



A Generalization on Character Connes-Amenability

Behrouz Shojaei^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad university, Karaj, Iran

Abstract. In the current paper, we introduce the concepts of left φ -approximate Connes-amenability and left character approximate Connes-amenability of a dual Banach algebra \mathcal{A} that φ is a ω^* -continuous homomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathbb{C} . We also characterize left φ -approximate Connes-amenability of \mathcal{A} in terms of certain derivations and study some hereditary properties for such Banach algebras. Some examples show that these new notions are different from approximate Connes-amenability and left character Connes-amenability for dual Banach algebras.

1. Introduction

In [12], Johnson, Kadison and Ringrose introduced a notion of amenability for von Neumann algebras which modified Johnson's original definition for Banach algebras in the sense that it takes the dual space structure of a von Neumann algebra into account. This notion of amenability was called later Connes-amenability by A. Ya. Helemskii [11]. Runde extended the notion of Connes-amenability to the larger class of dual Banach algebras in [17] and studied certain concrete Banach algebras in the subsequent papers [18–21].

The concept of approximate amenability of Banach algebras was introduced by Ghahramani and Loy in [9] for the first time. They characterized the structure of approximately amenable Banach algebras through several different ways. After that, this notion was generalized for dual Banach algebras, namely, approximate Connes-amenability [8] and ideal Connes-amenability [15].

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and φ a character on \mathcal{A} , that is, a non-zero multiplicative linear functional on \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{A} is said to be φ -amenable if there exists a $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $m(a^* \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(a^*)$ and $m(\varphi) = 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. This notion was introduced by Kaniuth, Lau and Pym in [13]. In the same time, Monfared [23] presented the notions of left and right character amenability for Banach algebras (see also [24]); for the module version of character amenability and its generalizations refer to [2] and [3]. Furthermore, we say that \mathcal{A} is approximately- φ amenable [1] (in this paper is called left φ -approximately amenable), if there is a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $m_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow 1$ and $a \cdot m_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is well-known there are two product, as the first and second Arens products on \mathcal{A}^{**} , the second dual of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} ; see [4] for more details. Then \mathcal{A} is called *Arens regular* if these two products coincide on \mathcal{A}^{**} . A Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X} is dual if there is a closed submodule \mathcal{X}_* of \mathcal{X}^* such that $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_*)^*$. A Banach

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46H25, 46H20 ; Secondary 46H35.

Keywords. Approximately inner; Character Connes amenability; Approximate character amenability; Derivation.

Received: 15 January 2019; Revised: 21 August 2019; Accepted: 28 September 2019

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: shoujajei@kia.ia.ac.ir (Behrouz Shojaei)

algebra \mathcal{A} is dual if there is a closed submodule \mathcal{A}_* of \mathcal{A}^* such that $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$. For a dual Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , a dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X} is called normal if the module actions of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{X} are ω^* -continuous. Examples of dual Banach algebras (besides von Neumann algebras) include the measure algebra $M(G) = C_0(G)^*$ and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G) = C^*(G)^*$ of a locally compact group G . Also, the algebra $\mathfrak{B}(E) = (E \otimes_\gamma E^*)^*$ of all bounded operators on a reflexive Banach space E where \otimes_γ stands for the projective tensor product and the second dual B^{**} of an Arens regular Banach algebra B .

Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all non-zero ω^* -continuous characters on \mathcal{A} (note that $\sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_*$). We say that \mathcal{A} is left φ -Connes-amenable if there exists $m \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $m(\varphi) = 1$ and $am = \varphi(a)m$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, \mathcal{A} is called left 0-Connes-amenable if for every normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X}^* where the left module action of \mathcal{X} is zero, every ω^* -continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is inner. In addition, \mathcal{A} is called left character Connes-amenable if it left φ -Connes-amenable for each $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$.

Motivated to [8, 13, 23–25], in this paper we introduce and study the concepts of left φ -approximate Connes-amenable and left character approximate Connes-amenable for dual Banach algebras where $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. We firstly investigate the basic properties of left φ -approximately Connes-amenable dual Banach algebra. Then we characterize left φ -approximate Connes-amenable and left character approximate Connes-amenable in some different ways (Theorems 2.5, 2.14 and 2.16). For an Arens regular Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , we show that \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately amenable if \mathcal{A}^{**} is left φ^{**} -approximately Connes-amenable (Theorem 2.12). Finally, we present some examples that show the concepts of left φ -approximate Connes-amenable and left character approximate Connes-amenable are weaker than [approximate] Connes-amenable, [approximate] amenability and left character Connes-amenable.

