Filomat 33:14 (2019), 4575–4584 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1914575Z



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# A Note on the Common Spectral Properties for Bounded Linear Operators

Hassane Zguitti<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dhar El Mahraz Faculty of Science, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, BO 1796 Fez-Atlas, 30003 Fez Morocco.

**Abstract.** Let *X* and *Y* be Banach spaces,  $A : X \to Y$  and  $B, C : Y \to X$  be bounded linear operators. We prove that if  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ , then

 $\sigma_*(AC) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_*(BA) \setminus \{0\}$ 

where  $\sigma_*$  runs over a large of spectra originated by regularities.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all bounded linear operators acting from a complex Banach space *X* into another one, *Y*, and  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is a short for  $\mathcal{L}(X, X)$ . Given two operators  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ , Jacobson's Lemma asserts that

 $\sigma(AB) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(BA) \setminus \{0\}$ 

(1)

where  $\sigma(\cdot)$  denotes the ordinary spectrum.

Several works have been devoted to equality (1) by showing that AB - I and BA - I share many spectral properties. See [2, 3, 5, 6, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19] and the references therein. Barnes in [2] extended (1) to other part of the spectrum and showed that AB - I and BA - I share some spectral properties. In [3], Benhida and Zerouali investigated equation (1) for various Taylor joint spectra. For *A* and *B* satisfying  $ABA = A^2$  and  $BAB = B^2$ , Schmoeger [15, 16] and Duggal [7] showed that *A*, *B*, *AB* and *BA* share spectral properties. Corach *et al.* [6] investigated common properties for *ac* – 1 and *ba* – 1 where *a*, *b* and *c* are elements in associative ring such that *aba* = *aca*. For bounded linear operators *A*, *B* and *C*, Zeng and Zhong [19] studied spectral properties for *AC* and *BA* under the condition ABA = ACA. If *C* = *I* in the last condition, one can retrieve Schmoeger's result. For operators *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* satisfying *ACD* = *DBD* and *BDA* = *ACA*. Yan and Fang [17] investigated spectral properties for *AC* and *BD*. Recently, [5] studied common properties for *ac* and *ba* for elements in a ring satisfying  $a(ba)^2 = abaca = acaba = (ac)^2a$ .

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A10; Secondary 47A53, 47A55

Keywords. Jacobson's lemma, common spectral properties, regularity

Received: 30 March 2019; Accepted: 25 June 2019

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: hassane.zguitti@usmba.ac.ma (Hassane Zguitti)

The paper is a continuation of [5] and [20]. The aim of this paper is to extend recent results to bounded linear operators  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  satisfying

$$A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A.$$

In section two we give basic definitions and notation which we need in the sequel. Section 3 is devoted to the main results of the paper. In Theorem 3.1 we prove that if  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  satisfy  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ , then

$$\sigma_*(AC) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_*(BA) \setminus \{0\}$$

where  $\sigma_*$  runs over a large of spectra originated by regularities.

#### 2. Basic definitions and notations

For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , let  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  stand for the *kernel*, respectively the *range* of T. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be an *upper semi-Fredholm* operator if  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is closed and dim  $\mathcal{N}(T) < \infty$ , and T is said to be a *lower semi-Fredholm* operator if codim  $\mathcal{N}(T) < \infty$ . One says that T is a *Fredholm* operator if dim  $\mathcal{N}(T) < \infty$  and codim  $\mathcal{N}(T) < \infty$ . If T is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm then T is said *semi-Fredholm* operator. In this case the *index* of T is defined by  $ind(T) = \dim \mathcal{N}(T) - \dim \mathcal{R}(T)$ .

