



Existence of Positive Solutions for a Singular Nonlinear Semipositone Fractional Differential Equations With Parametric Dependence

Om Kalthoum Wanassi^a, Faten Toumi^b

^aUniversity of Monastir, Faculty of Sciences of Monastir, LR18ES17, 5019 Monastir, Tunisia.

^bKing Faisal University, College of Business Administration,
P.O. 380 Ahsaa 31982, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract.

We examine the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations involving integral boundary conditions. The results are obtained in terms of different intervals of the parameters by means of the Leray-Schauder and Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorems. Examples are included to verify our main results.

1. Introduction

Fractional Calculus has been recently applied in various areas of engineering, science, finance, applied mathematics, and bio engineering. However, many researchers remain unaware of this field. Monographs [4, 8, 11, 17, 18] are excellent source for the theory and its applications.

Among all subjects, the existence of positive solutions of singular nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations has been widely studied by many authors in recent years, see for example [2, 5–7, 9, 12–15, 19–24].

Namely, Luca and Tudorache [13] considered the following system

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) + \mu f(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), n - 1 \leq \alpha \leq n \\ D^\beta v(t) + \lambda g(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), m - 1 \leq \beta \leq m \\ u^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad u(1) = \int_0^1 u(s) dH(s), \\ v^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m - 2, \quad v(1) = \int_0^1 v(s) dK(s), \end{cases}$$

where $f, g : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ are sign-changing and continuous. They presented two intervals for parameters μ and λ such that the above problem has at least one positive solution. But the existence of positive solutions is not treated when the nonlinearities f and g are singular at $t = 0$ or/and $t = 1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 34A08; Secondary 34B27, 34B18.

Keywords. Nonlinear fractional differential equation, semipositone, positive solution, Green's function.

Received: 26 March 2020; Revised: 20 April 2020; Accepted: 04 May 2020

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

Email addresses: omkalthoum.wanassi@fsm.rnu.tn (Om Kalthoum Wanassi), ftowmi@kfu.edu.sa (Faten Toumi)

Then, in [16], Henderson and Luca investigated the existence of positive solutions for a system of semipositone coupled fractional boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) + \mu f(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), n - 1 \leq \alpha \leq n \\ D^\beta v(t) + \lambda g(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), m - 1 \leq \beta \leq m \\ u^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad u(1) = \int_0^1 v(s) dH(s), \\ v^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m - 2, \quad v(1) = \int_0^1 u(s) dK(s), \end{cases}$$

for $f, g : (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ sign-changing continuous functions satisfying $-p_1(t) \leq f(t, u, v) \leq \alpha_1(t)\beta_1(t, u, v)$ and $-p_2(t) \leq g(t, u, v) \leq \alpha_2(t)\beta_2(t, u, v)$ for all $t \in (0, 1), u, v \in [0, +\infty)$, with $p_i, \alpha_i \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty))$ and $\beta_i \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, $0 < \int_0^1 p_i(s) d(s) < \infty, 0 < \int_0^1 \alpha_i(s) d(s) < \infty, i = 1, 2$.

More recently, in [19], Toumi and Wanassi discussed, in the scalar case, the existence of positive solution for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) + \mu f(t, u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad u(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 u(s) ds, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $f : (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ is sing-changing continuous function which may be singular at $t = 0$ or/and $t = 1$ and satisfies $-p(t) \leq f(t, u) \leq q(t)g(t, u)$ with $p, q \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty))$ and $g \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty), [0, \infty))$. The authors derived a new condition on p and q such that the existence of positive solutions is proved. However, by developing asymptotic conditions on the nonlinearity f , they obtained sufficient conditions to confirm the existence of multiple solutions, solely for $\mu = 1$.

Motivated by the above cited works, the purpose of this paper focuses on the study of the existence of positive solutions for the following singular boundary value problem with fractional order involving semipositone nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) + \mu_1 f(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), n - 1 \leq \alpha \leq n \\ D^\beta v(t) + \mu_2 g(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), m - 1 \leq \beta \leq m \\ u^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad u(1) = \lambda_1 \int_0^1 u(s) ds, \\ v^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m - 2, \quad v(1) = \lambda_2 \int_0^1 v(s) ds, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

depending on the real parameters $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n, m \geq 3, 0 < \lambda_1 < \alpha, 0 < \lambda_2 < \beta, D^\delta$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville derivative of order δ and $f, g \in C((0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty), (-\infty, +\infty))$ are sign-changing which may be singular at $t = 0$ or/and $t = 1$. In particular, we improve the existing results in the case when the nonlinear terms satisfy more general conditions than those given in [13, 16, 19]. Moreover, by using the positivity of the related Green’s function, existence and multiplicity results are derived, through the well-known Leray-Schauder and Krasnoselskii fixed point theorems, from the construction of suitable cones on Banach spaces. Such a construction follows by using adequate properties of the associated Green’s function.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some properties of the Green’s function and lemmas which are needed later. Section 3 is devoted to establish existence of one or two positive solutions for (2). In the last Section, some examples are given to illustrate our main results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present the main tools that we will use throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ for a measurable function $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$I^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t > 0,$$

where Γ is the Euler Gamma function, provided that the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, +\infty)$.

Definition 2.2. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ for a measurable function $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$D^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n I^{n-\alpha} f(t),$$

provided that the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, +\infty)$. Here $n = [\alpha] + 1$, $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α .

In [2, 3, 19], a careful study of the linear problem has done and, in particular, of Green’s function. In the same way, we continue and generalize our study based on this function. To this end, we recall the explicit expression of the Green’s function related to problem (2) and its positive properties which usually are the basic tool in the construction of the cone and the discussion of positive solutions of the considered problem.