2. Character approximate Connes-amenable

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and \mathcal{X} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Then \mathcal{X}^* , the conjugate of \mathcal{X} , has an \mathcal{A} -bimodule structure defined by the usual way as follows:

$$\langle a \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot a \rangle, \quad \langle x^* \cdot a, x \rangle = \langle x^*, a \cdot x \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}, x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*).$$

A derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a continuous linear map such that $D(ab) = a \cdot Db + Da \cdot b$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Given $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the inner derivation $\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is defined by $\delta_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$. A derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called approximately inner if there exists a net $(x_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ such that $Da = \lim_\alpha a \cdot x_\alpha - x_\alpha \cdot a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. We say that \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable if there exists a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $m_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow 1$ and $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, \mathcal{A} is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable, if for every normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X}^* which the left action of \mathcal{X} is zero, every ω^* -continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{X}^* is approximately inner. We also say that \mathcal{A} is left character approximately Connes-amenable if it is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable for each $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra, $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$ and \mathcal{X} be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule with the left module action $a \cdot x = \varphi(a)x$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}$). The dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X}^* is called left φ -normal if for each $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$ the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*; a \mapsto a \cdot x^*$ is ω^* -continuous. It is easily seen that every left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule is normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

Proposition 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra. Then \mathcal{A} is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable if and only if it has a right approximate identity.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{X} is the Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, whose underlying linear space is \mathcal{A}_* with the module actions $a \cdot x = 0$ and $x \cdot a = xa$ for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{A}$ is a left 0-normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and the identity map from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{X}^* is a ω^* -continuous derivation, so it is approximately inner, i.e. there exists a net $(a_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{A}$ such that $a = \lim_\alpha aa_\alpha$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Conversely, let (a_α) be a right approximate identity for \mathcal{A} and D be a ω^* -continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{X}^* where \mathcal{X}^* be a left 0-normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} bimodule. Since $D(a) \cdot a_\alpha = D(a_\alpha) \cdot a = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and each α , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a) &= \lim_{\alpha} D(aa_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} (Da \cdot a_\alpha + a \cdot D(a_\alpha)) = \lim_{\alpha} a \cdot D(a_\alpha) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} (a \cdot D(a_\alpha) - D(a_\alpha) \cdot a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is approximately inner. It means that \mathcal{A} is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, \mathcal{B} be a dual Banach algebra and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous epimorphism and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{B})$.*

- (i) *If \mathcal{A} is left $\varphi \circ \theta$ -approximately amenable, then \mathcal{B} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable;*
- (ii) *If θ is ω^* -continuous and \mathcal{A} is a dual Banach algebra which is left $\varphi \circ \theta$ -approximately Connes-amenable, then \mathcal{B} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable;*
- (iii) *If \mathcal{A} is left character approximately [Connes]-amenable, then \mathcal{B} is left character approximately Connes-amenable.*

Proof. (i) Since \mathcal{A} is left $\varphi \circ \theta$ -approximately amenable, there exists a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $am_\alpha - \varphi \circ \theta(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0, m_\alpha(\varphi \circ \theta) \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand, as [25, Proposition 2.6] for mapping $\psi = (\theta^*|_{\mathcal{B}^*})^* : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, we have $\psi(aa^{**}) = \theta(a)\psi(a^{**})$ where $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. Set $n_\alpha = \psi(m_\alpha) \in \mathcal{B}$, so $\theta(a)n_\alpha - \varphi \circ \theta(a)n_\alpha = \theta(a)\psi(m_\alpha) - \varphi \circ \theta(a)\psi(m_\alpha) = \psi(am_\alpha - \varphi \circ \theta(a)m_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle n_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi(m_\alpha), \varphi \rangle = \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \circ \theta \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Furthermore, θ is epimorphism and so we get \mathcal{B} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. For the part (ii) it suffices to define $n_\alpha = \theta(m_\alpha)$. The Part (iii) is a direct consequence of statements (i) or (ii). \square

Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. We can consider $\ker\varphi$, the kernel of φ as a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule which its right module action is $ma = \varphi(a)m, a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \ker\varphi$ and the left action is the natural one. Thus $\ker\varphi$ is a left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. In the next theorem we characterize left φ -approximate Connes-amenable of dual Banach algebra \mathcal{A} through the cohomology groups $H_{\omega^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*) := Z_{\omega^*}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*)/B^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ for certain normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodules \mathcal{X}^* .

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *\mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable;*
- (ii) *For every left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{X}^* every ω^* -continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is approximately inner;*
- (iii) *Every ω^* -continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \ker\varphi$ is approximately inner;*
- (iv) *There is a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $m_\alpha(\varphi) = 1$ for each α and $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By the assumption there exists a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ which $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $m_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow 1$. Now, suppose that \mathcal{X}^* is a left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is a ω^* -continuous derivation. Define $x_\alpha^* = D(m_\alpha) \in \mathcal{X}^*$. Then for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\alpha} D(am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} (D(am_\alpha) - \varphi(a)D(m_\alpha)) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} (D(a) \cdot m_\alpha + a \cdot D(m_\alpha) - \varphi(a)D(m_\alpha)) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} (m_\alpha(\varphi)D(a) + a \cdot x_\alpha^* - \varphi(a)x_\alpha^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $Da = \lim_{\alpha} a \cdot (-x_\alpha^*) - \varphi(a)(-x_\alpha^*) = \lim_{\alpha} a \cdot (-x_\alpha^*) - (-x_\alpha^*) \cdot a$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) It is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) We make \mathcal{A}^* into a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule by taking the right module action as usual and the left module action to be defined by $a \cdot a^* = \varphi(a)a^* (a \in \mathcal{A}, a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*)$. Since $a \cdot \varphi = \varphi \cdot a = \varphi(a)\varphi$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_*$,

