

A UNIFIED FIXED POINT RESULT IN METRIC SPACES INVOLVING A TWO VARIABLE FUNCTION

Binayak S. Choudhury and P. N. Dutta

Abstract

In this paper¹ a unique fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces for a class of self mappings has been derived which satisfy certain inequality constraints involving a function of two variables. For particular choices of the function several fixed point theorems may be obtained.

1 Introduction

In existing literatures there have been a very large number of fixed point results for self-mappings satisfying various types of contractive inequalities. A detailed survey of these may be obtained in [1], [2] and [4]

In particular, fixed point results involving altering distances have been introduced in [3]. An altering distance is a mapping $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfies

- a) Φ is increasing and continuous, and
- b) $\Phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Fixed points involving altering distances have also been studied in works like [5] and [6].

In this paper, we obtain a new fixed point result for self-mappings defined on complete metric spaces satisfying a contractive inequality which involves a function of two variables and acts on distances of two pair of points in a metric space. This function of two variables is an extension of the idea of altering distances [3].

We begin with the following definition.

Definition 1.1 [Condition – A] A function $\Psi : R^+ \times R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is said to satisfy *Condition – A* if

¹Received January 15, 2000; Revised September 23, 2000

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25

Key words and phrases: Metric spaces, self-mappings, fixed point

- (i) Ψ is continuous,
(ii) Ψ is monotone increasing in both the arguments,
(iii) $\Psi(0, 0) = 0$ and $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$ implies $\epsilon = 0$. (1.1)

Let $\Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$. Then $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) \leq \Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$, or $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$, which implies $\epsilon = 0$ (by (1.1)).

Therefore, $\Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$ implies $\epsilon = 0$. (1.2)

Here R^+ is the set of all non-negative real numbers.

Examples of Ψ are:

- (i) $\Psi(a, b) = (a^p + b^q)^k$,
(ii) $\Psi(a, b) = a^p \cdot b^q + a^k$,

where p, q and k are positive real numbers.

2 Fixed point results

Theorem 1 Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping from a complete metric space X to itself which satisfies the following inequality:

$$\Psi(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx)) + \Psi(d(y, Ty), d(y, T^2x)) \leq c\Psi(d(x, y), d(x, Tx)) + c'\Psi(d(y, Ty), d(y, Tx)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 < c < 1$, $0 < c' \leq 1$, $x, y \in X$ and Ψ satisfies condition-A (Definition 1.1). Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. For any $x_0 \in X$, we construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Substituting $y = Tx$ in (2.1) we have

$$\Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(x, Tx)) + \Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, T^2x)) \leq c\Psi(d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx)) + c'\Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, Tx)). \quad (2.3)$$

As $0 < c' < 1$ and Ψ satisfies condition (ii) of Definition 1.1,

$$c'\Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), 0) \leq c'\Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, T^2x)) < \Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(Tx, T^2x))$$

and consequently, from (2.3),

$$\Psi(d(Tx, T^2x), d(x, Tx)) \leq c\Psi(d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx)) \leq \Psi(d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx)) \quad (2.4)$$

which implies

$$d(Tx, T^2x) \leq d(x, Tx). \quad (2.5)$$

Setting $x = x_{n-1}$, we have

$$0 \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.6)$$

This shows that $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ converges.

Let $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = a$ (say). From (2.4), again setting $x = x_{n-1}$, we obtain

$$\Psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq c\Psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)). \quad (2.7)$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by virtue of the fact that Ψ is continuous, we have $\Psi(a, a) \leq c\Psi(a, a)$, or $\Psi((a, a) = 0$ (as $0 < c < 1$), which implies that $a = 0$ (using (1.2)). Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

We next show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Otherwise, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and corresponding subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that for $m(k) < n(k)$

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \geq \epsilon \text{ and } d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < \epsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

Then we have

$$\epsilon \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + \epsilon.$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.8)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) = \epsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

Again,

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})$$

and

$$d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \leq d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}).$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \epsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

Also

$$d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \leq d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})$$

and

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) + d(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}).$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

Lastly,

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})$$

and

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) \leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}).$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \epsilon. \quad (2.13)$$

