^{\dagger}) = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $T = I_t$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(N^*) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\widetilde{T})$ . (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Combining  $A^{D,\dagger}A = A^{D,\dagger}$  with  $A^{D,\dagger}A = A^DA$  immediately leads to the conclusion that  $(A^{D,\dagger})^k A^k = AA^D = A^DA = A^{D,\dagger}$ . (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). Since  $(A^{D,\dagger})^k = (A^D)^{k-1}A^{\dagger}$ . By (d), if k = 1, we get that  $A^k A^{D,\dagger} = A^k (A^{D,\dagger})^k A^k = A^k (A^D)^{k-1}A^{\dagger}A^k = AA^{\dagger}A = A$ . If  $k \ge 2$ , we have that  $A^k A^{D,\dagger} = A^k (A^D)^{k-1}A^{\dagger}A^k = A^k (A^D)^{k-1}A^k (A^D)^{k-1}A^{\dagger}A^k = A^k (A^D)^{k-1}A^k (A^D)^{k-1}A^k$ 

**Theorem 2.15.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_{k}^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (b)  $A^{\textcircled{W}}A = A^{\textcircled{W}}$ : (a)  $T = I_t$  and SN = 0; (d)  $(A^{\textcircled{W}})^k A^k = A^{\textcircled{W}};$ (c)  $(A^{\textcircled{W}})^k A = (A^{\textcircled{W}})^k$ ;
- (e)  $A^k A^{\textcircled{W}} = A^k$ .

*Proof.* (*b*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (*a*). From (2.7), it follows that

$$A^{\bigotimes}A = A^{\bigotimes} \iff U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & T^{-1}S + T^{-2}SN \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & T^{-2}S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$$
$$\iff T = I_t, SN = 0.$$

(c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a). Similar as (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a). (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a). From (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$\begin{split} (A^{\bigodot})^{k}A^{k} &= A^{\bigotimes} & \longleftrightarrow \quad U \begin{bmatrix} I_{t} & T^{-k}\widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & T^{-2}S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} \\ & \longleftrightarrow \quad T^{-1} = I_{t}, \ \widetilde{T} = S \\ & \longleftrightarrow \quad T = I_{t}, \ SN = 0. \end{split}$$

 $(e) \Leftrightarrow (a)$ . Similar as  $(d) \Leftrightarrow (a)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.16.** *If the integer k in Theorems* 2.6, 2.8, 2.10, 2.13, 2.14 *and* 2.15 *is placed by*  $l(l \ge k)$ , all the Theorems *are still valid.* 

#### 3. Characterizations of matrices whose some generalized inverses are orthogonal idempotent

It is widely known that  $\mathbb{C}_n^{OP} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_n^P$ . Meanwhile, it follows from (2.2) that  $A^{\bigoplus} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  if and only if  $A^{\bigoplus} \in \mathbb{C}_n^P$ . Therefore each of the six terms listed in Theorem 2.6 is equivalent to  $A^{\bigoplus} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$ . Then the main aim of this section is to investigate some characterizations for  $A^{\bigoplus}$ ,  $A^D$ ,  $A^D$ ,  $A^{D,\dagger}$  and  $A^{\dagger,D}$  to be an orthogonal idempotent.

We will discuss some equivalent conditions for  $A^{\bigotimes}$  and  $A^D$  to be an orthogonal idempotent.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1),  $X_2 \in \{A^D, A^{\bigodot}\}$ . Then  $X_2$  is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

 (a)  $T = I_t and S = 0;$  (b)  $A^k = A^* A^k;$  

 (c)  $AX_2 = X_{2}^*;$  (d)  $X_2A = X_{2}^*;$  

 (e)  $AX_2 = A^2 A^{\oplus};$  (f)  $X_2A = A^2 A^{\oplus};$  

 (g)  $A^k X_2^* = A^k;$  (h)  $X_2^* A^k = A^k;$  

 (i)  $A^{\oplus}A = A^{\oplus};$  (j)  $A^k A^{\oplus} = A^k;$  

 (k)  $(A^{\oplus})^k A^k = A^{\oplus};$  (l)  $(A^{\oplus})^k A = (A^{\oplus})^k.$ 

*Proof.* (*a*). By (2.3) we get that  $A^D$  is an orthogonal projector if and only if  $T = I_t$  and  $\tilde{T} = 0$ , i.e.,  $T = I_t$  and S = 0. Similarly, by (2.7) we have that  $A^{\textcircled{O}} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  if and only if  $T = I_t$  and S = 0.