$\mathbb{C}\varphi$ is a closed \mathcal{A} -submodule \mathcal{A}_* . So $(\mathcal{A}_*/\mathbb{C}\varphi)^* \simeq \{\mathbb{C}\varphi\}^\perp = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \varphi(a) = 0\} = \ker\varphi$ is a left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule with the module actions as follows:

$$a \cdot [a_*] = \varphi(a)[a_*], \quad [a_*] \cdot a = [a_* \cdot a] \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, [a_*] \in \mathcal{A}_*/\mathbb{C}\varphi).$$

Now, choose $m_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(m_0) = 1$ and define a derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $Da = am_0 - \varphi(a)m_0$. Obviously, $D(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \ker\varphi$, and by the assumption there exists a net $(n_\alpha) \subseteq \ker\varphi$ such that $Da = \lim_\alpha an_\alpha - \varphi(a)n_\alpha$. Define $m_\alpha = m_0 - n_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that

$$m_\alpha(\varphi) = m_0(\varphi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_\alpha (am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha) = 0.$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) It is routine. \square

The upcoming corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5 and [1, Proposition 2.2]. We include it without proof.

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately amenable if and only if it is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable.*

Here, we bring the next lemmas which are useful tools to reach some aims.

Lemma 2.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. If $I_\varphi = \ker\varphi$ has a right approximate identity, then \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that (e_α) is a right approximate identity for I_φ . Consider $e_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(e_0) = 1$ and set $m_\alpha = e_0 - e_0e_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $e_0a - \varphi(a)e_0 \in I_\varphi$, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha &= ae_0 - ae_0e_\alpha - \varphi(a)e_0 + \varphi(a)e_0e_\alpha \\ &= ae_0 - \varphi(a)e_0 - (ae_0 - \varphi(a)e_0)e_\alpha \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $m_\alpha(\varphi) = 1$ for all α . By Theorem 2.5, \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra with a bounded right approximate identity which be left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. Then $I_\varphi = \ker\varphi$ has a right approximate identity.*

Proof. Assume that (a_α) is a bounded right approximate identity for \mathcal{A} . Since it is dual Banach algebra, $a_\alpha \xrightarrow{\omega^*} e \in \mathcal{A}$, $ae = a$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi(e) = 1$. On the other hand, \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. Theorem 2.5 implies that there exists net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = 1$ for each α . Put $e_\alpha = e - m_\alpha$. We have $\varphi(e_\alpha) = 0$ and so $e_\alpha \in I_\varphi$. Hence for each $a \in I$, $ae_\alpha = ae - am_\alpha = ae - (am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha) \rightarrow a$, as required. \square

The following corollary is immediate result of lemmas 2.7 and 2.8.

Corollary 2.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra with a bounded right approximate identity and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable if and only if $I_\varphi = \ker\varphi$ has a right approximate identity.*

Proposition 2.10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra, \mathcal{I} be a ω^* -closed ideal with a left bounded approximate identity and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\varphi|_{\mathcal{I}} \neq 0$. If \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable, then \mathcal{I} is left $\varphi|_{\mathcal{I}}$ -approximately Connes-amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that (e_α) is a bounded left approximate identity for \mathcal{I} and $\varphi|_{\mathcal{I}} \neq 0$, there exists an $e \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $e_\alpha \xrightarrow{\omega^*} e$, $ea = a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\varphi(e) = 1$. On the other hand, \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable so there is a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Set $n_\alpha = m_\alpha e \in \mathcal{I}$, then for each $a \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$an_\alpha - \varphi(a)n_\alpha = am_\alpha e - \varphi(a)m_\alpha e = (am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha)e \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\langle \varphi|_{\mathcal{I}}, n_\alpha \rangle = \langle \varphi, m_\alpha e \rangle = \langle \varphi, m_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Therefore \mathcal{I} is left $\varphi|_{\mathcal{I}}$ -approximately Connes-amenable. \square

Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_*)$ is a dual Banach algebra. Then its unitization, $\mathcal{A}^\# = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathbb{C}$ is a dual Banach algebra with predual $\mathcal{A}_* \oplus_\infty \mathbb{C}$, where \oplus_1 and \oplus_∞ denote the ℓ^1 and ℓ^∞ -direct sums respectively. More generally if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are dual Banach algebras, then $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ is a dual Banach algebra with predual $\mathcal{A}_* \oplus_\infty \mathcal{B}_*$. In addition, for $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$ the mapping $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{A}^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\tilde{\varphi}(a + \lambda) = \varphi(a) + \lambda$ is the unique extension of φ and $\tilde{\varphi} \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}^\#)$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be dual Banach algebras and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is left $\tilde{\varphi}$ -approximately Connes-amenable;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is left character approximately Connes-amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable;
- (iii) $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable if and only if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are left character approximately Connes-amenable.

