Filomat 34:11 (2020), 3601–3607 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2011601S



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

On Some General Inequalities Related to Operator AM-GM Inequality

Rahim Safshekan^a, Ali Farokhinia^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . As usual, we reserve m, M for scalars and I for the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . A self adjoint operator A is said to be positive (written $A \ge 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, while it is said to be strictly positive (written A > 0) if A is positive and invertible. If A and B are self adjoint, we write $B \ge A$ in case $B - A \ge 0$. The Gelfand map $f(t) \mapsto f(A)$ is an isometrical *-isomorphism between the C^* -algebra $C(\sigma(A))$ of continuous functions on the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of a self adjoint operator A and the C^* -algebra generated by A and the identity operator I. This is called the functional calculus of A. If $f, g \in C(\sigma(A))$, then $f(t) \ge g(t)$ ($t \in \sigma(A)$) implies $f(A) \ge g(A)$ (see [11, p. 3]). A linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is positive if $\Phi(A) \ge 0$ whenever $A \ge 0$. It's said to be unital if $\Phi(I) = I$.

For any strictly positive operator $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \le v \le 1$, we write

$$A!_{v}B = \left(A^{-1}\nabla_{v}B^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \ A\sharp_{v}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{v}A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ A\nabla B = (1-v)A + vB$$

For the case $v = \frac{1}{2}$, we write !, \ddagger , and ∇ , respectively. We use the same notions for scalars.

It is well-known that the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality (in short, AM-GM inequality), with respect to operator order, says that

$$A \sharp_v B \le A \nabla_v B. \tag{1}$$

The Löwner–Heinz theorem [11, Theorem 1.8] says that if $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are positive, then for $0 \le p \le 1$,

$$A \le B \Rightarrow A^p \le B^p. \tag{2}$$

In general (2) is not true for p > 1.

Lin [7] nicely reduced the study of squared operator inequalities to that of some norm inequalities. Actually, he found that a reverse of operator AM-GM inequality can be squared

$$(A\nabla B)^2 \le K(h)^2 (A \sharp B)^2$$

(3)

Received: 13 November 2019; Revised: 23 December 2019; Accepted: 03 January 2020

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A63; Secondary 47A30, 47B20

Keywords. Operator inequality, Jensen's inequality, convex functions, self adjoint operators, positive operators

Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh

Corresponding author: Ali Farokhinia

Email addresses: ra_safshekan@yahoo.com (Rahim Safshekan), farokhinia@iaushiraz.ac.ir (Ali Farokhinia)

whenever $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are two positive operators satisfying $mI \le A, B \le MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M, and $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ with $h = \frac{M}{m}$. It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$(A\nabla B)^{p} \le K(h)^{p} (A \sharp B)^{p} \quad (0
$$\tag{4}$$$$

It is natural to ask whether inequality (4) is true for $p \ge 2$? Recently, an affirmative answer to this question has been given by Fu and He [2], where it has been proved that

$$(A\nabla B)^p \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4^{\frac{2}{p}}Mm}\right)^p (A\sharp B)^p.$$

The problem of squaring operator inequalities has been studied extensively in the literature. We refer the reader to [4, 8–10, 12] as sample of this work.

As mentioned above, Lin's method was based on some observations about the operator norm and an arithmetic-geometric mean inequality of Bhatia and Kittaneh (see [7, Lemma 2.2]). This paper intends to square a reverse of operator AM-GM inequality in a different way. Moreover, we square the operator Pólya–Szegö inequality [6, 10].

2. Main Results

To prove our generalized operator AM-GM inequalities, we need several well known lemmas. The first lemma is a simple consequence of the Jensen inequality concerning the convexity of certain power function [11, Theorem 1.4].

Lemma 2.1. (Hölder–McCarthy inequality) Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator. Then for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

 $\langle Ax, x \rangle^p \le \langle A^p x, x \rangle \quad (p \ge 1).$

The second lemma is the converses of Hölder–McCarthy inequality [11, Theorem 1.29].

Lemma 2.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A \leq MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle A^2 x, x \rangle \leq K(h) \langle Ax, x \rangle^2,$$

where $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ with $h = \frac{M}{m}$.

The third lemma is a reverse of operator AM–GM inequality, which has been proved in [5, Theorem 1]. **Lemma 2.3.** Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $0 \leq v \leq 1$,

$$A\nabla_{v}B \leq \frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}A\sharp_{v}B,$$

where $\lambda = \min \{v, 1 - v\}$. In particular,

$$A\nabla B \leq \sqrt{K(h)}A \# B$$

where $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ with $h = \frac{M}{m}$.

