Filomat 33:9 (2019), 2667–2671 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1909667W



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Inequalities for the Eigenvalues of the Positive Definite Solutions of the Nonlinear Matrix Equation

Guoxing Wu^a, Ting Xing^a, Duanmei Zhou^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin 150040, P.R. China ^bCollege of Mathematics and Computer Science, Gannan Normal University, Ganzhou 341000, Jiangxi, P.R. China

Abstract. In this paper, the Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$ are considered, where Q is a Hermitian positive definite matrix, s and t are positive integers. Bounds for the sum of eigenvalues of the solutions to the equation are given. The equivalent conditions for solutions of the equation are obtained. The eigenvalues of the solutions of the equation with the case AQ = QA are investigated.

1. Introduction

We consider the Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation

$$X^s + A^* X^{-t} A = Q_t$$

(1)

where *Q* is an $n \times n$ Hermitian positive definite matrix, *s* and *t* are positive integers. Here A^* stands for the conjugate transpose of the matrix *A*. Nonlinear matrix equations with the form (1) often arise in control theory, dynamic programming, ladder networks, stochastic filtering, statistics and etc.(see [1] and the reference therein). The problems for eigenvalues of the solutions of the equation were studied by several authors [1–8, 10–19].

In this manuscript, lower bounds for the sum of some eigenvalues of the solution to the equation are given. Equivalent conditions for solutions of the equation are obtained. Eigenvalues of the solutions to the equation with the case AQ = QA are investigated.

Throughout this paper, we write B > 0 ($B \ge 0$) if the matrix B is positive definite (semidefinite). If B - C > 0 ($B - C \ge 0$), then we write B > C ($B \ge C$). This induces a partial ordering on the Hermitian matrices. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ stand for the set of all $n \times m$ complex matrices. We use *trA* and *rank*(*A*) to denote the trace and rank of *A*, respectively. A solution always means a Hermitian positive definite solution. The eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix *A* are ordered as $\lambda_1(A) \ge \lambda_2(A) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(A)$.

Received: 05 September 2018; Revised: 22 June 2019; Accepted: 05 July 2019

Email addresses: wuguoxing2000@sina.com (Guoxing Wu), 1585110801@qq.com (Ting Xing), gzzdm2008@163.com (Duanmei Zhou)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A24; Secondary 65F10; 65F35

Keywords. Matrix equation; Hermitian positive definite solution; Eigenvalue

Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11861008, 11661007, 11661008, 61863001), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2018M641974), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 20192BAB201008), the Research fund of Gannan Normal University (Nos.YJG-2018-11, 18zb04), and the Key disciplines coordinate innovation projects of Gannan Normal University.

^{*}Corresponding author: Duanmei Zhou

2. Conditions for the Existence of Solutions

Lemma 2.1. [9, P248] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be Hermitian and suppose that $1 \le m \le n$. Then

$$\lambda_1(A) + \lambda_2(A) + \dots + \lambda_m(A) = \max_{V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}, V^* V = I_m} tr V^* A V,$$
$$\lambda_{n-m+1}(A) + \lambda_{n-m+2}(A) + \dots + \lambda_n(A) = \min_{V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}, V^* V = I_m} tr V^* A V.$$

Theorem 2.2. If rank(A) = r and Eq.(1) has a solution X, then

$$\lambda_1^s(X) + \lambda_2^s(X) + \dots + \lambda_{n-k}^s(X) \ge \lambda_{k+1}(Q) + \lambda_{k+2}(Q) + \dots + \lambda_n(Q),$$

 $\lambda_1(X) + \lambda_2(X) + \dots + \lambda_{n-k}(X) \ge (\lambda_{k+1}(Q) + \lambda_{k+2}(Q) + \dots + \lambda_n(Q))^{\frac{1}{s}},$

where k = r, r + 1, ..., n - 1.