Lemma 2.3. ([3]) Let $n \geq 3$, $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ and $\lambda \in (0, \alpha)$. Let $y \in C([0, 1])$. Then the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) + y(t) = 0 \text{ in } (0, 1), \\ u^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad u(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 u(s) ds, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s)y(s)ds,$$

where $G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s)$ is the Green function given by

$$G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-1}(\alpha-\lambda+\lambda s) - (\alpha-\lambda)((t-s)^+)^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-\lambda)\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad (4)$$

for all $t,s \in [0, 1]$, with $(t-s)^+ = \max(t-s, 0)$, $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

The positive properties of the Green’s function will be of fundamental importance in many of our arguments. Hence, we state the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. ([3]) Let $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$, $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \in (0, \alpha)$. Then $G_{\alpha,\lambda}$ defined by (4) satisfies the following assertions:

- i) $G_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is nonnegative continuous function on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s) > 0$, for all $t,s \in (0, 1)$.
- ii) $G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s) \leq \eta_\alpha K_\alpha(s)$ for all $t,s \in [0, 1]$, where $K_\alpha(s) = \frac{s(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ and $\eta_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\lambda}$.
- iii) $G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s) \leq \eta_\alpha t^{\alpha-1} k_\alpha(s)$ for all $t,s \in [0, 1]$, where $k_\alpha(s) = \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$.
- iv) $G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s) \geq \eta_\alpha v_{\alpha,\lambda}^* t^{\alpha-1} K_\alpha(s)$, $\forall t,s \in [0, 1]$, where $v_{\alpha,\lambda}^* = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}$.
- v) Let $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $s \in [0, 1]$, then $\min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t,s) \geq \gamma_\alpha K_\alpha(s)$, where

$$\gamma_\alpha = \left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha-1} + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha-\lambda}\right)\theta^{\alpha-1}.$$

In the sequel, we state a key lemma in which it is improved the results given in Lemma 2.3. More precisely, a weaker condition on the linear term was assumed to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the linear problem.

Lemma 2.5. ([2]) *Let $n \geq 3$, $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ and $\lambda \in (0, \alpha)$. Let $(1 - t)^{\alpha-1}p(t) \in C(0, 1) \cap L^1(0, 1)$. Then the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha w(t) + p(t) = 0 \text{ in } (0, 1), \\ w^{(j)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad w(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 w(s)ds, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

has a unique solution $w(t) = \int_0^1 G_{\alpha,\lambda}(t, s)p(s)ds \in C([0, 1])$ satisfying

$$w(t) \leq \eta_\alpha \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha-1}|p(s)|ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

The proofs of our results are based on the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and the Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2.6. ([1]) *Let X be a Banach space and $\Omega \subset X$ closed and convex. Assume U is an open subset of Ω with $0 \in U$ and let $S : \bar{U} \rightarrow \Omega$ be a completely continuous operator. Then either*

- (1) S has a fixed point in \bar{U} , or
- (2) there exists $u \in \partial U$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $u = \delta S(u)$.

Theorem 2.7. ([10]) *Let P be the cone of a real Banach space E and Ω_1, Ω_2 two bounded open balls of E centered at the origin with $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$. Suppose that $T : P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous operator such that either*

- (i) $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$, $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$, $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$, or
 - (ii) $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$, $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$, $x \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$.
- holds. Then the operator T has at least one fixed point in $P \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

3. Main results

This section is devoted to give existence results for the nonlinear boundary value problem (2). We shall prove existence and multiplicity results for some suitable values of positive real parameters μ_1 and μ_2 . In the sequel we need the following notations. For a function $b \in C(0, 1)$ and a real $\alpha > 0$, we denote

$$\varphi_\alpha(b) = \int_0^1 k_\alpha(s)|b(s)|ds,$$

where k_α is given by Proposition 2.4 (iii) and for each $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we denote

$$\phi_\alpha^\theta(b) = \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\alpha(s)|b(s)|ds,$$

where K_α is given by Proposition 2.4 (ii).

Hereinafter, we adopt combinations of the following hypotheses:

(H₁) The functions $f, g \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, \infty), (-\infty, +\infty))$ and there exist functions $p_1, p_2 \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty))$ such that $p_1(t), p_2(t) \neq 0$ on any subinterval of $(0, 1)$ and satisfying

$$f(t, u, v) \geq -p_1(t) \text{ and } g(t, u, v) \geq -p_2(t) \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } u, v \in [0, +\infty).$$

(H₂) The functions $f, g \in C((0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, \infty), (-\infty, +\infty))$, and there exist functions $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in C((0, 1), [0, +\infty))$ such that $p_1(t), p_2(t), q_1(t), q_2(t) \neq 0$ on any subinterval of $(0, 1)$ and $h_1, h_2 \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$ such that

$$-p_1(t) \leq f(t, u, v) \leq q_1(t)h_1(t, u, v), \quad -p_2(t) \leq g(t, u, v) \leq q_2(t)h_2(t, u, v)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1), u, v \in [0, +\infty)$.

(H₃) $f(t, 0, 0) > 0, g(t, 0, 0) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

(H₄) $\varphi_\alpha(p_1), \varphi_\beta(p_2), \phi_\alpha^0(q_1), \phi_\beta^0(q_2) \in (0, +\infty)$.