The *ascent* of *T*, *asc*(*T*), is the smallest nonnegative integer *n* for which  $N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})$ , i.e.; *asc*(*T*) = inf{ $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})$ }. If no such integer exists, we shall say that *T* has infinite ascent. In a similar way, the *descent* of *T*, *dsc*(*T*), is defined by *dsc*(*T*) = inf{ $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathcal{R}(T^n) = \mathcal{R}(T^{n+1})$ } and if no such integer exists, we shall say that *T* has infinite descent. We say that *T* is *left Drazin invertible* if *asc*(*T*) <  $\infty$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T^{asc(T)+1})$  is closed and *T* is *right Drazin invertible* if *dsc*(*T*) <  $\infty$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T^{dsc(T)})$  is closed. If *T* is both left and right Drazin invertible, then *T* is said to be *Drazin invertible*; which is equivalent to *asc*(*T*) = *dsc*(*T*) <  $\infty$  (see [1]). One says that *T* is *upper semi-Browder* if *T* is upper semi-Fredholm with finite ascent, and *T* is *lower semi-Browder* if *T* is lower semi-Browder operator (see [14]).

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , let  $c_n(T) = \dim R(T^n)/R(T^{n+1})$  and  $c'_n(T) = \dim N(T^{n+1})/N(T^n)$ . It was proved in [8, Lemma 3.2] that for every *n*, we have

$$c_n(T) = \dim X/(R(T) + N(T^n))$$
 and  $c'_n(T) = \dim N(T) \cap R(T^n)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\{c_n(T)\}$  and  $\{c'_n(T)\}$  are decreasing sequences and  $dsc(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : c_n(T) = 0\}$ ,  $asc(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : c'_n(T) = 0\}$ .

Following [12], the *essential descent*  $dsc_e(T)$  of T is defined by  $dsc_e(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : c_n(T) < \infty\}$ , and the *essential ascent*  $asc_e(T)$  of T is defined by  $asc_e(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : c'_n(T) < \infty\}$ , where the infimum over the empty set is taken to be infinite.

Let  $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{\infty}(T)$  denote the *hyper-kernel* and the *hyper-range* of *T* defined by

$$\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(T) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(T^n) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^{\infty}(T) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(T^n).$$

One says that *T* is *semi-regular* if  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is closed and  $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  induces a linear maps  $\Gamma_n$  from the space  $\mathcal{R}(T^n)/\mathcal{R}(T^{n+1})$  into  $\mathcal{R}(T^{n+1})/\mathcal{R}(T^{n+2})$ . The dimension of the null space of  $\Gamma_n$  will be denoted by  $k_n(T)$ , i.e.,  $k_n(T) = \dim \mathcal{N}(\Gamma_n)$ . It follows from [8, Theorem 3.7] that for every n,

 $k_n(T) = \dim((\mathcal{R}(T^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(T))/(\mathcal{R}(T^{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{N}(T)))$ = dim( $(\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{R}(T^{n+1}))/(\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^n)).$  Let

$$k(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_n(T).$$

Then it follows from [8, Theorem 3.7] that  $k(T) = \dim \mathcal{N}(T)/(\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}^{\infty}(T)) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}^{\infty}(T))/\mathcal{R}(T)$ . The *stable nullity* c(T) and the *stable defect* c'(T) of T are defined by

$$c(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(T)$$
 and  $c'(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c'_n(T)$ .

Then we have  $c(T) = \dim X/\mathcal{R}^{\infty}(T)$  and  $c'(T) = \dim \mathcal{R}^{\infty}(T)$ .

According to [11], the *degree of stable iteration* of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is defined by

 $dis(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : k_m(T) = 0 \text{ for all } m \ge n\},\$ 

and the *degree of essential stable iteration* of T ([18]) is defined is

$$dis_e(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : k_m(T) < \infty \text{ for all } m \ge n\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let R be a non-empty subset of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . R is called a regularity if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- *i) if*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *, then*  $A \in R$  *if and only if*  $A^n \in R$  *;*
- *ii) if* A, B, C and D are mutually commuting operators in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  *such that* AC+BD = I, *then*  $AB \in R$  *if and only if*  $A \in R$  *and*  $B \in R$ .

