



Multipliers and Uniformly Continuous Functionals Over Fourier Algebras of Ultraspherical Hypergroups

Reza Esmailvandi^{a,b}, Mehdi Nemati^a

^aDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

^bInstituto Universitario de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones (IMAC), Universidad Jaume I, E-12071, Castellón, Spain.

Abstract. Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup associated to a locally compact group G and let $A(H)$ be the Fourier algebra of H . For a left Banach $A(H)$ -submodule X of $VN(H)$, define Q_X to be the norm closure of the linear span of the set $\{uf : u \in A(H), f \in X\}$ in $B_{A(H)}(A(H), X)^*$. We will show that $B_{A(H)}(A(H), X^*)$ is a dual Banach space with predual Q_X . Applications obtained on the multiplier algebra $M(A(H))$ of the Fourier algebra $A(H)$. In particular, we prove that G is amenable if and only if $M(A(H)) = B_\lambda(H)$. We also study the uniformly continuous functionals associated with the Fourier algebra $A(H)$ and obtain some characterizations for H to be discrete. Finally, we establish a contractive and injective representation from $B_\lambda(H)$ into $B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$. As an application of this result we show that the induced representation $\Phi : B_\lambda(H) \rightarrow B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$ is surjective if and only if G is amenable.

1. Introduction

Let G be a locally compact group and let $A(G)$ and $B(G)$ be the Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras of G introduced by Eymard [4]. Let $M(A(G))$ denote the multiplier algebra of $A(G)$. Then we have the following inclusions

$$A(G) \subseteq B(G) \subseteq M(A(G))$$

and $\|v\|_{A(G)} = \|v\|_{B(G)} \geq \|v\|_M$ for all $v \in A(G)$. It is known that if G is amenable, then $B(G) = M(A(G))$ isometrically. Moreover, it is known from Losert [11] that G is amenable, or equivalently $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity, whenever the norms $\|\cdot\|_{B(G)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $A(G)$. As in the group case, the Fourier space $A(H)$ of a locally compact hypergroup H equipped with the left Haar measure, plays an important role in the harmonic analysis.

A class of hypergroups, called regular hypergroups, whose Fourier space forms a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication appeared in [14]. Another class, called ultraspherical hypergroups, was studied by Muruganandam [15], which includes in particular all double coset hypergroups and hence all orbit hypergroups. In recent years several authors have looked at the Fourier algebra $A(H)$ of an ultraspherical hypergroup H . For example, Shravan Kumar showed in [18] that there is a unique topological invariant mean on $A(H)^*$ if and only if H is discrete. Degenfeld-Schonburg, Kaniuth and Lasser investigated spectral

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A62, 43A30; Secondary: 43A22, 46J10

Keywords. Fourier algebras, ultraspherical hypergroups, locally compact groups, multiplier algebras.

Received: 19 September 2019; Accepted: 23 July 2021

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: r.esmailvandi@math.iut.ac.ir (Reza Esmailvandi), m.nemati@iut.ac.ir (Mehdi Nemati)

synthesis properties of $A(H)$ in [3]. In this work, we generalize some results on Fourier algebras of locally compact groups to the context of ultraspherical hypergroups.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let X and Y be two right Banach \mathcal{A} -modules. Suppose that $B_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ is the Banach space of bounded right \mathcal{A} -module maps with the operator norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_M$. In recent years, people have become interested in studying the properties of $B_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ for various classes of Banach algebras \mathcal{A} and right Banach \mathcal{A} -modules X and Y ; see for example [5–7, 13].

In this paper, for a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule X of \mathcal{A}^* we study $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ as a dual Banach space, paying special attention to the Fourier algebra $A(H)$ of an ultraspherical hypergroup H associated to a locally compact group G .

In Section 2, for a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule X of \mathcal{A}^* , we show that $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ is a dual Banach space with predual Q_X , where Q_X denote the norm closure of the linear span of the set $\{af : a \in \mathcal{A}, f \in X\}$ in $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)^*$. We will obtain a characterization of Q_X .

In Section 3, we apply these results to Fourier algebra $A(H)$ of an ultraspherical hypergroup H . For the case of $X = C_{\lambda}^*(H)$, we show that the predual $Q_{C_{\lambda}^*(H)}$ of multiplier algebra of $A(H)$, denoted $M(A(H))$, is equal to the closure of $L^1(H)$ in $M(A(H))$ under the multiplier norm. We also prove that G is amenable if and only if $M(A(H)) = B_{\lambda}(H)$, where $B_{\lambda}(H)$ is the reduced Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of H . In the case where $A(H)$ is weak*-dense in $M(A(H))$, we prove that G is amenable if and only if the norms $\|\cdot\|_{A(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $A(H)$. For the case of $X = C_{\delta}(H)$, we study the predual of $B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_{\delta}(H)^*)$.

In Section 4, we shall define and study $UCB(\widehat{H})$, called uniformly continuous functionals on $A(H)$. We will focus in the relationship between $UCB(\widehat{H})$ and other subspaces of $VN(H)$. We extend various results of [9] to the context of ultraspherical hypergroups. For example, we prove that H is discrete if and only if $UCB(\widehat{H}) = C_{\lambda}^*(H)$. Finally, we establish a contractive and injective representation from $B_{\lambda}(H)$ into $B_{A(H)}^{\sigma}(B_{\lambda}(H))$. As an application of this result we show that the induced representation $\Phi : B_{\lambda}(H) \rightarrow B_{A(H)}^{\sigma}(B_{\lambda}(H))$ is surjective if and only if G is amenable.

