

Some matrix transformations between the difference sequence spaces $\Delta c_0(p)$, $\Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta l_\infty(p)$

Eberhard Malkowsky and Mursaleen

Abstract

For any sequence $x = (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \omega$ and any subset X of ω , we write $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k)_{k=1}^\infty = (x_k - x_{k+1})_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\Delta X = \{x \in \omega : \Delta x \in X\}$. Let $p = (p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and $q = (q_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be bounded sequences of positive reals. We determine the β -duals of the sets $\Delta c_0(p)$, $\Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta l_\infty(p)$. Furthermore, we characterize the matrix classes $(\Delta X, Y)$ and $(\Delta X, \Delta Y)$ for $X = c_0(p), c(p), l_\infty(p)$ and $Y = c_0(q), c(q), l_\infty(q)$.¹

1 Introduction

Let ω be the set of all complex sequences $x = (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, and c_0, c, l_∞ and cs be the sets of all null, convergent and bounded sequences and of all convergent series, respectively. Furthermore, let $p = (p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and $q = (q_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be bounded sequences of positive reals throughout, and $c_0(p) = \{x \in \omega : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{p_k} = 0\}$, $c(p) = \{x \in \omega : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k - l|^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $l_\infty(p) = \{x \in \omega : \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty\}$ (cf. [5], [6] and [10]).

Grosse-Erdmann [2] characterized the matrix classes (X, Y) for $X = c_0(p), c(p), l_\infty(p)$ and $Y = c_0(q), c(q), l_\infty(q)$.

Given any sequence $x \in \omega$, we write $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k)_{k=1}^\infty = (x_k - x_{k+1})_{k=1}^\infty$. Furthermore, for any subset X of ω , let $\Delta X = \{x \in \omega : \Delta x \in X\}$. In [1] and [7], the sequence spaces ΔX were introduced and studied for $X = c_0(p), c(p), l_\infty(p)$. If $p_k = \text{const}$ for all k then these sets reduce to $c_0(\Delta), c(\Delta)$ and $l_\infty(\Delta)$, respectively (see [3], [8]).

In this paper, we determine the β -duals of the sets $\Delta c_0(p), \Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta l_\infty(p)$ and characterize the matrix classes $(\Delta X, Y)$ and $(\Delta X, \Delta Y)$ for $X = c_0(p), c(p), l_\infty(p)$ and $Y = c_0(q), c(q), l_\infty(q)$.

¹Presented at the IMC "Filomat 2001", Niš, August 26–30, 2001

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40H05, 46A45

Keywords: Sequence spaces, difference sequence spaces, matrix transformations

2 The β -duals of $\Delta c_0(p)$, $\Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta \ell_\infty(p)$

If z is any sequence and Y is any subset of ω then we write $z^{-1} * Y = \{x \in \omega : zx = (z_k x_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in Y\}$. For any subset X of ω , the set $X^\beta = \cap_{x \in X} (x^{-1} * cs)$ is called the β -dual of X . The β -duals of the sets $\Delta c_0(p)$, $\Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta \ell_\infty(p)$ were studied in [1], [7] and [9]. Boundedness of the sequence p was not assumed. If, however, we assume boundedness of the sequence p a different proof may be applied which considerably improves the results for the β -duals of $\Delta c_0(p)$, $\Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta \ell_\infty(p)$.

Let X and Y be subsets of ω . By (X, Y) we denote the class of all infinite matrices $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$ of complex numbers such that $A_n = (a_{nk})_{k=1}^\infty \in X^\beta$ for all n and $A(x) = (A_n(x))_{n=1}^\infty = (\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} x_k)_{n=1}^\infty \in Y$ for all $x \in X$.

We write e and $e^{(n)}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for the sequences with $e_k = 1$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), and $e_n^{(n)} = 1$ and $e_k^{(n)} = 0$ ($k \neq n$). If $a \in cs$ we define the sequence R by $R_k = \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty a_j$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$.

We need the following result.