(b). Suppose  $A^k = A^*A^k$ . Using (2.8), it follows that

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} T^k & \widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} T^*T^k & T^*\widetilde{T} \\ S^*T^k & S^*\widetilde{T} \end{array}\right]$$

Hence  $T^* = I_t$  and  $\tilde{T} = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $T = I_t$  and S = 0. The sufficient condition can be easily checked.

(c). Assume  $X_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$ , it's easy to verify that  $AX_2 = (X_2)^*$ . On the contrary, from (2.3) and (2.7), we have

$$X_2 = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & W \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $W \in \{(T^{k+1})^{-1}\tilde{T}, T^{-2}S\}$ . If  $AX_2 = (X_2)^*$ , it follows from (3.1) that

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} I_t & TW \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (T^{-1})^* & 0 \\ W^* & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

where  $W \in \{(T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}, T^{-2}S\}$ . It implies  $T = I_t$  and S = 0 since T is nonsingular.

(d), (e) and (f). These proofs are analogous to that of (c).

(g). By (2.8) and (3.1), it follows that

$$A^{k}(X_{2})^{*} = A^{k} \iff U \begin{bmatrix} T^{k}(T^{-1})^{*} + \widetilde{T}W^{*} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*} = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{k} & \widetilde{T}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{*}$$
$$\iff \widetilde{T} = 0, \ T^{k}(T^{-1})^{*} = T^{k}$$
$$\iff S = 0, \ T = I_{t}.$$

(*h*). By (2.8) and (3.1), we have that  $X_2^*A^k = A^k$  is equivalent with,

$$U\begin{bmatrix} (T^{-1})^*T^k & (T^{-1})^*\widetilde{T}\\ W^*T^k & W^*\widetilde{T} \end{bmatrix} U^* = U\begin{bmatrix} T^k & \widetilde{T}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

where  $W \in \{(T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}, T^{-2}S\}$ , which is equivalent with  $T = I_t, S = 0$ .

(*i*) – (*l*). Note that  $X_2$  is orthogonal idempotent if and only if  $T = I_t$  and S = 0. Thus, these can be directly demonstrated by Theorem 2.13.  $\Box$ 

Secondly, several sufficient and necessary conditions for  $A^{D,\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  are given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then  $A^{D,\dagger}$  is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

 $(a) T = I_t \text{ and } SN = 0; (b) AA^{D,\dagger} = (A^{D,\dagger})^*;$  $(c) A^{D,\dagger}A = A^{\textcircled{W}}; (d) AA^{\textcircled{W}} = A^{D};$  $(e) A^{\textcircled{W}}A = A^{\textcircled{W}}; (f) A^k A^{\textcircled{W}} = A^k;$  $(g) (A^{\textcircled{W}})^k A^k = A^{\textcircled{W}}; (h) (A^{\textcircled{W}})^k A = (A^{\textcircled{W}})^k.$ 

*Proof.* (*a*). By (2.5) it is easy to verify that  $A^{D,\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  if and only if  $T = I_t$  and  $\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger} = 0$ , i.e.,  $T = I_t$  and SN = 0.

(b). By (2.5) we have that  $AA^{D,\dagger} = (A^{D,\dagger})^*$  is equivalent with

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_t & T^{-k}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (T^{-1})^* & 0 \\ ((T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger})^* & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is further equivalent with  $T = I_t$  and SN = 0.

(c). By (2.5) and (2.7), it follows that

$$A^{D,\dagger}A = A^{\bigotimes} \iff U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & T^{-k}\widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & T^{-2}S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*$$
$$\iff T^{-1} = I_t, \ T^{-k}\widetilde{T} = T^{-2}S$$
$$\iff T = I_t, \ SN = 0.$$

(*d*). The proof follows directly by (*c*).