A regularity  $R \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$  assigns to each  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\sigma_R(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin R\}$$

and called the *spectrum of T corresponding to the regularity R*. We note that every regularity *R* contains all invertible operators, so that  $\sigma_R(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . In general,  $\sigma_R(T)$  is neither compact nor non-empty (see [10, 12, 14]).

The regularities  $R_i$ , where  $1 \le i \le 15$ , were introduced and studied in [10, 12, 14] but are in a different form. Regularity  $R_{18}$  was introduced by [4], while  $R_{16}$ ,  $R_{17}$  and  $R_{19}$  were introduced by [18].

### **Definition 2.2.**

 $R_1$  $= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : c(T) = 0\},\$  $R_2$  $= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : c(T) < \infty\},\$ = { $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  : there exists  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $c_d(T) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T^{d+1})$  is closed}, R3  $= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : c_n(T) < \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},\$  $R_4$  $R_5 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } c_d(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{d+1}) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_6 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : c'(T) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed}\},\$  $R_7 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : c'(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed}\},\$  $R_8 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } c'_d(T) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{d+1}) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : c'_n(T) < \infty \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed} \},\$ R9  $R_{10} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } c'_d(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{d+1}) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{11} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : k(T) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed}\},\$  $R_{12} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : k(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{13} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } k_n(T) = 0 \text{ for every } n \ge d \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{d+1}) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{14} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : k_n(T) < \infty \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{15} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } k_n(T) < \infty \text{ for every } n \ge d \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{d+1}) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{16} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } c_d(T) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) + N(T^d) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{17} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \text{ there exists } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } c_d(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) + N(T^d) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{18} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } k_n(T) = 0 \text{ for every } n \ge d \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) + N(T^d) \text{ is closed} \},\$  $R_{19} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } k_n(T) < \infty \text{ for every } n \ge d \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) + N(T^d) \text{ is closed} \}.$ 

4577

We have

$$R_{1} \subseteq R_{2} = R_{3} \cap R_{4} \subseteq R_{3} \cup R_{4} \subseteq R_{5} \subseteq R_{13},$$
  

$$R_{6} \subseteq R_{7} = R_{8} \cap R_{9} \subseteq R_{8} \cup R_{9} \subseteq R_{10} \subseteq R_{13},$$
  

$$R_{11} \subseteq R_{12} = R_{13} \cap R_{14} \subseteq R_{13} \cup R_{14} \subseteq R_{15}.$$

It was proved in [18, Proposition 2.7] that

 $\begin{array}{lll} R_3 &= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : dsc(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{dsc(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}, \\ R_5 &= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : dsc_e(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{dsc_e(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}, \\ R_8 &= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : asc(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{asc(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}, \\ R_{10} &= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : asc_e(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{asc_e(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}, \\ R_{13} &= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : dis(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{dis(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}, \\ R_{15} &= \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X) : dis_e(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T^{dis(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}. \end{array}$ 

The operators of  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ ,  $R_4$  and  $R_5$  are surjective, lower semi-Browder, right Drazin invertible, lower semi-Fredholm and right essentially Drazin invertible operators, respectively. The operators of  $R_6$ ,  $R_7$ ,  $R_8$ ,  $R_9$ and  $R_{10}$  are bounded below, upper semi-Browder, left Drazin invertible, upper semi-Fredholm and left essentially Drazin invertible operators, respectively. The operators of  $R_{11}$ ,  $R_{12}$  and  $R_{13}$  are semi-regular, essentially semi-regular and quasi-Fredholm operators. The operators of  $R_{18}$  are the operators with eventual topological uniform descent.