Our first result is a generalization of the inequality (3).

Theorem 2.4. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \le A, B \le MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $0 \le v \le 1$,

$$(A\nabla_{v}B)^{2} \leq K(h) \left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2} (A\sharp_{v}B)^{2},$$
(5)

where $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ with $h = \frac{M}{m}$, and $\lambda = \min\{v, 1-v\}$. In particular,

$$(A\nabla B)^2 \le K(h)^2 (A \sharp B)^2.$$

3603

Proof. One can see that Lemma 2.3 implies

$$\langle A\nabla_{v}Bx, x\rangle \leq \left\langle \frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}A\sharp_{v}Bx, x\right\rangle$$
(6)

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Taking the square in (6), we have

$$\langle A\nabla_{v}Bx, x \rangle^{2} \leq \left\langle \frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}A\sharp_{v}Bx, x \right\rangle^{2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2} \langle A\sharp_{v}Bx, x \rangle^{2}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2} \langle (A\sharp_{v}B)^{2}x, x \rangle \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1).}$$

$$(7)$$

On the other hand, $mI \le A, B \le MI$ implies

$$(1-v)\,mI \le (1-v)\,A \le (1-v)\,MI,\tag{8}$$

and

$$vmI \le vB \le vMI.$$
 (9)

It follows from (8) and (9) that

 $mI \leq A\nabla_v B \leq MI.$

By applying Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\frac{1}{K(h)}\left\langle (A\nabla_{v}B)^{2}x,x\right\rangle \leq \langle A\nabla_{v}Bx,x\rangle^{2}.$$
(10)

Combining (7) and (10) we infer

$$\left\langle (A\nabla_{v}B)^{2}x,x\right\rangle \leq K(h)\left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2}\left\langle (A\sharp_{v}B)^{2}x,x\right\rangle$$

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. This completes the proof. \Box

Another result of this type is the following one:

Corollary 2.5. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \le A, B \le MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $0 \le v \le 1$,

$$(A\nabla_{v}B)^{2} \leq \left(K(h)\left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2} - 1\right)M^{2}I + (A\sharp_{v}B)^{2},$$

where $\lambda = \min \{v, 1 - v\}$.

Proof. It follows from (5) that

$$(A\nabla_{v}B)^{2} - (A\sharp_{v}B)^{2} \leq \left(K(h)\left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2} - 1\right)(A\sharp_{v}B)^{2}$$
$$\leq \left(K(h)\left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{2} - 1\right)M^{2}I,$$

where the second inequality follows from the fact that

$$A, B \leq MI \implies A \sharp_v B \leq MI \sharp_v MI = MI.$$

As pointed out by Fujii and Nakamura in their paper [3, Theorem 2], if $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator such that $mI \le A \le MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M, and $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector, then for any $p \ge 1$,

$$\langle A^{p}x, x \rangle \leq K(m, M, p) \langle Ax, x \rangle^{p}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$K(m, M, p) = \frac{mM^p - Mm^p}{(p-1)(M-m)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{M^p - m^p}{mM^p - Mm^p}\right)^p.$$
(12)

We note that $K(m, M, -1) = K(m, M, 2) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the original Kantorovich constant. Now, by employing (11) and applying a same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we reach the following result.

Corollary 2.6. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $p \geq 1$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$,

$$(A\nabla_{v}B)^{p} \leq K(m, M, p) \left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{p} (A\sharp_{v}B)^{p},$$

where K(m, M, p) is defined as in (12), and $\lambda = \min \{v, 1 - v\}$.

Since
$$A^{-1}\nabla_{v}B^{-1} \leq \frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}A^{-1}\sharp_{v}B^{-1}$$
 and $\left(A^{-1}\sharp_{v}B^{-1}\right)^{-1} = A\sharp_{v}B$, it follows that
 $A\sharp_{v}B \leq \frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}A!_{v}B.$
(13)

The following result concerning (13) may be stated:

Corollary 2.7. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $p \geq 1$ and $0 \le v \le 1$,

$$(A \sharp_{v} B)^{p} \leq K(m, M, p) \left(\frac{m \nabla_{\lambda} M}{m \sharp_{\lambda} M}\right)^{p} (A !_{v} B)^{p},$$

where K(m, M, p) is defined as in (12), and $\lambda = \min \{v, 1 - v\}$.