(*e*) – (*h*). The proof follows by (*a*) and Theorem 2.15.  $\Box$ 

Finally, some equivalent conditions for  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  are given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a)  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{OP}}$ ;
- (b)  $T = I_t$  and  $\widetilde{T} = S(I_{n-t} N^{\dagger}N);$
- (c)  $T = I_t$  and  $A^{\dagger}A^k = (A^{\dagger,D})^*$ .

*Proof.* (*a*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*b*). Since  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_n^P$ , we have by Theorem 2.8 that  $T = I_t$ . It follows from (2.6) that

$$A^{\dagger,D} = U \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & \Delta \widetilde{T} \\ (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \Delta & (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \Delta \widetilde{T} \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$
(3.2)

where  $\widetilde{T} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} SN^j$  and  $\triangle = (I_t + S(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^*)^{-1}$ . Since  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$ , we get that  $\widetilde{T} = S(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)$ .

(*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*c*). It follows by a direct calculations with the use of (2.4), (2.6) and (2.8).

(*c*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*a*). Since *T* = *I*<sub>*t*</sub>, we get

$$A^{\dagger} = U \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & -\Delta SN^{\dagger} \\ (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \Delta & N^{\dagger} - (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \Delta SN^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} U^*, \quad A^k = U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & \widetilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$

Thus, it follows from  $A^{\dagger}A^{k} = (A^{\dagger,D})^{*}$  and (3.2) that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \triangle & \triangle \widetilde{T} \\ (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \triangle & (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \triangle \widetilde{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \triangle & \triangle S(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N) \\ (\widetilde{T})^* \triangle & (\widetilde{T})^*S(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence  $\widetilde{T} = S(I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)$ . Consequently, we have  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.4.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1),  $X_2 \in \{A^D, A^{\bigodot}\}$ . If  $X_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$ , then any of the following statements is satisfied:

- (a)  $A^{D,\dagger} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{OP}}$ ;
- (b)  $A^{\dagger,D} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{OP}}$ .

*Proof.* It's evident from Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.5.** *If the integer k in Theorems* 3.1, 3.2 *and* 3.3 *is placed by*  $l(l \ge k)$ *, all the Theorems are still valid in the section.* 

## 4. Further properties of orthogonal idempotent

In this section, we study equivalent conditions for a matrix *A* to be orthogonal idempotent in terms of some other generalized inverses, like core-EP, Drazin, DMP and dual DMP and weak group inverse.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  and  $\widetilde{X} \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^D, A^{D,\dagger}, A^{\dagger,D}, A^{\bigoplus}\}$ . Then A is orthogonal idempotent if and only if  $\widetilde{X} \in \mathbb{C}_n^{\mathrm{P}}$  and  $A^l = A^*$ , for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l \ge k$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that *A* is given by  $A = U \begin{bmatrix} T & S \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} U^*$ , it's clear that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  if and only if  $T = I_t$ , S = 0 and N = 0. Thus we can easily conclude that  $\widetilde{X} \in \mathbb{C}_n^P$  and  $A^l = A^*$ .

Conversely, if  $X \in \mathbb{C}_n^P$ , we have  $T = I_t$  by Theorem 2.6. We now obtain that

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & S \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
(4.1)

By  $A^l = A^*$ , it's easy to verify that S = 0 and N = 0.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1) and let  $X \in \{A^{\bigoplus}, A^{\bigoplus}, A^D\}$ . Then A is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

(a)  $A^*X = A^*$ ; (b)  $XA^* = A^*$ ; (c)  $A^{D,\dagger}A^* = A^*$ ; (d)  $A^*A^{\dagger,D} = A^*$ .

*Proof.* It is noteworthy that we just have to verify that each of the four conditions is equivalent to  $T = I_t$ ,

S = 0 and N = 0. (*a*) and (*b*). According to (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7), it's not difficult to demonstrate that statement (*a*) and (*b*) are equivalent to  $T = I_t$ , S = 0 and N = 0.