2. The dual Banach space $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, and let X and Y be right and left Banach \mathcal{A} -modules, respectively. The \mathcal{A} -module tensor product of X and Y is the quotient space $X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} Y = (X \otimes Y) / N$, where

$$N = \langle x \cdot a \otimes y - x \otimes a \cdot y : x \in X, y \in Y, a \in \mathcal{A} \rangle,$$

and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the closed linear span. It was shown in [16] that

$$B_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y^*) \cong N^{\perp} \cong (X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} Y)^*.$$

Let X be a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^* . In this section we show that $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ is a dual Banach space and characterize its predual in terms of elements in \mathcal{A} and X . For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in X$, define the bounded linear functional af on $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ as follows:

$$\langle af, T \rangle = \langle f, T(a) \rangle \quad (T \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)).$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that $\|af\|_M \leq \|a\| \|f\|_X$. Now, we denote the linear span of the set $\{af : a \in \mathcal{A}, f \in X\}$ by $\mathcal{A}X$ and define Q_X to be the norm closure of $\mathcal{A}X$ in $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)^*$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^* . Then $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = (Q_X)^*$.*

Proof. Let $J : \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow Q_X$ be defined by $J(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i$. Then it is clear that J is well defined and $\|J\| \leq 1$. As $B(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = (\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$, we have the adjoint operator $J^* : (Q_X)^* \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ with $\|J^*\| \leq 1$. Now, for

each $T \in (Q_X)^*$, we show that $J^*(T) \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J^*(T)(ab), f \rangle &= \langle J^*(T), (ab) \otimes f \rangle = \langle T, (ab)f \rangle \\ &= \langle T, a(bf) \rangle = \langle T, J(a \otimes (bf)) \rangle \\ &= \langle J^*(T), a \otimes (bf) \rangle = \langle J^*(T)(a), bf \rangle \\ &= \langle J^*(T)(a) \cdot b, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $J^*(T)(ab) = J^*(T)(a) \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $J^*(T) \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Let $T \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Then the restriction of T to Q_X is in $(Q_X)^*$ and we have

$$\langle J^*(T), \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i \rangle = \langle T, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle T, a_i f_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle T(a_i), f_i \rangle = \langle T, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i \rangle,$$

for all $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X}$. It follows that $J^*(T) = T$ and J^* is surjective. Since $J(\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X})$ is dense in Q_X , by [12, Theorem 3.1.17] J^* is injective. Therefore, J^* is a surjective isometry. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^* . Suppose that $f \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Then $f \in Q_X$ if and only if there are sequences $(a_i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $(f_i) \subseteq X$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i\| \|f_i\| < \infty$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i$ and

$$\|f\|_M = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i\| \|f_i\| : f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i\| \|f_i\| < \infty \right\}.$$

Proof. By definition, each element of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, lies in Q_X .

For the converse, let $I : \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X} \rightarrow Q_X$ be defined by

$$I\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i + N\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i.$$

Then it is routine to check that I is well defined and $\|I\| \leq 1$. In fact, if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i \in N$, then for each $T \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$, we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i, T \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle T(a_i), f_i \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i, T \right\rangle = 0.$$

Hence, I is well defined by duality.

We know from Theorem 2.1 that $(\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X})^* = B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = (Q_X)^*$. It follows that $I^* : (Q_X)^* \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X})^*$ is bijective. Hence, I is surjective by [12, Theorem 3.1.22]. This proves first part of the theorem.

For the second part, let $f \in Q_X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then by first part of theorem, there are sequences $(a_i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $(f_i) \subseteq X$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i\| \|f_i\| < \infty$. Let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \otimes f_i + N$. Then $\langle T, f \rangle = \langle T, \xi \rangle$ for all $T \in B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$, which implies that $\|f\|_M = \|\xi\|$. Now, as a consequence of the definition of quotient norm, there exist sequences $(b_i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $(h_i) \subseteq X$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|b_i\| \|h_i\| < \|f\|_M + \epsilon$ and $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \otimes h_i + N$. Hence, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i h_i$ on $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$, as required. This completes the proof. \square

Suppose that X is a left Banach \mathcal{A} -module. Then X^* is a right Banach \mathcal{A} -module with the following module action

$$\langle m \cdot a, f \rangle = \langle m, a \cdot f \rangle \quad (m \in X^*, f \in X, a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

By the above notions it is not hard to see that, if X is a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^* , then the map

$$\iota : X^* \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*), \quad m \mapsto m_L$$

is a contractive linear map, where m_L is given by $m_L(a) = m \cdot a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|m_L\|_M \leq \|m\|_X$. Thus, we can assume that $X^* \subseteq B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Moreover, the adjoint map $\iota^* : B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ is simply the restriction map, say R and for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $f \in X$ and $m \in X^*$ we have

$$\langle R(af), m \rangle = \langle af, m_L \rangle = \langle f, m_L(a) \rangle = \langle f, m \cdot a \rangle = \langle a \cdot f, m \rangle,$$

which implies that $R(Q_X) \subseteq X$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^* . Then $R : Q_X \rightarrow X$ is surjective if and only if the norms $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on X^* .*

Proof. Let R be surjective. Then $R^* : X^* \rightarrow (Q_X)^*$ is injective and $R^*(X^*)$ is closed in $(Q_X)^*$ by [12, Theorem 3.1.22]. Since $\|\cdot\|_M \leq \|\cdot\|_X$ on X^* , the Open Mapping theorem shows that the norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ are equivalent on X^* .

Conversely, let the norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ are equivalent on X^* . Then R^* is injective and $R^*(X^*)$ is closed in $(Q_X)^*$. It follows from [12, Theorem 3.1.17] and [12, Theorem 3.1.21] that R is surjective. \square

For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we can regard a as a functional on X . It follows that the map

$$\iota : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*), \quad a \mapsto a_L$$

is a contractive linear map, where a_L is given by $a_L(b) = ab$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|a_L\|_M \leq \|a\|_X \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. This implies that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$.