The following result is interesting in itself as well.

Proposition 2.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator with the spectra contained in the interval J. If $f : J \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *convex function, then for any unit vector* $x \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle A^p x, x \rangle \leq \langle A x, x \rangle^p + p\alpha,$$

where

$$\alpha = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ \|x\| = 1}} \left\{ \langle A^p x, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle \left\langle A^{p-1} x, x \right\rangle \right\}.$$

Proof. It is well known that if f is a convex function on an interval J, then for each point (s, f(s)), there exists a real number C_s such that

$$f(s) + C_s(t-s) \le f(t)$$

for all $t \in J$. If f is a differentiable at s, then

$$f(s) + f'(s)(t-s) \le f(t)$$
. (14)

Since $f(t) = t^p (p \ge 1)$ is a convex and differentiable function, then from (14) we obtain

$$s^p + ps^{p-1} \left(t - s\right) \le t^p.$$

Applying functional calculus we get

$$A^p + \left(ptA^{p-1} - pA^p \right) \le t^p I.$$

Hence for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle A^{p}x, x \rangle + \left(pt \left\langle A^{p-1}x, x \right\rangle - p \left\langle A^{p}x, x \right\rangle \right) \le t^{p}.$$
(15)

Now, since the spectra of $\langle Ax, x \rangle$, contained in the interval *J*, by replacing *t* by $\langle Ax, x \rangle$ in (15), we infer (see also [1, Theorem 2.1])

$$\langle A^{p}x,x\rangle + \left(p\langle Ax,x\rangle\langle A^{p-1}x,x\rangle - p\langle A^{p}x,x\rangle\right) \leq \langle Ax,x\rangle^{p}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\langle A^p x, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle \left\langle A^{p-1} x, x \right\rangle \ge 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle A^{p}x, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle \left\langle A^{p-1}x, x \right\rangle \leq \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ \|x\|=1}} \left\{ \langle A^{p}x, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle \left\langle A^{p-1}x, x \right\rangle \right\}$$

Consequently,

$$\langle A^p x, x \rangle \leq \langle A x, x \rangle^p + p \alpha$$

which completes the proof of this proposition. \Box

We now present our next main result.

Theorem 2.9. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $p \geq 1$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$,

$$\left(A\nabla_{v}B\right)^{p} \leq \left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{p} \left(A\sharp_{v}B\right)^{p} + p\beta I,$$

where $\lambda = \min \{v, 1 - v\}$ and

$$\beta = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ \|x\|=1}} \left\{ \left\langle (A\nabla_v B)^p x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle A\nabla_v B x, x \right\rangle \left\langle (A\nabla_v B)^{p-1} x, x \right\rangle \right\}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\left(A\sharp_{v}B\right)^{p} \leq \left(\frac{m\nabla_{\lambda}M}{m\sharp_{\lambda}M}\right)^{p} \left(A!_{v}B\right)^{p} + \gamma pI,$$

where

$$\gamma = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\ \|x\|=1}} \left\{ \left\langle \left(A \sharp_v B\right)^p x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle A \sharp_v B x, x \right\rangle \left\langle \left(A \sharp_v B\right)^{p-1} x, x \right\rangle \right\}.$$

Proof. Employing Proposition 2.8 for two inequalities

$$\langle A \nabla_v B x, x \rangle^p \leq \left(\frac{m \nabla_\lambda M}{m \sharp_\lambda M} \right)^p \left\langle \left(A \sharp_v B \right)^p x, x \right\rangle,$$

and

$$\langle A \sharp_v B x, x \rangle^p \leq \left(\frac{m \nabla_\lambda M}{m \sharp_\lambda M} \right)^p \langle (A!_v B)^p x, x \rangle.$$

It has been shown in [6], that if $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are two positive operators satisfying $mI \le A, B \le MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M, and $\Phi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital positive linear map, then

$$\Phi(A) \, \sharp \Phi(B) \le \sqrt{K(h)} \Phi(A \sharp B) \,. \tag{16}$$

Remark 2.10. We give a simple proof of (16). One can write

$$\begin{split} \Phi(A) & \sharp \Phi(B) \leq \Phi(A) \nabla \Phi(B) \quad (by \ (1)) \\ &= \Phi(A \nabla B) \\ &\leq \Phi\left(\sqrt{K(h)}A \sharp B\right) \quad (by \ Lemma \ 2.3) \\ &= \sqrt{K(h)} \Phi(A \sharp B) \end{split}$$

i.e.,

$$\Phi(A) \, \sharp \Phi(B) \le \Phi(A) \, \nabla \Phi(B) \le \sqrt{K(h)} \Phi(A \sharp B)$$

which actually refines the inequality (16).