Now substituting $x = x_{n(k)-1}$ and $y = x_{m(k)-1}$ in (2.1) one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})) + \Psi(d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1})) \\ & \leq c\Psi(d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})) \\ & \quad + c'\Psi(d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})). \end{aligned}$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (2.8) and (2.10)–(2.13) and using the fact that Ψ is continuous, we obtain,

$$\Psi(\epsilon, 0) + \Psi(0, \epsilon) \leq c\Psi(\epsilon, 0) + c'\Psi(0, \epsilon),$$

which implies $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) \leq c\Psi(\epsilon, 0)$ (as $0 < c' \leq 1$), and consequently $\Psi(\epsilon, 0) = 0$ (as $0 < c < 1$). So, using condition (iii) of Definition 1.1, we obtain $\epsilon = 0$, which is a contradiction. This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence is convergent in the complete metric space X .

Let $x_n \rightarrow z$ (say) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Again, putting $y = z$, $x = x_n$ in (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(d(x_{n+1}, Tz), d(x_n, x_{n+1})) + \Psi(d(z, Tz), d(z, x_{n+2})) \\ & \leq c\Psi(d(x_n, z), d(x_n, x_{n+1})) + c'\Psi(d(z, Tz), d(z, x_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, considering (2.8), $x_n \rightarrow z$, and using the continuity of Ψ we obtain

$$\Psi(d(z, Tz), 0) + \Psi(d(z, Tz), 0) \leq c\Psi(0, 0) + c'\Psi(d(z, Tz), 0),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(d(z, Tz), 0) & \leq c\Psi(0, 0) \quad (\text{as } 0 < c' \leq 1) \\ & \leq c\Psi(d(z, Tz), 0) \quad (\text{using condition (ii) of Definition 1.1}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\Psi(d(z, Tz), 0) = 0$ (as $0 < c < 1$), so that $d(z, Tz) = 0$ (by (1.1)), which implies that $z = Tz$.

Next, we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point. If possible, let z_1 and z_2 be two fixed points of T . Then from (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(d(z_1, z_2), d(z_1, z_1)) + \Psi(d(z_2, z_2), d(z_2, z_1)) \\ & \leq c\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), d(z_1, z_1)) + c'\Psi(d(z_2, z_2), d(z_2, z_1)), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) + \Psi(0, d(z_2, z_1)) \leq c\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) + c'\Psi(0, d(z_2, z_1)),$$

or

$$\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) \leq c\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) \text{ (as } 0 < c' \leq 1),$$

which implies $\Psi(d(z_1, z_2), 0) = 0$ and consequently $d(z_1, z_2) = 0$ (using condition (iii) of Definition 1.1), or $z_1 = z_2$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

With different choices of Ψ it is possible to obtain different fixed point theorems. In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping from a complete metric space to itself and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & [(d(Tx, Ty))^p + r(d(x, Tx))^q]^k + [(d(y, Ty))^p + r(d(y, T^2x))^q]^k \\ & \leq c[(d(x, y))^p + r(d(x, Tx))^q]^k + c'[(d(y, Ty))^p + r(d(y, Tx))^q]^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in X$, $p, k > 0$, $r, q \geq 0$ and $0 < c < 1$, $0 < c' \leq 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

The proof of the corollary follows by the specific choice of the function Ψ as

$$\Psi(a, b) = (a^p + rb^q)^k, \quad p, k > 0, \quad r, q \geq 0.$$

It may be noted that for particular choice of $p = k = 1$, $r = q = 0$ and $c' = 1$, we obtain the Banach fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces [2].

References

- [1] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [2] V.I. Istratescu, *Fixed Point Theory*, D. Riedel Publishing Co., London, 1981.

- [3] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between two points*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **30** (1984), 1–9.
- [4] J. Meszaros, *A comparison of various definitions of contractive type mappings*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **84** (1992), 167–194.
- [5] K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **90** (1998), 175–182.
- [6] K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, *Some fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1999), 641–647.

Department of Mathematics
Bengal Engineering College (Deemed University)
Howrah – 711103, West Bengal
India

Department of Mathematics
Hooghly Mohsin College
Chinsurah – 712101, Hooghly, West Bengal
India