Proof. (i) Assume that \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. Thus there exists a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $m_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow 1$ and $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Consider m_α as an element of $\mathcal{A}^\#$. Hence

$$(a + \lambda)m_\alpha - \tilde{\varphi}(a + \lambda)m_\alpha = am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}),$$

and also $m_\alpha(\tilde{\varphi}) = m_\alpha(\varphi) \rightarrow 1$. Thus $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is left $\tilde{\varphi}$ -approximately Connes-amenable. For the converse, suppose that $\mathcal{A}^\#$ is left $\tilde{\varphi}$ -approximately Connes-amenable and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is a ω^* -continuous derivation which \mathcal{X}^* is a left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Define $x \cdot e = x$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So, \mathcal{X} can be considered as a left $\tilde{\varphi}$ -normal dual Banach $\mathcal{A}^\#$ -bimodule with module actions $(a + \lambda) \cdot x = (\varphi(a) + \lambda)x$ and $x \cdot (a + \lambda) = xa + \lambda x$. Therefore the mapping of $\tilde{D} : \mathcal{A}^\# \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$, $\tilde{D}(a + \lambda) = D(a)$ is a ω^* -continuous derivation. By Theorem 2.5, there exists a net $(x_\alpha^*) \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ such that

$$D(a) = \tilde{D}(a + \lambda) = \lim_\alpha (a + \lambda)x_\alpha^* - (\varphi(a) + \lambda)x_\alpha^* = \lim_\alpha ax_\alpha^* - \varphi(a)x_\alpha^*$$

once more by Theorem 2.5, it follows that \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. The Part (ii) is a immediately consequence of part (i).

(iii) Assume $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable. The natural projections on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are ω^* -continuous and so \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are left character approximately Connes-amenable by the part (iii) of Proposition 2.4. Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are left character approximately Connes-amenable. Without loss of generality in view of part(ii), we can consider \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} with identities $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B})$. There exists two cases, if $\varphi|_{\mathcal{A}} \neq 0$, then $\varphi(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 1$. Since $\varphi(e_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus e_{\mathcal{B}}) = 1$, so $\varphi(e_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$, $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$. On the other hand, \mathcal{A} is left $\varphi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -approximately Connes amenable so there is a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Consider the net $(m_\alpha \oplus 0) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$, then for each $a \oplus b \in \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$, we have $(a \oplus b)(m_\alpha \oplus 0) - \varphi(a \oplus b)(m_\alpha \oplus 0) = am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha \oplus 0, \varphi \rangle = \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. For the other case, that is $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}} \neq 0$. Similarly, one can show that $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. Moreover, $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ has an identity $e_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus e_{\mathcal{B}}$ and hence it is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable by Proposition 2.3. Therefore, $\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable. \square

Here, we have two observations for Arens regular Banach algebra \mathcal{A} with extra condition that \mathcal{A} is an ideal in \mathcal{A}^{**} .

- If \mathcal{A}^{**} is Connes-amenable, then so is \mathcal{A} [17, Theorem 4.4].
- If \mathcal{A}^{**} is approximately Connes-amenable then so is \mathcal{A} [8, Theorem 7.1(ii)].

We prove the above assertions for left character approximate Connes-amenable case only with Arens regularity condition. Recall that every $\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ has a unique extension to $\varphi^{**} \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}^{**})$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be an Arens regular Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A}^{**} is left φ^{**} -approximately Connes-amenable, then \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately amenable.*

Proof. By the hypothesis there exists a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $m_\alpha(\varphi^{**}) \rightarrow 1$ and $a^{**}m_\alpha - \varphi^{**}(a^{**})m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. For each α , consider a net $(a_\beta^\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ which $a_\beta^\alpha \rightarrow m_\alpha$ in the ω^* -topology. Since φ^{**} is ω^* -continuous, $\varphi^{**} \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and we get

$$\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \lim_\beta \langle a_\beta^\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \lim_\beta \langle a_\beta^\alpha, \varphi^{**} \rangle = \langle m_\alpha, \varphi^{**} \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Moreover for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$, which finishes the proof. \square

Let $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of all bounded bilinear functionals on \mathcal{A} and $L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of separately ω^* continuous elements of $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. Following [18], we turn $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ into a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule through the identification $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}})^*$. The module actions of \mathcal{A} on $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ are as follow:

$$(a.F)(b, c) = F(b, ca), \quad (F.a)(b, c) = F(ab, c) \quad (a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad F \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})).$$

Clearly, $L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ is a Banach \mathcal{A} -submodule of $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. We also have a natural \mathcal{A} -bimodule map

$$\theta : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*, \quad \theta(a \otimes b)(F) = F(a, b).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_* \otimes \mathcal{A}_* \subseteq L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{A}_* \otimes \mathcal{A}_*$ separates the points of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, θ is one-to-one. We will identify $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ with its image as

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \subseteq L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*.$$

For the map

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} : \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}; \quad a \otimes b \mapsto ab \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Since the multiplication in a dual Banach algebra is separately $\omega^* - \omega^*$ -continuous, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{A}_*) \subseteq L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore the restriction of $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{**}$ to $L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$ turns into a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule homomorphism

$$\Delta_{\omega^*} : L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 2.13. Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. A net $(M_\alpha) \subseteq L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$ is said to be a left φ -approximately normal virtual diagonal for \mathcal{A} if

$$a.M_\alpha - \varphi(a)M_\alpha \longrightarrow 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle M_\alpha, \varphi \otimes \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta_{\omega^*}(M_\alpha), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

