



Composition Results of Stepanov (μ, ν) -Pseudo Almost Automorphic Functions

Amor Rebey^{a,b}, Mosbah Eljeri^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science and Human Studies at Hotat Sudair, Majmaah University, AL-Majmaah, 11952, Saudi Arabia.

^bISMAIK, Kairouan University, Tunisia.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, College Of Science Al-Zulfi, Majmaah University, Majmaah, 11952, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract. In this work, we give sufficient conditions ensuring that the space $S^pPAA(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu, \nu)$ of (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic functions in Stepanov's sense is invariant by translation and we provide new composition theorems of (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic functions in the sense of Stepanov.

1. Introduction

The notion of almost automorphy introduced by Bochner [7] is not restricted just to continuous functions. One can generalize that notion to measurable functions with some suitable conditions of integrability, namely, Stepanov almost automorphic functions, see [12]. Details can be found in [2–6, 9, 10, 12, 13] Now, throughout this work $(\mathbb{H}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. The notation $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ stands for the collection of all continuous functions from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{H} . We denote by $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ is the space of all bounded continuous functions from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{H} endowed with the supremum norm defined by

$$\|x\|_{BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{\|x(t)\|\}.$$

Furthermore, $BC(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ is the space of all bounded continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$.

Definition 1.1. [8] Let $p \in [1; +\infty)$. The space $\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$ of all bounded functions in Stepanov's sense, with the exponent p , consists of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R} with values in \mathbb{H} such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_t^{t+1} |f(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$. This is a Banach space when it is equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p}$.

Remark 1.2. $f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$ iff $f^b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$, with f^b is the Bochner transform of f defined by $f^b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H})$, $f^b(t)(s) = f(t + s)$, $\forall (t, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. And $\|f\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p} = \|f^b\|_\infty$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A42, 43A60

Keywords. Measure theory; Almost automorphic functions in the sense of Stepanov; Ergodic functions in the sense of Stepanov

Received: 14 November 2020; Accepted: 30 December 2020

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by Deanship of Scientific Research at Majmaah University.

Email addresses: a.rebey@mu.edu.sa (Amor Rebey), m.eljeri@mu.edu.sa (Mosbah Eljeri)

2. Almost automorphic functions

Definition 2.1. [11], [Definition 1.29] A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called almost automorphic if for every sequence $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exists a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} f(t + s_n - s_m) = f(t) \quad \text{for each } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Equivalently,

$$g(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t + s_n)$$

is well defined and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(t - s_n) = f(t),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $AA(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ denote the collection of all almost automorphic functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{H}

Definition 2.2. [6] A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be almost automorphic in t uniformly with respect to x in \mathbb{H} if the following two conditions hold:

(i) for all $x \in \mathbb{H}$, $f(\cdot, x) \in AA(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$,

(ii) f is uniformly continuous on each compact set K in \mathbb{H} with respect to the second variable x , namely, for each compact set K in \mathbb{H} , for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in K$, one has

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Denote by $AAU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ the set of all such functions.

Definition 2.3. [12] Let $p \in [1; +\infty)$. A function $f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$ is said to be S^p -almost automorphic if its Bochner transform $f^b \in AA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$.

Denote by $AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ the set of all such functions.

The following remark is immediate.

Remark 2.4. The map $B : (\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$, $f \mapsto f^b$ is a linear isometry, in particular it is continuous.

Definition 2.5. [6] A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be S^p -almost automorphic in t uniformly with respect to x in \mathbb{H} if the following two conditions hold:

(i) for all $x \in \mathbb{H}$, $f(\cdot, x) \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$,

(ii) $f^b : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H})$; $f^b(t, x)(s) = f(t + s, x)$ is uniformly continuous on each compact set K in \mathbb{H} with respect to the second variable x , namely, for each compact set K in \mathbb{H} , for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in K$, one has

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^1 \|f(t + s, x_1) - f(t + s, x_2)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Denote by $AA^pU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ the set of all such functions.