Remark 3.1. It is clear that (H₄) implies that $0 < \phi_\alpha^\theta(p_1), \phi_\beta^\theta(p_2), \phi_\alpha^\theta(q_1), \phi_\beta^\theta(q_2) < \infty$ for each $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

In fact, for $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$0 < \phi_\alpha^\theta(p_1) = \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\alpha(s)p_1(s)ds \leq \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s)p_1(s)ds \leq \int_0^1 k_\alpha(s)p_1(s)ds = \varphi_\alpha(p_1) < \infty.$$

Similarly for $\phi_\beta^\theta(p_2), \phi_\alpha^\theta(q_1), \phi_\beta^\theta(q_2)$.

In this work, we intend to prove the existence of positive solution (u, v) of problem (2), that is $(u, v) \in C([0, 1]) \times C([0, 1])$ satisfying problem (2) and $u(t) > 0$ or $v(t) > 0 \forall t \in (0, 1]$. To overcome the difficulty of positivity, we consider an auxiliary (intermediary) boundary value problem which will help us, combining with the assumptions imposed on f and g , to obtain positive solutions of the nonlinear problem.

Therefore, consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha x(t) + \mu_1(f(t, [x(t) - w_1(t)]^*, [y(t) - w_2(t)]^*) + p_1(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ D^\beta y(t) + \mu_2(g(t, [x(t) - w_1(t)]^*, [y(t) - w_2(t)]^*) + p_2(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x^{(j)}(0) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad x(1) = \lambda_1 \int_0^1 x(s)ds, \\ y^{(j)}(0) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq m - 2, \quad y(1) = \lambda_2 \int_0^1 y(s)ds, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where

$$[x(t) - w(t)]^* = \begin{cases} x(t) - w(t), & \text{if } x(t) - w(t) \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x(t) - w(t) < 0, \end{cases}$$

and (w_1, w_2) is the unique solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha w_1(t) + \mu_1 p_1(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ D^\beta w_2(t) + \mu_2 p_2(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ w_1^{(j)}(0) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n - 2, \quad w_1(1) = \lambda_1 \int_0^1 w_1(s)ds, \\ w_2^{(j)}(0) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq m - 2, \quad w_2(1) = \lambda_2 \int_0^1 w_2(s)ds. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.5, w_1 and w_2 satisfy

$$w_1(t) \leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha t^{\alpha-1} \varphi_\alpha(p_1), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \tag{7}$$

$$w_2(t) \leq \mu_2 \eta_\beta t^{\beta-1} \varphi_\beta(p_2), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \tag{8}$$

We shall prove that there exists solution (x, y) for the boundary value problem (6) such that $x(t) > w_1(t)$ or $y(t) > w_2(t)$ for any $t \in (0, 1]$. Then, it is easy to verify that $(x - w_1, y - w_2)$ represents a positive solution of boundary value problem (2).

So, we will concentrate our study on the boundary value problem (6). We consider the Banach space

$E = C([0, 1]) \times C([0, 1])$ endowed with standard norm $\|(x, y)\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ where $\|x\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|$, $x \in E$. We define the cone P by

$$P = \{(x, y) \in E : x(t) \geq 0, y(t) \geq 0, x(t) \geq \nu t^{\alpha-1} \|x\|, y(t) \geq \nu t^{\beta-1} \|y\|, \forall t \in [0, 1]\},$$

where $\nu = \min(\nu_{\alpha, \lambda_1}^*, \nu_{\beta, \lambda_2}^*)$ and $\nu_{\alpha, \lambda_1}^*, \nu_{\beta, \lambda_2}^*$ are given by Proposition 2.4 (iv).

For $r > 0$, let

$$\Omega_r = \{(x, y) \in P : \|(x, y)\| < r\}.$$

Next, we define the operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ as follows

$$T(x, y)(t) := (T_1(x, y)(t), T_2(x, y)(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$T_1(x, y)(t) = \mu_1 \int_0^1 G_{\alpha, \lambda_1}(t, s)(f(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s))ds,$$

and

$$T_2(x, y)(t) = \mu_2 \int_0^1 G_{\beta, \lambda_2}(t, s)(g(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_2(s))ds,$$

with G_{α, λ_1} and G_{β, λ_2} are defined by (4).

It is clear that if (x, y) is a fixed point of operator T , then (x, y) is a solution of problem (6).

Lemma 3.2. *If (H_1) and (H_4) or (H_2) and (H_4) hold. Then $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. The operators T_1 and T_2 are well defined. To show this, let $(x, y) \in P$ with $\|(x, y)\| = M$. Then we obtain

$$[x(s) - w_1(s)]^* \leq x(s) \leq \|x\| \leq \|(x, y)\| = M, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1]$$

$$[y(s) - w_2(s)]^* \leq y(s) \leq \|y\| \leq \|(x, y)\| = M, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1].$$

If (H_1) and (H_4) hold, then we conclude that $T_1(x, y)(t) < \infty$ and $T_2(x, y)(t) < \infty$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. If (H_2) and (H_4) are satisfied, we obtain for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s)(f(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s))ds \\ &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s)(q_1(s)h_1(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s))ds \\ &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha L(\phi_\alpha^0(q_1) + \phi_\alpha^0(p_1)) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = 1 + \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, M]} h_1(t, u, v).$$

Similarly

$$T_2(x, y)(t) < \infty.$$

In addition, by Proposition 2.4 (iv) we deduce that

$$T_1(x, y)(t) \geq \nu t^{\alpha-1} \|T_1(x, y)\|, \quad T_2(x, y)(t) \geq \nu t^{\beta-1} \|T_2(x, y)\|, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then $T(\Omega) \subset \Omega$. By using standard arguments, we conclude that $T : P \rightarrow P$ is a completely continuous operator. \square

Now, we prove the following existence results.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that conditions (H_1) , (H_3) and (H_4) hold. Then there exist $\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0 > 0$ such that problem (2) has at least one positive solution for every $0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_1^0$ and $0 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_2^0$.