Lemma 1 ([7, Corollary 1]) *Let d be a non-decreasing sequence of positive reals. Then $a \in d^{-1} * cs$ implies $R \in d^{-1} * c_0$.*

We write $\mathbf{n} = (n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $\mathbf{N}^{1/p} = (N^{1/p_k})_{k=1}^\infty$, $\mathbf{N}^{-1/p} = (N^{-1/p_k})_{k=1}^\infty$, $\Sigma \mathbf{N}^{1/p} = (\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j})_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\Sigma \mathbf{N}^{-1/p} = (\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j})_{k=1}^\infty$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$.

The following result in which the boundedness of the sequence p is not needed is well known.

Lemma 2 (cf. [9, Theorem 2])

We put

$$M_\infty^{(1)}(p) = \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \left\{ a \in \omega : R \in (\mathbf{N}^{1/p})^{-1} * \ell_1 \right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_\infty^{(2)}(p) = \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} (\Sigma \mathbf{N}^{1/p})^{-1} * cs.$$

Then $(\Delta \ell_\infty(p))^\beta = M_\infty^{(1)}(p) \cap \tilde{M}_\infty^{(2)}(p)$.

Now we give the β -duals of $\Delta c_0(p)$, $\Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta \ell_\infty(p)$ for bounded sequences p .

Theorem 1 *We put*

$$\begin{aligned} M_0^{(1)}(p) &= \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \left\{ a \in \omega : R \in (\mathbf{N}^{-1/p})^{-1} * \ell_1 \right\}, \\ M_0^{(2)}(p) &= \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \left\{ a \in \omega : R \in (\Sigma \mathbf{N}^{-1/p})^{-1} * \ell_\infty \right\}, \\ M(p) &= (\mathbf{n})^{-1} * cs \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_\infty^{(2)}(p) = \bigcap_{N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \left\{ a \in \omega : R \in (\Sigma \mathbb{N}^{1/p})^{-1} * c_0 \right\}.$$

Then

(a) $(\Delta c_0(p))^\beta = M_0^{(1)}(p) \cap M_0^{(2)}(p)$, and if $a \in (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta$ then

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k y_k = - \sum_{k=1}^\infty R_k \Delta y_k + y_1 \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \text{ for all } y \in \Delta c_0(p); \tag{1}$$

(b) $(\Delta c(p))^\beta = (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta \cap M(p)$, and if $a \in (\Delta c(p))^\beta$ then identity (1) holds for all $y \in \Delta c(p)$;

(c) $(\Delta \ell_\infty(p))^\beta = M_\infty^{(1)}(p) \cap M_\infty^{(2)}(p)$, and if $a \in (\Delta \ell_\infty(p))^\beta$ then identity (1) holds for all $y \in \Delta \ell_\infty(p)$.

Proof. (a) We write $Y = \Delta c_0(p)$ and $X = c_0(p)$.

First we assume $a \in M_0^{(1)}(p) \cap M_0^{(2)}(p)$. Let $y \in Y$ be given. Then $x = \Delta y \in X$. Abel's summation by parts yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k y_k = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_k x_k - R_n y_n + y_1 R_0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{2}$$

First $a \in M_0^{(1)}(p)$, that is $R \in X^\beta$ by [6, Theorem 6] implies $Rx \in cs$. Furthermore

$$R_n(y_n - y_1) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_n x_k \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3}$$

and we note that $y \in Y$ if and only if $y - y_1 e^{(1)} \in Y$, since Y is a linear space for bounded sequences p . We define the matrix A by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} -R_n & (1 \leq k \leq n-1) \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{4}$$

Then $a \in M_0^{(2)}(p)$ implies

$$\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}| N^{-1/p_k} = \sup_n |R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N^{-1/p_k} < \infty \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore $R_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty a_k \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for each fixed } k. \tag{6}$$

By [4, Corollary 3], conditions (5) and (6) together imply $A \in (X, c)$, that is $R(y - y_1 e^{(1)}) \in c$, and so $Ry \in c$. Finally, by (2), we conclude $ay \in cs$. Thus we have shown

$$M_0^{(1)}(p) \cap M_0^{(2)}(p) \subset Y^\beta. \tag{7}$$

Now we assume $a \in Y^\beta$. Then $ay \in cs$ for all $y \in Y$. Since $\Delta e = 0 \in X$, it follows that $e \in Y$, and so $a = ae \in cs$, hence the sequence R is defined. If $y \in Y$ then $x = \Delta y \in X$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k(y_k - y_1) = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We define the matrix $B = (b_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$ by $b_{nk} = -\sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $b_{nk} = 0$ for $k \geq n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $B \in (X, c)$, and again, by [4, Corollary 3], there are $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and a constant K such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty |b_{nk}| N^{-1/p_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j \right| N^{-1/p_k} \leq K \text{ for all } n.$$

We fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j \right| N^{-1/p_k} \leq K \text{ for all } n \geq m.$$

Since $R_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j$ exists for each k , this implies $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |R_k| N^{-1/p_k} \leq K$, and since $m \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, we conclude $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |R_k| N^{-1/p_k} \leq K$, that is $R \in X^\beta$ by [6, Theorem 6], and so $a \in M_0^{(1)}(p)$. Defining the matrix A as in (4), we have $A \in (X, c)$, and this yields (5) by [4, Corollary 3], hence $a \in M_0^{(2)}(p)$. Thus we have shown $Y^\beta \subset M_0^{(1)}(p) \cap M_0^{(2)}(p)$. This and (7) together yield $Y^\beta = M_0^{(1)}(p) \cap M_0^{(2)}(p)$.

Finally, we assume $a \in Y^\beta$. Then, by what we have just shown, there is $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that, for the matrix A defined in (4),

$$D = \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_{nk}| N^{-1/p_k} = \sup_n |R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N^{-1/p_k} < \infty,$$

and condition (6) holds. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We put $P = \sup_k p_k < \infty$ and $M_0 = \max\{N((2D+1)/\varepsilon)^P, N\}$. Then for all $M \geq M_0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M^{-1/p_k} &\leq |R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M_0^{-1/p_k} = |R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N^{-1/p_k} \left(\frac{N}{M_0}\right)^{1/p_k} \\ &\leq \left(|R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N^{-1/p_k}\right) \left(\frac{N}{M_0}\right)^{1/P} \leq D \left(\frac{N}{M_0}\right)^{1/P} \leq D \frac{N\varepsilon}{(2D+1)N} \leq \varepsilon/2, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n |a_{nk}| M^{-1/p_k} = 0. \quad (8)$$

By [2, Theorem 5.1, 5.], conditions (6) and (8) together imply $A \in (X, c_0)$, hence $Ry \in c_0$, and (1) follows from (2).

(b) First we assume $a \in (c(p))^\beta$. Since $\Delta c_0(p) \subset \Delta c(p)$ implies $(\Delta c(p))^\beta \subset (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta$, we have $a \in (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{n} \in \Delta c(p)$, since $\Delta \mathbf{n} - (-1)e \in c_0(p)$. Thus $\mathbf{an} \in cs$, that is $a \in M(p)$. Thus we have shown

$$(\Delta c(p))^\beta \subset (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta \cap M(p). \tag{9}$$

Conversely we assume $a \in (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta \cap M(p)$. Let $y \in \Delta c(p)$ be given. Then $x = \Delta y \in c(p)$, hence there is $l \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $x - le \in c_0(p)$. Let $z = y + l\mathbf{n}$. Then $\Delta z = \Delta y + l\Delta \mathbf{n} = x - le \in c_0(p)$, hence $z \in \Delta c_0(p)$, and, as in (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k y_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z_k + l \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k = \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_k \Delta z_k - R_n z_n + z_1 R_0 + l \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \text{ for all } n. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since $z \in \Delta c_0(p)$, we have $R\Delta z \in cs$ and $Rz \in c$ by Part (a). Furthermore $\mathbf{an} \in cs$, since $a \in M(p)$. Thus $ay \in cs$, and we have shown $(\Delta c_0(p))^\beta \cap M(p) \subset (\Delta c(p))^\beta$. Together with (9) this yields $(\Delta c(p))^\beta = (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta \cap M(p)$.