We next present the generalizations of (16).

Theorem 2.11. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $mI \le A, B \le MI$ for some scalars 0 < m < M. Then for any $p \ge 1$,

$$\left(\Phi\left(A\right)\sharp\Phi\left(B\right)\right)^{p} \leq K(h)^{\frac{p}{2}}K(m,M,p)\,\Phi(A\sharp B)^{p},\tag{17}$$

and

$$(\Phi(A) \,\sharp \Phi(B))^p - \Phi(A \,\sharp B)^p \le \left(K(h)^{\frac{p}{2}} K(m, M, p) - 1 \right) M^p I, \tag{18}$$

where K(m, M, p) is defined as in (12).

Proof. It follows from (16) that

$$\langle \Phi(A) \, \sharp \Phi(B) \, x, x \rangle^{p} \leq K(h)^{\frac{p}{2}} \langle \Phi(A \, \sharp B) \, x, x \rangle^{p}$$

$$\leq K(h)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\langle \Phi(A \, \sharp B)^{p} x, x \right\rangle$$
(19)

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Since $mI \le A, B \le MI$ and Φ is a unital positive linear mapping, then $mI \le \Phi(A), \Phi(B) \le MI$. Thus, $mI \le \Phi(A) \ \# \Phi(B) \le MI$. Hence from (11),

$$\left\langle \left(\Phi\left(A\right) \sharp\Phi\left(B\right)\right)^{p} x, x\right\rangle \leq K\left(m, M, p\right) \left\langle\Phi\left(A\right) \sharp\Phi\left(B\right) x, x\right\rangle^{p}$$

$$\tag{20}$$

3606

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Combining (19) and (20), we get the desired inequality (17). For (18),

 $(\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^{p} - \Phi(A \sharp B)^{p} \leq \left(K(h)^{\frac{p}{2}} K(m, M, p) - 1 \right) \Phi(A \sharp B)^{p}$ $\leq \left(K(h)^{\frac{p}{2}} K(m, M, p) - 1 \right) M^{p} I.$

where we have used the fact that

 $mI \le \Phi(A \# B) \le MI.$

It is immediate to see from (17) and (18) that

$$\left(\Phi\left(A\right)\sharp\Phi\left(B\right)\right)^{2}\leq K(h)^{2}\Phi\left(A\sharp B\right)^{2},$$

and

References

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Some reverses of the Jensen inequality for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2010.1 (2010): 496821
- [2] X. Fu and C. He, Some operator inequalities for positive linear maps, Linear Multilinear Algebra., 63(3) (2015), 571–577.
- [3] M. Fujii and M. Nakamura, Jensen inequality is a complement to Kantorovich inequality, Sci. Math. Jpn., 18 (2005), 91–97.
- [4] S. Furuichi, H. R. Moradi and M. Sababheh, New sharp inequalities for operator means, Linear Multilinear Algebra., 67(8) (2019), 1567–1578.
- [5] I. H. Gümüş, H. R. Moradi and M. Sababheh, More accurate operator means inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 465(1) (2018), 267-280.
- [6] E. Y. Lee, A matrix reverse Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Linear Algebra Appl., 430(2) (2009), 805-810.
- [7] M. Lin, Squaring a reverse AM-GM inequality, Studia Math., 215 (2013), 187-194.
- [8] H. R. Moradi, M. Omidvar, Complementary inequalities to improved AM-GM inequality, Acta Math. Sin., 33 (2017), 1609–1616.
- [9] H. R. Moradi, M. E. Omidvar, I. H. Gümüş and R. Naseri, A note on some inequalities for positive linear maps, Linear Multilinear Algebra., 66(7) (2018), 1449–1460.
- [10] M. S. Moslehian, and X. Fu, Squaring operator Pólya-Szegö and Diaz-Metcalf type inequalities, Linear Algebra Appl., 491 (2016), 73-82.
- [11] J. Pečarić, T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot and Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić method in operator inequalities, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [12] M. Sababheh, H. R. Moradi and Z. Heydarbeygi, New inequalities for the generalized Karcher mean, Linear Algebra Appl., 580 (2019), 184–199.