#### 3. Main results

The following is our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2 A$ . Then

$$\sigma_{R_i}(AC) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_{R_i}(BA) \setminus \{0\} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 19.$$

The proof of our main result uses several auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Let Q be a polynomial. Then we have

1)  $ABA\mathcal{R}(Q(CA - I)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(Q(AB - I));$ 2)  $ABA\mathcal{N}(Q(CA - I) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(Q(AB - I));$ 3)  $ACA\mathcal{R}(Q(BA - I)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(Q(AC - I));$ 4)  $ACA\mathcal{N}(Q(BA - I)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(Q(AC - I)).$ 

*Proof.* It is easy to see that for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$ABA(CA - I)^{k} = (AB - I)^{k}ABA \text{ and } ACA(BA - I)^{k} = (AC - I)^{k}ACA.$$
(2)

Then

$$ABAQ(CA - I) = Q(AB - I)ABA \text{ and } ACAQ(BA - I) = Q(AC - I)ACA.$$
(3)

1) Let *x* belongs to  $\mathcal{R}(Q(CA - I))$ . Then there exists some  $y \in X$  such that Q(CA - I)y = x. Hence it follows from (2) that ABAx = ABAQ(CA - I)x = Q(AB - I)ABAx which belongs to  $\mathcal{R}(Q(AB - I))$ . Thus  $ABA\mathcal{R}(Q(CA - I)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(Q(AB - I))$ .

2) Let  $x \in \mathcal{N}(Q(CA-I))$ . Then Q(CA-I)x = 0. It follows from (2) that Q(AB-I)ABAx = ABAQ(CA-I)x = 0. Thus  $ABAx \in \mathcal{N}(Q(AB-I))$ .

Using (3), 3) and 4) go similarly.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then

 $c_n(AC - I) = c_n(BA - I)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

In particular, c(AC - I) = c(BA - I).

Proof. Let

$$\Gamma_{ACA} : \mathcal{R}((BA-I)^n)/\mathcal{R}((BA-I)^{n+1}) \to \mathcal{R}((AC-I)^n)/\mathcal{R}((AC-I)^{n+1})$$

be the linear application defined by

$$\Gamma_{ACA}(x + \mathcal{R}((BA - I)^{n+1})) = ACAx + \mathcal{R}((AC - I)^{n+1}).$$

Since  $ACAR((BA - I)^n) \subseteq R((AC - I)^n)$  by Lemma 3.2, part 3), then  $\Gamma_{ACA}$  is well defined. We shall show that  $\Gamma_{ACA}$  is injective.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}((BA - I)^n)$  such that  $\Gamma_{ACA}(x) = 0$ . Then  $ACAx \in \mathcal{R}((AC - I)^{n+1})$ . Hence  $CACAx \in \mathcal{R}((CA - I)^{n+1})$ . From Lemma 3.2, part 1), we have  $ABACACAx \in \mathcal{R}((AB - I)^{n+1})$ . Then

$$(BA)^4 x = BABACACAx \in \mathcal{R}((BA - I)^{n+1}).$$

Since  $x \in \mathcal{R}((BA - I)^n)$  then  $x = (BA - I)^n z$  for some  $z \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (BA)^4 x - ((BA)^4 - I)x \\ &= (BA)^4 x - ((BA)^3 + (BA)^2 + (BA) + I)(BA - I)x \\ &= (BA)^4 x - ((BA)^3 + (BA)^2 + (BA) + I)(BA - I)^{n+1}z \\ &= (BA)^4 x - (BA - I)^{n+1} (((BA)^3 + (BA)^2 + (BA) + I)z) \in \mathcal{R}((BA - I)^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Gamma_{ACA}$  is injective and consequently

$$c_n(BA-I) \le c_n(AC-I). \tag{4}$$

In similar way, we show that

$$c_n(CA-I) \le c_n(AB-I).$$

Finally,

$$c_n(BA - I) \leq c_n(AC - I)$$
  
=  $c_n(CA - I)$  ([18, Lemma 3.9]  
 $\leq c_n(AB - I)$  by (5)  
=  $c_n(BA - I)$  ([18, Lemma 3.9].

