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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove theorems on the existence of solutions in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$, for some functional integral equations. The basic tool used in the proof is the fixed point theorem due to Darbo with respect to so called measure of noncompactness. The obtained results generalize and extend several ones obtained earlier in many papers and monographs. An example which shows the applicability of our results is also included.


## 1. Introduction

Integral equation have a lot of applications in many branches of mathematical physics, engineering, mechanics, biology and economics see [24] and references therein. Several different techniques were proposed to study the existence of solutions of the functional integral equations in appropriate function spaces. Although all of these techniques have the same goal, they differ in the function spaces and the fixed point theorems to be applied.

Many papers in the field of functional integral equations give different sets of conditions for the existence of solutions of such equations, see for instance $[2,7,10,13,16,18]$. Apart from that, integral equations are often investigated in research papers and monographs (cf. $[6,8,11,12,15,17]$ ) and the references cited therein.

Agarwal and O'Regan [4] in 2004, proved the existence of the solutions for the nonlinear integral equation

$$
x(t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} k(t, s) f(t, x(s)) d s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

in $C_{l}[0,+\infty)$, where $C_{l}[0,+\infty)$, denotes the space of bounded and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$which have limit at infinity.

[^0]In [23], the author gave the existence of an integrable solutions of the following functional integral equation

$$
x(t)=f(t, x(t))+g\left(t, \int_{0}^{+\infty} k(t, s) f(t, x(s)) d s\right), t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

In [20], the authors discussed the solvability the functional integral equation of convolution type

$$
x(t)=f(t, x(t))+\int_{0}^{+\infty} k(t-s)(Q x)(s) d s
$$

using a new construction of a measure of noncompactness in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
Next, the authors in [3] study the existence of solutions to the following general functional integral equation

$$
x(t)=f(t, x(t))+g\left(t, \int_{0}^{+\infty} k(t-s)(Q x)(s) d s\right)
$$

using the same new construction of a measure of noncompactness in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
In this paper, we consider the following more general integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=f_{1}(t, x(t))+f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation includes many important integral and functional equations that arise in nonlinear analysis and its applications. We look for solutions to (1) in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$. The main tool used in our considerations is the conjunction of the techniques of measure of noncompactness with Darbo fixed point theorem. An example is presented to show the importance and the applicability of our results.

## 2. Notation, Definitions and Auxiliary Facts

Definition 2.1. The function $f(t, x, y)=f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to have the Carathéodory property if $f$ is measurable in $t$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and continuous in $x, y$ for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Now, we are going to recall some notion about the continuity of the linear integral operator on the space $L^{p}=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Let $\Delta=\{(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ and $k: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the linear Fredholm operator $K: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ defined by $(K x)(t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} k(t, s) x(s) d s$. It is a continuous operator, and $\|K x\|_{p} \leq\|K\|\|x\|_{p}$. The norm of the operator is majorized by

$$
\|K\|=\sup \left(\|K x\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} ;\|x\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \leq 1\right) \text { and hence }\|K\|<\infty .
$$

Remark 2.2. Observe that if $\Omega$ is a nonempty and mesurable subset of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then we can also consider the linear Volterra integral operator $(K x)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) x(s)$ ds associated with the Lebesque space $L^{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Namely, if $x \in L^{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then we can extend $x$ to be the whole half axis $\mathbb{R}_{+}$by putting $x(t)=0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \Omega$. Then we can treat the operator $K$ in the usual way (see [21]).

Now, we will collect some definitions and basic results which will be used further on throughout the paper.
First, we denote by $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$the space of Lebesgue $p$ - integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$equipped with the standard norm, $x \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right),\|x\|_{p}^{p}=\int_{0}^{+\infty}|x(t)|^{p} d t$

Next, we recall some basic facts concerning measure of noncompactness. Assume that $(E,\|\|$.$) is a real$ Banach space with zero element $\theta$. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the closed ball centered at $x$ and with radius $r$. The symbol $B_{r}$ stands for the ball $B(\theta, r)$. If $X$ is a subset of $E$, then $\bar{X}$ and Conv $X$ denote the closure and convex
closure of $X$, respectively. By the symbols $\lambda X$ and $X+Y$, we denote the standard algebraic operations on sets. Moreover, we denote by $M_{E}$ the familiy of all nonempty and bounded subsets of $E$ and $N_{E}$ its subfamily consisting of all relatively compact subsets. The definition of the concept of a measure of noncompactness presented bellow comes from [9].