Define \widetilde{Q}_X to be the range of the linear map $\Gamma : \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ defined by $\Gamma(a \otimes f) = a \cdot f$. Then \widetilde{Q}_X is a Banach space when equipped with the quotient norm from $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes X$. Moreover, $f \in \widetilde{Q}_X$ if and only if there are sequences $(a_i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $(f_i) \subseteq X$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i\| \|f_i\| < \infty$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \cdot f_i$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a left Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{A}^* . Then \mathcal{A} is weak*-dense in $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ if and only if \widetilde{Q}_X is isometrically isomorphic to Q_X .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be weak*-dense in $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Then it follows from [12, Proposition 2.6.6] that the annihilator $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{A})^{\perp}$ of ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{A}$ in $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ can be identified with $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = (Q_X)^*$, where ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{A} = \{f \in Q_X : \langle a_L, f \rangle = 0, a \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Hence, \mathcal{A} separates the points of Q_X . Now, define $\Lambda : Q_X \rightarrow \widetilde{Q}_X$ by

$$\Lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \cdot f_i.$$

If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is arbitrary, then for each sequences $(a_i) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $(f_i) \subseteq X$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i\| \|f_i\| < \infty$, we have

$$\langle a_L, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a a_i, f_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a, a_i \cdot f_i \rangle = \langle a, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \cdot f_i \rangle.$$

From this and the fact that \mathcal{A} separates the points of Q_X , we get that Λ is an isomorphism. Also, by Theorem 2.2 it is an isometry.

Conversely, let \widetilde{Q}_X be isometrically isomorphic to Q_X . Then \mathcal{A} separates the points of Q_X , which implies that $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{A})^{\perp} = B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. Again by [12, Proposition 2.6.6], \mathcal{A} is weak*-dense in $B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$. \square

3. The multiplier algebra $M(A(H))$ and amenability

A bounded linear operator on commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called a multiplier if it satisfies $aT(b) = T(ab)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ the space of all multipliers for \mathcal{A} . Clearly $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Banach algebra as a subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = B_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$. For the general theory of multipliers we refer to Larsen [8]. It is known that for a semisimple commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} every $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ can be identified

uniquely with a bounded continuous function \widehat{T} on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$, the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, if we denote by $M(\mathcal{A})$ the normed algebra of all bounded continuous functions φ on $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\varphi\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, then $M(\mathcal{A}) = \widehat{M}(\mathcal{A})$; see [8, Corollary 1.2.1].

Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup associated to a locally compact group G and a spherical projector $\pi : C_c(G) \rightarrow C_c(G)$ which was introduced and studied in [15]. Let $A(H)$ denote the Fourier algebra corresponding to the hypergroup H . A left Haar measure on H is given by $\int_H f(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \int_G f(p(x))dx$, $f \in C_c(H)$, where $p : G \rightarrow H$ is the quotient map. The Fourier space $A(H)$ is an algebra and is isometrically isomorphic to the subalgebra $A_{\pi}(G) = \{u \in A(G) : \pi(u) = u\}$ of $A(G)$ [15, Theorem 3.10]. Recall that the character space $\Delta(A(H))$ of $A(H)$ can be canonically identified with H . The Fourier algebra $A(H)$ is semisimple, regular and Tauberian [15, Theorem 3.13]. As in the group case, let λ also denote the left regular representation of H on $L^2(H)$ given by

$$\lambda(\dot{x})(f)(\dot{y}) = f(\dot{x} * \dot{y}) \quad (\dot{x}, \dot{y} \in H, f \in L^2(H))$$

This can be extended to $L^1(H)$ by $\lambda(f)(g) = f * g$ for all $f \in L^1(H)$ and $g \in L^2(H)$. Let $C^*_\lambda(H)$ denote the completion of $\lambda(L^1(H))$ in $B(L^2(H))$ which is called the reduced C^* -algebra of H . The von Neumann algebra generated by $\{\lambda(\dot{x}) : \dot{x} \in H\}$ is called the von Neumann algebra of H , and is denoted by $VN(H)$. Note that $VN(H)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the dual of $A(H)$. Moreover, $A(H)$ can be considered as an ideal of $B_\lambda(H)$, where $B_\lambda(H)$ is the dual of $C^*_\lambda(H)$.

Remark 3.1. *As $A(H)$ is an ideal in $B_\lambda(H)$, there is a canonical $B_\lambda(H)$ -bimodule structure on $VN(H)$. In particular, for $f \in L^1(H)$ and $\phi \in B_\lambda(H)$, we obtain*

$$\langle \phi \cdot \lambda(f), v \rangle = \langle \lambda(f), \phi v \rangle = \int f(\dot{x})\phi(\dot{x})v(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \langle \lambda(\phi f), v \rangle$$

for all $v \in A(H)$. This shows that $\phi \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(\phi f) \in \lambda(L^1(H))$. Since $\lambda(L^1(H))$ is norm dense in $C^*_\lambda(H)$, we conclude that $C^*_\lambda(H)$ is a $B_\lambda(H)$ -bimodule.

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then*

$$M(A(H)) = B_{A(H)}(A(H), C^*_\lambda(H)^*).$$

Proof. Since $A(H)$ is commutative and semisimple, it suffices to show that $M(A(H)) = B_{A(H)}(A(H), B_\lambda(H))$. To prove this, first note that $M(A(H)) \subseteq B_{A(H)}(A(H), B_\lambda(H))$. Conversely, assume that $u \in A(H)$ has compact support. By regularity of $A(H)$, there exists $v \in A(H)$ such that $v(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(u)$. Thus, for each $T \in B_{A(H)}(A(H), B_\lambda(H))$, we have

$$T(u) = T(vu) = vT(u).$$

Since $A(H)$ is an ideal in $B_\lambda(H)$, we conclude that $T(u) \in A(H)$. Moreover, since the set of compactly supported elements in $A(H)$ is dense in $A(H)$, a simple approximation argument shows that $T(u) \in A(H)$ for all $u \in A(H)$. Therefore, $T \in M(A(H))$ as required. \square

Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup and let $f \in L^1(H)$. Define a linear functional on $M(A(H))$ by

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int f(\dot{x})\phi(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \quad (\phi \in M(A(H))).$$

Moreover, $|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \leq \|f\|_1 \|\phi\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1 \|\phi\|_M$ for all $\phi \in M(A(H))$. Therefore, f is in $M(A(H))^*$ and $\|f\|_M = \sup \{|\langle f, \phi \rangle| : \phi \in M(A(H)), \|\phi\|_M \leq 1\} \leq \|f\|_1$. Put

$$Q(H) := \overline{L^1(H)}^{\|\cdot\|_M} \subseteq M(A(H))^*.$$

Next we prove that $M(A(H))$ is a dual Banach space for any ultraspherical hypergroup H .