Therefore  $c_n(BA - I) = c_n(AC - I)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . In particular, c(AC - I) = c(BA - I).  $\Box$ 

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , let  $\sigma_{dsc}(T)$  and  $\sigma_{dsc}^{e}(T)$  be, respectively, the *descent spectrum* and the *essential descent spectrum* of *T* defined by

$$\sigma_{dsc}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : dsc(T) = \infty\} \text{ and } \sigma^{e}_{dsc}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : dsc_{e}(T) = \infty\}.$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then

$$\sigma_*AC \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_*BA \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for } \sigma_* \in \{\sigma_{dsc}, \sigma_{dsc}^e\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then

$$c'_n(AC - I) = c'_n(BA - I)$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

In particular, c'(AC - I) = c'(BA - I).

4579

(5)

Proof. Let

$$\Psi_{ACA} : \mathcal{N}((BA-I)^{n+1})/\mathcal{N}((BA-I)^n) \to \mathcal{N}((AC-I)^{n+1})/\mathcal{N}((AC-I)^n)$$

be the linear application defined by

$$\Psi_{ACA}(x + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)) = ACAx + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n).$$

Since  $ACAN((BA - I)^{n+1}) \subseteq N((AC - I)^{n+1})$  by Lemma 3.2, part 4), then  $\Psi_{ACA}$  is well defined.

Now we show that  $\Psi_{ACA}$  is injective. Let  $x \in \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^{n+1})$  such that  $\Psi_{ACA}(x) = 0$ , which means that  $ACAx \in \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$ . Hence  $CACAx \in \mathcal{N}((CA - I)^n)$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2, part ii), that  $ABACACAx \in \mathcal{N}((AB - I)^n)$ . Then

$$(BA)^4 x = BABACACAx \in \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)$$

Hence

2

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L} &= (BA)^4 x - ((BA)^4 - I) x \\ & = (BA)^4 x - [(BA)^3 + (BA)^2 + (BA) + I](BA - I) x \in \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $\Psi_{ACA}$  is injective and then

$$c'_n(BA-I) \le c'_n(AC-I). \tag{6}$$

Similarly, we prove that

$$c'_n(CA-I) \le c'_n(AB-I). \tag{7}$$

Finally,

 $c'_n(BA - I) \leq c'_n(AC - I)$ =  $c'_n(CA - I)$  ([18, Lemma 3.10]  $\leq c'_n(AB - I)$  by (7) =  $c'_n(BA - I)$  ([18, Lemma 3.10];

Therefore  $c'_n(BA - I) = c'_n(AC - I)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . In particular, c'(AC - I) = c'(BA - I).

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  let  $\sigma_{asc}(T)$  and  $\sigma_{asc}^e(T)$  be respectively the *ascent spectrum* and the *essential ascent spectrum* of *T* defined by

$$\sigma_{asc}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : asc(T) = \infty\} \text{ and } \sigma^{e}_{asc}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : asc_{e}(T) = \infty\}$$

Then the following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.5

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then

$$\sigma_*AC \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_*BA \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for } \sigma_* \in \{\sigma_{asc}, \sigma_{asc}^e\}.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then

$$k_n(AC - I) = k_n(BA - I)$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

In particular, k(AC - I) = k(BA - I).

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi_{ACA}$  be the linear application from  $\mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^{n+1})/\mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)$  to  $\mathcal{R}(AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^{n+1})/\mathcal{R}(AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$  defined by

$$\Phi_{ACA}(x + \mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)) = ACAx + \mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n).$$

4580

Since, by Lemme 3.2, parts 3) and 4),

$$ACA(\mathcal{R}(BA-I)) + \mathcal{N}((BA-I)^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(BA-I)) + \mathcal{N}((BA-I)^{n+1}),$$

then  $\Phi_{ACA}$  is well defined.