For a dual Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , if \mathcal{A} has a (approximate) normal virtual diagonal, then it is (approximately) Connes-amenable (see [8, Theorem 3.1] and [18, Theorem 4.4.15] for more details). The converse of the mentioned results is no longer valid for the Connes-amenable case [22]. However, we can characterize left φ -approximate Connes-amenable of dual Banach algebras in terms of left φ -approximate normal virtual diagonals as follows:

Theorem 2.14. Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable;
- (ii) There exists a net $(M_\alpha) \subseteq L^2_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$ such that $a.M_\alpha - \varphi(a)M_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$ and $\langle M_\alpha, \varphi \otimes \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta_{\omega^*}(M_\alpha), \varphi \rangle = 1$ for each α ;
- (iii) \mathcal{A} has a left φ -approximately normal virtual diagonal.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) By Theorem 2.5 there exists a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $m_\alpha(\varphi) = 1$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and for each α . Choosing a $m_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ which $\langle m_0, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and in view of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \subseteq L_{\omega^*}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$, define $M_\alpha = m_\alpha \otimes m_0 \in L_{\omega^*}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$. Thus for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we get

$$aM_\alpha - \varphi(a)M_\alpha = am_\alpha \otimes m_0 - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \otimes m_0 = (am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha) \otimes m_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\langle \Delta_{\omega^*}(M_\alpha), \varphi \rangle = \langle m_\alpha \otimes m_0, \varphi \otimes \varphi \rangle = \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \langle m_0, \varphi \rangle = 1$ for each α .

(ii)⇒(iii) It is clear.

(iii)⇒(i) Assume that (M_α) is a left φ -approximately normal virtual diagonal for \mathcal{A} , define $m_\alpha = \Delta_{\omega^*}(M_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha = \Delta_{\omega^*}(a.M_\alpha - \varphi(a)M_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta_{\omega^*}(M_\alpha), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$. It implies that \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. \square

In the next theorem we characterize left φ -Connes-amenable of dual Banach algebra \mathcal{A} in terms of some bounded nets in \mathcal{A} and $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}$.

Theorem 2.15. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is left φ -Connes-amenable;
- (ii) There is a bounded net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1;$$

- (iii) There is a bounded net $(N_\alpha) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}$ such that

$$a.N_\alpha - \varphi(a)N_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(N_\alpha), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) It is obvious.

(ii)⇒(iii) Choose a $m_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle m_0, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and define $N_\alpha = m_\alpha \otimes m_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}$ for each α . It is easy to check that the net (N_α) works as required.

(iii)⇒(i) Define $m_\alpha = \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(N_\alpha)$ for each α . Therefore for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\lim_{\alpha} (am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a.N_\alpha - \varphi(a)N_\alpha) = 0,$$

and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(N_\alpha), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand the net (m_α) is bounded and \mathcal{A} is a dual Banach algebra, thus there is a $m \in \mathcal{A}$ such that,

$$m_\alpha \xrightarrow{\omega^*} m \quad \text{and} \quad am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \xrightarrow{\omega^*} am - \varphi(a)m.$$

Consequently, $am = \varphi(a)m$ and $\langle m, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = 1$. It follows that \mathcal{A} is left φ -Connes-amenable. \square

In the next theorem we present other characterizations of left φ -approximate Connes-amenable of dual Banach algebras.

Theorem 2.16. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable;
- (ii) There is a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \xrightarrow{\omega} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1;$$

- (iii) There is a net $(N_\alpha) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}$ such that

$$a.N_\alpha - \varphi(a)N_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(N_\alpha), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. (i)⇒(ii). It is clear.

(ii)⇒(iii) For each finite set $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$(a_1 m_\alpha - \varphi(a_1) m_\alpha, \dots, a_n m_\alpha - \varphi(a_n) m_\alpha, \langle \varphi, m_\alpha \rangle) \xrightarrow{\omega} (0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

in $\mathcal{A}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}$. With applying Mazur’s theorem, we can obtain another net $(n_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, which is called again (m_α) such that $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in norm topology and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Now, consider $m_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle m_0, \varphi \rangle = 1$ and define $N_\alpha = m_\alpha \otimes m_0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ for each α . It is easily to verified that $a.N_\alpha - \varphi(a)N_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(N_\alpha), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$.