Theorem 3.3. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then $Q_{C_\lambda^*(H)} = Q(H)$ and so $M(A(H)) = Q(H)^*$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f \in C_c(H)$. Using the regularity of $A(H)$, there exists $u \in A(H)$ such that $u|_{\text{supp}(f)} \equiv 1$. Thus, $f = uf$ is in $Q_{C_\lambda^*(H)}$ and $\langle uf, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_H f(x)\phi(x)dx$ for all $\phi \in M(A(H))$. Therefore, there is an isometry between the dense subspace of $Q_{C_\lambda^*(H)}$ and a dense subspace of $(L^1(H), \|\cdot\|_M)$. This shows that $Q_{C_\lambda^*(H)}$ is the completion of $L^1(H)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_M$. \square

For a locally compact group G , it is well-known that $M(A(G)) = B_\lambda(G)$ if and only if G is amenable. In what follows we prove a corresponding result for every ultraspherical hypergroup H .

Theorem 3.4. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup on a locally compact group G . Then G is amenable if and only if $B_\lambda(H) = M(A(H))$.*

Proof. Suppose that G is amenable. Then $B_\lambda(H) = M(A(H))$ by [15, Theorem 4.2]. Conversely, assume that $B_\lambda(H) = M(A(H))$. Then the constant function 1 belongs to $B_\lambda(H)$. Since $A(H)$ is dense in $B_\lambda(H)$ with respect to the $\sigma(B_\lambda(H), C_\lambda^*(H))$ -topology, there exists a net (u_α) in $A(H)$ such that $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in the $\sigma(B_\lambda(H), C_\lambda^*(H))$ -topology and $c = \sup_\alpha \|u_\alpha\|_{A(H)} < \infty$. Choose f in $C_c(H)$ with $f \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_1 = 1$. For each α , define $u'_\alpha = f * u_\alpha$. Notice first that $(u'_\alpha) \subseteq A(H)$ and

$$\|u'_\alpha\|_{A(H)} \leq \|f\|_1 \|u_\alpha\|_{A(H)} \leq c$$

for all α . In fact, for each $g \in L^1(H)$ with $\|\lambda(g)\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f * u_\alpha, \lambda(g) \rangle| &= \left| \int_H \int_H f(y)u_\alpha(\check{y} * \check{x})g(\check{x})d\check{y}d\check{x} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_H f(\check{y})\langle \check{y}u_\alpha, g \rangle d\check{y} \right| \\ &\leq \int_H |f(\check{y})| \|u_\alpha\|_{A(H)} d\check{y} \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \|u_\alpha\|_{A(H)} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K \subseteq H$ be compact. Then the set $\{\lambda(\check{x}f) : \check{x} \in K\}$ form a compact subset of $C_\lambda^*(H)$, where the function $\check{x}f$ on H is defined by $\check{x}f(\check{y}) = f(\check{x} * \check{y})$ for all $\check{y} \in H$. Since $u_\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in the $\sigma(B_\lambda(H), C_\lambda^*(H))$ -topology and the net (u_α) is bounded in $B_\lambda(H)$, the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $C_\lambda^*(H)$. Hence,

$$u'_\alpha(\check{x}) = \langle u'_\alpha, \lambda(\check{x}f) \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1, \lambda(\check{x}f) \rangle = \int_H \check{x}f(\check{y})d\check{y} = 1$$

uniformly on K , where $u'_\alpha(\check{x}) = u_\alpha(\check{x})$ for all $\check{x} \in H$, and noticing that $u'_\alpha \in B_\lambda(H)$ by [14, Remark 2.9]. Again choose f in $C_c(H)$ with $f \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_1 = 1$ and put $w_\alpha = f * u'_\alpha$ for all α . Then $\|w_\alpha\|_{A(H)} \leq c$. Assume that $u \in A(H) \cap C_c(H)$. Next, we show that $\|w_\alpha u - u\|_{A(H)} \rightarrow 0$. In fact, if we put $K = \text{supp}(f) * \text{supp}(u)$, then for each $\check{x} \in \text{supp}(u)$ we have

$$w_\alpha(\check{x}) = \int_H f(\check{y})u'_\alpha(\check{y} * \check{x})d\check{y} = \int_H f(\check{y})(1_K u'_\alpha)(\check{y} * \check{x})d\check{y} = (f * (1_K u'_\alpha))(\check{x}).$$

Hence, $uw_\alpha = u(f * (1_K u'_\alpha))$, where 1_K denote the characteristic function of K . Similarly, $u = u(f * 1_K)$. Since $\|1_K u'_\alpha - 1_K\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\|uw_\alpha - u\|_{A(H)} \rightarrow 0$. Finally, since the net (w_α) is bounded and $A(H) \cap C_c(H)$ is dense in $A(H)$, a straightforward approximation argument shows that $\|uw_\alpha - u\|_{A(H)} \rightarrow 0$ for all u in $A(H)$. Thus, G is amenable by [1, Theorem 4.4]. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup on a locally compact group G . Then the following hold.*

(i) Let $f \in M(A(H))^*$. Then $f \in Q(H)$ if and only if there exist sequences $(u_i) \subseteq A(H)$ and $(f_i) \subseteq C_\lambda^*(H)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A(H)} \|f_i\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} < \infty$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i$ and

$$\|f\|_M = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A(H)} \|f_i\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} : f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A(H)} \|f_i\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} < \infty \right\}.$$

(ii) G is amenable if and only if for any $f \in C_\lambda^*(H)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exist sequences $(u_i) \subseteq A(H)$ and $(f_i) \subseteq C_\lambda^*(H)$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i f_i$ on $B_\lambda(H)$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|u_i\|_{A(H)} \|f_i\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} < \|f\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} + \epsilon.$$

Proof. (i). It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2.

