



## Best Simultaneous Approximation on Metric Spaces via Monotonous Norms

Mona Khandaqji<sup>a</sup>, Aliaa Burqan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zarqa university, Zarqa, Jordan.

**Abstract.** For a Banach space  $X$ ,  $L^\Phi(T, X)$  denotes the metric space of all  $X$ -valued  $\Phi$ -integrable functions  $f : T \rightarrow X$ , where the measure space  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete positive  $\sigma$ -finite and  $\Phi$  is an increasing subadditive continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . In this paper we discuss the proximality problem for the monotonous norm on best simultaneous approximation from the closed subspace  $Y \subseteq X$  to a finite number of elements in  $X$ .

### 1. Introduction

Many authors studied the problem of best simultaneous approximation for functions and operators in Banach spaces, also in metric linear spaces, e.g. [1], [2], [6]-[12].

A function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a modulus function if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $\Phi(x) = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ ;
2.  $\Phi(x + y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y)$ ;
3.  $\Phi$  is continuous and increasing.

The functions  $\Phi(x) = x^p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , and  $\Phi(x) = \ln(x + 1)$  are examples of modulus functions. Further the composition of two modulus functions is a modulus function.

Let  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a complete positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . If  $\Phi$  is a modulus function, then  $L^\Phi(T, X)$  denotes the space of all  $X$ -valued  $\Phi$ -integrable functions  $f : T \rightarrow X$  on the measure space  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  i.e.

$$L^\Phi(T, X) = \left\{ f : T \rightarrow X : \int_T \Phi(\|f(t)\|) d\mu < \infty \right\}.$$

Also, the sequence space  $l^\Phi(T, X)$  is defined by:

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41Axx ; Secondary 41A50, 41A28

*Keywords.* Simultaneous; Approximation.

Received: 01 December 2019; Accepted: 30 May 2020

Communicated by Miorag Spalević

*Email addresses:* m\_khandakji@asu.edu.jo (Mona Khandaqji), aliaaburqan@zu.edu.jo (Aliaa Burqan)

$$l^\Phi(T, X) = \left\{ x = (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty : \sum_{k=1}^\infty \Phi(\|x_k\|) < \infty, x_k \in X \right\}.$$

For  $x = (x_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in l^\Phi(T, X)$  and  $f \in L^\Phi(T, X)$ , set

$$\|x\|_\Phi = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \Phi(\|x_k\|) \text{ and } \|f\|_\Phi = \int_T \Phi(\|f(t)\|) d\mu.$$

The spaces  $(L^\Phi(T, X), \|\cdot\|_\Phi)$  and  $(l^\Phi(T, X), \|\cdot\|_\Phi)$  are complete metric linear spaces. It is well known that  $l^\Phi(T, X) \subseteq l^1(T, X)$ ,  $L^\Phi(T, X) \supseteq L^1(T, X)$ . For more about these spaces see [4], [5].

We start with the following definitions:

We say that a norm  $\rho$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is monotonous if for every  $x = (x_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ ,  $y = (y_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\rho(x) \leq \rho(y).$$

Note that the usual norms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are monotonous.

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  elements in  $X$ , we set

$$dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \rho(\Phi\|x_1 - y\|, \Phi\|x_2 - y\|, \dots, \Phi\|x_n - y\|)$$

We say that  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$ , if for any  $n$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ , there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that

$$\rho(\Phi\|x_1 - y_0\|, \Phi\|x_2 - y_0\|, \dots, \Phi\|x_n - y_0\|) = dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y).$$

In this case we say that  $y_0$  is a best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $Y$  of the elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ .

Also, we say that  $L^\Phi(T, Y)$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$ , if for any  $n$  elements  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$ , there exists  $g_0 \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} dis(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) &= \inf_{g \in L^\Phi(T, Y)} \rho(\|f_1 - g\|_\Phi, \|f_2 - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g\|_\Phi) \\ &= \rho(\|f_1 - g_0\|_\Phi, \|f_2 - g_0\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g_0\|_\Phi). \end{aligned}$$

In this case we say that  $g_0$  is a best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $L^\Phi(T, Y)$  of the elements  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$ .

