



Characterization of the Matrix Class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$

P. N. Natarajan^a

^aOld No. 2/3; New No. 3/3; Second Main Road; R.A. Puram; Chennai 600 028; INDIA

Abstract. Throughout the present paper, entries of sequences, infinite series and infinite matrices are real or complex numbers. In this paper, we characterize the matrix class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, entries of sequences, infinite series and infinite matrices are real or complex numbers; α, β are real numbers satisfying $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$.

We need the following sequence space in the sequel.

$$\ell_\alpha = \left\{ x = \{x_k\} / \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^\alpha < \infty \right\}, \alpha > 0.$$

If $A = (a_{nk})$, $n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is an infinite matrix, we write

$$A \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta), \alpha, \beta > 0,$$

if

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k,$$

is defined, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and the sequence $A(x) = \{(Ax)_n\} \in \ell_\beta$, whenever $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\alpha$. $A(x)$ is called the A -transform of x .

We now present a short summary of the research done so far regarding the characterization of the matrix class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$. A complete characterization of the matrix class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 2$, does not seem to be available in the literature. The latest result in this direction [3] characterizes only non-negative matrices in $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$, $\alpha \geq \beta > 1$. A known simple sufficient condition ([4], p. 174, Theorem 9) for $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\alpha)$ is

$$A \in (\ell_\infty, \ell_\infty) \cap (\ell_1, \ell_1).$$

Sufficient conditions or necessary conditions for $A \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$ are available in the literature (for instance, see [7]). Necessary and sufficient conditions for $A \in (\ell_1, \ell_1)$ are due to Mears [5] (for alternative proofs, see Knopp and Lorentz [2], Fridy [1]). In [6], Natarajan characterized the matrix class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

In the context of the above survey, the main result of the present paper is interesting.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40C05, 40D05, 40H05.

Keywords. matrix class, characterization.

Received: 12 October 2020; Revised: 14 December 2020; Accepted: 14 December 2020

Communicated by Eberhard Malkowsky

Email address: pinnangudinatarajan@gmail.com (P. N. Natarajan)

2. Main Result

In this section, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *[(4), p. 22]*

(i)

$$||a|^\alpha - |b|^\alpha| \leq |a + b|^\alpha \leq |a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1; \tag{1}$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k + b_k|^\alpha \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |b_k|^\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \tag{2}$$

We now take up the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.2. *$A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta), 0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, if and only if*

$$\sup_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^\beta < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Let (3) hold. We first claim that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ implies that $\ell_\alpha \subseteq \ell_\beta \subseteq \ell_1$. Let $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\alpha$, i.e., $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^\alpha < \infty$. So $x_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. We can find a positive integer N such that

$$|x_k| < 1, k \geq N.$$

Since $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \geq 1$,

$$|x_k|^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \leq |x_k|, \\ \text{i.e., } |x_k|^\beta \leq |x_k|^\alpha, k \geq N.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_k|^\beta \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_k|^\alpha < \infty$$

and so $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^\beta < \infty$, i.e., $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\beta$. Hence $\ell_\alpha \subseteq \ell_\beta$. Similarly, $\beta \leq 1$ implies that $\ell_\beta \subseteq \ell_1$. Consequently,

$\ell_\alpha \subseteq \ell_\beta \subseteq \ell_1$. Now, let $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\alpha$. So $\{x_k\} \in \ell_1$, i.e., $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty$. Using (3), $\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| < \infty$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}x_k| \leq \left(\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k| \right) < \infty,$$

from which it follows that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$ converges, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

So,

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$$

is defined, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\ell_\alpha \subseteq \ell_\beta$, $\sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^\beta < \infty$.

Now, using Lemma 2.1 and condition (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(Ax)_n|^\beta &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{nk}x_k \right|^\beta \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_{nk}|^\beta |x_k|^\beta \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^\beta \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_{nk}|^\beta \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_{nk}|^\beta \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^\beta \right) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{(Ax)_n\} \in \ell_\beta$, i.e., $A \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$.

Necessity. Let $A \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$. First, we note that

$$B_n = \sup_{k \geq 0} |a_{nk}|^\alpha < \infty, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{4}$$

Suppose not. Then, for some positive integer m ,

$$B_m = \sup_{k \geq 0} |a_{mk}|^\alpha = \infty.$$

We can now choose a strictly increasing sequence $\{k(i)\}$ of positive integers such that

$$|a_{m,k(i)}|^\alpha > i^2, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define the sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a_{m,k(i)}}, & \text{if } k = k(i); \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq k(i), i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\alpha$, for,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty |x_k|^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_{k(i)}|^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|a_{m,k(i)}|^\alpha} \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i^2} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$a_{m,k(i)}x_{k(i)} = 1 \not\rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that

$$(Ax)_m = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{mk}x_k$$

is not defined, a contradiction, proving (4). For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the sequence $x = \{x_k\} = \{0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots\}$, 1 occurring in the k th place, is in ℓ_α for which $(Ax)_n = a_{nk}$. $\{(Ax)_n\} = \{a_{nk}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_\beta$ implies that