(iii)⇒(i) Define $m_\alpha = \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(N_\alpha)$ for each α . Thus for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$, as required. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra. Recall that a point derivation d at a character $\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is a linear functional $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $d(ab) = d(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(a)d(b)$ for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.17. *Let \mathcal{A} be a dual Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} has a non-zero continuous point derivation at φ , then \mathcal{A} is not left φ -approximately Connes-amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that d is a non-zero continuous point derivation at φ and \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. Thus there is a net $(m_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\alpha} d(am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} (d(a)\varphi(m_\alpha) + \varphi(a)d(m_\alpha) - \varphi(a)d(m_\alpha)) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(m_\alpha)d(a) = d(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d=0$, which contradicts the assumption. \square

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be dual Banach algebras, $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a ω^* -continuous homomorphism and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. Then \mathcal{B} can be considered as a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule by the following module actions

$$a.b = \theta(a)b, \quad b.a = \varphi(a)b \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad b \in \mathcal{B}).$$

We denote the above left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule by $\mathcal{B}_{(\theta, \varphi)}$. The next Theorem generalize [7, Theorem 2.3], and give another characterizations for left φ -approximate Connes- amenability of dual Banach algebras.

Theorem 2.18. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be dual Banach algebras and $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \{0\}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable;
- (ii) For every ω^* -continuous homomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, then every ω^* -continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{(\theta, \varphi)}$ is approximately inner;
- (iii) For every injective ω^* -continuous homomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, then every ω^* continuous derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{(\theta, \varphi)}$ is approximately inner.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.5, the implications (i)⇒(ii) and (ii)⇒(iii) are obvious. It suffices to prove that (iii) implies that (i). Assume that \mathcal{X}^* is a left φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is a ω^* -continuous derivation. In view of [7, Lemma 2.2], $\mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{X}^*$ is a dual Banach algebra, and the map $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{X}^*$ by $\theta(a) = (a, 0)$ is an injective ω^* -continuous homomorphism. Thus $(\mathcal{A} \oplus_{\infty} \mathcal{X}^*)_{(\theta, \varphi)}$ is a left

φ -normal dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. We now define $D_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{X}^*)_{(\theta, \varphi)}$ through $D_1(a) = (0, D(a))$, then for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= (0, Dab) = (0, D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b)) \\ &= \varphi(b)(0, D(a)) + (a, 0) \cdot (0, D(b)) \\ &= \varphi(b)(0, D(a)) + \theta(a)(0, D(b)) \\ &= \varphi(b)D_1(a) + \theta(a)D_1(b), \end{aligned}$$

consequently, D_1 is a ω^* -continuous derivation. Hence by the assumption D_1 is approximately inner, so there is a net $((a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)) \subseteq (\mathcal{A} \oplus_\infty \mathcal{X}^*)_{(\theta, \varphi)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (0, D(a)) = D_1(a) &= \lim_\alpha (a \cdot (a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) - (a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) \cdot a) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (\theta(a) \cdot (a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) - \varphi(a)(a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)) \\ &= \lim_\alpha ((a, 0)(a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) - \varphi(a)(a_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (aa_\alpha - \varphi(a)a_\alpha, a \cdot x_\alpha^* - \varphi(a)x_\alpha^*), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $D(a) = \lim_\alpha (a \cdot x_\alpha^* - \varphi(a)x_\alpha^*)$. Theorem 2.5 implies that \mathcal{A} is left φ -approximately Connes-amenable. \square

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be dual Banach algebras and $\theta \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{B})$. Then the θ -Lau product \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , denoted by $\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}$, is defined as the set $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ equipped with the multiplication

$$(a, b)(a', b') = (aa' + \theta(b)a' + \theta(b')a, bb'),$$

and norm $\|(a, b)\| = \|a\| + \|b\|$. It is known that \mathcal{A} is a closed two sided ideal of $\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B} / \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$. Similar to [23] one may prove that $\sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}) = \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A}) \times \{\theta\} \cup \{\theta\} \times \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{B})$.

Lemma 2.19. *Let net (m_α, n_α) and (a, b) be in $\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}$.*

- (i) $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$, $(a, b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) - (\varphi(a) + \theta(b))(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle + \langle n_\alpha, \theta \rangle \rightarrow 1$ if and only if $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$, $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$ and $n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$;
- (ii) Suppose that $\theta(n_\alpha) = 1$ for each α . Then $(a, b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) - \theta(b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $bn_\alpha - \theta(b)n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and net $(-m_\alpha)$ is a right approximate identity for \mathcal{A} ;
- (iii) Suppose that $\psi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{B})$, $\theta \neq \psi$, $\theta(n_\alpha) = 0$ and $\psi(n_\alpha) = 1$ for each α . Then $(a, b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) - \psi(b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $an_\alpha - \psi(b)n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $(a, b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) - (\varphi(a) + \theta(b))(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. Then $am_\alpha + \theta(n_\alpha)a - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $bn_\alpha - \varphi(a)n_\alpha - \theta(b)n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Choosing $b = 0$ and $\varphi(a) = 1$, we obtain $n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Hence $am_\alpha - \varphi(a)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_\alpha, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 1$. The converse is clear.

(ii) From $(a, b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) - \theta(b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$, we get $am_\alpha + \theta(n_\alpha)a \rightarrow 0$ and $bn_\alpha - \theta(b)n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Since $\theta(n_\alpha) = 1$, $am_\alpha + a \rightarrow 0$ and hence the net $(-m_\alpha)$ is a right approximate identity for \mathcal{A} . The converse is obvious.