3. Ergodic functions

Let \mathcal{B} denote the Lebesgue σ -field of \mathbb{R} and let \mathcal{M} be the set of all positive measures μ on \mathcal{B} satisfying $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$ and $\mu([a, b]) < \infty$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ($a \leq b$). From now on, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 3.1. [3] A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be (μ, ν) -ergodic if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \|f(s)\| d\mu(t) = 0.$$

We then denote the set of all such functions by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Definition 3.2. [13] A function $f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}^p - (\mu, \nu)$ -ergodic if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) = 0$$

Equivalently, $f^b \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}), \mu, \nu)$.

We then denote the collection of all such functions by $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Definition 3.3. A $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}^p - (\mu, \nu)$ -ergodic in t uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{H}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For all $x \in \mathbb{H}$, $f(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

(ii) $f^b : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H})$; $f^b(t, x)(s) = f(t + s, x)$ is uniformly continuous on each compact set K in \mathbb{H} with respect to the second variable $x \in \mathbb{H}$.

The set of such function is denoted by $\mathcal{E}^pU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

4. Pseudo almost automorphic functions

Definition 4.1. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic if it is written in the form

$$f = g + h,$$

where $g \in AA(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$. The set of such functions is denoted by $PAA(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Definition 4.2. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be (μ, ν) -pseudo almost automorphic in the first variable uniformly with respect to the second variable if is written in the form

$$f = g + h,$$

where $g \in AAU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}U(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$. The set of such functions is denoted by $PAAU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Definition 4.3. A function $f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H})$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}^p - (\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost automorphic if it can be written in the form

$$f = g + h,$$

where $g \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu)$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$. The set of such functions will be denoted by $PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Definition 4.4. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}^p - (\mu, \nu)$ -pseudo almost automorphic in the first variable uniformly with respect to the second variable if it can be written in the form

$$f = g + h,$$

where $g \in AA^pU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ and $h \in \mathcal{E}^pU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$. The set of such functions is denoted by $PAA^pU(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

We define the following conditions.

(M1):

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu([-r, r])}{\nu([-r, r])} := M < \infty. \tag{1}$$

(M2): For all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist $\beta > 0$ and a bounded interval I such that

$$\mu(\{a + \tau : a \in A\}) \leq \beta \mu(A) \quad \text{when } A \in \mathcal{B} \text{ satisfies } A \cap I = \emptyset.$$

Theorem 4.5. *If (M2) and (M1) are satisfied, Then:*

1. $AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ is a translation invariant closed subspace of $\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$.
2. $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ is a translation invariant closed subspace of $\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$.
3. $PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu) = AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ is a Banach space for the direct sum norm.

Proof:

1. By [11], [Theorem 2.1.3], $AA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$ is a translation invariant subspace of $BC(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$. Let $t \mapsto f_a(t) := f(t + a)$ define a translation of f . We have $((f_a)^b(t)(s) = f_a(t + s) = f(t + s + a) = f^b(t + a)(s) = (f^b)_a(t)(s)$. That is $(f_a)^b = (f^b)_a$ and then for $f \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, $f^b \in AA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$ then $(f^b)_a = (f_a)^b \in AA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$ that means $f_a \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then $AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ is translation invariant. By [12], Theorem 2.3 $AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$.
2. It is immediate to prove $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{H})$.
Now take $f \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ and f_a its translate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \left(\int_0^1 |f_a(t+s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) &= \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{-r}^r \left(\int_0^1 |f(t+a+s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{-r+a}^{r+a} \left(\int_0^1 |f(y+s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(y-a) \\ &\leq \beta \frac{\nu(Q_r)}{\nu([-r, r])} \frac{1}{\nu(Q_r)} \int_{-r-|a|}^{r+|a|} \left(\int_0^1 |f(y+s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_r = [-r - |a|, r + |a|]$. The factor $\beta \frac{\nu([-r-|a|, r+|a|])}{\nu([-r, r])}$ is bounded and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\nu([-r - |a|, r + |a|])} \int_{-r-|a|}^{r+|a|} \left(\int_0^1 |f(y+s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(y) = 0,$$

then $f_a \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

For the closedness of $(\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu))$ take a sequence (f_n) in it. Assume that it converges in \mathcal{BS}^p to f . By Remark 2.4, the $((f_n)^b)$ of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$ converges to f^b in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$. According to [1], Theorem 3 $(\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}), \mu, \nu), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is closed, then $f^b \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}), \mu, \nu))$ that is $f \in (\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p})$.