Definition 2.3. [9] A mapping $\mu: M_{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}=[0,+\infty$ [ is said to be a measure of noncompactness in $E$ if it satisfies following conditions

1. The family $\operatorname{ker} \mu=\left\{X \in M_{E}: \mu(X)=0\right\}$ is nonempty and $\operatorname{ker} \mu \subset N_{E}$.
2. $X \subset Y \Longrightarrow \mu(X) \leq \mu(Y)$
3. $\mu(\bar{X})=\mu(\operatorname{Conv} X)=\mu(X)$
4. $\mu(\lambda X+(1-\lambda) Y) \leq \lambda \mu(X)+(1-\lambda) Y$, for $\lambda \in[0,1]$
5. If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonempty, bounded, closed subsets of $E$ such that $X_{n+1} \subset X_{n},(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(X_{n}\right)=0$, then the set $X_{\infty}=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n}$ is nonempty.
Observe that the intersection set $X_{\infty}$ belongs to $\operatorname{ker} \mu$. Indeed, since $\mu\left(X_{\infty}\right) \leq \mu\left(X_{n}\right)$ for any $n$, then we infer $\mu\left(X_{\infty}\right)=0$, so $X_{\infty} \in \operatorname{ker} \mu$. For other facts concerning measures of noncompactness we refer to [9], [19].
In the following, we give a nonempty $X \subset L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$bounded, $\varepsilon>0$, and $T>0$. For arbitrary function $x \in X$, we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(x, \varepsilon)=\sup \left\{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|x(t+h)-x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},|h|<\varepsilon\right\} \\
& \omega(X, \varepsilon)=\sup \{\omega(x, \varepsilon): x \in X\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{0}(X)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega(X, \varepsilon)
$$

Also, let

$$
d_{T}(X)=\sup \left\{\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, x \in X\right\}
$$

and

$$
d(X)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} d_{T}(X)
$$

Then, the function $\mu: M_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$given by $\mu(X)=\omega_{0}(X)+d(X)$ is a measure of noncompactness on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ , see ([20]) .

Darbo's fixed point theorem is a very important generalization of Schauder's fixed point theorem and includes the existence part of Banach's theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Schauder (see [5]) Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space E, Then every compact continuous map $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ has at least one fixed point.

In the following, we state a fixed point theorem of Darbo type proved by Banas and Goebel [9]
Theorem 2.5. (See [15], [9]) Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space $E$, and let $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a continuous mapping such that a constant $k \in[0,1)$ exists with the property

$$
\mu(T X) \leq k \mu(X)
$$

for any nonempty $X$ of $\Omega$. Then $T$ has a fixed point in the set $\Omega$.

Now, we need to characterize the compact subsets of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
Theorem 2.6. [20] Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bounded set in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $1 \leq p<+\infty$. Then, $\mathcal{F}$ has a compact closure in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if and only if $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\tau_{h} f-f\right\|_{p}=0$ uniformly in $f \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\tau_{h} f(x)=f(x+h)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. In addition, for $\varepsilon>0$, there is a bounded and measurable subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\right)}<\varepsilon$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bounded set in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $1 \leq p<+\infty$. The closure of $\mathcal{F}$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is compact if and only if $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)-f(x+h)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=0$ uniformly in $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Also, for $\varepsilon>0$, there is a constant $T>0$ such that $\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\varepsilon$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 2.8. [14]. Let $\Omega$ be a Lebesque measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ convergent to $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ in norm, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ which converges to $f$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and a function $g \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\left|f_{n_{k}}(x)\right| \leq g(x), \text { for all } k \geq 1, \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

Also, we need the following result which is a classical result in Topology.
Lemma 2.9. Let $E$ be a metric space and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ a sequence in $E$. If there exists $x \in E$ such that any subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to $x$, then $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $E$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We shall study the existence of the solutions of eq.(1) assuming some conditions are satisfied.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. The function $f_{1}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions, and there exist constant $\lambda_{1} \in[0,1)$ and $a_{1} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(s, y)\right| \leq\left|a_{1}(t)-a_{1}(s)\right|+\lambda_{1}|x-y|
$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and almost all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $f_{1}(., 0) \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
2. The functions $u: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfy Carathéodory conditions, and there exist $g_{1}, g_{2} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $g \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t, s, x)| & \leq k(t, s)|x| \\
\left|u\left(t_{1}, s, x\right)-u\left(t_{2}, s, x\right)\right| & \leq g(s)\left|g_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)-g_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \\
k(t, s) & \leq g_{1}(t) g(s) \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The function $f_{2}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory conditions, and there exist constants $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \geq 0$ and $a_{2} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\left|f_{2}(t, x, y)-f_{2}(s, z, w)\right| \leq\left|a_{2}(t)-a_{2}(s)\right|+\lambda_{2}|x-z|+\lambda_{3}|y-w|
$$