We shall denote the set of all such best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  by  $P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  i.e.

$$P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left\{ y \in Y : \begin{aligned} &dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) = \\ &\rho(\Phi\|x_1 - y\|, \Phi\|x_2 - y\|, \dots, \Phi\|x_n - y\|) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Also

$$P_{L^\Phi(T, Y)}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) = \left\{ g \in L^\Phi(T, Y) : \begin{aligned} &dis(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) = \\ &\rho(\|f_1 - g\|_\Phi, \|f_2 - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g\|_\Phi) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$  if and only if  $P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is nonempty for every  $n$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ .

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  elements in  $X$ , we say that the sequence  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneously approximating for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $Y$ , if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \Phi \|x_2 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\|) = \text{dis}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y).$$

The set  $Y \subseteq X$  is said to be *approximatively compact*, if for every  $n$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ , and each  $\rho$ -simultaneously approximating sequence  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$ , there exists a subsequence of  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$  that converges to an element in  $Y$ .

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 2.1.**  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$ , if  $Y$  is a compact subspace of  $X$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ , define the function  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(y) = \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \Phi \|x_2 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\|).$$

It is clear that the function  $g$  is continuous, since  $\Phi, \rho, \|\cdot\|$  are continuous functions of  $Y$  and thus, the infimum is attained. i.e., there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that

$$g(y_0) = \inf_{y \in Y} g(y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \Phi \|x_2 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\|).$$

Thus  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$ .  $\square$

The following Lemma deals with the boundedness and the closeness of the set  $P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** The set  $P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is bounded and closed if  $Y$  is a closed subspace.

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  and suppose that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Using the fact that  $\Phi$  is an increasing function and  $0 \in Y$ , then for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) \Phi \|y_i\| &= \rho(\Phi \|y_i\|, \dots, \Phi \|y_i\|) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_i\| + \Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_i\| + \Phi \|x_n\|) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_i\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_i\|) + \rho(\Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n\|) \\ &= \text{dis}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) + \rho(\Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$\rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) \Phi \|y_i\| \leq \text{dis}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) + \rho(\Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n\|).$$

Hence,  $P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is bounded. Suppose  $Y$  is a closed subspace and let  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = y_0$ . Since  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , we have

$$\rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\|) = \inf_{y \in Y} \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\|),$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{y \in Y} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\| \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\| \right) \\ &= \rho \left( \Phi \left\| x_1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k \right\|, \dots, \Phi \left\| x_n - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k \right\| \right) \\ &= \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_0\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_0\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $y_0 \in P_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , which gives the required result.

The following lemmas and theorems introduce some classes of  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal subspaces:  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  elements in  $X$  and let the sequence  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$  be an  $\rho$ -simultaneously approximating sequence to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $Y$ . If  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is weakly convergent to  $y_0 \in Y$ , then  $y_0$  is a best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $Y$  of the elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\|\cdot\|$  is weakly lower semicontinuous (see [3]), then for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\|x_i - y_0\| \leq \liminf_k \|x_i - y_k\|.$$

Since  $\Phi$  is continuous and increasing function, then for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\Phi \|x_i - y_0\| \leq \liminf_k \Phi \|x_i - y_k\|.$$

Using the monotonicity and the continuity of the norm  $\rho$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_0\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_0\| \right) \\ & \leq \rho \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Phi \|x_n - y_k\| \right) \\ & = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\| \right) \\ & = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\| \right) \\ & = \text{dis} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Which means that  $y_0$  is a best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $Y$  of the elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.**  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$ , if  $Y$  is approximatively compact subspace of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be elements in  $X$ . Then by the definition of

$$\text{dis} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\| \right),$$

we can find  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\| \right) = \text{dis} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y).$$

