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#### Abstract

We establish the existence of a nontrivial and nonnegative solution for a double phase Dirichlet problem driven by a $(p(z), q(z))$-Laplacian operator plus a potential term. Our approach is variational, but the reaction term $f$ need not satisfy the usual in such cases Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested in the existence of a nontrivial and nonnegative solution for the following class of double phase problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u\right)+b(z)|u|^{p(z)-2} u=f(z, u(z)) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where
(a) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary;
(b) $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & \rightarrow f(z, \xi) \text { is measurable for each } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \\
\xi & \rightarrow f(z, \xi) \text { is continuous for a.a. } z \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) $p, q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ are such that $q(z)<p(z)$ for all $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1<q^{-}:=\inf _{z \in \Omega} q(z) \leq q(z) \leq q^{+}:=\sup _{z \in \Omega} q(z)<+\infty, \\
& 1<p^{-}:=\inf _{z \in \Omega} p(z) \leq p(z) \leq p^{+}:=\sup _{z \in \Omega} p(z)<+\infty ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) $a, b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are such that $0<a_{0} \leq a(z)$ and $0 \leq b_{0}<b(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

[^0]The study of double phase problems involving variable growth conditions is motivated by their applications in mathematical physics. For example, they are useful tools to model non-Newtonian fluids changing their viscosity when electro-magnetic fields interfer. Several authors have given their contributions to the study of nonlinear problems with unbalanced growth. We start pointing out that Marcellini in [11] established regularity results of minimizers in the abstract setting of quasiconvex integrals. These kind of problems have a key role in modelling elastic body deformation and nonlinear elasticity phenomena. In this direction we recall two Zhikov's papers [22,23], that provide models for strongly anisotropic materials in the framework of homogenization. The associated functionals also demonstrated their importance in studying duality theory and Lavrentiev phenomenon [21]. In this direction, several results can be found in different papers by Mingione et al. [1, 2, 5, 6], which are linked to Zhikov's papers [22, 23]. Also, Papageorgiou et al. in [15] consider a double phase eigenvalue problem driven by the ( $p, q$ )-Laplacian plus an indefinite and unbounded potential, with a Robin boundary condition. For other remarkable papers dealing with regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic double phase problems involving variable exponents see, for example, $[3,10,14,19,20]$. For some results with constant exponents see [13, 17, 18].

The motivation behind this study is given by some recent papers dealing with nonlinear problems with unbalanced growth whose main results are briefly collected in what follows. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(u)=\int_{\Omega} a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} c(z)|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} b(z)|u|^{p(z)} d z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<q(z)<p(z)$ and $a(z), b(z), c(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$.
Regularity results for minimizers of (2) with $a(z) \geq 0, b(z)=0, c(z)=1$ for all $z \in \Omega$ can be found in [5].
The case $c \equiv 0$ has been studied by Chabrowski and Fu in [4]. In fact, they established existence of a nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution for the following $p(z)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u\right)+b(z)|u|^{p(z)-2} u=f(z, u(z)) \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

In [14], Papageorgiou and Vetro have proved the existence of one and three non trivial weak solutions for Dirichlet boundary value problems driven by a $(p(z), q(z))$-Laplacian operator, with $a(z)=c(z)=1$ and $b(z)=0$ for all $z \in \Omega$, that is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u\right)=f(z, u(z)) \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The aim of this paper is to extend these results to the case $a(z), b(z)>0$ and $c(z)=1$ for all $z \in \Omega$, that is Problem (1), in the setting of superlinear (see Section 3) and sublinear (see Section 4) growth of $f$. We point out that we do not employ the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, which is common in the literature when dealing with superlinear problems. In the last section (namely Section 5), we consider the parametrical problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u\right)+b(z)|u|^{p(z)-2} u=\lambda f(z, u(z)) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0$. In the parametric setting, using the results obtained in Section 3, we deduce the existence of a nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution $u_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda>0$. Furthermore, we show that for the solution $u_{\lambda}$, we have $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

