Filomat 34:11 (2020), 3855–3860 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2011855J



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

## On the Problem of Discontinuity at Fixed Point

Bharti Joshi<sup>a</sup>, Durgesh Pant<sup>b</sup>, S. K. Padaliya<sup>c</sup>, Govind Pathak<sup>d</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Byura Chandmari, Kathgodam, Naintal, Uttarakhand (India)-263126 <sup>*b*</sup>Uttarakhand Open University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand (India) <sup>*c*</sup>Department of Mathematics, S. G. R. R. (P.G.) College Dehradun, Uttarakhand (India)-248001 <sup>*d*</sup>Department of Mathematics, M. B. Govt. P. G. College, Haldwani (Nainital) Uttarakhand (India)-263139

**Abstract.** In this paper we obtain two known solutions of the problem of continuity of contractive mappings at fixed point under alternative set of conditions; these known solutions followed the first solution of Rhoades problem by Pant in 1999. We show that these two solutions characterize completeness and we also compare these with some recent solutions of the Rhoades problem.

## 1. Introduction

If f is a self-mapping of a metric space (X, d), we denote

$$\begin{split} m_1(x,y) &= \max\{d(x,fx), d(y,fy)\}.\\ m_2(x,y) &= \max\{d(x,y), d(x,fx), d(y,fy)\}.\\ m_3(x,y) &= \max\{d(x,fx), d(y,fy), \frac{d(x,fy) + d(y,fx)}{2}\}\\ m_4(x,y) &= \max\{d(x,y), d(x,fx), d(y,fy), \frac{d(x,fy) + d(y,fx)}{2}\}. \end{split}$$

Consider the following conditions for i = 1, 2, 4. For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\epsilon \le m_i(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) < \epsilon.$$
 (1)

$$\epsilon < m_i(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) \le \epsilon.$$
 (2)

$$d(fx, fy) < m_i(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in X \text{ with } m_i(x, y) > 0.$$

$$(3)$$

$$d(fx, fy) \le m_i(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in X.$$
(4)

$$d(fx, fy) \le \phi(m_i(x, y)) \text{ for any } x, y \in X.$$
(5)

where  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  denotes a function such that  $\phi(t) < t$  for each t > 0. Jachymski [11] studied various Meir-Keeler type conditions and observed that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\land$  (3) but not conversely. The symbol  $\land$  represents

Received: 26 September 2020; Accepted: 14 October 2020

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25

*Keywords*. Completeness, *k*-continuity, orbital continuity, weak orbital continuity.

Communicated by Vladimir Rakočević

Email addresses: bhartijoshi20592@gmail.com (BhartiJoshi), durgesh.pant@gmail.com (Durgesh Pant),

spadaliya12@rediffmail.com (S. K. Padaliya), pathakgovind@rediffmail.com (Govind Pathak)

the word and.

In 1988, Rhoades [21] examined continuity of a large number of contractive mappings at their fixed points and found that though these contractive definitions do not require the map to be continuous but are strong enough to force the mapping to be continuous at the fixed point. Rhoades [21] proposed an open question whether there exists a contractive definition which is strong enough to generate a fixed point, but which does not force the mapping to be continuous at the fixed point. In 1999, Pant [18] proved the following theorem and obtained the first result as an affirmative answer.

**Theorem 1.1 ([18]).** Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) such that the conditions (2) and (5) hold for i = 1. Then f has a unique fixed point, say z. Moreover, f is continuous at z if and only if  $\lim_{x\to z} m(x, z) = 0$ .

In continuation, Pant [19] also obtained another solution of the Rhoades' problem.

**Theorem 1.2 ([19]).** Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) such that the conditions (2) and (5) hold for i = 2. Then f has a unique fixed point, say z. Moreover, f is continuous at z if and only if  $\lim_{x\to z} m(x, z) = 0$ .

In 2002, V. Pant [20] gave Matkowski type  $\phi$ -contractive solution for the Rhoades' problem.

**Theorem 1.3 ([20]).** Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) such that condition (5) holds for i = 3 and  $\lim_{n} \phi^{n}(t) = 0$ . Then f has a unique fixed point, say z. Moreover, f is continuous at z if and only if  $\lim_{x_{n}\to z} M(x, z) = 0$ .

We now recall some weaker forms of continuity.

**Definition 1.4 ([16]).** A self-mapping f of a metric space X is called k-continuous,  $k = 1, 2, 3, ..., if f^k x_n \to ft$ whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $f^{k-1}x_n \to t$ .

It was shown in [16] that continuity of  $f^k$  and k-continuity of f are independent conditions when k > 1; and k-continuity  $\Rightarrow (k + 1)$ -continuity, where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , but not conversely. Obviously, 1-continuity is equivalent to continuity.