Then  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is a  $\rho$ -simultaneously approximating sequence to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is approximatively compact, then there exists a subsequence  $(y_{k_n})$  of  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  that converges to  $y_0 \in Y$  (i.e.  $\lim_{k_n \rightarrow \infty} y_{k_n} = y_0$ ). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_0\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_0\| \right) &= \rho \left( \Phi \left\| x_1 - \lim_{k_n \rightarrow \infty} y_{k_n} \right\|, \dots, \Phi \left\| x_n - \lim_{k_n \rightarrow \infty} y_{k_n} \right\| \right) \\ &= \lim_{k_n \rightarrow \infty} \rho \left( \Phi \|x_1 - y_{k_n}\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_{k_n}\| \right) \\ &= \text{dis} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Which gives the required result.  $\square$

For  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , let  $B(x, r)$  denotes the closed ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . Recall that  $Y \subseteq X$  is locally weakly compact (resp. boundedly weakly compact) if for each  $y \in Y$  (resp. for each  $r > 0$ ), there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(y, \delta) \cap Y$  (resp.  $B(0, r) \cap Y$ ) is locally weakly compact.

Now, we introduce the following Lemma which gives the relation between locally weakly compact and boundedly weakly compact, [9].

**Lemma 2.5.** For a closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $Y$  is locally weakly compact.
- (ii)  $Y$  is boundedly weakly compact.
- (iii) There exists a point  $y \in Y$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(y, \delta) \cap Y$  is locally weakly compact.

**Theorem 2.6.**  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneously proximal in  $X$  if  $Y$  is a locally weakly compact closed subspace of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be elements in  $X$ . By the definition of

$$dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \Phi \|x_2 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\|),$$

we can find  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$ , such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\|) = dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y).$$

Then  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is a  $\rho$ -simultaneously approximating sequence to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $Y$ . Thus, there exists a positive number  $\alpha$ , such that

$$\rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\|) \leq \alpha,$$

for all  $k$ . Using the fact that  $\Phi$  is a modulus function and the norm  $\rho$  is monotonous, we have for each  $k \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) \Phi \|y_k\| &= \rho(\Phi \|y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|y_k\|) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi (\|x_1 - y_k\| + \|x_1\|), \dots, \Phi (\|x_n - y_k\| + \|x_n\|)) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_k\| + \Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\| + \Phi \|x_n\|) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y_k\|) + \rho(\Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n\|) \\ &\leq \alpha + \rho(\Phi \|x_1\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq Y$  is a bounded sequence. Since  $Y$  is locally weakly compact, it follows from Lemma (2.5) that  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  has a weakly convergent subsequence with weak limit  $y_0$ . Since  $Y$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ , then  $y_0 \in Y$ , it follows from Lemma (2.3) that  $y_0$  is a best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $Y$  of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^\Phi(T, X)$  and define  $H : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $H(t) = dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y)$ . Then  $H$  is a measurable function.

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^\Phi(T, X)$ , then there exist sequences of simple functions  $(f_m^i)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$  which converges to  $f_i$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  for almost all  $t \in T$  i.e:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_m^i(t) - f_i(t)\| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for almost all  $t \in T$ .

The continuity of the distance function  $dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y)$  implies that:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| dis(f_m^1(t), \dots, f_m^n(t), Y) - dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) \right| = 0,$$

for almost all  $t \in T$ .

Furthermore, for each  $m \in N$  the function:  $t \rightarrow dis(f_m^1(t), \dots, f_m^n(t), Y)$  is a simple function, therefore  $H$  is a measurable function.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^\Phi(T, X)$  be  $n$  elements of simple functions. Then

$$dist(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \int_T dist(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) d\mu(t).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f_i = \sum_{k=1}^m x_k^i \chi_{A_k}$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), where the  $A_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint measurable sets of  $T$  with  $\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k = T$ , and the set  $\{x_k^i\}_{k=1}^m \subseteq X$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\mu(A_k) < \infty$  whenever  $x_k^i \neq 0$  because