## 2. Mathematical background

In this section, we collect some basic properties of Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. We recall that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary. We set

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}=\{u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \mathrm{u} \text { is measurable }\} .
$$

Let $\rho_{p}: \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be the mapping defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{p}(u):=\int_{\Omega} \mid u(z)^{p(z)} d z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(z)}(\Omega)$ given as

$$
L^{p(z)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}: \rho_{p}(u)<+\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the Luxemburg norm, that is

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}:=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(z)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(z)} d z \leq 1\right\}
$$

Consequently, the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev space $W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$
W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in L^{p(z)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(z)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

equipped with the following norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{1}, p^{p(z)}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}+\||\nabla u|\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.
From [8] we have that $L^{p(z)}(\Omega), W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ endowed with the above norms, are separable, reflexive and uniformly convex Banach spaces. Let $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, we recall that the critical Sobolev exponent $p^{*}$ of $p$ is given by

$$
p^{*}(z)=\frac{N p(z)}{N-p(z)} \text { if } p(z)<N \quad \text { and } \quad p^{*}(z)=+\infty \text { if } p(z) \geq N
$$

We recall the following embedding theorem.
Proposition 2.1 ([9]). Assume that $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p(z)>1$ for each $z \in \bar{\Omega}$. If $\beta \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1<\beta(z)<p^{*}(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$, then there exists a continuous and compact embedding $W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\beta(z)}(\Omega)$.

Throughout the paper the embedding constant of $W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\beta(z)}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $C_{\beta}$. In addition, from Theorem 1.11 of [9], we deduce that the embedding $L^{p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(z)}(\Omega)$ is continuous, whenever $q, p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1<q(z)<p(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces is played by the modular of the $L^{p(z)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p}$ defined in (3).
Theorem 2.2 ([9]). Let $u \in L^{p(z)}(\Omega)$. Then we have that
(i) $\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}<1(=1,>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p}(u)<1(=1,>1)$;
(ii) if $\|u\|_{L^{p^{(z)}(\Omega)}}>1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho_{p}(u) \leq\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)^{p}}^{p^{+}}$;
(iii) if $\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}<1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho_{p}(u) \leq\|u\|_{L^{p^{(z)}(\Omega)}}^{p^{-}}$.

It is well known that the norm $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\mid \nabla u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}$ on $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$, in virtue of the following Poincaré inequality ([7], Theorem 8.2.18)

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)} \leq c\| \| \nabla u \|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)} \quad \text { for some } c>0, \text { all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)
$$

As a consequence, from now on, we will consider the norm $\|u\|=\||\nabla u|\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}$ on $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ instead of the one given in (4).

A function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (1) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u \nabla w d z+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u \nabla w d z+\int_{\Omega} b(z)|u|^{p(z)-2} u w d z=\int_{\Omega} f(z, u) w d z \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $w \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.
Now, we consider the function $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given as

$$
F(z, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(z, \xi) d \xi \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \Omega
$$

and the functional $I: W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given as

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(z, u) d z, \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)
$$

Suitable assumptions in the sequel (namely $\left.\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{5}\right)\right)$ ensure that $I \in C^{1}\left(W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and the embedding given by Proposition 2.1 implies that $I$ admits the following compact derivative

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(z, u) w d z, \quad \text { for all } u, w \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)
$$

To problem (1) we associate the functional $J: W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}|u|^{p(z)} d z-I(u) \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)
$$

We say that $u$ is a critical point of $J$ if it satisfies

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u \nabla w d z+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u \nabla w d z+\int_{\Omega} b(z)|u|^{p(z)-2} u w d z-\int_{\Omega} f(z, u) w d z=0
$$

for all $w \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$. So, from the definition of weak solutions of problem (1), we deduce that they coincide with the critical points of $J$.