for any $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$ and almost all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} . f_{2}(., 0,0) \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
4. The operators $Q_{i}, i=1,2$ act continuously from $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$into itself and constants $b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, i=1,2$ exist such that
$\left\|Q_{i} x\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq b_{i}\|x\|_{L^{P}[T,+\infty)}$ for any $x \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $T \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
5. There exists the nonnegative constant $q_{r_{0}}$ such that the inequality $\omega_{0}\left(Q_{1} X\right) \leq q_{r_{0}} \omega_{0}(X)$ holds for all nonempty and bounded subset $X$ of of the ball $B_{r_{0}}$ where

$$
r_{0}=\frac{\left\|f_{1}(., 0)\right\|_{p}+\left\|f_{2}(., 0,0)\right\|_{p}}{1-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\right)} .
$$

6. $M=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} q_{r_{0}}\right\}<1$.

Then the nonlinear integral equation (1) have at least one solution in the space $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
Proof. First, we define the operator $F: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$by

$$
\begin{gathered}
(F x)(t)=f_{1}(t, x(t))+ \\
f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x(s)\right)\right) d s\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Setting $\left(F_{1} x\right)(t)=f_{1}(t, x(t))$ and $\left(F_{2} x\right)(t)=f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right)$.
Further considering the Carathéodory conditions, we infer that $F x$ is measurable for any $x \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Now, we prove that $F x \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for any $x \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|(F x)(t)| \leq\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(t, 0)\right|+\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right| \\
+\left|f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right)-f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right| \\
+\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

By using the Minkowski inequality, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}|(F x)(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\lambda_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}|(F x)(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\left.+\lambda_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left.\lambda_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mid \int_{0}^{+\infty} k(t, s) Q_{2} x\right)(s) d s\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

So, By using assumptions (1),-,(6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F x\|_{p} \leq & \lambda_{1}\|x\|_{p}+\left\|f_{1}(., 0)\right\|_{p}+\left\|f_{2}(., 0,0)\right\|_{p} \\
& +\lambda_{2} b_{1}\|x\|_{p}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\|x\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|F x\|_{p} \leq\left\|f_{1}(., 0)\right\|_{p}+\left\|f_{2}(., 0,0)\right\|_{p}  \tag{2}\\
& \quad+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\right)\|x\|_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $F(x) \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $F$ is well defined and also from (2), we have $F\left(\bar{B}_{r_{0}}\right) \subset \bar{B}_{r_{0}}$, where $r_{0}$ is

$$
r_{0}=\frac{\left\|f_{1}(., 0)\right\|_{p}+\left\|f_{2}(., 0,0)\right\|_{p}}{1-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\right)}
$$

Now, we prove that $F$ is continuous in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. It is enough to prove that $F_{2}$ is continuous, Indeed, Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$which converges to $x \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, since $Q_{i}, i=1,2$ are continuous for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and from lemma 2.8, it follows that up a subsequence that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow x, \quad \quad Q_{i} x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow Q_{i} x, \text { for } i=1,2 \\
& \exists \varphi \geq 0, \quad \varphi \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): \max \left\{\left|x_{n_{k}}(s)\right|,\left|Q_{i} x_{n_{k}}(s)\right|\right\} \leq \varphi(s) \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R}_{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions, $Q_{i} x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow Q_{i} x$, almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. It follows from assumption (2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t, s, Q_{2} x_{n_{k}}\right) \longrightarrow u\left(t, x, Q_{2} x\right) \text { for almost } t, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u\left(t, s, Q_{2} x_{n_{k}}\right)\right| \leq k(t, s) \varphi(s) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have by using the Lebesque's Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x_{n_{k}}\right)(s)\right) d s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s
$$

Hence for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x_{n_{k}}\right)(s), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x_{n_{k}}\right)(s)\right) d s\right)  \tag{5}\\
\rightarrow & f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(s), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