$$\|f_i\|_\Phi = \sum_{k=1}^m \Phi \|x_k^i\| \mu(A_k) < \infty.$$

Thus, we may assume  $0 < \mu(A_k) < \infty$ , for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Since

$$dis(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \rho(\Phi \|x_1 - y\|, \Phi \|x_2 - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_n - y\|),$$

then, we can select  $y_k \in Y$  such that:

$$\rho(\Phi \|x_k^1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_k^n - y_k\|) < dis(x_k^1, \dots, x_k^n, Y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m \mu(A_k)},$$

for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Set  $g_0 = \sum_{k=1}^m y_k \chi_{A_k}$ , clearly  $g_0 \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & dis(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \\ & \leq \rho(\|f_1 - g_0\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g_0\|_\Phi) \\ & = \rho\left(\int_T \Phi \|f_1(t) - g_0(t)\| d\mu(t), \dots, \int_T \Phi \|f_n(t) - g_0(t)\| d\mu(t)\right) \\ & = \rho\left(\sum_{k=1}^m \mu(A_k) \Phi \|x_k^1 - y_k\|, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(A_k) \Phi \|x_k^n - y_k\|\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(A_k) \rho(\Phi \|x_k^1 - y_k\|, \dots, \Phi \|x_k^n - y_k\|) \\ & < \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(A_k) \left( dis(x_k^1, \dots, x_k^n, Y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m \mu(A_k)} \right) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(A_k) dis(x_k^1, \dots, x_k^n, Y) + \varepsilon \\ & = \int_T dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) d\mu(t) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) < \int_T \text{dist}(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) d\mu(t) + \varepsilon$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \int_T \text{dist}(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) d\mu(t).$$

□

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a complete positive finite measure space and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  any  $n$  elements in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$ , then

$$\text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \int_T \text{dist}(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) d\mu(t).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mu(T)$  is finite, let  $\mu(T) = \alpha$ . Using the fact that simple functions are dense in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $n$  simple functions  $f_1^*, \dots, f_n^*$  in  $L^\Phi(T, X)$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and for almost all  $t \in T$ , we have

$$\Phi \|f_i^*(t) - f_i(t)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i^* - f_i\|_\Phi &= \int_T \Phi \|f_i^*(t) - f_i(t)\| d\mu(t) \\ &< \int_T \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} d\mu(t) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Assume that

$$f_i^* = \sum_{k=1}^m x_k^i \chi_{A_k}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

where the  $A_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint measurable sets of  $T$  with  $\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k = T$ , and  $(x_k^i)_{k=1}^m \subseteq X$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

To complete the proof we need the following steps:

Step 1: We show that

$$\int_T \text{dis}(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) d\mu(t) \leq \int_T \text{dis}(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) d\mu(t).$$

To show this, let  $t \in T$ , then for any  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$\text{dis}(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) \leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1^*(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n^*(t) - y\|).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is a modulus function, we have

$$\Phi \|f_i^*(t) - y\| \leq \Phi \|f_i^*(t) - f_i(t)\| + \Phi \|f_i(t) - y\|,$$

for  $t \in T$  and for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Using the fact that the norm  $\rho$  is monotonous, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\Phi \|f_1^*(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n^*(t) - y\|) \\ & \leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1^*(t) - f_1(t)\| + \Phi \|f_1(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n^*(t) - f_n(t)\| + \Phi \|f_n(t) - y\|) \\ & \leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1^*(t) - f_1(t)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n^*(t) - f_n(t)\|) \\ & \quad + \rho(\Phi \|f_1(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(t) - y\|) \\ & < \rho\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right) + \rho(\Phi \|f_1(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(t) - y\|) \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \rho(\Phi \|f_1(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(t) - y\|), \text{ for each } t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$dis(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \rho(\Phi \|f_1(t) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(t) - y\|), \quad t \in T$$

Taking the infimum over all such  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$dis(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y), \quad t \in T$$