## 3. Supercritical case

In this section, we prove that problem (1) has at least one nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution. Later on, we denote with $\mathbb{R}^{+}$the set of positive real numbers. We consider the following set of hypotheses:
$\left(H_{0}\right) f \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}), f(z, \xi)=0$ for all $z \in \Omega$ and $\xi \leq 0 ;$
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ there exist $\alpha \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $p^{+}<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<p^{*}(z)$ for all $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2} \in[0,+\infty[$ such that

$$
|f(z, \xi)| \leq a_{1}+a_{2} \xi^{\alpha(z)-1} \quad \text { for all }(z, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right)$ there exists $\left.\epsilon \in\right] 0, \frac{a_{0}}{C_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}}}\left[\right.$e $\delta>0$ such that $F(z, t) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{p^{+}} t^{p^{+}}$for a.a. $z \in \Omega$, all $0<t<\delta$, where $C_{p^{+}}$denotes the embedding constant of $W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^{+}}(\Omega)$;
$\left(H_{3}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(z, t)}{t^{p^{+}}}=+\infty$ uniformly for a.a. $z \in \Omega ;$
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ there exists $d \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
e(z, t) \leq e(z, s)+d(z) \quad \text { for a.a. } z \in \Omega \text {, all } 0<t<s, \text { where } e(z, t)=f(z, t) t-p^{+} F(z, t) .
$$

We need the following notion of $(C)_{c}$ condition. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $X^{*}$ its topological dual.
Definition 3.1. Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $J \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We say that $J$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition if any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that
(i) $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$
(ii) $\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $X^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$
has a convergent subsequence. A sequence satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) is said ( $C)_{c}$ sequence.
For the following Hölder inequality see [16], p. 8.
Proposition 3.2 (Hölder inequality). Let $L^{p^{\prime}(z)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(z)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(z)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(z)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(z)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(z)}(\Omega)$ the Hölder type inequality holds, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d z\right| \leq 2\|u\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(z)}(\Omega)} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.3 (see [12], p. 25). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$, be a bounded domain, $1<p(z)<+\infty$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Then the following inequalities hold for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ :
(i) $|u-v|^{2} \leq c_{1}(u-v)\left(|u|^{p(z)-2} u-|v|^{p(z)-2} v\right)(|u|+|v|)^{2-p(z)}$ if $1<p(z)<2$;
(ii) $|u-v|^{p(z)} \leq c_{2}\left(|u|^{p(z)-2} u-|v|^{p(z)-2} v\right)(u-v)$ if $p(z) \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\left(H_{1}\right)$ hold and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded $(C)_{c}$ sequence. Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ admits a convergent subsequence.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence. The reflexivity of $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ ensures that, eventually passing to a subsequence still denoted with $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, there exists $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.

We consider the following partition of $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$, where

$$
\Omega_{1}=\{z \in \Omega: p(z)<2\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{2}=\{z \in \Omega: p(z) \geq 2\} .
$$

We consider

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} a(z)\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{i}-\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{j}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right) d z \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u_{i}-\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u_{j}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right) d z \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} b(z)\left(\left|u_{i}\right|^{p(z)-2} u_{i}-\left|u_{j}\right|^{p(z)-2} u_{j}\right)\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) d z \\
& \leq\left|\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right), u_{i}-u_{j}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right), u_{i}-u_{j}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(z, u_{i}\right)-f\left(z, u_{j}\right)\right)\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) d z\right| \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right)\right\|_{W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)^{*}}+\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)\right\|_{W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)^{*}}+\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)\right\|_{W^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)^{*}}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