Proof. Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Using (H_1) and (H_3) , we deduce that there exists $R_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq \rho f(t, 0, 0), \quad g(t, u, v) \geq \rho g(t, 0, 0), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad u, v \in [0, R_0]. \tag{9}$$

Define

$$M_1 = \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, R_0]} \{f(t, u, v) + p_1(t)\} \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{\rho f(t, 0, 0) + p_1(t)\} > 0,$$

$$M_2 = \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, R_0]} \{g(t, u, v) + p_2(t)\} \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{\rho g(t, 0, 0) + p_2(t)\} > 0,$$

and

$$\mu_1^0 = \frac{R_0}{4\eta_\alpha M_1 \phi_\alpha^0(1)}, \quad \mu_2^0 = \frac{R_0}{4\eta_\beta M_2 \phi_\beta^0(1)}.$$

Let $\mu_1 \in (0, \mu_1^0]$ and $\mu_2 \in (0, \mu_2^0]$. Define the set $U = \{(x, y) \in P, \|(x, y)\| < R_0\}$. We suppose that there exist $(x, y) \in \partial U$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $(x, y) = \delta T(x, y)$, that is $x = \delta T_1(x, y)$ and $y = \delta T_2(x, y)$.

Then

$$[x(t) - w_1(t)]^* \leq x(t) \leq R_0,$$

$$[y(t) - w_2(t)]^* \leq y(t) \leq R_0.$$

Therefore by Proposition 2.4, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$x(t) = \delta T_1(x, y)(t) \leq T_1(x, y)(t) = \mu_1 \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) (f(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha M_1 \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s) ds = \mu_1 \eta_\alpha M_1 \phi_\alpha^0(1) \leq \frac{R_0}{4},$$

$$y(t) = \delta T_2(x, y)(t) \leq T_2(x, y)(t) = \mu_2 \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) (g(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_2(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \mu_2 \eta_\beta M_2 \int_0^1 K_\beta(s) ds = \mu_2 \eta_\beta M_2 \phi_\beta^0(1) \leq \frac{R_0}{4}.$$

Thus, $\|x\| \leq \frac{R_0}{4}$ and $\|y\| \leq \frac{R_0}{4}$. Then $R_0 = \|(x, y)\| \leq \frac{R_0}{2}$, which is a contradiction. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, we deduce that T has a fixed point $(x_1, y_1) \in \bar{U} \subset P$.

Now, by (9), we have

$$x_1(t) = T_1(x_1, y_1)$$

$$\geq \mu_1 \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) (\rho f(s, 0, 0) + p_1(s)) ds$$

$$> \mu_1 \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) p_1(s) ds = w_1(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1],$$

or

$$y_1(t) = T_2(x_1, y_1)$$

$$\geq \mu_2 \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) (\rho g(s, 0, 0) + p_2(s)) ds$$

$$> \mu_2 \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) p_2(s) ds = w_2(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1],$$

Thus, $x_1(t) > w_1(t)$, $y_1(t) > w_2(t)$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Let $u(t) = x_1(t) - w_1(t)$ and $v(t) = y_1(t) - w_2(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then, $u(t) > 0$ or $v(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$. So, $(u(t), v(t))$ is a positive solution of problem (2). \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that conditions (H_2) and (H_4) are satisfied. In addition, suppose that there exists $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that*

$$f_\infty := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{u+v} = +\infty \text{ or } g_\infty := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} \frac{g(t, u, v)}{u+v} = +\infty.$$

Then there exist $\mu_1^*, \mu_2^* > 0$ such that for any $0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_1^*$ and $0 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_2^*$ problem (2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. We choose $r > \max\{1, \frac{2\eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)}{v}, \frac{2\eta_\beta \varphi_\beta(p_2)}{v}\}$ and we put $\mu_1^* = \min\{1, \frac{r}{2\eta_\alpha M_1(\phi_\alpha^0(p_1) + \phi_\alpha^0(q_1))}\}$ and $\mu_2^* = \min\{1, \frac{r}{2\eta_\beta M_2(\phi_\beta^0(p_2) + \phi_\beta^0(q_2))}\}$

with $M_i = 1 + \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, r]} h_i(t, u, v)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Let $\mu_1 \in (0, \mu_1^*]$ and $\mu_2 \in (0, \mu_2^*]$. Then, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_r$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$[x(s) - w_1(s)]^* \leq x(s) \leq \|x\| \leq r,$$

$$[y(s) - w_2(s)]^* \leq y(s) \leq \|y\| \leq r.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.4 (ii) we obtain for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_r$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s)(q_1(s)h_1(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s))ds \\ &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha M_1 \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s)(q_1(s) + p_1(s))ds \\ &\leq \mu_1^* \eta_\alpha M_1(\phi_\alpha^0(p_1) + \phi_\alpha^0(q_1)) \\ &\leq \frac{r}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly to the calculation of $T_1(x, y)(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(x, y)(t) &\leq \mu_2 \eta_\beta \int_0^1 K_\beta(s)(q_2(s)h_2(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_2(s))ds \\ &\leq \mu_2^* \eta_\beta M_2(\phi_\beta^0(p_2) + \phi_\beta^0(q_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{r}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T(x, y)\| = \|T_1(x, y)\| + \|T_2(x, y)\| \leq \|(x, y)\|, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_r. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, by hypothesis, for $A = \max\left\{\frac{8}{\mu_1 \nu \gamma_\alpha \phi_\alpha^\theta(1)\theta^{\alpha-1}}, \frac{8}{\mu_2 \nu \gamma_\beta \phi_\beta^\theta(1)\theta^{\beta-1}}\right\}$ there exists $B > 0$ such that $f(t, u, v) \geq A(u + v)$ or $g(t, u, v) \geq A(u + v)$, $\forall t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, $u + v \geq B$.