Finally, let $a \in (\Delta c(p))^\beta$ and $y \in c(p)$ be given. By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k y_k &= - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_k \Delta y_k - R_n y_n + y_1 R_0 = \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_k \Delta y_k - R_n z_n + R_n n + y_1 R_0 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Since $z \in \Delta c_0(p)$ and $a \in M_0^{(2)}(p)$, $Rz \in c_0$ by Part (a). Furthermore $a \in M(p)$ implies $\mathbf{n}R \in c_0$ by Lemma 1. So $ay \in cs$ implies $R\Delta y \in cs$, and (2) holds.

(c) We write $Y = \Delta \ell_\infty(p)$ and $X = \ell_\infty(p)$. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2,

$$Y^\beta \subset M_\infty^{(1)}(p) \cap M_\infty^{(2)}(p). \tag{11}$$

Conversely we assume $a \in M_\infty^{(1)}(p) \cap M_\infty^{(2)}(p)$. Let $y \in Y$ be given. Then $x = \Delta y \in X$. First $a \in M_0^{(1)}(p)$, that is $R \in X^\beta$ by [5, Theorem 2] implies $Rx \in cs$. We define the matrix A as in (4). Then $a \in M_\infty^{(2)}(p)$ implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| N^{1/p_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |R_n| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M^{1/p_k} = 0 \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\},$$

that is $A \in (X, c_0)$ by [2, Theorem 5.1, 7.]. Therefore we conclude from (3) that $R(y - y_1 e^{(1)}) \in c_0$, and so $Ry \in c_0$. By (2), $ay \in cs$. Thus we have shown $M_\infty^{(1)}(p) \cap M_\infty^{(2)}(p) \subset Y^\beta$. This and (11) together yield $Y^\beta = M_\infty^{(1)}(p) \cap M_\infty^{(2)}(p)$. The last part is obvious. ■

3 Matrix transformations

Let $p = (p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and $q = (q_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be bounded sequences of positive reals throughout.

If $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$ is an infinite matrix then we write R^A for the matrix with $r_{nk}^A = \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty a_{nj}$ for all n and k , provided the series converge.

First we reduce the characterizations of the classes $(\Delta X, Y)$ to those of (X, Y) for arbitrary subspaces Y of ω and $X = c_0(p)$, $c(p)$ and $l_\infty(p)$.

Theorem 2 *Let Y be an arbitrary subspace of ω . Then*

(a) $A \in (\Delta c_0(p), Y)$ if and only if

$$R \in (c_0(p), Y), \tag{12}$$

$$A(e) \in Y \tag{13}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } n \text{ there is } N_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ such that} \\ \sup_k |r_{nk}^A| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j} < \infty; \end{array} \right. \tag{14}$$

(b) $A \in (\Delta c(p), Y)$ if and only if

$$A \in (\Delta c_0(p), Y) \tag{15}$$

and

$$A(\mathbf{k}) \in Y; \tag{16}$$

(c) $A \in (\Delta l_\infty(p), Y)$ if and only if condition (13) holds and

$$R \in (l_\infty(p), Y) \tag{17}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_k |r_{nk}^A| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} < \infty \\ \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \end{array} \right. \tag{18}$$

Proof. (a) We put $X = c_0(p)$ and $Z = \Delta X$, and observe that $z \in Z$ if and only if $x = \Delta z \in X$. Furthermore we write $R = R^A$.

First we assume $A \in (Z, Y)$. Condition (13) is obvious, since $e \in Z$. Furthermore $A_n \in Z^\beta$ implies $R_n \in M_0^{(2)}(p)$ for each n by Theorem 1 (a), and so condition (14) holds. Let $x \in X$ be given. We define the sequence z by $z_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $z \in Z$ and

$$R_n(x) = -A_n(z) + z_1 r_{n0} = -A_n(z) \text{ for all } n$$

by identity (1), and $A(z) \in Y$ implies $R(x) \in Y$. Thus condition (12) holds.

Conversely, we assume that conditions (12), (13) and (14) hold. First $R_n \in X^\beta$

for all n and condition (14) together imply $A_n \in Z^\beta$ for all n by Theorem 1 (a). Let $z \in Z$ be given. Then by (1)

$$A_n(z) = -R_n(x) + z_1 r_{n0} = R_n(x) + z_1 A_n(e) \text{ for all } n,$$

and $R(x) \in Y$ and condition (13) together imply $A(z) \in Y$, since Y is a linear space.