3. It is enough to show that $AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \cap \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu) = \{0\}$. Let $f \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}) \cap \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ then $f^b \in AA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H})) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}), \mu, \nu)$. According to [1], Theorem 5, $f^b = 0$ then $f = 0$, by the injectivity of B in Remark 2.4.

Let $(f_n) \in PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ that converges in \mathcal{BS}^p to f then $(f_n)^b \in PAA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}), \mu, \nu)$ and converges in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}))$ to f^b . According to [1], Theorem 6, $f^b \in PAA(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], \mathbb{H}), \mu, \nu)$ that is $f \in PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Remark 4.6. *In the space $PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$, the direct sum norm and the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p}$ are equivalent.*

Theorem 4.7. *Let $G \in AA^p U(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ and $h \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ satisfying the following:*

1. **(A0):** *There exists a nonnegative function $L \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{H}, t \in \mathbb{R} \|G(t, x) - G(t, y)\| \leq L(t) \|x - y\|.$$

And there exists $\xi > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, we have:

$$\left(\int_0^1 L^p(t+s) \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \xi \left(\int_0^1 \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

2. $K = \overline{\{h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ is compact.

Then $[t \mapsto G(t, h(t))] \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$.

Proof: Let (x_n) be a sequence such that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|h(t + x_n - x_m + \cdot) - h(t + \cdot)\|_{L^p[0,1]} = 0$.

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} B(y_i, \varepsilon)$, for some $y_i \in K$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $E_1 := \{s \in [0, 1] : h(t + s) \in B(y_1, \varepsilon)\}$ and for $2 \leq i \leq r$, we define $E_i := \{s \in ([0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} E_j) :$

$h(t + s) \in B(y_i, \varepsilon)\}$.

Here $\{E_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is a partition of $[0, 1]$ and the sum of Lebesgue measures: $\sum_i \lambda(E_i) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \left(\int_0^1 |G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s + x_n - x_m)) - G(t + s, h(t + s))|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 |G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s + x_n - x_m)) - G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s))|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^1 |G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s)) - G(t + s, h(t + s))|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by I_1 and I_2 , respectively, the first and the second term of the previous sum.

By **(A0)**, $I_1 \leq \left(\int_0^1 (L(t + s + x_n - x_m) |h(t + s + x_n - x_m) - h(t + s)|)^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

$\leq \xi \left(\int_0^1 (|h(t + s + x_n - x_m) - h(t + s)|)^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \varepsilon \xi$, for $n, m \geq N_0$, since $h \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$.

For I_2 :

$$I_2 = \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s)) - G(t + s, h(t + s))|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s)) - G(t + s, h(t + s)) &= (G(t + s + x_n - x_m, h(t + s)) - G(t + s + x_n - x_m, y_i)) \\ &\quad + (G(t + s + x_n - x_m, y_i) - G(t + s, y_i)) \\ &\quad + (G(t + s, y_i) - G(t + s, h(t + s))) \\ &= f_{1,i}(s) + f_{2,i}(s) + f_{3,i}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |f_{1,i}(s) + f_{2,i}(s) + f_{3,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_1^r \left[\left(\int_{E_i} |f_{1,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{E_i} |f_{2,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{E_i} |f_{3,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |f_{1,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |f_{2,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |f_{3,i}(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &:= S_1 + S_2 + S_3. \end{aligned}$$

By (A0),

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |G(t+s+x_n-x_m, h(t+s)) - G(t+s+x_n-x_m, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} (L(t+s+x_n-x_m)|h(t+s)-y_i|^p ds) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} (L(t+s+x_n-x_m)\varepsilon)^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \varepsilon \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} (L(t+s+x_n-x_m))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \varepsilon \left(\sum_1^r \int_0^1 (\chi_{E_i}(s)L(t+s+x_n-x_m))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \varepsilon \left(\sum_1^r \left[\int_0^1 (\chi_{E_i}(s)L(t+s+x_n-x_m))^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \left(\sum_1^r \left[\xi \left(\int_0^1 (\chi_{E_i}(s))^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \xi \varepsilon \left(\sum_1^r \lambda(E_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \xi \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way $S_3 \leq \varepsilon \xi$.