(iii) According to $(a, b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) - \psi(b)(m_\alpha, n_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $am_\alpha + \theta(b)m_\alpha + \theta(n_\alpha)a - \psi(b)m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $an_\alpha - \psi(b)n_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Consider $a = 0$, then $(\theta(b) - \psi(b))m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Since $\theta \neq \psi$, we have $m_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proposition 2.20. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be dual Banach algebras. Then $\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable if and only if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are so.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B}$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable. Then by the part (iii) Proposition 2.4, so is \mathcal{B} . Now, consider $(0, \theta) \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A} \times_\theta \mathcal{B})$. By the assumption and Lemma 2.19 (ii), \mathcal{A} has a right approximate identity. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that \mathcal{A} is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable. On

the other hand, for each $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A})$ and $(\varphi, \theta) \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\mathcal{A} \times_{\theta} \mathcal{B})$, according to the assumption and Lemma 2.19 (i) we get \mathcal{A} is φ -approximately Connes-amenable and so \mathcal{A} is left character approximately Connes-amenable.

Conversely, by Proposition 2.11 (ii) we can consider that \mathcal{B} is unitary with identity $e \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $(0, e)$ is a right identity for $\mathcal{A} \times_{\theta} \mathcal{B}$, it is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable by Proposition 2.3. The rest of the proof can be obtained immediately from Lemma 2.19. \square

3. Examples

It is clear that every dual Banach algebra which is either Connes-amenable or approximately Connes-amenable, then it is left character approximately Connes-amenable. In this section, by using some examples we show that the converse of these results do not hold in general.

Example 3.1. Consider the Banach algebra $\ell^1 = \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ of all sequences $a = (a(n))$ of complex numbers with $\|a\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a(n)| < \infty$ along with product \circ defined by

$$a \circ b(n) = \begin{cases} a(1)b(1) & n=1 \\ a(1)b(n) + b(1)a(n) + a(n)b(n) & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

for $a, b \in \ell^1$. It is easy to check that ℓ^1 with this product is a dual Banach algebra and

$$\sigma_{\omega^*}(\ell^1) = \sigma(\ell^1) = \{\varphi_1\} \cup \{\varphi_1 + \varphi_n : n \geq 2\},$$

where $\varphi_n(a) = a(n)$ for each $a \in \ell^1$. It was shown in [16, Example 2.9(a)] that there is no bounded net $(m_{\alpha}) \subseteq \ell^1$ such that $am_{\alpha} - \varphi_1(a)m_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ and $\langle m_{\alpha}, \varphi_1 \rangle \rightarrow 1$. Theorem 2.15 implies that ℓ^1 is not left φ_1 -Connes amenable and hence it is not Connes-amenable. However, ℓ^1 is $(\varphi_1 + \varphi_n)$ -Connes amenable by [16, Example 2.9(a)]. On the other hand, the sequence $(m_k) \subseteq \ell^1$ defined by

$$m_k(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ -1 & 1 < n \leq k \\ 0 & n > k \end{cases}$$

satisfies in Definition 2.1 for every $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\ell^1)$ and therefore ℓ^1 is φ -approximately Connes-amenable for each $\varphi \in \sigma_{\omega^*}(\ell^1)$ [16, Example 2.9(a)]. Now, consider ℓ^1 as a dual Banach algebra with pointwise multiplication. It is easily verified that

$$\sigma_{\omega^*}(\ell^1) = \sigma(\ell^1) = \{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \langle \varphi_n, a \rangle = a(n), \quad (a \in \ell^1)\}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $m = \varphi_n \in \ell^1$. Then

$$am - \varphi_n(a)m = a\varphi_n - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle = 1,$$

for every $a \in \ell^1$. Thus ℓ^1 is left φ_n -Connes amenable. Furthermore, ℓ^1 has an approximate identity and so it is left 0-approximately Connes-amenable by Proposition 2.3. Consequently, ℓ^1 is left character approximately Connes-amenable, but it is neither approximately Connes-amenable [14, Theorem 3.2] nor approximately amenable [6, Theorem 4.1].

Example 3.2. Consider the discrete convolution algebra ℓ^1 consist of all sequences of $a = (a(n))$ which $\|a\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a(n)| < \infty$. Since \mathbb{N} is weakly cancellative semigroup, ℓ^1 is a dual Banach algebra [5, Theorem 4.6]. Moreover, $\sigma(\ell^1) = \{\varphi_z : z \in \mathbb{Z}, |z| \leq 1\}$, where $\varphi_z(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)z^n$ for each $a \in \ell^1$. Thus for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z| < 1$, $\varphi_z = (z, z^2, z^3, \dots) \in c_0$. Consequently $\sigma_{\omega^*}(\ell^1) = \{\varphi_z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$. Therefore for each $|z| < 1$, the map $f \mapsto f'(z)$ is a non-trivial bounded point derivation at φ_z , and hence ℓ^1 is not left φ_z -approximately Connes-amenable by Proposition 2.17.

Example 3.3. Let G be a locally compact group, then $\sigma_{\omega^*}(M(G)) = \emptyset$ by [25, Example 3.1]. So $M(G)$ is always left character approximately Connes-amenable. But for non-amenable G , $M(G)$ is neither Connes amenable [19] (approximately Connes amenable [8, Theorem 5.2]) nor character amenable [23, Corollary 2.5].