(ii). It follows from (i) that the condition of (ii) is equivalent to $C_\lambda^*(H) = Q(H)$ (equivalently, $B_\lambda(H) = M(A(H))$). However this is equivalent to G being amenable by Lemma 3.4. \square

Proposition 3.6. Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup and let X be a Banach $A(H)$ -submodule of $VN(H)$ with $C_\lambda^*(H) \subseteq X$. Then $B_\lambda(H)$ is a subalgebra of $B_{A(H)}(A(H), X^*)$ such that $\|\phi\|_M \leq \|\phi\|_{B_\lambda(H)}$ for all $\phi \in B_\lambda(H)$.

Proof. Let $u \in A(H)$ and $\phi \in B_\lambda(H)$. Then $\phi u \in A(H) \subseteq VN(H)^*$. Thus $\phi u \in X^*$. From this and the fact that $C_\lambda^*(H) \subseteq X$, we get that

$$\|\phi u\|_{A(H)} = \|\phi u\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} \leq \|\phi u\|_{X^*} \leq \|\phi\|_{C_\lambda^*(H)} \|u\|_{A(H)}.$$

Consequently, $\|\phi\|_M \leq \|\phi\|_{B_\lambda(H)}$. \square

In general the restriction map $R : Q(H) \rightarrow C_\lambda^*(H)$ is not necessarily injective. By [12, Theorem 3.1.17], it is easy to verified that R is injective if and only if $B_\lambda(H)$, or equivalently $A(H)$, is weak*-dense in $M(A(H))$.

Proposition 3.7. Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup on a locally compact group G . Then the following hold.

(i) The norms $\|\cdot\|_{A(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $A(H)$ if and only if the restriction map $R : Q(H) \rightarrow C_\lambda^*(H)$ is surjective.

(ii) If $A(H)$ is weak*-dense in $M(A(H))$, then G is amenable if and only if the norms $\|\cdot\|_{A(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $A(H)$.

Proof. (i). Let $\|\cdot\|_{A(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ be equivalent on $A(H)$. We first show that the norm on $B_\lambda(H)$ is equivalent to the multiplier norm. Let $i : A(H) \rightarrow M(A(H))$ be the inclusion map. Then i is bounded and has $\|\cdot\|_M$ -closed range. It follows from [12, Theorem 3.1.21] that $i^*(M(A(H))^*)$ is weak*-closed in $A(H)^*$. Again, by [12, Theorem 3.1.21], $i^{**}(A(H)^{**})$ is norm-closed in $M(A(H))^{**}$. From this and the fact that $B_\lambda(H)$ is norm-closed in $A(H)^{**}$, we conclude that the $\|\cdot\|_{B_\lambda(H)}$ -norm and the multiplier norm are equivalent on $B_\lambda(H)$. Therefore, R is surjective by Proposition 2.3. Conversely, suppose that R is surjective. Then by Proposition 2.3, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{B_\lambda(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $B_\lambda(H)$ and hence on $A(H)$.

(ii). Suppose first that G is amenable. Then $A(H)$ has a bounded approximate identity by [1, Theorem 4.4]. It follows easily that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{A(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $A(H)$. Conversely, assume that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{A(H)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent on $A(H)$. Suppose that $R(f) = 0$ for some $f \in Q(H)$. Then we have $\langle f, u \rangle = \langle R(f), u \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in A(H)$. Since $A(H)$ is weak*-dense in $M(A(H))$, we get that $\langle f, \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $\phi \in M(A(H))$. This shows that R is injective and so it is bijective by (i). Therefore, $Q(H)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C_\lambda^*(H)$, which implies that $1 \in M(A(H)) = B_\lambda(H)$. Therefore, G is amenable by Theorem 3.4. \square

Remark 3.8. Identifying $\ell^1(H)$ with the subspace $\lambda(\ell^1(H))$ of $VN(H)$, we denote the norm closure of $\ell^1(H)$ in $VN(H)$ by $C_\delta(H)$. Let $f = \sum \alpha_i \lambda(\dot{x}_i) \in \ell^1(H)$ and $u \in A(H)$. Then

$$u \cdot f = \sum \alpha_i u(\dot{x}_i) \lambda(\dot{x}_i) \in C_\delta(H),$$

and $\|u \cdot f\|_{C_\delta(H)} \leq \|u\|_\infty \|f\|_{C_\delta(H)} \leq \|u\|_{A(H)} \|f\|_{C_\delta(H)}$. Hence, $C_\delta(H)$ is a Banach $A(H)$ -submodule of $VN(H)$. Also, note that $C_\delta(H)^* \subseteq \ell^\infty(H)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then the following hold.*

(i) $B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*)$ consists of functions $\phi \in \ell^\infty(H)$ such that the pointwise multiplication map $T_\phi : A(H) \rightarrow C_\delta(H)^*$, $u \mapsto \phi u$ is a bounded operator.

(ii) $Q_{C_\delta(H)}$ is equal to the completion of $\ell^1(H)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_M = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum f(\dot{x})\phi(\dot{x}) \right| : \phi \in B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*), \|\phi\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, $M(A(H)) \subseteq B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*)$, and the corresponding inclusion map is contractive.

Proof. (i). Let $\phi \in \ell^\infty(H)$ be such that $T_\phi : A(H) \rightarrow C_\delta(H)^*$ is a bounded linear operator. Then since

$$T_\phi(uv) = \phi uv = uT_\phi(v) \quad (u, v \in A(H)),$$

it follows that $T_\phi \in B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*)$. For the reverse inclusion, let $\phi \in B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*)$. Define $\tilde{\phi} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\tilde{\phi}(\dot{x}) = \langle \phi(u), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle$, where u denotes a function in $A(H) \cap C_c(H)$ with $u(\dot{x}) = 1$. Then it is well defined. In fact, if v is another function in $A(H) \cap C_c(H)$ such that $v(\dot{x}) = 1$, then we put $K = \text{supp}(u) \cup \text{supp}(v)$ and choose $w \in A(H) \cap C_c(H)$ such that $w|_K \equiv 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(u), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle &= \langle \phi(uw), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle = u(\dot{x})\langle \phi(w), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle \\ &= v(\dot{x})\langle \phi(w), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle = \langle \phi(vw), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi(v), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Observe next that if $u \in A(H)$, $\dot{x} \in H$ and $v \in A(H) \cap C_c(H)$ with $v(\dot{x}) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(u), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle &= v(\dot{x})\langle \phi(u), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle = \langle \phi(uv), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle \\ &= u(\dot{x})\langle \phi(v), \lambda(\dot{x}) \rangle = u(\dot{x})\tilde{\phi}(\dot{x}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\phi = T_{\tilde{\phi}}$.