(c). By (2.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} A^{D,\dagger}A^* &= A^* &\iff U \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1}T^* + (T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger}S^* & (T^{k+1})^{-1}\widetilde{T}NN^{\dagger}N^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ S^* & N^* \end{bmatrix} U^* \\ &\iff T^*T^{-1} = T^*, \ S^* = 0, \ N^* = 0 \\ &\iff T = I_t, \ S = 0, \ N = 0. \end{split}$$

(*d*). Suppose that  $A^*A^{\dagger,D} = A^*$ , it follows from (2.6) that

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} (T^*)^2 \triangle & (T^*)^2 \triangle T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \\ S^* T^* \triangle + N^* (I_{n-t} - N^\dagger N) S^* \triangle & S^* T^* \triangle T^{-k} \widetilde{T} + N^* (I_{n-t} - N^\dagger N) S^* \triangle T^{-k} \widetilde{T} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} T^* & 0 \\ S^* & N^* \end{array}\right].$$

Since *T* and  $\triangle$  are nonsingular, we now deduce that  $(T^*)^2 \triangle = T^*$ ,  $\tilde{T} = 0$  and  $N^* = 0$ . Combining these three equations, we obtain that  $T = I_t$ , S = 0 and N = 0. The reverse is obvious.  $\Box$ 

Notice that we can imply  $A^*A^{D,\dagger} = A^*$  and  $A^{\dagger,D}A^* = A^*$  if  $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^{OP}$  in Theorem 4.1. But, the converse is invalid. We present the following example to illustrate that.

**Example 4.3.** *Consider the matrix* 

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have that ind(A) = 1,

It is easy to see that  $A^*A^{D,\dagger} = A^*$ ,  $A^{\dagger,D}A^* = A^*$  and  $A^2 = A$ , but  $A^* \neq A$ .

In the following theorem, we are going to give some new equivalent conditions such that the reverse is also true.

**Lemma 4.4.** [2] Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then A is orthogonal idempotent if and only if both A and  $A^{\dagger}$  are idempotent.

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). If  $A^{\dagger}$  is idempotent, then A is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

- (a)  $A^*A^{D,\dagger} = A^*;$
- (b)  $A^{\dagger,D}A^* = A^*$ .

*Proof.* Combining Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.4, we just have to prove that each of the two statements is equivalent to the fact that A is idempotent, which is also equivalent to the requirement that  $T = I_t$  and N = 0.

(a). From (2.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A^* A^{D,\dagger} &= A^* &\iff U \begin{bmatrix} T^* T^{-1} & T^* (T^{k+1})^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger} \\ S^* T^{-1} & S^* (T^{k+1})^{-1} \widetilde{T} N N^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ S^* & N^* \end{bmatrix} U^* \\ &\iff T^* T^{-1} = T^*, \ N^* = 0 \\ &\iff T = I_t, \ N = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b). By (2.6), it follows that

$$\begin{split} A^{\dagger,D}A^* &= A^* & \longleftrightarrow \quad U \begin{bmatrix} T^* \triangle (T^* + T^{-k}\widetilde{T}S^*) & T^*T^{-k}\widetilde{T}N^* \\ (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \triangle (T^* + T^{-k}\widetilde{T}S^*) & (I_{n-t} - N^{\dagger}N)S^* \triangle T^{-k}\widetilde{T}N^* \end{bmatrix} U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} T^* & 0 \\ S^* & N^* \end{bmatrix} U^* \\ & \longleftrightarrow \quad T^* \triangle (T^* + T^{-k}\widetilde{T}S^*) = T^*, \ N^* = 0 \\ & \longleftrightarrow \quad T = I_t, \ N = 0. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 4.6.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1),  $X_2 \in \{A^D, A^{\bigotimes}\}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) A is idempotent and X<sub>2</sub> is orthogonal idempotent;
- (b) A is idempotent and A is either Hermitian, EP, or normal;
- (c) A is core matrix and X<sub>2</sub> is orthogonal idempotent;
- (d) A is orthogonal idempotent.

*Proof.* (*a*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*b*). Obviously, condition (*a*) in the theorem can be equivalently expressed as the conjunction  $T = I_t$ , S = 0 and N = 0. Therefore, the point (*b*) is apparently satisfied.

(*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*c*). We know that idempotency of *A* is equivalent with  $T = I_t$  and N = 0. Then, *A* can be expressed in the following form

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} I_t & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^*.$$
(4.2)

Thus, if *A* is either Hermitian, EP, or normal, we get S = 0. From Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $X_2$  is orthogonal idempotent.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ . Because *A* is core matrix, we get that N = 0. It can be verified directly by Theorem 3.1 that *A* is orthogonal idempotent.