On the one hand, using Proposition 3.3 (i) and Hölder inequality (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)} d z \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{i}-\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{j}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right)\right)^{\frac{p(z)}{2}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)}\right)^{\frac{2-p(z)}{2}} d z \\
& \leq 2 C_{1}\left\|\left(\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{i}-\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{j}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right)\right)^{\frac{p(z)}{2}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p(z)}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\left\|\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)}\right)^{\frac{2-p(z)}{2}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-p(z)}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (7) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{i}-\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{j}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right)\right)^{\frac{p(z)}{2}}\right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{p(z)}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)}+\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)}\right)^{\frac{2-p(z)}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{2-p(z)}} d z$ is bounded, by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)} d z \rightarrow 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.3 (ii) and (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)} d z \leq c_{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{i}-\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u_{j}\right)\left(\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right) d z \rightarrow 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) and (10), we infer that $\left\|\left\|\nabla u_{i}-\nabla u_{j}\right\|\right\|_{L^{p(z)}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\left\|u_{i}-u_{j}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. That is $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so it is convergent. This ends our proof.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(C)_{c}$ sequence such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty \text { and } v_{n}:=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow v \in L^{p^{+}}(\Omega) \text { and } L^{\alpha(z)}(\Omega) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Then the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega_{0}:=\{z \in \Omega: v(z)>0\}$ is equal to zero.
Proof. Since by hypothesis $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we can suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Proceeding by contradiction we assume that $\left|\Omega_{0}\right|>0$. Then for a.a. $z \in \Omega_{0}$ we have that $u_{n}(z) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. By $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F\left(z, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F\left(z, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{p^{+}}} v_{n}^{p^{+}}=+\infty \quad \text { for a.a. } z \in \Omega_{0} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Fatou's lemma and (11), we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \frac{F\left(z, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}} d z=+\infty
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(z, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}} d z \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \frac{F\left(z, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}} d z=+\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since by hypothesis $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$, there exists a sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ with $c_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =J\left(u_{n}\right)+c_{n} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)} u_{n}^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z+c_{n} \\
& \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z+c_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-c+c_{n} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =J\left(u_{n}\right)+c_{n} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)} u_{n}^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z+c_{n} \\
& \leq \frac{\|a\|_{\infty}}{p^{-}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\left.\right|^{+}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}} \max \left\{\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q(z)}(\Omega)^{\prime}}^{q^{+}}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q(z)}(\Omega)}^{q^{-}}\right\}+C_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{+}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z+c_{n} \\
& \leq C_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z+c_{n} \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{3}=\frac{\|a\|_{\infty}}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}} \max \left\{C_{q}^{q^{-}}, C_{q}^{q^{+}}\right\}+C_{2}$ with $C_{q}$ to denote the constant of the continuous embedding $L^{p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(z)}(\Omega)$. Thus, by (13), there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}} \geq \frac{c}{C_{3}}+\frac{1}{C_{3}} \int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z-\frac{c_{n}}{C_{3}}>0 \quad \text { for all } n \geq n_{0}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(z, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}} d z \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z}{\frac{c}{C_{3}}+\frac{1}{C_{3}} \int_{\Omega} F\left(z, u_{n}\right) d z-\frac{c_{n}}{C_{3}}}=C_{3}
$$

which leads to contradiction with (12) and hence $\left|\Omega_{0}\right|=0$.
Remark 3.6. Let $Z=\left\{u \in W_{0}: u(z) \leq 0\right.$ for all $\left.z \in \Omega\right\}$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset Z$ be a $(C)_{c}$ sequence. We note that if $u_{n} \leq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hypothesis $\left(H_{0}\right)$ implies that $F\left(z, u_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Coercivity of functional

$$
J_{\mid Z}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}|u|^{p(z)} d z,
$$

ensures that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Proposition 3.7. If $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, then the functional $J$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition for each $c>0$.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(C)_{c}$ sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$. We want to prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Proceeding by absurd, we assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded. So it is not restrictive to suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. We consider

$$
v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Then, we assume that there exists $v \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
v_{n} \xrightarrow{w} v \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad v_{n} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } L^{p^{+}}(\Omega) \text { and } L^{\alpha(z)}(\Omega),
$$

since $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.5 we have $v(z) \leq 0$ for a.a. $z \in \Omega$.
Now, for all $u_{n}$, the function $J\left(t u_{n}\right)$ is continuous in $[0,1]$ with respect to the variable $t$. Consequently, there exists $t_{n} \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
J\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} J\left(t u_{n}\right)
$$