(b) First we assume $A \in (\Delta c(p), Y)$. Then obviously $A \in (\Delta c_0(p), Y)$. Furthermore $\mathbf{k} \in \Delta c(p)$ implies condition (16).

Conversely, we assume that conditions (15) and (16) hold. First, condition (16) implies $A_n \in \mathbf{k}^{-1} * cs$, that is $A_n \in M(p)$ for all n , and since also $A_n \in (\Delta c_0(p))^\beta$ for all n by condition (15), we conclude $A_n \in (\Delta c(p))^\beta$ by Theorem 1 (b). Let $z \in \Delta c(p)$ be given. Then $\Delta z - le \in c_0(p)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{C}$. We put $x = z + lk$. Then $x \in \Delta c_0(p)$, and

$$A_n(z) = A_n(x) - lA_n(\mathbf{k}) \text{ for all } n.$$

Now $A(x) \in Y$ and condition (16) together imply $A(z) \in Y$, since Y is a linear space.

(c) Part (c) is proved in the same way as Part (a) by applying Theorem 1 (c) instead of Theorem 1 (a). ■

Remark 1 Condition (13) in Theorem 2 (a) and (c) may be replaced by

$$A(e^{(1)}) \in Y. \tag{19}$$

Proof. Let $X = c_0(p)$ or $X = l_\infty(p)$ and $Z = \Delta X$.

First we assume that conditions (12), (13) and (14) or (17), (13) and (18) hold. Then $A \in (Z, Y)$ by Theorem 2 (a) or (c), respectively. Now $e^{(1)} \in Z$ implies $A(e^{(1)}) \in Y$, that is condition (19) holds.

Conversely, we assume that conditions (12), (19) and (14) or (17), (19) and (18) hold. Then $A_n(e) = R_n(e^{(1)}) + A_n(e^{(1)})$ for all n . Since $e^{(1)} \in X$, we have $R(e^{(1)}) \in Y$ by condition (12) or condition (17). This and condition (19) together imply $A(e) \in Y$, that is condition (13) holds. ■

The characterization of $(X, \Delta Y)$ can easily be reduced to that of (X, Y) .

Theorem 3 Let X and Y be arbitrary subsets of ω . Then $A \in (X, \Delta Y)$ if and only if

$$A_1 \in X^\beta \tag{20}$$

and

$$B \in (X, Y) \text{ where } b_{nk} = a_{nk} - a_{n+1,k} \text{ for all } n \text{ and } k. \tag{21}$$

Proof. First we assume $A \in (X, \Delta Y)$. Then $A_n \in X^\beta$ for all n , in particular, condition (20) holds, and $B_n = \Delta_n A_n = A_n - A_{n+1} \in X^\beta$ for all n . Furthermore, $A(x) \in \Delta Y$, that is $\Delta_n A(x) = B(x) \in Y$ for all $x \in X$, and so (21) holds. Conversely, we assume that conditions (20) and (21) are satisfied. Then $A_{n+1} = A_n - B_n \in X^\beta$ for all $n \geq 2$ by induction. Furthermore, $B(x) = \Delta_n A(x) \in Y$, that is $A(x) \in \Delta Y$ for all $x \in X$. Thus we have shown $A \in (X, \Delta Y)$. ■

Now we apply Theorem 2 and well-known results from [2] to characterize the classes (X, Y) and $(X, \Delta Y)$ where X is any of the spaces $\Delta l_\infty(p)$, $\Delta c_0(p)$ and $\Delta c(p)$, and Y is any of the spaces $l_\infty(p)$, $c_0(p)$ and $c(p)$.