 $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$ . The proof is obvious.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.7.** Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_k^{n \times n}$  is given by  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given by (2.1). If  $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^P$ , then A is orthogonal idempotent if and only if any of the following statements is satisfied:

Z. Fu et al. / Filomat 36:1 (2022), 207-219

(a) 
$$A^{\bigoplus}A = A^{\bigoplus};$$
  
(b)  $A^k A^{\bigoplus} = A^k;$   
(c)  $(A^{\bigoplus})^k A^k = A^{\bigoplus};$   
(d)  $(A^{\bigoplus})^k A = (A^{\bigoplus})^k.$ 

*Proof.* From (2.1), it's easy to prove that  $A \in \mathbb{C}_n^P$  if and only if  $T = I_t$ , N = 0. By Theorem 2.13, we have that each of the four statements given in the theorem is equivalent with S = 0. Thus the corollary holds.

**Remark 4.8.** If the integer k in Corollary 4.7 is replaced by  $l(l \ge k)$ , Corollary 4.7 still holds.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to appreciate anonymous referees for their careful reading, insightful comments and valuable suggestions which have led to a much improved paper.

#### References

- [1] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler. Core inverse of matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 58(2010): 681-697.
- [2] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler. On matrices whose Moore-Penrose inverse is idempotent, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, (2020): 1-13.
- [3] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, 2nd Edition. Springer Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [4] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić. Expression of the Drazin and MP-inverse of partitioned matrix and quotient identity of generalized Schur complement, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 213(2009): 18-24.
- [5] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić and C. Deng. Some results on the Drazin invertibility and idempotents, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 359(2)(2009): 731-738.
- [6] C.Y. Deng and H.K. Du. Representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse of 2 × 2 block operator valued matrices, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, 46(2009): 1139-1150.
- [7] M.P. Drazin. Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, American Mathematical Monthly, 65(7)(1958): 506-514.
- [8] D.E. Ferreyra, F.E. Levis and N. Thome. Revisiting the core-EP inverse and its extension to rectangular matrices, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 41(2018): 1-17.
- [9] D.E. Ferreyra, F.E. Levis and N. Thome. Characterizations of k-commutative egualities for some outer generalized inverse, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 68(1)(2020): 177-192.
- [10] A. Galántai. Projectors and projection methods, New York: Springer; 2004.
- [11] S. Gigola, L. Lebtahi and N. Thome. The inverse eigenvalue problem for a Hermitian reflexive matrix and the optimization problem, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 291(2016): 449-457.
- [12] R.E. Hartwing. The weighted \*-core-nilpotent decomposition, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 211(1994): 101-111.
- [13] J.J. Koliha, D.S. Cvetković-Ilić and C. Deng. Generalized Drazin invertibility of combinations of idempotents, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 437(2012): 2317-2324.
- [14] S. Lable and A. Perelomova. Dynamical projectors method in hydro and electrodynamic, Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2018.
- [15] S.B. Malik and N. Thome. On a new generalized inverse for matrices of an arbitrary index, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 226(2014): 575-580.
- [16] R.A. Penrose. A generalized inverse for matrices, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 51(03)(1955): 406-413.
- [17] K.M. Prasad and K.S. Mohana. Core-EP inverse, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 62(2014): 792-802.
- [18] C.R. Rao and M.B. Rao. Matrix algebra and its applications to statistics and electrodynamics, Singapore: World Scientific; 1998.
   [19] F. Soleimani, P.S. Stanimirović and F. Soleymani. Some matrix iterations for computing generalized inverses and balancing chemical equations, Algorithms, 8(2015): 982-998.
- [20] H.X. Wang. Core-EP decomposition and its applications, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 508(2016): 289-300.
- [21] H.X. Wang and J.L. Chen. Weak group inverse, Open Mathematics, 16(1)(2018): 1218-1232.
- [22] K.Z. Zuo and Y.J. Cheng. The new rexisitation of core EP inverse of mateices, Filomat, 33(10)(2019): 3061-3072.