Using Lemma (2.8), we can take the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T dis(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) \, d\mu(t) & \leq \int_T \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) \right) d\mu(t) \\ & = \varepsilon \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \int_T dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) \, d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  arbitrary let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\int_T dis(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) \, d\mu(t) \leq \int_T dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) \, d\mu(t). \tag{3}$$

Step 2: We show that

$$dis(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \int_T dis(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t), Y) \, d\mu(t).$$

Using inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & dis(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \\ & \leq \rho(\|f_1 - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g\|_\Phi) \\ & \leq \rho(\|f_1 - f_1^*\|_\Phi + \|f_1^* - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - f_n^*\|_\Phi + \|f_n^* - g\|_\Phi) \\ & \leq \rho(\|f_1 - f_1^*\|_\Phi, \|f_2 - f_2^*\|_\Phi) + \dots + \rho(\|f_n^* - g\|_\Phi, \|f_n^* - g\|_\Phi) \\ & \leq \rho(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon) + \rho(\|f_1^* - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n^* - g\|_\Phi) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \rho(\|f_1^* - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n^* - g\|_\Phi), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $g \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$ . Thus

$$dis(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \varepsilon \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \rho(\|f_1^* - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n^* - g\|_\Phi).$$



Taking the infimum over all such  $g$  in  $L^\Phi(T, Y)$ , we get

$$\text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \varepsilon \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \text{dist}(f_1^*, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \tag{4}$$

Lemma (2.8) and inequality (4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) &\leq \varepsilon \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \text{dist}(f_1^*, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \int_T \text{dis}(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) \, d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  arbitrary, let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \int_T \text{dis}(f_1^*(t), \dots, f_n^*(t), Y) \, d\mu(t).$$

Using inequality(3), we get

$$\text{dis}(f_1, \dots, f_n, L^\Phi(T, Y)) \leq \int_T \text{dis}(f_1(t), \dots, f_2(t), Y) \, d\mu(t).$$

Thus we get the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $g \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$  be the best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $L^\Phi(T, Y)$  of the elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^\Phi(T, X)$ , then for any measurable subset  $A$  of  $T$ , and for every  $h \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$ , we have

$$\int_A \Phi \|f_i(t) - g(t)\| \, d\mu(t) \leq \int_A \Phi \|f_i(t) - h(t)\| \, d\mu(t), \tag{5}$$

for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mu(A) > 0$ , for some  $A \subseteq T$ . Suppose that there is  $h_0 \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$  that doesn't satisfy inequality (5), then we can define  $g_0 \in L^\Phi(T, Y)$  such that

$$g_0(t) = \begin{cases} h_0(t), & t \in A \\ g(t), & t \in T - A \end{cases}$$

Thus, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \Phi \|f_i(t) - g_0(t)\| \, d\mu(t) &= \int_A \Phi \|f_i(t) - h_0(t)\| \, d\mu(t) + \int_{T-A} \Phi \|f_i(t) - g(t)\| \, d\mu(t) \\ &< \int_T \Phi \|f_i(t) - g(t)\| \, d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\|f_i - g_0\|_\Phi < \|f_i - g\|_\Phi,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Using the fact that the norm  $\rho$  is monotonous, we have

$$\rho(\|f_1 - g_0\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g_0\|_\Phi) < \rho(\|f_1 - g\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g\|_\Phi).$$

This contradicts the fact that  $g$  is the best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $L^\Phi(T, Y)$  of the elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^\Phi(T, X)$ .  $\square$

The following result concerns the  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation of  $l^\Phi(T, Y)$  in  $l^\Phi(T, X)$ .