**Definition 1.5 ([5]).** If f is a self-mapping of a metric space (X, d) then the set  $O(x, f) = \{f^n x : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$  is called the orbit of f at x and f is called orbitally continuous if  $u = \lim_{i} f^{m_i} x$  implies  $fu = \lim_{i} f f^{m_i} x$ .

A continuous mapping is orbitally continuous but not conversely. A *k*-continuous mapping is obviously orbitally continuous [17].

**Definition 1.6 ([17]).** A self-mapping f of a metric space (X, d) is called weakly orbitally continuous if the set  $\{y \in X : \lim_{i} f^{m_i}y = u \Rightarrow \lim_{i} ff^{m_i}y = fu\}$  is nonempty whenever the set  $\{x \in X : \lim_{i} f^{m_i}x = u\}$  is nonempty.

An orbitally continuous mapping is obviously weakly orbitally continuous but not conversely (see [Example 1.7, [17]]).

In 2017 Bisht and Pant [1] obtained one more solution to the Rhoades' problem under a  $\phi$ -contractive condition and a Meir-Keeler type (2) condition.

**Theorem 1.7 ([1]).** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let f be a self-mapping on X such that  $f^2$  is continuous and satisfy the conditions (2) and (5) for i = 4. Then f has a unique fixed point, say z, and  $f^n x \to z$  for each  $x \in X$ . Moreover, T is discontinuous at z iff  $\lim_{x\to z} m_4(x, z) \neq 0$ .

Fixed point theorems for discontinuous mappings have found various applications. Applications of such theorems in the study of neural networks under suitable conditions is a very active area of research (see, [8, 9, 12, 13]). Cromme and Diener [6] and Cromme [7] have proved results on approximate fixed points for discontinuous functions and have given applications of their results to neural nets, economic equilibria and analysis. All the known solutions of the Rhoades' problem (e.g. [1–4, 14–16, 20, 22]) employ condition (2) or some generalized form of (2) together with a  $\phi$ -contractive condition (5) or some weaker form of continuity, e.g., orbital continuity, continuity of  $f^k$  or k-continuity for some k > 1. Recently, Pant et al [17] obtained a Meir-Keeler type solution for the Rhoades' problem with condition (4) under the weak orbital continuity condition.

**Theorem 1.8 ([17]).** Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) such that conditions (1) and (4) hold for i = 1. Then f possesses a fixed point if and only if f is weakly orbitally continuous. Moreover, the fixed point is unique and f is continuous at the fixed point, say z, if and only if  $\lim_{x\to z} m_1(x, z) = 0$  or, equivalently,  $\lim_{x\to z} \sup d(fz, fx) = 0$ .

In this paper we obtain the solution of the Rhoades' problem with the conditions (2) and (3) under weak orbitally continuity without assuming (5). We show that these solution characterize completeness of the metric space and we also compare these with some recent solutions of Rhoades' problem.

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) such that for i = 1, 2

- (i)  $d(fx, fy) < m_i(x, y)$  whenever  $m_i(x, y) > 0$
- (*ii*) given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that

 $\epsilon < m_i(x, y) < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) \le \epsilon.$ 

(iii) f is orbitally continuous or k-continuous or weak orbitally continuous.

Then *f* has a unique fixed point, say *z*. Moreover, *f* is continuous at the fixed point if and only if  $\lim_{x\to z} m_i(x, z) = 0$  or, equivalently,  $\lim_{x\to z} \sup d(fz, fx) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be any point in X. Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X recursively by  $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ , that is,  $x_n = f^n x_0$ . If  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  for some *n* then  $x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = x_{n+3} \dots$ , that is,  $\{x_n\} = \{f^n x_0\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and  $x_n$  is a fixed point of *f*. We can, therefore, assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for each *n*. We first proceed for i = 1 and then using (i) we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) < \max\{d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}), d(x_n, fx_n)\}$$
  
= max{d(x\_{n-1}, x\_n), d(x\_n, x\_{n+1})} = d(x\_{n-1}, x\_n).

Thus  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and, hence, tends to a limit  $r \ge 0$ . Suppose r > 0. Then there exists a positive integer N such that

$$n \ge N \Rightarrow r < d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < r + \delta(r). \tag{6}$$

This yields  $r < \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = \max\{d(x_n, fx_n), d(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1})\} < r + \delta(r)$ , which by virtue of (iv) yields  $d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < r$ . This contradicts (6). Hence  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Similarly it can be shown that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since *X* is complete, there exists *z* in *X* such that  $x_n \to z$ . Moreover, for each integer  $p \ge 1$ , we have  $f^p x_n \to z$ . Also, using (i) it follows easily that  $f^n y \to z$  for any *y* in *X*.