(ii). Since $C_\delta(H)$ is a Banach $A(H)$ -submodule of $VN(H)$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*) = Q_{C_\delta(H)}^*.$$

Let $f \in \ell^1(H)$ be with finite support. Then $f = uf \in Q_{C_\delta(H)}$, where $u \in A(H)$ with $u|_{\text{supp}(f)} \equiv 1$. Consequently,

$$\langle \phi, f \rangle = \langle \phi, uf \rangle = \langle \phi(u), f \rangle = \sum \phi(\dot{x})f(\dot{x}),$$

for all $\phi \in B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*)$. Hence, there is an isometry between the dense subspace of $\overline{\ell^1(H)}^{\|\cdot\|_M}$ and a dense subspace of $Q_{C_\delta(H)}$. Therefore, $Q_{C_\delta(H)} = \overline{\ell^1(H)}^{\|\cdot\|_M}$.

Since $A(H) \subseteq C_\delta(H)^*$ and $A(H)$ is an ideal in $M(A(H))$, it follows that $\phi u \in C_\delta(H)^*$ for all $\phi \in M(A(H))$ and $u \in A(H)$. This implies that $M(A(H)) \subseteq B_{A(H)}(A(H), C_\delta(H)^*)$. Furthermore, $\|\phi u\|_{C_\delta(H)} \leq \|\phi u\|_{A(H)} \leq \|\phi\|_M \|u\|_{A(H)}$. Hence, the inclusion map is contractive. \square

4. Introverted subspaces of $VN(H)$ and discreteness

Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup associated to a locally compact group G . The Arens product on $VN(H)^*$ is defined as following three steps. For u, v in $A(H)$, T in $VN(H)$ and $m, n \in VN(H)^*$, we define $u \cdot T$, $m \cdot T \in VN(H)$ and $m \odot n \in VN(H)^*$ as follows:

$$\langle u \cdot T, v \rangle = \langle T, uv \rangle, \quad \langle m \cdot T, u \rangle = \langle m, u \cdot T \rangle, \quad \langle m \odot n, T \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot T \rangle.$$

A linear subspace X of $VN(H)$ is called topologically invariant if $u \cdot X \subseteq X$ for all $u \in A(H)$. The topologically invariant subspace X of $VN(H)$ is called topologically introverted if $m \cdot T \in X$ for all $m \in X^*$ and $T \in X$. In this case, X^* is a Banach algebra with the multiplication induced by the Arens product \odot inherited from

$VN(H)^*$. Let $W(\widehat{H})$ be the set of all T in $VN(H)$ such that the map $u \mapsto u \cdot T$ of $A(H)$ into $VN(H)$ is weakly compact. Let $UCB(\widehat{H})$ denote the closed linear span of

$$\{u \cdot T : u \in A(H), T \in VN(H)\}.$$

The elements in $UCB(\widehat{H})$ are called uniformly continuous functionals on $A(H)$. We also recall that, subspaces $W(\widehat{H})$ and $UCB(\widehat{H})$ of $VN(H)$ are both topologically introverted.

Proposition 4.1. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then $C_\lambda^*(H) \subseteq W(\widehat{H})$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that if $f \in L^1(H)$, then $\lambda(f) \in W(\widehat{H})$. Let $f \in L^1(H)$ be fixed. Then by Remark 3.1, for each $\phi \in B_\lambda(H)$, we have $\phi \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(\phi f)$. Consider the map $\phi \mapsto \lambda(\phi f)$ from $B_\lambda(H)$ into $VN(H)$. This map is continuous when $B_\lambda(H)$ has the $\sigma(B_\lambda(H), C_\lambda^*(H))$ -topology and $VN(H)$ has the weak topology. Indeed, let $\Psi \in VN(H)^*$ and $(\phi_\alpha) \subseteq B_\lambda(H)$ be a net such that $\langle \phi_\alpha, T \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi, T \rangle$ for all $T \in C_\lambda^*(H)$. Then the restriction of Ψ to $C_\lambda^*(H)$ is in $C_\lambda^*(H)^* = B_\lambda(H)$. Thus, there exists $\psi \in B_\lambda(H)$ such that

$$\langle \Psi, \lambda(h) \rangle = \langle \psi, \lambda(h) \rangle = \int h(\dot{x})\psi(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \quad (h \in L^1(H)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi, \lambda(\phi_\alpha f) \rangle &= \langle \psi, \lambda(\phi_\alpha f) \rangle = \int \phi_\alpha(\dot{x})f(\dot{x})\psi(\dot{x})d\dot{x} \\ &= \langle \phi_\alpha, \lambda(\psi f) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi, \lambda(\psi f) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, \lambda(\phi f) \rangle = \langle \Psi, \lambda(\phi f) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the set $\{\phi \cdot \lambda(f) : \phi \in B_\lambda(H), \|\phi\| \leq 1\}$ is relatively compact in the weak topology of $VN(H)$. The rest of the proof follows from the fact that $A(H) \subseteq B_\lambda(H)$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then $C_\lambda^*(H) \subseteq UCB(\widehat{H})$.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_c(H)$. By regularity of $A(H)$, there exists $u \in A(H)$ such that $u|_{\text{supp}(f)} \equiv 1$. Therefore,

$$\langle u \cdot \lambda(f), v \rangle = \langle \lambda(f), uv \rangle = \int f(\dot{x})u(\dot{x})v(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \int f(\dot{x})v(\dot{x})d\dot{x} = \langle \lambda(f), v \rangle$$

for all $v \in A(H)$. This implies that $u \cdot \lambda(f) = \lambda(f)$. Hence, $\lambda(f) \in UCB(\widehat{H})$. Consequently, $C_\lambda^*(H) \subseteq UCB(\widehat{H})$ by the density of $C_c(H)$ in $C_\lambda^*(H)$. \square

Let X be a closed topologically invariant subspace of $VN(H)$ containing $\lambda(\dot{e})$. Then $m \in X^*$ is called a topologically invariant mean on X if:

- (i) $\|m\| = \langle m, \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = 1$;
- (ii) $\langle m, u \cdot T \rangle = u(\dot{e})\langle m, T \rangle$ for all $T \in X$ and $u \in A(H)$.