For S_2 :

$$S_2 = \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |G(t+s+x_n-x_m, y_i) - G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$G(\cdot, y_1) \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then there exists a subsequence $(\sigma_{1n}) \subseteq (x_n)$ and $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n, m \geq N_1 \Rightarrow \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s+\sigma_{1n}-\sigma_{1m}, y_1) - G(t+s, y_1)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

$G(\cdot, y_2) \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then there exists a subsequence $(\sigma_{2n}) \subseteq (\sigma_{1n})$ and $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that

$$n, m \geq N_2 \Rightarrow \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s+\sigma_{2n}-\sigma_{2m}, y_2) - G(t+s, y_2)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Since $G(\cdot, y_j) \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then there exists a subsequence $(\sigma_{jn}) \subseteq (\sigma_{(j-1)n})$ and $N_j \geq N_{j-1}$ such that

$$n, m \geq N_j \Rightarrow \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s+\sigma_{jn}-\sigma_{jm}, y_j) - G(t+s, y_j)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Preserving the same notation of S_2 , for $N = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{N_i\}$, $n, m \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2 &= \left(\sum_1^r \int_{E_i} |G(t+s+\sigma_m-\sigma_{rm}, y_j) - G(t+s, y_j)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_1^r \int_0^1 |G(t+s+\sigma_m-\sigma_{rm}, y_j) - G(t+s, y_j)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_1^r \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

And then, for $n, m \geq \max\{N, N_0\}, I \leq \varepsilon(1 + 3\xi)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.8. Assume μ, ν satisfy **(M1)**. Let $G \in \mathcal{E}^p U(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ satisfying:

1. **(A0):** There exists a nonnegative function $L \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{H}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \|G(t, x) - G(t, y)\| \leq L(t)\|x - y\|.$$

And there exists $\xi > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, we have:

$$\left(\int_0^1 L^p(t+s)\|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \xi \left(\int_0^1 \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

2. $K = \overline{\{h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ is compact.

Then $[t \mapsto G(t, h(t))] \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Proof: Take $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\eta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M+1)\xi}$. Assume the compact $K \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} B(y_i, \eta)$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $E_1 := \{s \in [0, 1] / h(t+s) \in B(y_1, \eta)\}$ and for $2 \leq i \leq m$, we define $E_i := \{s \in ([0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} E_j) / h(t+s) \in B(y_i, \eta)\}$.

Here $\{E_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is a partition of $[0, 1]$ and the sum of Lebesgue measures: $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(E_i) = 1$.

We aim to find $R > 0$ such that:

$$r \geq R \implies I := \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s, h(t+s))|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \leq \varepsilon.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s, h(t+s))|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{E_i} |G(t+s, h(t+s)) - G(t+s, y_i) + G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\left(\int_{E_i} |G(t+s, h(t+s)) - G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{E_i} |G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{E_i} |G(t+s, h(t+s)) - G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{E_i} |G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $S_1(t)$ the first sum and by $S_2(t)$ the second sum of the previous expression. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{E_i} L^p(t+s)\eta^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(E_i)\xi^p \eta^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \eta\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(t) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 |\chi_{E_i}(s)G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{E_i}(s)G(t+s, y_i) \right|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_0^1 |\chi_{E_i}(s)G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we return to I :

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} S_1(t) + S_2(t) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \eta \|L\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R})} d\mu(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since each $G(\cdot, y_i)$ is in $\mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$, there exists $R_i > 0$ such that

$$r \geq R_i \implies \frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \left(\int_0^1 |G(t+s, y_i)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2m}.$$

Then for $r \geq R := \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m} R_i$, $I \leq \frac{\mu([-r, r])}{\nu([-r, r])} \eta \|L\|_{\mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon$.

Theorem 4.9. Let μ and ν satisfy **(M1)**. Assuming that $G = G_1 + G_2 \in PAA^p U(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$ and $h = h_1 + h_2 \in PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

1. G_1, G_2 satisfy **(A0)**: There exists a nonnegative function $L_i \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{H}, t \in \mathbb{R} : \|G_i(t, x) - G_i(t, y)\| \leq L_i(t) \|x - y\|,$$

for $i = 1, 2$. And there exists $\xi > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R})$

$$\left(\int_0^1 L_i^p(t+s) \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \xi \left(\int_0^1 \|f(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

2. $K_i = \overline{\{h_i(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$ is compact, for $i = 1, 2$.