Let $r_{n}=r^{\frac{1}{p^{\nu}}} v_{n}$ for some $r>1$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and Krasnoselskii's theorem (see [12], p. 41), since $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{\alpha(z)}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n}(z) \rightarrow v(z) \leq 0$ for a.a. $z \in \Omega$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(z, r_{n}\right) d z=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (14) and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ ensure that there exists $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, r_{n}\right) d z<\frac{a_{0} r}{2 p^{+}} \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\frac{r^{\frac{1}{p^{+}}}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \leq 1 \quad \text { for all } n \geq n_{1}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) & \geq J\left(r_{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}\left|\nabla r_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}\left|\nabla r_{n}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}\left|r_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, r_{n}\right) d z \\
& \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}}\left\|r_{n}\right\|^{p^{-}}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, r_{n}\right) d z \quad\left(\left\|r_{n}\right\|=r^{\frac{1}{p^{-}}}>1\right) \\
& \geq \frac{a_{0} r}{p^{+}}-\frac{a_{0} r}{2 p^{+}}=\frac{a_{0} r}{2 p^{+}} \quad \text { for all } n \geq n_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The arbitrarity of $r>1$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, there exists $n_{2}$ such that $\left.t_{n} \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ for all $n \geq n_{2}$, since $J(0)=0$ and $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$. Consequently,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} J\left(t u_{n}\right)\right|_{t=t_{n}}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right), t_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for all } n \geq n_{2}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)=J\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right), t_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}\left|\nabla t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}\left|\nabla t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}\left|t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} F\left(z, t_{n} u_{n}\right) d z \\
&-\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} a(z)\left|\nabla t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z-\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{q(z)} d z-\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(z)\left|t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} f\left(z, t_{n} u_{n}\right) t_{n} u_{n}(z) d z \\
&= \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{p(z)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right] a(z) t_{n}^{p(z)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{q(z)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right] t_{n}^{q(z)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{p(z)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right] b(z) t_{n}^{p(z)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z \\
&+\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left[f\left(z, t_{n} u_{n}\right) t_{n} u_{n}(z)-p^{+} F\left(z, t_{n} u_{n}\right)\right] d z \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{p(z)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right] a(z)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{q(z)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right]\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| q(z) d z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{p(z)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right] b(z)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(z)} d z \\
&+\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left[f\left(z, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-p^{+} F\left(z, u_{n}\right)\right]+d(z)\right) d z \quad\left(\text { by }\left(H_{4}\right)\right) \\
&= J\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{p^{+}}\|d\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow c+\frac{1}{p^{+}}\|d\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (15) and so $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.
Then by Lemma 3.4, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence. We conclude that the $(C)_{c}$ condition is satisfied.
Lemma 3.8. If $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, then there exist $\rho>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that $J(u) \geq \delta$ for each $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|=\rho$.

Proof. We recall that the embeddings $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^{+}}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(z)}(\Omega)$ are continuous and so there exist two constants $C_{p^{+}}, C_{\alpha}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p^{+}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p^{+}}\|u\| \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\|_{L^{(z)}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\alpha}\|u\| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$, we can verify that, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z, t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p^{+}} t^{p^{+}}+C_{\varepsilon} t^{\alpha(z)} \quad \text { for a.a. } z \in \Omega, \text { all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ is such that $\|u\|<1$, using (16) and (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}|u|^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} F(z, u) d z \\
& \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z-\frac{\varepsilon}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{+}} d z-C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha(z)} d z \\
& \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{\varepsilon C_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-C_{\varepsilon} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha^{-}}\|u\|^{\alpha^{-}} \\
& =\frac{a_{0}-\varepsilon C_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}}}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-C_{\varepsilon} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha^{-}}\|u\|^{\alpha^{-}} \\
& =\left[\frac{a_{0}-\varepsilon C_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}}}{p^{+}}-C_{\varepsilon} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha^{-}}\|u\|^{\alpha^{-}-p^{+}}\right]\|u\|^{p^{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we choose $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\sigma=\frac{a_{0}-\varepsilon C_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}}}{p^{+}}-C_{\varepsilon} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha^{-}} \rho^{\alpha^{-}-p^{+}}>0 .
$$