**Theorem 2.11.**  $l^\Phi(T, Y)$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $l^\Phi(T, X)$  if  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in l^\Phi(T, X)$ , where  $f_i = (f_i(n))_{n=1}^\infty$ . Since  $Y$  is  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximal in  $X$ , then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $g(k) \in Y$  such that

$$\rho(\Phi \|f_1(k) - g(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k) - g(k)\|) \leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1(k) - y\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k) - y\|),$$

for every  $y \in Y$ . Since  $0 \in Y$ , we have

$$\rho(\Phi \|f_1(k) - g(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k) - g(k)\|) \leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k)\|). \quad (6)$$

Using inequality (6) and the fact that  $\Phi$  is subadditive and increasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(1, 1, \dots, 1) \Phi \|g(k)\| \\ &= \rho(\Phi \|g(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|g(k)\|) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1(k) - g(k)\| + \Phi \|f_1(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k) - g(k)\| + \Phi \|f_n(k)\|) \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi \|f_1(k) - g(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k) - g(k)\|) \\ &+ \rho(\Phi \|f_1(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k)\|) \\ &\leq n \rho(\Phi \|f_1(k)\|, \dots, \Phi \|f_n(k)\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g_0 = (g(k))_{k=1}^\infty \in l^\Phi(T, Y)$ . To show that  $g_0$  is the best  $\rho$ -simultaneous approximation from  $l^\Phi(T, Y)$  of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in  $l^\Phi(T, X)$ , let  $h = (h(k))_{k=1}^\infty \in l^\Phi(T, Y)$ . Then for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i - h\|_\Phi &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \Phi \|f_i(k) - h(k)\| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \Phi \|f_i(k) - g(k)\| \\ &\geq \|f_i - g_0\|_\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Using the monotonicity of the norm  $\rho$ , we have

$$\rho(\|f_1 - h\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - h\|_\Phi) \geq \rho(\|f_1 - g_0\|_\Phi, \dots, \|f_n - g_0\|_\Phi).$$

Hence, we get the result.  $\square$

**Conclusion 2.12.** We have established the  $\rho$ -simultaneous proximality of the closed subspace  $Y$  in the Banach space  $X$  and give some results in the distance formula of the space  $L^\Phi(T, X)$ . It is not hard to extend our results to the case where  $\rho$  is any monotone norm of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n$  a finite positive integer.

## References

- [1] Abu-Sirhan, Best Simultaneous Approximation in function and operator space, Turk J Math 36 (2012), 101 – 112.
- [2] Al-Sharif, Sh., Best Simultaneous Approximation in Metric Spaces, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS), 1(1), (2008) 69-80.
- [3] Day, M.M., Normed Linear Spaces, third ed., Springer, New York, 1973.

- [4] Deeb, W. and Khalil, R., On the Tensor Product of Non Locally Topological Vector Spaces, Illinois J. Math. Proc. 30,594-601(1986).
- [5] Deeb, W. and Younis Y., Extreme Points in a Class of Non Locally Convex Topological vector space, Math. Reb. Toyama Univ. 6, 95-103(1983, New York (1972).
- [6] Khandaqji, M. and Al-Sharif, Sh., Best Simultaneous Approximation in Orlicz Spaces, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Article ID 68017 (2007).
- [7] Khandaqji M., Awawdeh F. ,Jawdat J., Simultaneous proximality of vector valued function spaces, Turk J Math, 36,(2012) 437 – 444 .
- [8] Khandaqji M., Shatanawi W., Best p-Simultaneous approximation in some metric space, Turk J Math, 32,(2008) 1 – 9 .
- [9] Luo X F, Li C, Xu H K, Yao J C., Existence of best simultaneous approximations in  $L_p(S;X)$ ; J. Approx. Theory, 163, 1300-1316 (2011).
- [10] Mendoza J. Proximality in  $L_p(\mu;X)$ , J. Approx. Theory, 93, 331-343 (1998).
- [11] Mendoza J. ,Pakhrou T., Best simultaneous approximation in  $L_1(\mu, X)$ , J. Approx. Theory 145 (2007) 212–220.
- [12] Saidi F B, Hussein D, Khalil R., Best Simultaneous Approximation in  $L_p(L;E)$ ; J. Approx. Theory, 116, 369-379 (2002).