Theorem 4 *The necessary and sufficient conditions for $A \in (X, Y)$ for $X = \Delta l_\infty(p), \Delta c_0(p), \Delta c(p)$ and $Y = l_\infty(q), c_0(q), c(q), \Delta l_\infty(p), \Delta c_0(q), \Delta c(q)$ can be read from the following table*

To From	$l_\infty(q)$	$c_0(q)$	$c(q)$	$\Delta l_\infty(q)$	$\Delta c_0(q)$	$\Delta c(q)$
$\Delta l_\infty(p)$	(1.)	(2.)	(3.)	(10.)	(11.)	(12.)
$\Delta c_0(p)$	(4.)	(5.)	(6.)	(13.)	(14.)	(15.)
$\Delta c(p)$	(7.)	(8.)	(9.)	(16.)	(17.)	(18.)

where, with $r_{nk}^A = \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty a_{nj}$ and $r_{nk}^B = \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty (a_{nj} - a_{n+1,j})$ ($n, k = 1, 2, \dots$),

- (1.): (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) where
 - (1.1) $\sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^\infty |r_{nk}^A| N^{1/p_k})^{q_n} < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 - (1.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_k |r_{nk}^A| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} < \infty \\ \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ and for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{array} \right.$
 - (1.3) $\sup_n |a_{n1}|^{q_n} < \infty$
- (2.): (2.1), (1.2), (2.2) where
 - (2.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^\infty |r_{nk}^A| N^{1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 - (2.2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n1}|^{q_n} = 0$
- (3.): (3.1), (3.2), (1.2), (3.3) where
 - (3.1) $\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^\infty |r_{nk}^A| N^{1/p_k} < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 - (3.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence } (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^\infty \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^\infty |r_{nk}^A - \alpha_k| N^{1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0 \\ \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \end{array} \right.$
 - (3.3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n1} - \alpha|^{q_n} = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
- (4.): (4.1), (4.2), (1.3) where
 - (4.1) $\sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^\infty |r_{nk}^A| N^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 - (4.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is } N_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ such that} \\ \sup_k |r_{nk}^A| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N_n^{-1/p_j} < \infty \end{array} \right.$

- (5.): (5.1), (5.2), (4.2), (2.2) where
 (5.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{nk}^A|^{q_n} = 0$ for all k
 (5.2) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^A| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0$
- (6.): (6.1), (6.2), (6.3), (4.2), (3.3) where
 (6.1) $\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^A| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (6.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence } (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^A - \alpha_k| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0 \end{array} \right.$
 (6.3) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence } (\beta_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{nk}^A - \beta_k|^{q_n} = 0 \text{ for all } k \end{array} \right.$
- (7.): (7.1), (4.1), (4.2), (1.3) where
 (7.1) $\sup_n |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{nk}|^{q_n} < \infty$
- (8.): (8.1), (5.1), (5.2), (4.2), (2.2) where
 (8.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{nk}|^{q_n} = 0$
- (9.): (9.1), (6.1), (6.2), (6.3), (4.2), (3.3) where
 (9.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{nk} - \alpha|^{q_n} = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
- (10.): (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (10.4), (10.5) where
 (10.1) $\sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B| N^{1/p_k})^{q_n} < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (10.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_k |r_{nk}^B| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} < \infty \\ \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ and for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{array} \right.$
 (10.3) $\sup_n |a_{n1} - a_{n+1,1}|^{q_n} < \infty$
 (10.4) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{1k}^A| N^{1/p_k} < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (10.5) $\sup_k |r_{1k}^A| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{1/p_j} < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
- (11.): (11.1), (10.2), (11.2), (10.4), (10.5) where
 (11.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B| N^{1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (11.2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n1} - a_{n+1,1}|^{q_n} = 0$
- (12.): (12.1), (12.2), (10.2), (12.3), (10.4), (10.5) where
 (12.1) $\sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B| N^{1/p_k} < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (12.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence } (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B - \alpha_k| N^{1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0 \\ \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \end{array} \right.$
 (12.3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n1} - a_{n+1,1} - \alpha|^{q_n} = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
- (13.): (13.1), (13.2), (10.3), (13.3), (13.4) where
 (13.1) $\sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B| N^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (13.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is } N_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ such that} \\ \sup_k |r_{nk}^B| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N_n^{-1/p_j} < \infty \end{array} \right.$
 (13.3) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{1k}^A| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (13.4) $\sup_k |r_{1k}^A| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N^{-1/p_j} < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
- (14.): (14.1), (14.2), (13.2), (11.2), (13.3), (13.4) where
 (14.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{nk}^B|^{q_n} = 0$ for all k
 (14.2) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0$
- (15.): (15.1), (15.2), (15.3), (13.2), (12.3), (13.3), (13.4) where
 (15.1) $\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B| N^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$
 (15.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence } (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_{nk}^B - \alpha_k| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0 \end{array} \right.$
 (15.3) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence } (\beta_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{nk}^B - \beta_k|^{q_n} = 0 \text{ for all } k \end{array} \right.$