Now suppose that *f* is *k*-continuous. Since  $f^{k-1}x_n \to t$ , *k* continuity of *f* implies that  $f^kx_n \to ft$ . Hence t = ft as  $f^kx_n \to t$ . Therefore, *t* is fixed point of *f*.

Next suppose that  $f^k$  is continuous for some positive integer k. Then,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^k x_n = f^k t$ . This yields  $f^k t = t$  as  $f^k x_n \to t$ . If  $t \neq ft$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(t,ft) &= d(f^kt,f^{k+1}t) &< \max\{d(f^{k-1}t,f^kt),d(f^kt,f^{k+1}t)\} \\ &= d(f^{k-1}t,f^kt) < d(f^{k-2}t,f^{k-1}t) < \ldots < d(t,ft), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence t = ft and t is a fixed point of f.

Suppose that *f* is orbitally continuous. Since  $x_n \to t$ , orbital continuity implies that  $fx_n \to ft$ . This gives t = ft as  $fx_n \to t$ . Thus *t* is a fixed point of *f*.

Finally, suppose that f is weakly orbitally continuous. Since  $f^n x_0 \to z$  for each  $x_0$ , by virtue of weak orbital continuity of f we get  $f^n y_0 \to z$  and  $f^{n+1}y_0 \to fz$  for some  $y_0$  in X. This implies z = fz since  $f^{n+1}y_0 \to z$ . Therefore z is a fixed point of f. Uniqueness of the fixed point follows easily.

It is also easy to verify that f is continuous at z if and only if  $\lim_{x\to z} \max\{d(x, fx), d(z, fz)\} = 0$  or, equivalently,  $\lim_{x\to z} \sup d(fz, fx) = 0$ . This can alternatively be stated as:

*f* is discontinuous at *z* if and only if  $\limsup_{x \to z} \sup d(fz, fx) > 0$ .

The proof for the case i = 2 follows similarly.  $\Box$ 

We now show that Theorem 2.1 characterizes metric completeness.

**Theorem 2.2.** If every k-continuous or weak orbitally continuous self-mapping of a metric space (X,d) satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 has a fixed point for i = 1, 2; then X is complete.

*Proof.* Suppose that every *k*-continuous or weak orbitally continuous self-mapping of the metric space *X* satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 possesses a fixed point for i = 1 and 2. We show that *X* is a complete metric space. If *X* is not complete, then there exists a Cauchy sequence in *X*, say  $S = \{a_n : n = 1, 2, 3...\}$ , consisting of distinct points which does not converge. Let  $x \in X$  be given. Then, since *x* is not a limit point of the sequence *S*, we have  $d(x, S - \{x\}) > 0$  and there exists a least positive integer, say N(x), such that  $x \neq a_{N(x)}$  for each  $m \ge N(x)$  we have

$$d(a_{N(x)}, a_m) < \frac{1}{2}d(x, a_{N(x)}).$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Let us define a mapping  $f : X \to X$  by  $f(x) = a_{N(x)}$ . Then,  $f(x) \neq x$  for each x and, using (7), for any x, y in X we get

$$d(fx, fy) = d(a_{N(x)}, a_{N(y)}) < \frac{1}{2}d(x, a_N(x)) = d(x, fx) \text{ if } N(x) \le N(y)$$
(8)

or

$$d(fx, fy) = d(a_{N(x)}, a_{N(y)}) < \frac{1}{2}d(y, a_N(y)) = d(y, fy) \text{ if } N(x) > N(y).$$
(9)

This implies that

$$d(fx, fy) < \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\}.$$
(10)

In other words, given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can select  $\delta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$  such that

$$\epsilon < \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) \le \epsilon.$$
(11)

It is clear from (10) and (11) that the mapping f satisfies condition (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 for i = 1 and 2. Since the range of f is contained in the non-convergent Cauchy sequence  $S = \{a_n\}$ , there exists no sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X for which the condition  $fx_n \rightarrow t \Rightarrow f^2x_n \rightarrow ft$  is violated. Therefore, f is a 2-continuous mapping. In a similar manner it follows that f is weak orbitally continuous. Thus, f is a 2-continuous as well as weak orbitally continuous self-mapping of X satisfying (i) and (ii) which does not possess a fixed point. This contradicts our assumption. Hence X is complete.  $\Box$ 

The next example illustrate Theorem 2.1.

**Example 2.3.** Let X = [0, 2] and d be the usual metric. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

 $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad 0 \le x \le 1\\ 0 & if \quad 1 < x \le 2. \end{cases}$ 

Then f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and has a unique fixed point x = 1; and f is discontinuous at the fixed point. The mapping f is 2-continuous,  $f^2$  is continuous and f is also orbitally continuous. It can be easily verified that

 $d(fx, fy) = 0, \quad 0 < \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} \le 1 \text{ if } x, y < 1,$ 

 $d(fx, fy) = 0, \quad 1 < \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} \le 2 \ if \ x, y > 1,$ 

and d(fx, fy) = 1,  $1 < \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} \le 2$  if  $x \le 1, y > 1$ .