We denote by $TIM(X)$ the set of all topologically invariant means on X . We also recall from Remark 3.1 that the space $C_\lambda^*(H)$ is an $A(H)$ -submodule of $VN(H)$. The following proposition is a consequence of [2, Proposition 5.7] and [10, Proposition 6.3] and the fact that $A(H)$ is a commutative F -algebra.

Proposition 4.3. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *The space $C_\lambda^*(H)$ is a topologically introverted subspace of $VN(H)$.*
- (ii) *$W(\widehat{H})$ admits a unique topologically invariant mean.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then H is discrete if and only if $\lambda(\dot{e}) \in C_\lambda^*(H)$.*

Proof. If H is discrete, then $\ell^1(H) = L^1(H)$. Therefore, $\lambda(\dot{e}) \in C_\lambda^*(H)$. Conversely, assume that $\lambda(\dot{e}) \in C_\lambda^*(H)$, and m denote the unique topologically invariant mean on $W(\widehat{H})$. Then $\langle m, \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = 1$. It follows that H must be discrete by [17, Theorem 4.4(iv)]. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup and let $R : VN(H)^* \rightarrow UCB(\widehat{H})^*$ be the restriction map. Then $R : TIM(VN(H)) \rightarrow TIM(UCB(\widehat{H}))$ is a bijection.*

Proof. If $m_1, m_2 \in TIM(VN(H))$ with $m_1 \neq m_2$, then there exists $T \in VN(H)$ such that $\langle m_1, T \rangle \neq \langle m_2, T \rangle$. Given $u \in A(H)$ with $u(\dot{e}) = 1$, we have

$$\langle m_1, u \cdot T \rangle = \langle m_1, T \rangle \neq \langle m_2, T \rangle = \langle m_2, u \cdot T \rangle.$$

This implies that $R(m_1) \neq R(m_2)$, and hence R is injective.

Suppose that $\tilde{m} \in TIM(UCB(\widehat{H}))$. Choose $u \in A(H)$ with $\|u\|_{A(H)} = u(\dot{e}) = 1$; see [17, Proposition 3.4]. Define m on $VN(H)^*$ by

$$\langle m, T \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, u \cdot T \rangle \quad (T \in VN(H)).$$

Since $\|u\|_{A(H)} = 1$, it follows that $\|m\| \leq 1$. Moreover,

$$\langle m, \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, u \cdot \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = u(\dot{e})\langle \tilde{m}, \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, \lambda(\dot{e}) \rangle = 1.$$

Therefore, $\|m\| = 1$. Furthermore, for each $v \in A(H)$ and $T \in VN(H)$, we have

$$\langle m, v \cdot T \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, u \cdot (v \cdot T) \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, v \cdot (u \cdot T) \rangle = v(\dot{e})\langle \tilde{m}, u \cdot T \rangle = v(\dot{e})\langle m, T \rangle.$$

Consequently, $m \in TIM(VN(H))$. Finally, if $T \in UCB(\widehat{H})$, then

$$\langle R(m), T \rangle = \langle m, T \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, u \cdot T \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, T \rangle.$$

Hence, R is surjective. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) H is discrete.
- (ii) $UCB(\widehat{H}) = C_\lambda^*(H)$.
- (iii) There is a unique topologically invariant mean on $UCB(\widehat{H})$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that H is discrete. Then for each $\dot{x} \in H$, the characteristic function $1_{\dot{x}}$ is in $A(H)$; see [14, Proposition 2.22]. Let $T \in VN(H)$ be fixed. Then for each $v \in A(H)$, we get

$$\langle 1_{\dot{x}} \cdot T, v \rangle = \langle T, v1_{\dot{x}} \rangle = \langle T, v(\dot{x})1_{\dot{x}} \rangle = v(\dot{x})\langle T, 1_{\dot{x}} \rangle.$$

Hence, $1_{\dot{x}} \cdot T = \langle T, 1_{\dot{x}} \rangle \lambda(\dot{x}) \in C_\lambda^*(H)$. Let $u \in A(H)$. Since $A(H) \cap C_c(H)$ is dense in $A(H)$, we can suppose that u has compact and hence finite support. Thus, u is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions on one point sets. Therefore, $u \cdot T \in C_\lambda^*(H)$. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that $UCB(\widehat{H}) = C_\lambda^*(H)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If $UCB(\widehat{H}) = C_\lambda^*(H)$, then $UCB(\widehat{H}) \subseteq W(\widehat{H})$ by Proposition 4.1. Let m, n be topologically invariant means on $VN(H)$. Then $m = n$ when restricted to $W(\widehat{H})$ by Proposition 4.3(ii). Since $UCB(\widehat{H}) \subseteq W(\widehat{H})$, we conclude that $R(m) = R(n)$, and hence $m = n$ by Lemma 4.5. Again Lemma 4.5, implies that there is a unique topological invariant mean on $UCB(\widehat{H})$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). This follows from Lemma 4.5 and [18, Theorem 1.7]. \square

It is shown in [15, Theorem 3.15] that $B_\lambda(H)$ is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication. As shown in Proposition 4.3, $C_\lambda^*(H)$ is topologically introverted. In particular, $C_\lambda^*(H)^* = B_\lambda(H)$ is a Banach algebra with the Arens Product. It is shown in [9, Proposition 5.3] that the Arens product on $B_\lambda(G)$ is precisely the pointwise product on it. Following we show that the same is also true for an ultraspherical hypergroup H .