We have for almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$the following estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid f_{2}\left(t, Q_{1} x_{n_{k}}(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x_{n_{k}}\right)(s)\right) d s \mid\right.  \tag{6}\\
\leq & \lambda_{1} g(t)+\lambda_{2} g_{1}(t) \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \varphi(s) d s+|f(t, 0,0)|
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding the assumptions on $g, g_{1}$ and $|f(t, 0,0)|$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} g(t)+\lambda_{2} g_{1}(t) \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \varphi(s) d s+|f(t, 0,0)| \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (5),(6),(7) and by using the Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get

$$
\left\|F_{2} x_{n_{k}}-F_{2} x\right\|_{L^{p}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging to $x$ in $L^{p}$ has a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ such that $\left\|F_{2} x_{n_{k}}-F_{2} x\right\|_{L^{p}} \rightarrow 0$, we can conclude that $F_{2}$ is a continuous operator, Further, we will show that

$$
\omega_{0}(F X) \leq\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\right) \omega_{0}(X)
$$

for any nonempty set $X \subset \bar{B}_{r_{0}}$. To this end, we fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Let us choose $x \in X$ and $t, h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $|h| \leq \varepsilon$. we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|(F x)(t)-(F x)(t+h)| \leq\left|f_{1}(t, x(t))-f_{1}(t+h, x(t))\right| \\
+\left|f_{1}(t+h, x(t))-f_{1}(t+h, x(t+h))\right| \\
\quad+\mid f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) \\
-f_{2}\left(t+h,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) \mid \\
+\mid f_{2}\left(t+h,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) \\
-f_{2}\left(t+h,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) \mid \\
+\mid f_{2}\left(t+h,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) \\
-f_{2}\left(t+h,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h), \int_{0}^{+\infty} u\left(t+h, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right) \mid .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
|(F x)(t)-(F x)(t+h)| \leq\left|a_{1}(t)-a_{1}(t+h)\right|+\lambda_{1}|x(t)-x(t+h)| \\
+\lambda_{2}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h)-\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t)\right|+\left|a_{2}(t)-a_{2}(t+h)\right| \\
\quad+\lambda_{3} \mid \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[u \left(t+h, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)-u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right] d s \mid .\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

By Minkowki's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|(F x)(t)-(F x)(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq & \left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|a_{1}(t)-a_{1}(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda_{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|x(t)-x(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+ & \left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|a_{2}(t)-a_{2}(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+ & \lambda_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t)-\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+ & \lambda_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right)-u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right)\right) d s\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{array}
$$

## Consequentle we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|(F x)(t)-(F x)(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|a_{1}(t)-a_{1}(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda_{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|x(t)-x(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|a_{2}(t)-a_{2}(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\lambda_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t)-\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\lambda_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|g_{2}(t)-g_{2}(t+h)\right|^{q}|g(s)|^{q} d s\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|Q_{2} x\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|(F x)(t)-(F x)(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\
+\lambda_{1}\left\|x-\tau_{h} x\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}{ }^{1}+a_{h}-\tau_{h} a_{1}\left\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}+\right\| a_{2}-\tau_{h} a_{2} \|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}+ \\
\lambda_{2}\left\|\left(Q_{1} x\right)-\tau_{h}\left(Q_{1} x\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}+ \\
\lambda_{3}\|g\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\left\|Q_{2} x\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|g_{2}(t)-g_{2}(t+h)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega(F X, \varepsilon) \leq \omega\left(a_{1}, \varepsilon\right)+\lambda_{1} \omega(X, \varepsilon)+\omega\left(a_{2}, \varepsilon\right) \\
& +\lambda_{2} \omega\left(Q_{1} X, \varepsilon\right)+\lambda_{3} b_{2} r_{0}\|g\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \omega\left(g_{2}, \varepsilon\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{a_{1}\right\},\left\{a_{2}\right\},\left\{g_{2}\right\}$ are compacts set in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, we have $\omega\left(a_{1}, \varepsilon\right) \longrightarrow 0, \omega\left(a_{2}, \varepsilon\right) \longrightarrow 0$ and $\omega\left(g_{2}, \varepsilon\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$. Then, by going to the limit in (8) as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$ and from assumption (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}(F X) \leq\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} q_{r_{0}}\right) \omega_{0}(X) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we fix an arbitrary number $T>0$. Then, for an arbitrary function $x \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|F(x)(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{2}\left(t,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t), \int_{0}^{\infty} u\left(t, s,\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right) d s\right)-f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|F(x)(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq \lambda_{1}\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda_{2}\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\lambda_{3}\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} k(t, s)\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s) d s\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|F(x)(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \lambda_{1}\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\lambda_{2} b_{1}\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
+\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\left\{f_{1}(t, 0)\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right\}$ are compacts in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, then, as $T$ goes to $+\infty$, we obtain $\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\left(\int_{T}^{\infty}\left|f_{2}(t, 0,0)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ go to 0.