Now, choose

$$R = \max\left\{2r, \frac{4B}{\nu\theta^{\alpha-1}}, \frac{4B}{\nu\theta^{\beta-1}}\right\}.$$

First, we suppose that $f_\infty = \infty$, that is, $f(t, u, v) \geq A(u + v)$ for all $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, $u + v \geq B$. So, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$, we get $\|x\| + \|y\| = R$. Thus, we deduce that $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$ or $\|y\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$.

Assume that $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$. Then, by (7), for $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - w_1(t) &\geq x(t) - \mu_1 \eta_\alpha t^{\alpha-1} \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \\ &\geq x(t) - \frac{x(t)}{\nu \|x\|} \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \\ &\geq x(t) \left(1 - \frac{2\eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)}{\nu R}\right) \\ &\geq x(t) \left(1 - \frac{\eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)}{\nu r}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{x(t)}{2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [x(t) - w_1(t)]^* &= x(t) - w_1(t) \geq \frac{x(t)}{2} \geq \frac{\nu}{2} t^{\alpha-1} \|x\| \\ &\geq \frac{\nu}{4} \theta^{\alpha-1} R \geq B. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$[x(t) - w_1(t)]^* + [y(t) - w_2(t)]^* \geq [x(t) - w_1(t)]^* = x(t) - w_1(t) \geq B.$$

Therefore, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$, $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, we deduce

$$f(t, [x(t) - w_1(t)]^*, [y(t) - w_2(t)]^*) \geq A([x(t) - w_1(t)]^* + [y(t) - w_2(t)]^*) \geq A[x(t) - w_1(t)]^* \geq \frac{A}{2} x(t). \tag{11}$$

Using (11) and Proposition 2.4 (v), we obtain for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$, and $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) &\geq \mu_1 \gamma_\alpha \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\alpha(s) (f(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s)) ds \\ &\geq \mu_1 \gamma_\alpha \frac{A}{2} \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\alpha(s) [x(s) - w_1(s)]^* ds \\ &\geq \mu_1 \gamma_\alpha \frac{\nu}{8} \phi_\alpha^\theta(1) \theta^{\alpha-1} A R = R. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T_1(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R.$$

Then

$$\|T(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R. \tag{12}$$

If $\|y\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$, then by the same manner, we prove again relation (12).

Now, we suppose that $g_\infty = \infty$, that is, $g(t, u, v) \geq A(u + v)$ for all $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, $u + v \geq B$. So, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$, we get $\|x\| + \|y\| = R$. Thus, we deduce that $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$ or $\|y\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$.

If $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$, then for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$ we obtain in a similar manner that $x(t) - w_1(t) \geq \frac{x(t)}{2}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(x, y)(t) &\geq \mu_2 \gamma_\beta \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\beta(s) (g(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_2(s)) ds \\ &\geq \mu_2 \gamma_\beta \frac{A}{2} \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\beta(s) [x(s) - w_1(s)]^* ds \\ &\geq \mu_2 \gamma_\beta \frac{\nu}{8} \theta^{\beta-1} \phi_\beta^\theta(1) A R = R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|T_2(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R.$$

Hence

$$\|T(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R. \tag{13}$$

If $\|y\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$, then by the same manner, we prove again relation (13). Therefore, by Theorem 2.7 and inequalities (10) and (12) or (10) and (13), we conclude that T has a fixed point $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$, that is

$$r \leq \|(x, y)\| \leq R. \tag{14}$$

Now, since $\|(x, y)\| \geq r$, then $\|x\| \geq \frac{r}{2}$ or $\|y\| \geq \frac{r}{2}$.

First, if $\|x\| \geq \frac{r}{2}$, then, by (7), we obtain for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$y(t) - w_1(t) \geq x(t) - \mu_1 \eta_\alpha t^{\alpha-1} \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \geq t^{\alpha-1} [v \frac{r}{2} - \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)] \geq 0.$$

By the same manner if $\|y\| \geq \frac{r}{2}$ we get, by (8),

$$y(t) - w_2(t) \geq t^{\beta-1} [v \frac{r}{2} - \eta_\beta \varphi_\beta(p_2)] \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Let $u(t) = x_1(t) - w_1(t)$ and $v(t) = y_1(t) - w_2(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $(u(t), v(t))$ is a positive solution of problem (2) with $u(t) \geq t^{\alpha-1} [v \frac{r}{2} - \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)]$ and $v(t) \geq t^{\beta-1} [v \frac{r}{2} - \eta_\beta \varphi_\beta(p_2)]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. \square

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that conditions (H_2) and (H_4) hold. In addition, if we have

(A_1) there exists $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$f_\infty^* := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} f(t, u, v) = \infty \text{ or } g_\infty^* := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} g(t, u, v) = \infty$$

(A_2) $h_i^\infty := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{h_i(t, u, v)}{u+v} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$

Then there exist $\mu_1^*, \mu_2^* > 0$ such that for any $\mu_1 \geq \mu_1^*$ and $\mu_2 \geq \mu_2^*$ problem (2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Suppose that (A_1) holds. Then for $A = \max \left\{ \frac{4\eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)}{v\gamma_\alpha \phi_\alpha^\theta(1)}, \frac{4\eta_\beta \varphi_\beta(p_2)}{v\gamma_\beta \phi_\beta^\theta(1)} \right\}$ there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \geq A, \quad \forall t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta], \quad u + v \geq L, \tag{15}$$

or

$$g(t, u, v) \geq A, \quad \forall t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta], \quad u + v \geq L. \tag{16}$$

We define $\mu_1^* = \frac{L}{\eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \theta^{\alpha-1}}$ and $\mu_2^* = \frac{L}{\eta_\beta \varphi_\beta(p_2) \theta^{\beta-1}}$. Let $\mu_1 \geq \mu_1^*$ and $\mu_2 \geq \mu_2^*$. Choose $R = \max \left\{ \frac{4\mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)}{v}, \frac{4\mu_2 \eta_\beta \varphi_\beta(p_2)}{v} \right\}$.