Therefore, f satisfies condition (ii) with  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon$  if  $\epsilon < 1$  and  $\delta(\epsilon) = 1$  for  $\epsilon \ge 1$ . It may also be seen that the function f in this example does not satisfy the Meir and Keeler ( $\epsilon - \delta$ ) contractive condition, i.e., condition (1).

 $\epsilon \leq \max\{d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} < \epsilon + \delta \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) < \epsilon.$ 

**Remark 2.4.** The above example also satisfies condition (5) for i = 1, *i.e.*,

 $d(fx, fy) \le \phi(m_1(x, y)).$ 

In a recent paper Pant et al [17] obtained a Meir-Keeler type solution of Rhoades problem but their solution does not satisfy condition (5). This gives rise to following unresolved questions:

Question 1. Does there exist a solution of Rhoades' problem which satisfies (2) and (3) but not (5)?

**Question 2.** Does there exist a Meir-Keeler type solution (1) of Rhoades' problem which also satisfies (5)?

## References

- [1] R. K. Bisht and R. P. Pant, A remark on discontinuity at fixed point, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 445(2), 1239-1242.
- [2] R. K., Bisht and R. P. Pant, Contractive definitions and discontinuity at fixed point, Appl. Gen. Topol. 18(1) (2017), 173-182.
- [3] R. K. Bisht and V. Rakočević, Generalized Meir-Keeler type contractions and discontinuity at fixed point, Fixed Point Theory 19(1) (2018), 57-64.
- [4] R. K. Bisht and V. Rakočević, Fixed points of convex and generalized convex contractions, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, (2018) [doi.org/10.1007/s12215- 018-0386-2].
- [5] Lj. B. Ćirić, On contraction type mapping. Math. Balkanica 1, (1971), 52-57.
- [6] L. J. Cromme and I. Diener, Fixed point theorems for discontinuous mappings, Math. Program. 51(1991), 257-267.
- [7] L. J. Cromme, Fixed point theorems for discontinuous functions and applications, Nonlinear Anal. 30(3) (1997), 1527-1534.
- [8] X. Ding, J. Cao, X. Zhao and F. E. Alsaadi, Mittag-Leffler synchronization of delayed fractional-order bidirectional associative memory neural networks with discontinuous activations: state feedback control and impulsive control schemes, Proc. Royal Soc. A: Math. Engg. Phys. Sci (2017)[DOI: 10.1098/rspa.2017.0322].
- [9] M. Forti and P. Nistri, Global convergence of neural networks with discontinuous neuron activations, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Fundam. Theory Appl. 50 (11) (2003), 1421-1435.
- [10] J. Jachymski, Common fixed point theorems for some families of maps, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25(1994), 925-937.
- [11] J. Jachymski, Equivalent conditions and Meir-Keeler type theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 240(1999), 284-289.
- [12] X. Nie and W. X. Zheng, On multistability of competitive neural networks with discontinuous activation functions, 4th Australian Control Conf. (AUCC)(2014), 245-250.
- [13] X. Nie and W. X. Zheng, Multistability of neural networks with discontinuous non-monotonic piecewise linear activation functions and time-varying delays, Neural Networks 65(2015), 65–79.
- [14] N. Y. Özgür and N. Tas, Some fixed- circle theorems on metric spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2017). [doi: 10.1007/s40840-017-0555-z]
- [15] N. Y. Özgür and N. Tas, Some fixed-circle theorems and discontinuity at fixed circle, AIP Conf. Proceedings 1926, 020048 (2018); [doi.org/10.1063/1.5020497].

- [16] A. Pant and R. P. Pant, Fixed points and continuity of contractive maps, Filomat 31:11(2017), 3501-3506.
  [17] A. Pant, R. P. Pant and M. C. Joshi, Caristi type and Meir-Keeler type fixed point theorems, Filomat 33:12(2019), 3711-3721.
  [18] R. P. Pant, Discontinuity and fixed points, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 240(1999), 284-289.
- [19] R. P. Pant, Noncompatible mappings and common fixed points, Soochow J. Math. 26(1)(2000), 29-35.
  [20] V. Pant, Remarks on discontinuity at fixed points, J. Indian Math. Soc. 69(2002), 173-175.

- [21] B. E. Rhoades, Contractive definitions and continuity, Contemp. Math. 72(1988), 233-245. [22] D. Zheng and P. Wang, Weak  $\theta \varphi$ -contractions and discontinuity, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 10(2017), 2318–2323.