We prove that  $\Phi_{ACA}$  is injective. Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^{n+1})$  such that  $\Phi_{ACA}(x) = 0$ . Then  $ACAx \in \mathcal{R}(AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$ . So, there exist some  $y \in \mathcal{R}(BA - I)$  and  $z \in \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$  such that ACAx = y+z. Then  $CACAx = Cy+Cz \in \mathcal{R}(CA - I) + \mathcal{N}((CA - I)^n)$ . Thus by Lemma 3.2, parts 1) and 2), we get that  $ABACACAx \in \mathcal{R}(AB-I) + \mathcal{N}((AB-I)^n)$  and consequently  $(BA)^4x = BABACACAx \in \mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (BA)^4 x - ((BA)^4 - I)x \\ &= (BA)^4 x - (BA - I)((BA)^3 + (BA)^2 + (BA) + I)x \in \mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi_{ACA}$  is injective. Thus

$$k_n(BA - I) \le k_n(AC - I). \tag{8}$$

In similar way, we show that

$$k_n(CA-I) \le k_n(AB-I). \tag{9}$$

Therefore,

$$k_n(BA - I) \leq k_n(AC - I)$$
  
=  $k_n(CA - I)$  ([18, Lemma 3.8]  
 $\leq k_n(AB - I)$  by (9)  
=  $k_n(BA - I)$  ([18, Lemma 3.8].

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{R}((AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$  is closed if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)$  is closed. In particular  $\mathcal{R}(AC - I)$  is closed if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(BA - I)$  is closed.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{R}(AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$  is closed. Let  $\{x_p\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)$  which converges to  $x \in X$ . Then  $ACAx_p$  converge to ACAx. Since  $ACA(\mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)) \subset \mathcal{R}(AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$  by Lemma 3.2, part 3) and 4), then  $ACAx_p$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}((AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n))$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(AC - I) + \mathcal{N}((AC - I)^n)$  is closed and  $ACAx_p$  converges to ACAx.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (BA)^4 x - ((BA)^4 - I)x \\ &= (BA)^4 x - (BA - I)((BA)^3 + (BA)^2 + (BA) + I)x \in \mathcal{R}(BA - I) + \mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{R}(BA - I)$  +  $\mathcal{N}((BA - I)^n)$  is closed.

The opposite implication goes similarly.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}((AC - I)^n)$  is closed if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(BA - I)^n)$  is closed.

*Proof.* As in the presentation before [2, Proposition], for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $B_n$  and  $C_n \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that

$$(I - AC)^n = I - AC_n$$
 and  $(I - BA)^n = I - B_nA$ .

Indeed, we have  $B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} {n \choose k} B(AB)^{k-1}$  and  $C_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} {n \choose k} (CA)^{k-1} C$ . It is easy to check that

$$A(B_nA)^2 = AB_nAC_nA = AC_nAB_nA = (AC_n)^2A.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.8 that  $\mathcal{R}((AC - I)^n)$  is closed if and only if  $\mathcal{R}((BA - I)^n)$  is closed.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem 3.1* : The proof follows at once from Lemmas 3.2-3.9.

## 4. Applications and concluding remarks

A bounded operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be *upper semi-Weyl* operator if T is upper semi-Fredholm with  $ind(T) \leq 0$ , and T is said to be *lower semi-Weyl* operator if T is lower semi-Fredholm with  $ind(T) \geq 0$ . If T is both upper and lower semi-Fredholm then T is said to *Weyl* operator. Then T is weyl operator precisely when T is a Fredholm operator with index zero. The *upper semi-Weyl spectrum*  $\sigma_{uw}(T)$ , the *lower semi-Weyl spectrum*  $\sigma_{lw}(T)$  and the *Weyl spectrum*  $\sigma_w(T)$  of T are defined by

 $\sigma_{uw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not upper semi-Weyl}\},\$ 

 $\sigma_{lw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not lower semi-Weyl}\},\$ 

$$\sigma_w(T) = \sigma_{uw}(T) \cup \sigma_{lw}(T).$$

From Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 we deduce the following result

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then

$$\sigma_*(AC) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_*(BA) \setminus \{0\} \text{ for } \sigma_* \in \{\sigma_{uw}, \sigma_{lw}, \sigma_w\}.$$

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be *Riesz* operator if  $T - \lambda I$  is a Fredholm operator for all  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following proposition is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then *AC* is a Riesz operator if and only if *BA* is a Riesz operator.