First, if $f_\infty^* = \infty$, then (15) holds. Let $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$. Then $\|x\| + \|y\| = R$, hence $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$ or $\|y\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$. We suppose that $\|x\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$. Then for any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - w_1(t) &\geq v t^{\alpha-1} \|x\| - \mu_1 t^{\alpha-1} \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \geq t^{\alpha-1} [v \frac{R}{2} - \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1)] \\ &\geq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) t^{\alpha-1} \geq \mu_1^* \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) t^{\alpha-1} \geq \frac{L}{\theta^{\alpha-1}} t^{\alpha-1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$ and $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, we have

$$[x(t) - w_1(t)]^* + [y(t) - w_2(t)]^* \geq [x(t) - w_1(t)]^* = x(t) - w_1(t) \geq \frac{L}{\theta^{\alpha-1}} t^{\alpha-1} \geq L,$$

and so, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$ and $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$, we deduce

$$f(t, [x(t) - w_1(t)]^*, [y(t) - w_2(t)]^*) \geq A.$$

Then, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$ and $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) &\geq \mu_1 \gamma_\alpha \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\alpha(s) (f(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s)) ds \\ &\geq \mu_1 \gamma_\alpha A \int_\theta^{1-\theta} K_\alpha(s) ds \\ &\geq \mu_1 \gamma_\alpha \phi_\alpha^\theta(1) A \geq R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|T_1(x, y)\| \geq R$, for all $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R$. Therefore

$$\|T(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\| = R, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_R. \tag{17}$$

If $\|y\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$, then by the same manner, we prove again inequality (17).

Now, suppose that $g_\infty^* = \infty$, then (16) holds. Similarly we prove (17). On the other hand, by (A_2) , for $\varepsilon = \min\{\frac{1}{4\mu_1\eta_\alpha\phi_\alpha^0(q_1)}, \frac{1}{4\mu_2\eta_\beta\phi_\beta^0(q_2)}\}$ there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$h_i(t, u, v) \leq \varepsilon(u + v), \quad \forall (u + v) \geq M, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

So

$$h_i(t, u, v) \leq l_i + \varepsilon(u + v), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], u, v \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $l_i = \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \geq 0, u+v \leq M} h_i(t, u, v)$. Put $l = \max(l_1, l_2)$ and fix a positive real R_1 such that

$$R_1 > \max\left\{2R, \mu_1 \eta_\alpha (l \phi_\alpha^0(q_1) + \phi_\alpha^0(p_1)) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varepsilon \phi_\alpha^0(q_1)\right)^{-1}, \mu_2 \eta_\beta (l \phi_\beta^0(q_2) + \phi_\beta^0(p_2)) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu_2 \eta_\beta \varepsilon \phi_\beta^0(q_2)\right)^{-1}\right\}.$$

Therefore, for any $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega_{R_1}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s) (f(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s) (q_1(s) h_1(s, [x(s) - w_1(s)]^*, [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*) + p_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \int_0^1 K_\alpha(s) (q_1(s) (l + \varepsilon([x(s) - w_1(s)]^* + [y(s) - w_2(s)]^*)) + p_1(s)) ds \\ &\leq \mu_1 l \eta_\alpha \phi_\alpha^0(q_1) + \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varepsilon \phi_\alpha^0(q_1) R_1 + \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \phi_\alpha^0(p_1) \\ &\leq R_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varepsilon \phi_\alpha^0(q_1)\right) + \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varepsilon \phi_\alpha^0(q_1) R_1 \\ &\leq \frac{R_1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T_1(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(x, y)\|, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_{R_1}.$$

Similarly, we prove

$$\|T_2(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(x, y)\|, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_{R_1}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|T(x, y)\| \leq \|(x, y)\|, \quad \forall (x, y) \in \partial\Omega_{R_1}. \tag{18}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.7 and inequalities (17) and (18), we conclude that T has a fixed point $(x_1, y_1) \in \overline{\Omega_{R_1}} \setminus \Omega_R$, that is

$$R \leq \|(x_1, y_1)\| \leq R_1.$$

Since $\|(x_1, y_1)\| \geq R$, then $\|x_1\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$ and $\|y_1\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$.