$$\mu_k = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_{nk}|^\beta < \infty, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We, now, claim that $\{\mu_k\}$ is bounded. Suppose not, i.e., $\{\mu_k\}$ is unbounded. Choose a positive integer $k(1)$ such that

$$\mu_{k(1)} > 3.$$

We now choose a positive integer $n(1)$ such that

$$\sum_{n=n(1)+1}^\infty |a_{n,k(1)}|^\beta < 1,$$

so that

$$\mu_{k(1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{n(1)} |a_{n,k(1)}|^\beta + \sum_{n=n(1)+1}^\infty |a_{n,k(1)}|^\beta,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } \sum_{n=0}^{n(1)} |a_{n,k(1)}|^\beta &= \mu_{k(1)} - \sum_{n=n(1)+1}^\infty |a_{n,k(1)}|^\beta \\ &> 3 - 1 \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, having chosen the positive integers $k(j), n(j), j \leq m-1$, choose the positive integers $k(m), n(m)$ such that $k(m) > k(m-1), n(m) > n(m-1)$,

$$\sum_{n=n(m-2)+1}^{n(m-1)} \sum_{k=k(m)}^\infty B_n^{\beta/\alpha} k^{-2} < 1, \tag{5}$$

$$\mu_{k(m)} > 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n(m-1)} B_n + \rho^{-\alpha} m^2 \left\{ 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)} \right\} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=n(m)+1}^\infty |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta < \sum_{n=0}^{n(m-1)} B_n, \tag{7}$$

where, $0 < \rho < 1$. Now, using (6) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta &= \mu_{k(m)} - \sum_{n=0}^{n(m-1)} |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta - \sum_{n=n(m)+1}^\infty |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta \\ &> 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n(m-1)} B_n + \rho^{-\alpha} m^2 \left\{ 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)} \right\} - \sum_{n=0}^{n(m-1)} B_n - \sum_{n=0}^{n(m-1)} B_n \\ &= \rho^{-\alpha} m^2 \left\{ 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now, for every $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we can choose a non-negative integer $\lambda(i)$ such that

$$\rho^{\lambda(i)+1} \leq i^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} < \rho^{\lambda(i)}. \tag{9}$$

Define the sequence $x = \{x_k\}$, where

$$x_k = \begin{cases} \rho^{\lambda(i)+1}, & \text{if } k = k(i); \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq k(i), i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

We note that $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\alpha$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_{k(i)}|^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^{(\lambda(i)+1)\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2}, \text{ using (9)} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |(Ax)_n|^\beta \geq \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3,$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta |x_{k(m)}|^\beta,$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta |x_{k(i)}|^\beta$$

and

$$\Sigma_3 = \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta |x_{k(i)}|^\beta.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta \rho^{(\lambda(m)+1)\alpha} \\ &> \rho^\alpha \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta m^{-2}, \text{ using (9)} \\ &= \rho^\alpha m^{-2} \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |a_{n,k(m)}|^\beta \\ &> 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)}, \text{ using (8);} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta \rho^{(\lambda(i)+1)\beta} \\
 &< \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta \rho^{(\lambda(i)+1)\alpha}, \\
 &\quad \text{since } 0 < \rho < 1 \text{ and } \alpha \leq \beta \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta i^{-2}, \text{ using (9)} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)} \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_3 &= \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta \rho^{(\lambda(i)+1)\beta} \\
 &< \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(i)}|^\beta \rho^{(\lambda(i)+1)\alpha} \\
 &\quad \text{since } 0 < \rho < 1 \text{ and } \alpha \leq \beta \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} B_n^{\beta/\alpha} i^{-2}, \text{ using (4)} \\
 &< 1, \text{ using (5)}. \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (10), (11) and (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=n(m-1)+1}^{n(m)} |(Ax)_n|^\beta &> 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i^{-2} \mu_{k(i)} - 1 \\
 &= 1, m = 2, 3, \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\{(Ax)_n\} \notin \ell_\beta$, while $x = \{x_k\} \in \ell_\alpha$, which is a contradiction. Thus (3) is necessary, completing the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If we put $\beta = \alpha$, we get a characterization of the matrix class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, which was obtained by the author in [6].*

Corollary 2.4. *$A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta)$, $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ if and only if*

$$A \in (\ell_\beta, \ell_\beta).$$

References

- [1] J.A. Fridy, A note on absolute summability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (1969) 285–286.
- [2] K. Knopp, G.G. Lorentz, Beiträge zur absoluten Limitierung, *Arch. Math.* 2 (1949) 10–16.
- [3] M. Koskela, A characterization of non-negative matrix operators on ℓ^p to ℓ^q with $\infty > p \geq q > 1$, *Pacific J. Math.* 75 (1978) 165–169.
- [4] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge (1977).
- [5] F.M. Mears, Absolute regularity and the Nörlund mean, *Ann. of Math.* 38 (1937) 594–601.
- [6] P.N. Natarajan, Some properties of the matrix class $(\ell_\alpha, \ell_\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ (Communicated for publication).
- [7] M. Stieglitz, H. Tietz, Matrix transformationen von Folgenräumen eine Ergebnisübersicht, *Math. Z.* 154 (1977) 1–16.