Proposition 4.7. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup. Then the Arens product and the pointwise multiplication on $B_\lambda(H)$ coincide.*

Proof. Let $\phi, \psi \in B_\lambda(H)$. Then for each $f \in L^1(H)$, we have

$$\langle \phi\psi, \lambda(f) \rangle = \langle \phi, \lambda(\psi f) \rangle = \langle \psi, \lambda(\phi f) \rangle.$$

This shows that the pointwise multiplication on $B_\lambda(H)$ is separately continuous in the weak*-topology. Furthermore, for each $\psi \in B_\lambda(H)$, the map $\phi \mapsto \phi \odot \psi$ from $B_\lambda(H)$ into $B_\lambda(H)$ is weak*-weak* continuous. Since $C_\lambda^*(H) \subseteq W(\widehat{H})$, it follows from [2, Proposition 3.11] that the map $\phi \mapsto \psi \odot \phi$ is continuous in the weak*-topology. Therefore, the Arens product also is separately continuous in the weak*-topology. Since the Arens product and the pointwise multiplication on $A(H)$ coincide and $A(H)$ is w^* -dense in $B_\lambda(H)$, we conclude that $\phi \odot \psi = \phi\psi$ for all $\phi, \psi \in B_\lambda(H)$. \square

It is easily verified that the map $\Phi : B_\lambda(H) \longrightarrow B_{A(H)}(B_\lambda(H)), \phi \mapsto \phi_L$ induces a contractive, injective algebra homomorphism, where ϕ_L is given by $\phi_L(\psi) = \phi\psi$ for all $\psi \in B_\lambda(H)$. Finally, since the Arens product and the pointwise multiplication on $B_\lambda(H)$ coincide, we have $\Phi(B_\lambda(H)) \subseteq B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$, where $B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$ denote the subalgebra of $B_{A(H)}(B_\lambda(H))$ consisting of weak*-weak* continuous maps in $B_{A(H)}(B_\lambda(H))$.

Corollary 4.8. *Let H be an ultraspherical hypergroup on a locally compact group G . Then the map*

$$\Phi : B_\lambda(H) \longrightarrow B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H)), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi_L$$

is surjective if and only if G is amenable.

Proof. Suppose that Φ is surjective. Since $id_{B_\lambda(H)} \in B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$, there is a $u \in B_\lambda(H)$ such that $\Phi(u) = id_{B_\lambda(H)}$. A routine calculation shows that u is an identity for $B_\lambda(H)$ and hence the constant function 1 belongs to $B_\lambda(H)$. Therefore, G is amenable by Theorem 3.4.

For the converse, note that if G is amenable, then the constant function 1 belongs to $B_\lambda(H)$, by Theorem 3.4. Now let $\Lambda \in B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$ and $\psi \in B_\lambda(H)$. Since $A(H)$ is weak*-dense in $B_\lambda(H)$, there is a net (u_α) in $A(H)$ such that $u_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} \psi$ and hence

$$\Lambda(u_\alpha) = \Lambda(u_\alpha 1) = \Lambda(1)u_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} \Lambda(1)\psi.$$

In particular, for each $T \in C_\lambda^*(H)$, by weak*-weak* continuity of Λ , we have

$$\langle \Phi(\Lambda(1))(\psi), T \rangle = \langle \Lambda(1)\psi, T \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle \Lambda(u_\alpha), T \rangle = \langle \Lambda(\psi), T \rangle.$$

Therefore, $\Phi(\Lambda(1)) = \Lambda$ for all $\Lambda \in B_{A(H)}^\sigma(B_\lambda(H))$. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to sincerely thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and for suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Alaghmandan, Remarks on weak amenability of hypergroups, arXiv:1808.03805v1.
- [2] H. G. Dales, A. T.-M. Lau, The second duals of Beurling algebras, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 2005.
- [3] S. Degenfeld-Schonburg, E. Kaniuth, R. Lasser, Spectral synthesis in Fourier algebras of ultraspherical hypergroups, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 20 (2014), 258–281.
- [4] P. Eymard, L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* 92 (1964), 181–236.
- [5] Z. Hu, M. Neufang, Z.-J. Ruan, Multipliers on a new class of Banach algebras, locally compact quantum groups, and topological centres, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 100 (2010), 429–458.

- [6] Z. Hu, M. Neufang, Z.-J. Ruan, Completely bounded multipliers over locally compact quantum groups, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 103 (2011), 1–39.
- [7] M. Ilie, Dual Banach algebras associated to the coset space of a locally compact group, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 467 (2018), 550–569.
- [8] R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971.
- [9] A. T.-M. Lau, Uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 251 (1979), 39–59.
- [10] A. T.-M. Lau, Uniformly continuous functional on Banach algebras, *Colloquium Mathematicum* LI (1987), 195–205.
- [11] V. Losert, Properties of the Fourier algebra that are equivalent to amenability, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 92 (1984), 347–354.
- [12] R. E. Megginson, *An introduction to Banach space theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [13] T. Miao, Predual of the multiplier algebra of $A_p(G)$ and amenability, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 56 (2004), 344–355.
- [14] V. Muruganandam, Fourier algebra of a hypergroup. *I*, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society* 82 (2007), 59–83.
- [15] V. Muruganandam, Fourier algebra of a hypergroup. *II*. Spherical hypergroups, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 11 (2008), 1590–1603.
- [16] M. A. Rieffel, Multipliers and tensor products on L_p -spaces of locally compact groups, *Studia Mathematica* 33 (1969), 71–82.
- [17] N. Shravan Kumar, Invariant mean on a class of von Neumann algebras related to ultraspherical hypergroups, *Studia Mathematica* 225 (2014), 235–247.
- [18] N. Shravan Kumar, Invariant mean on a class of von Neumann algebras related to ultraspherical hypergroups *II*, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 60 (2017), 402–410.