Assume that $\|x_1\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$, then

$$x_1(t) - w_1(t) \geq t^{\alpha-1} \left(v \frac{R}{2} - \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \right) \geq \mu_1 \eta_\alpha \varphi_\alpha(p_1) t^{\alpha-1} \geq \frac{L}{\theta^{\alpha-1}} t^{\alpha-1} \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Similarly, if $\|y_1\| \geq \frac{R}{2}$, then we conclude again that $y_1(t) - w_2(t) \geq \frac{L}{\theta^{\beta-1}} t^{\beta-1} \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $u(t) = x_1(t) - w_1(t)$ and $v(t) = y_1(t) - w_2(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $(u(t), v(t))$ is a positive solution of problem (2) with $u(t) \geq \frac{L}{\theta^{\alpha-1}} t^{\alpha-1}$, $v(t) \geq \frac{L}{\theta^{\beta-1}} t^{\beta-1}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. \square

Now, we give the multiplicity result.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that (H_3) and (H_4) hold. In addition suppose that*

$$f_\infty := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{u+v} = +\infty \text{ or } g_\infty := \lim_{u+v \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} \frac{g(t, u, v)}{u+v} = +\infty,$$

(H'_1) *The functions $f, g \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, \infty), (-\infty, +\infty))$ and there exist functions $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty))$ and $h_1, h_2 \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$ such that*

$$-p_1(t) \leq f(t, u, v) \leq q_1(t)h_1(t, u, v), \quad -p_2(t) \leq g(t, u, v) \leq q_2(t)h_2(t, u, v)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $u, v \in [0, +\infty)$. hold.

Then the problem (2) has at least two positive solutions for $\mu_1 > 0$ and $\mu_2 > 0$ sufficiently small.

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, we conclude that, for $0 < \mu_1 \leq \min\{\mu_1^0, \mu_1^*\}$ and $0 < \mu_2 \leq \min\{\mu_2^0, \mu_2^*\}$, problem (2) has at least two positive solutions satisfying $0 \leq \|(u_1 + w_1, v_1 + w_2)\| \leq 1$ and $\|(u_2 + w_1, v_2 + w_2)\| > 1$. \square

4. Examples

In this section, we consider some examples which illustrate our main results.

Example 4.1. *We consider the system of fractional differential equations*

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{7}{2}}u(t) + \mu_1((u(t) + v(t))^2 - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ D^{\frac{7}{2}}v(t) + \mu_2(\exp(u(t) + v(t)) - \frac{1}{1-t}) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \\ v(0) = v'(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(s)ds, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Let $\alpha = \beta = \frac{7}{2}$, $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $p_1(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$, $p_2(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$, $q_1(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, $q_2(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$. Let $h_1(t, u, v) = (u + v)^2 t$, $h_2(t, u, v) = \exp(u + v) \sqrt{t}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $f(t, u, v) = (u + v)^2 - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$ and $g(t, u, v) = \exp(u + v) - \frac{1}{1-t}$. For $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$, we verify that $f_\infty = g_\infty = +\infty$. By direct calculus, we obtain $\varphi_\alpha(p_1) \approx 0.2006$, $\varphi_\beta(p_2) \approx 0.12036$, $\phi_\alpha^0(p_1) \approx 0.08024$, $\phi_\alpha^0(q_1) \approx 0.08597$, $\phi_\beta^0(p_2) \approx 0.03438$ and $\phi_\beta^0(q_2) \approx 0.03692$. Therefore, using notation of proof of Theorem 3.4, we choose $r = 4$ and $R = 100$. Then a simple calculus yields to $\mu_1^* = 0.13223$ and $\mu_2^* \approx 0.008638$. Hence, Theorem 3.4 ensures the existence of positive solution of problem (19) for every $\mu_1 \leq 0.13223$ and $\mu_2 \leq 0.008638$.

Example 4.2. We consider the following nonlinear fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{5}{2}}u(t) + \mu_1(\sqrt{u(t) + v(t)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t)^3}}) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ D^{\frac{7}{2}}v(t) + \mu_2(\ln(1 + u(t) + v(t)) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t)^3}}) = 0 & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 2 \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \\ v(0) = v'(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = 2 \int_0^1 v(s)ds, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Set $\alpha = \frac{5}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{7}{2}$, $p_1(t) = p_2(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t)^3}}$, $q_1(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, $q_2(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2(1-t)}}$, $h_1(t, u, v) = (\sqrt{u + v})t$, $h_2(t, u, v) = \ln(1 + u + v) \sqrt{t^2(1-t)}$, $f(t, u, v) = \sqrt{u + v} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t)^3}}$ and $g(t, u, v) = \ln(1 + u + v) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t)^3}}$. We verify that $h_i^\infty = 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and for $\theta = \frac{1}{3}$, $f_\infty^* = g_\infty^* = +\infty$. We get also $\varphi_\alpha(p_1) \approx 0.75225$, $\varphi_\beta(p_2) \approx 0.15045$, $\phi_\alpha^0(p_1) \approx 0.37613$, $\phi_\alpha^0(q_1) \approx 0.3009$, $\phi_\beta^0(p_2) \approx 0.05015$ and $\phi_\beta^0(q_2) \approx 0.1003$. A simple calculation yields to $\mu_1^* \approx 8.289$ and $\mu_2^* \approx 266.43$. Thus, by Theorem 3.5, we conclude that problem (20) has at least one positive solution for every $\mu_1 \geq 8.289$ and $\mu_2 \geq 266.43$.

Example 4.3. We consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} D^{\frac{7}{2}}u(t) + \mu_1((2 + u(t) + v(t))^{\frac{3}{2}} + t \cos u(t)) = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ D^{\frac{7}{2}}v(t) + \mu_2(\exp(u(t) + v(t)) + t \cos v(t)) = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 u(s)ds, \\ v(0) = v'(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = 2 \int_0^1 v(s)ds, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{7}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{7}{2}$, $f(t, u, v) = (2 + u + v)^{\frac{3}{2}} + t \cos u$ and $g(t, u, v) = \exp(u + v) + t \cos v$, $p_1(t) = p_2(t) = t$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and then hypothesis (H_1) is verified. Also, assumption (H_3) is satisfied, because $f(t, 0, 0) = 1 + t$ and $g(t, 0, 0) = 1 + t$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $\rho = \frac{1}{3}$ and $R_0 = 1$. Then