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(F X) \leq\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|\right) d(X) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from (9) and (10) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(F X) \leq \max \left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\|, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} q_{r_{0}}\right\} \mu(X) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (11), assumption (6) and Theorem 2.5, we deduce that the operator $F$ has a fixed point $x$ in $B_{r_{0}}$ and consequently, eq.(1) has at least one solution in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

## 4. Example

Consider the functional integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{\cos x(t)}{t+2}+\frac{|x(t)|}{21(1+|x(t)|)} e^{-t}+\frac{1}{10} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \left(|x(s)| e^{-|x(s)|}\right)}{e(t+3)^{2}(s+2)^{2}} d s \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (12) is a special case of Eq. (1) with

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(t, x)=\frac{\cos x(t)}{t+2}, f_{2}(t, x, y)=\frac{1}{3} x+\frac{1}{10} y,\left(Q_{1} x\right)(s)=\frac{|x(s)|}{7(1+x(s) \mid)} e^{-s}, \\
k(t, s)=\frac{1}{e(t+3)^{2}(s+2)^{2}},\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)=e^{-|x(s)|}|x(s)|,\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(s)\right| \leq \frac{1}{7}|x(s)|, \\
\left|\left(Q_{2} x\right)(s)\right| \leq|x(s)| .
\end{gathered}
$$

In this example, hypothesis (1) holds with $a_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{t+2}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$, indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{1}(t, x)-f_{1}(s, y)\right| & =\quad\left|\frac{\cos x}{t+2}-\frac{\cos y}{s+2}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{1}{t+2}-\frac{1}{s+2}\right|+\frac{1}{2}|x-y|
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, $\left|f_{1}(t, 0)\right|=\frac{1}{t+2} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, indeed, $\left\|f_{1}(t, 0)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}^{p}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{(1+x)^{p}}=\frac{1}{p-1}$ for all $p>1$. Thus, we have $\left\|f_{1}(t, 0)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Further we have

$$
\left|f_{2}(t, x, y)-f_{2}(s, z, w)\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}|x-z|+\frac{1}{10}|y-w|
$$

$a_{2}(t)=0, \lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{3}$ and $\lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{10}, f_{2}(t, 0,0)=0 \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
We have

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
u(t, s, x) & = & k(t, s) \sin x \\
|u(t, s, x)| & \leq & k(t, s)|x| \\
\left|u\left(t_{1}, s, x\right)-u\left(t_{2}, s, x\right)\right| & \leq & \left|k\left(t_{1}, s\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right| g(s)
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{clc}
k(t, s) & \leq & \frac{1}{e(t+3)^{2}} \times \frac{1}{(s+2)^{2}} \\
g_{1}(t) & = & \frac{1}{e(t+3)^{2}} \\
g_{2}(s)=g(s) & = & \frac{1}{(s+2)^{2}} \\
\left|k\left(t_{1}, s\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right| & \leq & \left|\frac{1}{e\left(t_{1}+3\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{e\left(t_{2}+3\right)^{2}}\right| \frac{1}{(s+2)^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

$Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ satisfied assumption (4) of theorem 3.1 with $b_{2}=1, b_{1}=\frac{1}{7}$. By using theorem 3.4 in [1], we have $\|K\| \leq \frac{1}{e}$.

Further, for $\varepsilon \geq 0,\|x\| \leq r_{0},|h|<\varepsilon$ and by the Mean theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h)-\left(Q_{1} x\right)(t+h)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{7}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}|x(t+h)-x(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{|h|}{7} e^{-\theta h}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-p t} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 0<\theta<1 \\
\leq & \frac{1}{7}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}|x(t+h)-x(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{7} e^{-\theta h}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-p t} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \frac{1}{7}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}|x(t+h)-x(t+h)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{7} M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-p t} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Hence, from the last estimate, we get as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}\left(Q_{1} X\right) \leq \frac{1}{7} \omega_{0}(X) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, according to assumption (5) we may put $q_{r_{0}}=\frac{1}{7}$. Further we get $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\lambda_{3} b_{2}\|K\| \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{21}+\frac{1}{10 e}<1$ and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} q_{r_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{49}=0,52<1$. The inequality of assumption (6) is satisfied with the constant $M<1$. Since all of the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fullfilled, we deduce that the functional integral equation (12) has at least one solution belonging to the ball $B_{r_{0}}$ of the space $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.
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