Then $J(u) \geq \sigma \rho^{p^{+}}=\delta>0$ for every $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|=\rho$.
Lemma 3.9. If $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, then there exists $w \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ such that $J(w)<0$ and $\|w\|>\rho$.
Proof. Using $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we deduce that, for all $M>0$, there exists $C_{M}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z, t) \geq M t^{p^{+}}-C_{M} \quad \text { for a.a. } z \in \Omega \text {, all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\zeta \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ such that $\zeta(z)>0$ for all $z \in \Omega$. From (18), for all $t>1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(t \zeta) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z) t^{p(z)}}{p(z)}|\nabla \zeta|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{q(z)}}{q(z)}|\nabla \zeta|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z) t^{p(z)}}{p(z)} \zeta^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} F(z, t \zeta) d z \\
& \leq t^{p^{+}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla \zeta|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla \zeta|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)} \zeta^{p(z)} d z-M \int_{\Omega} \zeta^{p^{+}} d z\right)+C_{M}|\Omega| .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $M>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla \zeta|^{p(z)} d z+\left.\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla \zeta|\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)} \zeta^{p(z)} d z-M \int_{\Omega} \zeta^{p^{+}} d z<0
$$

we obtain that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J(t \zeta)=-\infty$. It follows that there exists $w=t_{0} \zeta \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ such that $J(w)<0$ and $\|w\|>\rho$.

Now, we recall the following version of the Mountain Pass Theorem.
Theorem 3.10 ([12], Theorem 5.40). If $J \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition, there exist $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X$ and $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\|>\rho, \quad \max \left\{J\left(u_{0}\right), J\left(u_{1}\right)\right\}<\inf \left\{J(u):\left\|u-u_{0}\right\|=\rho\right\}=m_{\rho} \quad \text { and } \\
& c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} J(\gamma(t)) \text { with } \Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=u_{0}, \gamma(1)=u_{1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $c \geq m_{\rho}$ and $c$ is a critical value of $J$ (i.e., there exists $\widehat{u} \in X$ such that $J^{\prime}(u)=0$ and $J(\hat{u})=c$ ).
Now we are ready to state the following theorem.
Theorem 3.11. If $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, then Problem (1) has at least one nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution in $W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Since the functional $J$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition and the mountain pass geometry, Theorem 3.10 ensures the existence of a critical point $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$. Moreover $J(u)=c \geq \delta>0=J(0)$, so $u$ is a nontrivial solution. Now we prove that $u$ is nonnegative. Let $u^{-}=\max \{-u, 0\}$. We consider (5) written with $w=-u^{-}$. Since $\int_{\Omega} f(z, u)\left(-u^{-}\right) d z=0$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(z)\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} b(z)\left|u^{-}\right|^{p(z)} d z=0
$$

Then it must be

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(z)\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{p(z)} d z=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{q(z)} d z=\int_{\Omega} b(z)\left|u^{-}\right|^{p(z)} d z=0
$$

and so $u \geq 0$.

## 4. Subcritical case

In this section we consider the following set of hypotheses:
$\left(H_{0}\right) f \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}), f(z, \xi)=0$ for all $z \in \Omega$ and $\xi \leq 0$;
$\left(H_{5}\right)$ there exist $b_{1}, b_{2} \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ and $\beta \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1 \leq \beta^{-} \leq \beta(z) \leq \beta^{+}<q^{-}$, satisfying

$$
|f(z, \xi)| \leq b_{1}+b_{2} \xi^{\beta(z)-1} \quad \text { for all }(z, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} ;
$$

$\left(H_{6}\right)$ there exists $\left.b_{3} \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ such that $F(z, \xi) \geq b_{3} \xi^{\beta^{-}}$for all $\xi>0$.