$$f(t, u, v) \geq \rho f(t, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{3}(1 + t), \quad g(t, u, v) \geq \rho g(t, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{3}(1 + t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, 1]} \{f(t, u, v) + p_1(t)\} \approx 7.1861, \\ M_2 &= \max_{t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, 1]} \{g(t, u, v) + p_2(t)\} \approx 8.3890. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have $\phi_\alpha^0(p_1) = \phi_\beta^0(p_2) \approx 0.076728$, $\phi_\alpha^0(q_1) = \phi_\beta^0(q_2) \approx 0.038687$. A simple calculation yields to $\mu_1^0 = \frac{R_0}{4\eta_\alpha M_1 \phi_\alpha^0(1)} \approx 0.5138$ and $\mu_2^0 = \frac{R_0}{4\eta_\beta M_2 \phi_\beta^0(1)} \approx 0.3306$. Thus, by Theorem 3.3, for $\mu_1 \leq \mu_1^0$ and $\mu_2 \leq \mu_2^0$, we conclude that problem (21) has at least one positive solution.

On the other hand, hypothesis (H'_1) is verified for $q_1(t) = q_2(t) = 1$ and $h_1(t, u, v) = (2 + u + v)^{\frac{3}{2}} + t$, $h_2(t, u, v) = \exp(u + v) + t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Also, for $\theta = \frac{1}{3}$, we verify that $f_\infty = g_\infty = +\infty$. Then, by direct calculus, we get $\mu_1^* \approx 0.31442$ and $\mu_2^* = 0.01377$. Thus, by Theorem 3.6, we deduce that (21) has at least two positive solutions for $\mu_1 \leq \min\{\mu_1^0, \mu_1^*\} \approx 0.31442$ and $\mu_2 \leq \min\{\mu_2^0, \mu_2^*\} \approx 0.01377$.

References

- [1] Agarwal. R.P, Meehan. M, O'Regan. D, Fixed Point Theory and Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).
- [2] Bourguiba. R, Toumi. F, Existence Results of a Singular Fractional Differential Equation with Perturbed Term, *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, 29-44 (2018).
- [3] Bourguiba. R, Toumi. F, Wanassi. O.K, Existence and nonexistence results for a system of integral boundary value problems with parametric dependence, *Filomat*, (To appear).
- [4] Diethelm. K, Freed. A.D, On the solution of nonlinear fractional order differential equations used in the modeling of viscoplasticity, F. Keil, W. Mackens, H. Voss, J. Werther (Eds.), *Scientific Computing in Chemical Engineering II Computational Fluid Dynamics, Reaction Engineering and Molecular Properties*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1999).
- [5] Ege. S.M., Topal. F.S., Existence of Multiple Positive Solutions for Semipositone Fractional Boundary Value Problems, *Filomat* 33 (3) 749-759, (2019)
- [6] Gala. S., Liu. Q., Ragusa, M.A., A new regularity criterion for the nematic liquid crystal flows, *Applicable Analysis* 91 (9), 1741-1747 (2012);
- [7] Gala. S., Ragusa. M.A., Logarithmically improved regularity criterion for the Boussinesq equations in Besov spaces with negative indices, *Applicable Analysis* 95 (6), 1271-1279 (2016)
- [8] Gaul. L, Klein. P, Kempfle. S, Damping description involving fractional operators, *Mech. Syst.Signal Process.* 5, 81-88, (1991).
- [9] Hao. x, Liu. L, Yonghong. Wu, Positive solutions for nonlinear fractional semipositone differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9, 3992-4002 (2016).
- [10] Krasnosel'skii. M.A. , Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, Noordhoff, Groningen, (1964).
- [11] Kilbas. A, Srivastava. H, Trujillo. J, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, in: North-Holland Mathematics studies, Vol. 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (2006).
- [12] Henderson. J, Luca. R, Positive solutions for a system of fractional differential equations with coupled integral boundary conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 249, 182-197, (2014).
- [13] Luca. R, Tudorache. A, Positive solutions to a system of semipositone fractional boundary value problems. *Advances in Difference Equations.* 1-11, (2014).
- [14] Henderson. J, Luca. R, Tudorache. A, Positive Solutions for Systems of Coupled Fractional Boundary Value Problems. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, 5(10), 600-608, (2015).
- [15] Henderson. J, Luca. R, Existence of positive solutions for a system of semipositone fractional boundary value problems. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 1-28, (2016).
- [16] Henderson. J, Luca. R, Positive solutions for a system of semipositone coupled fractional boundary value problems, *Boundary Value Problems*, 2016 No. 61, 1-23, (2016).
- [17] Podlubny. I, Fractional Differential Equations. Ser. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York 1999.
- [18] Samko. S.G, Kilbas. A.A, Marichev. O.I, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, Switzerland, (1993).
- [19] Toumi. F, Wanassi. O.K, Positive solutions for singular nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences* (to appear).
- [20] Yuan. C, Jiang. D, Xu. X, Singular positone and semipositone boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, in: *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* Vol, Article ID 533207, 17 pages (2009).
- [21] Yuan. C, Multiple positive solutions for (n-1, 1)-type semipositone conjugate boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* (36) 12 pp.(2010).
- [22] Yuan. C, Two positive solutions for (n-1, 1)-type semipositone conjugate boundary value problems for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* 17, 930-942 (2012).
- [23] Zhang. X, Liu. L, Wu. Y, Multiple positive solutions of a singular fractional differential equation with negatively perturbed term, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 55, 1263-1274 (2012).
- [24] Zhou. W, Peng. J, Chu. Y, Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* Article ID 850871, 10 pages (2012).