Then $t \mapsto G(t, h(t)) \in PAA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Proof: Put $G(t, h(t)) = \widetilde{G}_1(t) + \widetilde{G}_2(t)$. Where $\widetilde{G}_1(t) := G_1(t, h_1(t))$ and $\widetilde{G}_2(t) := (G(t, h(t)) - G(t, h_1(t))) + G_2(t, h_1(t))$. By Theorem 4.7, we have $t \mapsto G_1(t, h_1(t)) \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ that is $\widetilde{G}_1 \in AA^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$. For \widetilde{G}_2 : $t \mapsto G_2(t, h_1(t)) \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$, by Theorem 4.8.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|G(s, h(s)) - G(s, h_1(s))\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|G_1(s, h(s)) - G_1(s, h_1(s))\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &+ \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|G_2(s, h(s)) - G_2(s, h_1(s))\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 L_1^p(t+s) \|h_2(t+s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_0^1 L_2^p(t+s) \|h_2(t+s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2\xi \left(\int_0^1 \|h_2(t+s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \text{ since } h_2(t+\cdot) \in \mathcal{BS}^p(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|G(s, h(s)) - G(s, h_1(s))\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \leq \frac{2\xi}{\nu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \left(\int_t^{t+1} \|h_2(s)\|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \longrightarrow 0$$

as $r \longrightarrow +\infty$. This implies that $t \mapsto G(t, h(t)) - G(t, h_1(t)) \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{G}_2 \in \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}, \mu, \nu)$.

Acknowledgments

The first author would like to thank Deanship of Scientific Research at Majmaah University for supporting this work under the project number No. R-2021-35.

References

- [1] E. Ait Dads, K. Ezzinbi and M. Miraoui, (μ, ν) -Pseudo almost automorphic solutions for some nonautonomous differential equations, *Int. J. Math.* 26 (2015) 1–21.
- [2] M. Ben Salah, K. Ezzinbi and A. Rebey, Pseudo almost Periodic and Pseudo almost Automorphic Solutions to Evolution Equations in Hilbert Spaces, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 13 (2016) 703–717.
- [3] M. Ben Salah, M. Miraoui and A. Rebey, New results for some neutral partial functional differential equations, *Results in Mathematics* 74 181 (2019).
- [4] J. Blot, G. M. Mophou, G. M. N'Guérékata, and D. Pennequin, Weighted pseudo almost automorphic functions and applications to abstract differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal* 71 (2009) 903–909.
- [5] J. Blot, P. Cieutat and K. Ezzinbi, New approach for weighted pseudo almost periodic functions under the light of measure theory, basic results and applications, *Applicable Analysis* 92 (2013) 493–526.
- [6] J. Blot, P. Cieutat and K. Ezzinbi, Measure theory and pseudo almost automorphic functions: New developments and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis* 75 (2012) 2426–2447.
- [7] S. Bochner, Continuous mappings of almost automorphic and almost periodic functions, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 52 (1964) 907–910.
- [8] T. Diagana, Stepanov-like pseudo-almost periodicity and its applications to some nonautonomous differential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 69 (2008) 4277–4285.
- [9] T. Diagana, K. Ezzinbi, M. Miraoui, Pseudo-almost periodic and pseudo-almost automorphic solutions to some evolution equations involving theoretical measure theory, *Cubo*, 16 (2) (2014) 1–31.
- [10] M. Miraoui, K. Ezzinbi and A. Rebey, μ -Pseudo Almost periodic solutions in α -norm to some neutral partial differential equations with finite delay, *Dynamics of Continuous Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Canada* (2017) 83–96.
- [11] N'Guérékata GM., *Almost automorphic and almost periodic functions in abstract spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New-York, 2001.
- [12] G. M. N'GUÉRÉKATA, A. PANKOV, *Stepanov-like almost automorphic functions and monotone evolution equations*, *Nonlinear Analysis* 68 (2008) 2658–2667.
- [13] A. Rebey, H. Elmonser, M. Eljeri, M. Miraoui, New results for doubly measured pseudo almost periodic functions in Stepanov's sense, *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, Accepted.