Theorem 4.1. If $\left(H_{0}\right),\left(H_{5}\right)$ and $\left(H_{6}\right)$ hold, then Problem (1) has a weak nontrivial and nonnegative solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.

Proof. We prove that $J$ is bounded from below. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}|u|^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} b_{1}|u| d z-\int_{\Omega} \frac{b_{2}}{\beta(z)}|u|^{\beta(z)} d z \quad\left(\text { by }\left(H_{5}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{0}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\frac{p^{+}}{q^{+}}|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+b_{0}|u|^{p(z)}-p^{+} b_{1}|u|-p^{+} b_{2}|u|^{\beta(z)}\right) d z \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left(a_{0} C_{4}|u|^{p(z)}-p^{+} b_{1}|u|-p^{+} b_{2}|u|^{\beta(z)}\right) d z \\
& =\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|\left(\frac{a_{0} C_{4}|u|^{p(z)-1}}{2}-p^{+} b_{1}\right)+|u|^{\beta(z)}\left(\frac{a_{0} C_{4}|u|^{p(z)-\beta(z)}}{2}-p^{+} b_{2}\right) d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set

$$
K:=\max \left\{1,\left(\frac{2 p^{+} b_{1}}{a_{0} C_{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{--1}}},\left(\frac{2 p^{+} b_{2}}{a_{0} C_{4}}\right)^{\left.\frac{1}{p^{--\beta^{+}}}\right\}}\right.
$$

and consider the following partition of $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$, where

$$
\Omega_{1}=\{z \in \Omega:|u(z)| \geq K\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{2}=\{z \in \Omega:|u(z)|<K\} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}} a_{0} C_{4}|u|^{p(z)}-p^{+} b_{1}|u|-p^{+} b_{2}|u|^{\beta(z)} d z \geq 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega_{2}} a_{0} C_{4}\right| u\right|^{p(z)}-p^{+} b_{1}|u|-p^{+} b_{2}|u|^{\beta(z)} d z \mid & \leq \int_{\Omega_{2}} a_{0} C_{4} K^{p(z)}+p^{+} b_{1} K+p^{+} b_{2} K^{\beta(z)} d z \\
& \leq 2\left(a_{0} C_{4} K^{p^{+}}+p^{+} b_{1} K+p^{+} b_{2} K^{\beta^{+}}\right)|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} a_{0} C_{4}|u|^{p(z)}-p^{+} b_{1}|u|-p^{+} b_{2}|u|^{\beta(z)} d z \geq-2\left(a_{0} C_{4} K^{p^{+}}+p^{+} b_{1} K+p^{+} b_{2} K^{\beta^{+}}\right)|\Omega| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19) and (20), we get that $J$ is bounded from below. Since $J$ is weakly continuous and differentiable thanks to hypothesis $\left(H_{5}\right)$, we get that $J$ has a critical point $u$ that is a weak solution of Problem (1).

Now we prove that $u$ is nontrivial. Let $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with $w(z)>0$ for all $z \in \Omega$ and $\left.t \in\right] 0,1[$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & =\inf \left\{J(v): v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right\} \\
& \leq J(t w) \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z) t^{p(z)}}{p(z)}|\nabla w|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{q(z)}}{q(z)}|\nabla w|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z) t^{p(z)}}{p(z)} w^{p(z)} d z-\int_{\Omega} b_{3} t^{\beta^{-}} w^{\beta^{-}} d z \quad\left(\text { by }\left(H_{6}\right)\right) \\
& \leq t^{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla w|^{p(z)}+\frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla w|^{q(z)}+\frac{b(z)}{p(z)} w^{p(z)}\right) d z-b_{3} t^{\beta^{-}} \int_{\Omega} w^{\beta^{-}} d z \\
& \leq t^{\beta^{-}}\left(t^{q^{-}-\beta^{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla w|^{p(z)}+\frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla w|^{q(z)}+\frac{b(z)}{p(z)} w^{p(z)}\right) d z-b_{3} \int_{\Omega} w^{\beta^{-}} d z\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t$ sufficiently small. Consequently, from $J(u)<0=J(0)$, we conclude that $u$ is a nontrivial weak solution. Proceeding as in the last lines of the proof developed for Theorem 3.11, we get that $u$ is nonnegative. This concludes our proof.