Following [21], an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be *generalized Drazin-Riesz* operator if there exists  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that

$$TS = ST$$
,  $STS = S$  and  $T^2S - T$  is a Riesz operator.

The operator *S* is called a *generalized Drazin-Riesz inverse* of *T*.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ . Then AC is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible if and only if BA is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. In this case, if S is a generalized Drazin-Riesz inverse of AC then BS<sup>2</sup>A is a generalized Drazin-inverse of BA.

*Proof.* Assume that *AC* is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible. then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that S(AC) = (AC)S, S(AC)S = S and  $(AC)^2S - AC$  is Riesz. Set  $T = BS^2A$  and we shall show that

$$T(BA) = (BA)T$$
,  $T(BA)T = T$  and  $(BA)^2T - BA$  is Riesz operator.

For the first equality, we have

$$T(BA) = BS^{2}A(BA)$$
  
= BS<sup>2</sup>(AC)S<sup>2</sup>(AC)A(BA)  
= BS<sup>4</sup>(AC)<sup>2</sup>A(CA)  
= B(AC)<sup>3</sup>S<sup>4</sup>A  
= B(AB)S<sup>2</sup>A  
= BAT.

For the second,

$$T^{2}(BA) = BS^{2}ABS^{2}ABA$$
  
=  $BS^{2}ABS^{2}(AC)S^{2}(AC)ABA$   
=  $BS^{2}ABS^{2}(AC)S^{2}(AC)ACA$   
=  $BS^{2}AB(AC)(AC)S^{4}ACA$   
=  $BS^{2}AC(AC)(AC)S^{4}ACA$   
=  $BS^{2}ACS^{2}ACA$   
=  $BS^{2}A$   
=  $T.$ 

Set P = ACS - I = SAC - I. Then

$$T(BA)^{2} - BA = BS^{2}A(BA)^{2} - BA$$
  
$$= BS^{2}(AC)^{2}A - BA$$
  
$$= BS^{A}CA - BA$$
  
$$= B(SAC - I)A$$
  
$$= BPA.$$

Hence it remains to show that BPA is a Riesz operator. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (PA)B(PA)B(PA) &= (SACA - A)B(SACA - A)B(ACSA - A) \\ &= (SACA - A)B(SACABA - ABA)(CSA - A) \\ &= (SACA - A)B(SACACA - ABA)(CSA - A) \\ &= [(SACA - A)B(SACACA) - (SACA - A)BABA](CSA - A) \\ &= [(SACA - A)B(SACACA) - (SACA - A)BACA](CSA - A) \\ &= (SACA - A)B(SACACA) - (SACA - A)BACA](CSA - A) \\ &= (SACA - A)B(SACACA - ACA)(CSA - A) \\ &= (SACA - A)B(SACA - A)C(ACSA - A) \\ &= (PA)B(PA)C(PA). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, one can prove that

$$(PA)B(PA)B(PA) = (PA)B(PA)C(PA) = (PA)C(PA)B(PA) = (PA)C(PA)C(PA).$$

Since  $(PA)C = (AC)^2S - AC$  is a Riesz operator by assumption, then it follows from Proposition 4.2 that B(PA) is a Riesz operator. Therefore BA is generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible and  $BS^2A$  is a generalized Drazin-inverse of BA.

In similar way, we prove the opposite implication.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.4.** If A and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $ABA = A^2$  and  $BAB = B^2$ , then

$$A(BA)^2 = ABAIA = AIABA = (AI)^2A$$
(10)

and

$$B(AB)^2 = BABIB = BIBAB = (BI)^2B.$$
(11)

*Then it follows from (10) and (11 that A, B, BA and AB share above spectral properties. So we retrieve the results of [7].* 

In the following two examples, the common spectral properties for *AC* and *BA* can only followed directly from the above results, but not from the corresponding ones in [7, 9, 15, 16, 19].