Example 3.4. Consider the dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ with pointwise product which has an approximate identity. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_n(\mathcal{A}) = \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes M_n$ is a dual Banach algebra with approximate identity. Since $\sigma(M_n) = \emptyset$, we find $\sigma_{\omega^*}(M_n(\mathcal{A})) = \emptyset$ for each $n \geq 2$. Therefore $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is always left character approximately Connes-amenable by Proposition 2.3. However $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is not left character Connes-amenable [25, Lemma 2.9].

Example 3.5. Let \mathbb{F}_2 be a free group on two generators and $VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$ denote the von Neumann algebra generated by the left regular representation λ of \mathbb{F}_2 on $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Then $VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable [25, Example 3.1], but $VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is neither amenable [17, Corollary 6.7] (Connes-amenable [18, Theorem 4.4.13]) nor approximately Connes-amenable [8, Corollary 6.3]. Moreover, for a non-discrete, amenable locally compact group G , the dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} = M(G) \oplus_1 VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is left character approximately Connes-amenable by Proposition 2.11 part(iii). On the other hand, the projections $\pi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M(G)$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are norm and w^* -continuous homomorphisms, respectively. In addition, $M(G)$ is not left character amenable [23, Corollary 2.5] and $VN(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is not approximately Connes-amenable, and hence \mathcal{A} is neither left character amenable [23, Theorem 2.6(i)] nor approximately Connes amenable [8, Proposition 2.3(ii)].

Note that the converse of the part (iii) from Proposition 2.4 is not true. Since for a non-amenable group G , $M(G)$ is always left character approximately Connes-amenable by Example 3.3 while it is not left character approximately amenable [1, Theorem 7.2].

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for the comments to improve the first draft of paper.

References

- [1] H. P. Aghababa, L. Y. Shi and Y. J. Wu, Generalized notions of character amenability, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English series* **29.7** (2013), 1329-1350.
- [2] A. Bodaghi and M. Amini, Module character amenability of Banach algebras, *Arch. Math (Basel)*, **99** (2012), 353–365.
- [3] A. Bodaghi, H. Ebrahimi and M. Lashkarizadeh Bami, Generalized notions of module character amenability, *Filomat*, **31**, No. 6 (2017), 1639-1654.
- [4] H. G. Dales *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [5] H. G. Dales, T. M. Lau and D. Strauss, *Banach algebras on semigroups and their compactifications*, Memoirs of the American mathematical society, 2010; 165 pp.
- [6] H. G. Dales, R. J. Loy and Y. Zhang, approximate amenability for Banach sequence algebras, *Studia Math.* **177** (2006), 81-96.
- [7] M. Eshaghi Gordji, F. Habibian and A. Rejali, Module extension of dual Banach algebras, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **47** (2010), 663-673.
- [8] G. H. Esslamzadeh, B. Shojaee and A. Mahmoodi, Approximate Connes-amenability of dual Banach algebras, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin.* **19** (2012), 193-213.
- [9] F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy, Generalized notions of amenability, *J. Funct. Anal.* **208** (2004), 229-260.
- [10] F. Ghahramani, R. J. Loy and Y. Zhang, Generalized notions of amenability II, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254**(2008), 1776-1810.
- [11] A. Ya. Helemskii, Homological essence of amenability in the sense of A. Connes: the injectivity of the predual bimodule (translated from the Russian). *Math. USSR-Sb* **68** (1991), 555-566.
- [12] B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison, and J. Ringrose, Cohomology of operator algebras, III, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **100** (1972), 73-79.
- [13] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, On φ -amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **144** (2008), 85-96.
- [14] A. Mahmoodi, Connes amenability-like properties, *Studia Math.* **220** (2014), 55-72.
- [15] A. Minapoor, A. Bodaghi and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, Ideal Connes-amenability of dual Banach algebras, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14:174** (2017).
- [16] R. Nasr-Isfahani and M. Nemati, Character pseudo-amenability of Banach algebras, *Colloq. Math.* **132** (2013), 177-193.
- [17] V. Runde, Amenability for dual Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **148** (2001), 47-66.

- [18] V. Runde, Lectures on amenability, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1774, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, 2002.
- [19] V. Runde, Connes-amenability and normal virtual diagonals for measure algebras I, *J. London Math. Soc.* **67** (2003), 643-656.
- [20] V. Runde, Connes-amenability and normal virtual diagonals for measure algebras II, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **68** (2003), 325-328.
- [21] V. Runde, Dual Banach algebras: Connes-amenability, normal, virtual diagonal, and injectivity of the predual bimodule, *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 124-144.
- [22] V. Runde, A Connes-amenable dual Banach algebra need not have a normal, virtual diagonal, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 391-402.
- [23] M. Sangani-Monfared, Character amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **144** (2008) 697-706.
- [24] M. Sangani-Monfared, On certain products of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis, *Studia Math.* **178** (2007), 227-294.
- [25] B. Shojae, M. Amini, Character Connes-amenability of dual Banach algebras, *Period. Math. Hung.* **74** (2017), 31-39.