## 5. The parametric case

We consider the Problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(a(z)|\nabla u|^{p(z)-2} \nabla u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{q(z)-2} \nabla u\right)+b(z)|u|^{p(z)-2} u=\lambda f(z, u(z)) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{21}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a real parameter. The associated functional to (21) is given by

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla u|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla u|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}|u|^{p(z)} d z-\lambda I(u) \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega) .
$$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.11 we deduce the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold. For all $\lambda>0$, Problem (21) has at least one nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution $u_{\lambda} \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$.
Remark 5.2. We note that in the sublinear case the result of existence of a nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution for Problem (21) is a consequence of Theorem 4.1.
Lemma 5.3. If $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds, then there exist positive constants $\sigma_{\lambda}$ and $r_{\lambda}$ such that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sigma_{\lambda}=+\infty$ and $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq$ $\sigma_{\lambda}>0$ for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ such that $\|u\|=r_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $w \in W_{0}^{1, p(z)}(\Omega)$ with $\|w\|>1$. It follows from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ that there exists $C_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z, t) \leq C_{5}\left(t^{\alpha(z)}+1\right) \quad \text { for all }(z, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\lambda}(w) & \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}|\nabla w|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}|\nabla w|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}|w|^{p(z)} d z-\lambda C_{5} \int_{\Omega}\left(|w|^{\alpha(z)}+1\right) d z \\
& \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}}\|w\|^{p^{-}}-\lambda C_{6}\|w\|^{\alpha^{+}}-\lambda C_{5}|\Omega| \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left(H_{1}\right)$ we have that $p^{-}<\alpha^{+}$and so we can choose $\left.t \in\right] 0,\left(\alpha^{+}-p^{-}\right)^{-1}$ [. Thus $r_{\lambda}:=\lambda^{-t}>1$ for $\lambda$ small enough. Now, considering (23) for $\|w\|=r_{\lambda}=\lambda^{-t}$, we get

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}} \lambda^{-t p^{-}}-\lambda^{1-t \alpha^{+}} C_{6}-\lambda C_{5}|\Omega| .
$$

We put $\sigma_{\lambda}=\lambda^{-t p^{-}}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{p^{+}}-\lambda^{1-t\left(\alpha^{+}-p^{-}\right)} C_{6}\right)-\lambda C_{5}|\Omega|$. The choice of $t$ ensures that there exists $\lambda_{0}$ sufficiently small such that $\sigma_{\lambda}>0$ for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$. Moreover $\sigma_{\lambda} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

Theorem 5.4. If $\left(H_{1}\right)$, $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, then there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that, for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, Problem (21) has at least a nontrivial and nonnegative weak solution $u_{\lambda}$ and $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|=+\infty$.

Proof. Clearly, $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition for all $\lambda>0$. Moreover the hypotheses of Theorem 3.10 are satisfied in virtue of Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 5.3. As a consequence, there exists a nontrivial critical point $u_{\lambda}$ for $J_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=c \geq \sigma_{\lambda}
$$

Using (22), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(z)}{p(z)}\left|\nabla u_{\lambda}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(z)}\left|\nabla u_{\lambda}\right|^{q(z)} d z+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{p(z)} d z+\lambda C_{5} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{\alpha^{+}}+1\right) d z \\
& \leq C_{7} \max \left\{\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{p^{+}},\left.\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|\right|^{q^{-}}\right\}+\lambda C_{8} \max \left\{\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{\alpha^{+}},\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{\alpha^{-}}\right\}+\lambda C_{5}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

To conclude, from $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$we infer that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|=+\infty$.
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