**Example 4.5.** Let *P* be a non trivial idempotent on *X*. Let *A*, *B* and *C* defined on  $X \oplus X \oplus X$  by

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} and C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$ 

Then  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ , while  $ABA \neq ACA$  and  $BAB \neq B^2$ .

**Example 4.6.** Let A and B be as in Example 4.5 and let C be defined on  $X \oplus X \oplus X$  by

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Then  $A(BA)^2 = ABACA = ACABA = (AC)^2A$ , while  $ABA \neq ACA$  and  $BAB \neq B^2$ .

#### References

- [1] P. Aiena, M. T. Biondi and C. Carpintero, On Drazin invertibility, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (8) (2008), 2839-2848.
- [2] B. A. Barnes, Common operator properties of the linear operators RS and SR. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126, (1998), 10551061.
- [3] C. Benhida and E. H. Zerouali, On Taylor and other joint spectra for commuting n-tuples of operators. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 326 (2007), 521-532.
- [4] M. Berkani, Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers, Studia Math. 140 (2000), 163-175.
- [5] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, Cline's formula for g-Drazin inverses, Arxiv math. 1805.06133v1
- [6] G. Corach, B. Duggal and R. Harte, Extensions of Jacobsons lemma. Comm. Algebra, 41 (2013), 520-531.
- [7] B. P. Duggal, Operator equations  $ABA = A^2$  and  $BAB = B^2$ , Fun. Anal. Approx. Comp. 3 (1) (2011), 9-18.
- [8] S. Grabiner, Uniform ascent and descent of bounded operators. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 34 (1982), 317-337.
- [9] M. Karmouni and A. Tajmouati, A Cline's formula for the generalized Drazin-Riesz inverses, Fun. Anal. Approx. Comp. 10 (1) (2018), 35-39.
- [10] V. Kordula and V. Müller, On the axiomatic theory of spectrum. Studia Math. 119 (1996), 109-128.
- [11] J. Ph. Labrousse, Les opérateurs quasi-Fredholm : une généralisation des opérateurs semi-Fredholm, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo 29 (2) (1980), 161-258.
- [12] M. Mbekhta and V. Müller, On the axiomatic theory of spectrum II. Studia Math., 119, (1996), 129-147.
- [13] V. G. Miller and H. Zguitti, New extensions of Jacobson's lemma and Cline's formula, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 67 (2018), 105-114.
- [14] V. Müller, Spectral theory of linear operators and spectral systems in Banach algebras, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 139, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston- Berlin, 2007.
- [15] C. Schmoeger, On the operator equations  $ABA = A^2$  and  $BAB = B^2$ , Publ. Inst. Math. (N.S.) 78 (92) (2005), 127-133.
- [16] C. Schmoeger, Common spectral properties of linear operators A and B such that  $ABA = A^2$  and  $BAB = B^2$ , Publ. Inst. Math. (N.S.) 79(93) (2006), 109-114.
- [17] K. Yan and X. Fang, Common properties of the operator products in spectral theory, Ann. Funct. Anal. 6 (4) (2015), 60-69.
- [18] Q.P. Zeng, H.J. Zhong, New results on common properties of the bounded linear operators RS and SR, Acta Math. Sinica (English Series) 29 (10) (2013), 1871-1884.
- [19] Q.P. Zeng, H.J. Zhong, Common properties of bounded linear operators AC and BA: Spectral theory, Math. Nachr. 287 (2014), 717-725.
- [20] H. Zguitti, Further common local spectral properties for bounded linear operators, to appear in Proyecciones J. Math. (2019).
- [21] S. Č. Živković-Zlatanović, Generalized Kato-Riesz decomposition and generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators, 65 (6) (2017), 1171-1193.