- (16.): (16.1), (13.1), (13.2), (10.3), (13.3), (13.4), (16.2) where
 (16.1) $\sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(a_{nk} - a_{n+1,k}) \right|^{q_n} < 0$
 (16.2) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_{1k}$ converges
- (17.): ((17.1), (14.1), (14.2), (13.2), (11.2),
 (13.3), (13.4), (16.2) where
 (17.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(a_{nk} - a_{n+1,k}) \right|^{q_n} = 0$
- (18.): (18.1), (15.1), (15.2), (15.3), (13.2), (12.3),
 (13.3), (13.4), (16.2) where
 (18.1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(a_{nk} - a_{n+1,k}) - \alpha \right|^{q_n} = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2, [2, Theorem 5.1] and Remark 1 to obtain the conditions in (1.) to (9.). By Theorem 2 (b), we have to add condition (16) of Theorem 2 which is (7.1), (8.1) or (9.1) to the conditions in (4.), (5.) of (6.), respectively. Condition (19) in Remark 1 is (1.3) in (1.) and (4.), (2.2) in (2.) and (5.) or (3.3) in (3.) and (6.); condition (18) in Theorem 2 is (1.2) in (1.), (2.) and (3.); condition (14) in Theorem 2 is (4.2) in (4.), (5.) and (6.). The conditions for $R^A \in (\ell_{\infty}(p), Y)$ for $Y = \ell_{\infty}(q), c_0(q), c(q)$ are given in [2, Theorem 5.1, (15), (7), (11)] and those for $R^A \in (c_0(p), Y)$ for $Y = \ell_{\infty}(q), c_0(q), c(q)$ are given in [2, Theorem 5.1, (13), (5), (9)].

By Theorem 3, we have to add condition (20) in Theorem 3 in (10.) to (18.) which is (10.4) and (10.5) in (10.), (11.) and (12.), (13.3) and (13.4) in (13.), (14.) and (15.) and (13.3), (13.4) and (16.2) in (16.), (17.) and (18.). Furthermore, we have to replace r_{nk}^A and a_{nk} in the conditions in (1.) to (9.) by r_{nk}^B and b_{nk} in the respective ones in (10.) to (18.). ■

References

- [1] Z. U. Ahmad and Mursaleen, *Köthe–Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **42** (56) (1987), 57–61.
- [2] K.–G. Grosse–Erdmann, *Matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 223–238.
- [3] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** (1981), 169–175.
- [4] C. G. Lascarides, *A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **38,2** (1971), 487–500.
- [5] C. G. Lascarides and I. J. Maddox, *Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **68** (1970), 99–104.
- [6] I. J. Maddox, *Continuous and Köthe–Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **65** (1967), 431–435.

- [7] E. Malkowsky, *Absolute and ordinary Köthe–Toeplitz duals of some sets of sequences and matrix transformations*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **46** (60) (1989), 97–104.
- [8] E. Malkowsky, *A note on the Köthe–Toeplitz duals of generalized sets of bounded and convergent difference sequences*, J. Anal. **4** (1995), 81–91.
- [9] E. Malkowsky, Mursaleen and Qamaruddin, *Generalized sets of difference sequences, their duals and matrix transformations*, Advances in Sequence Spaces and Applications, Narosa Publishing Company, Delhi, 1999, 68–83.
- [10] S. Simons, *The sequence spaces $l(p_\nu)$ and $m(p_\nu)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 422–436.

Department of Mathematics
University of Giessen
Arndtstrasse 2
D–35392 Giessen
Germany
c/o Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Mathematics
Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš
Yugoslavia
ema@pmf.pmf.ni.ac.yu

Department of Mathematics
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh–202002
India
mursaleen@postmark.net