Proof. Since *rank*(*A*) = *r*, there exists $U_{n-r} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-r)}$ and $U_{n-r}^* = I_{n-r}$ such that

$$AU_{n-r}=0$$

Multiplying right side of Eq.(1) by U_{n-r} and left side by $U_{n-r'}^*$, we have

$$U_{n-r}^{*}X^{s}U_{n-r} + U_{n-r}^{*}A^{*}X^{-t}AU_{n-r} = U_{n-r}^{*}QU_{n-r}$$

or

$$U_{n-r}^* X^s U_{n-r} = U_{n-r}^* Q U_{n-r}.$$

Let $U_{n-r} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-r})$ and $U_i = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - r. Noticing that $\lambda_i(X^s) = \lambda_i^s(X)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\lambda_{1}^{s}(X) + \lambda_{2}^{s}(X) + \dots + \lambda_{n-k}^{s}(X) = \max_{V_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-k)}, V_{n-k}^{*} V_{n-k} = I_{n-k}} tr V_{n-k}^{*} X^{s} V_{n-k} \geq tr U_{n-k}^{*} X^{s} U_{n-k} = tr U_{n-k}^{*} Q U_{n-k} \geq \min_{V_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-k)}, V_{n-k}^{*} V_{n-k} = I_{n-k}} tr V_{n-k}^{*} Q V_{n-k} = \lambda_{k+1}(Q) + \lambda_{k+2}(Q) + \dots + \lambda_{n}(Q),$$

and

$$\lambda_{1}(X) + \lambda_{2}(X) + \dots + \lambda_{n-k}(X)$$

$$\geq \left(\lambda_{1}^{s}(X) + \lambda_{2}^{s}(X) + \dots + \lambda_{n-k}^{s}(X)\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\geq \left(\lambda_{k+1}(Q) + \lambda_{k+2}(Q) + \dots + \lambda_{n}(Q)\right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

for k = r, r + 1, ..., n - 1. This completes the proof. \Box

Corollary 2.3. If rank(A) = r and Eq.(1) has a solution X, then

i.
$$trX^s \ge \lambda_{r+1}(Q) + \lambda_{r+2}(Q) + \cdots + \lambda_n(Q)$$
,

ii.
$$trX \ge (\lambda_{r+1}(Q) + \lambda_{r+2}(Q) + \dots + \lambda_n(Q))^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

In particular, we have $trX^s \ge (n-r)\lambda_n(Q)$ and $trX \ge (n-r)^{\frac{1}{s}}(\lambda_n(Q))^{\frac{1}{s}}$. Several bounds for the traces of the solutions of the equation were presented in [16, 19].

2668

Theorem 2.4. *Let Eq.*(1) *have a solution* X*. The following are equivalent.*

a.
$$rank(A) = r;$$

b. $\lambda_1 \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \lambda_2 \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \cdots = \lambda_{n-r} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = 1 \text{ and}$
 $1 > \lambda_{n-r+1} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \ge \lambda_{n-r+2} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right);$
c. $\lambda_1 \left(X^s Q^{-1} \right) = \lambda_2 \left(X^s Q^{-1} \right) = \cdots = \lambda_{n-r} \left(X^s Q^{-1} \right) = 1 \text{ and}$
 $1 > \lambda_{n-r+1} \left(X^s Q^{-1} \right) \ge \lambda_{n-r+2} \left(X^s Q^{-1} \right) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n \left(X^s Q^{-1} \right);$
d. $\lambda_1 \left(Q^{-1} X^s \right) = \lambda_2 \left(Q^{-1} X^s \right) = \cdots = \lambda_{n-r} \left(Q^{-1} X^s \right) = 1 \text{ and}$

$$1 > \lambda_{n-r+1} \left(Q^{-1} X^s \right) \ge \lambda_{n-r+2} \left(Q^{-1} X^s \right) \ge \dots \ge \lambda_n \left(Q^{-1} X^s \right).$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of Eq.(1) by $Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^{*}X^{-t}AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}} = I.$$
(2)

(a) \Leftrightarrow (b): We show (a) \Rightarrow (b). If rank(A) = r, then $rank\left(AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = r$. There exist linearly independent vectors $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-r}$ such that $AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-r}) = 0$. Multiplying right side of Eq.(2) by $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-r})$, we have $Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{1})$

$$Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n-r}) = (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n-r}),$$

i.e.

$$Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_{i} = x_{i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-r.$$

Noticing that $Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^sQ^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq I$, we get

$$\lambda_1 \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \lambda_2 \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \dots = \lambda_{n-r} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = 1.$$

If $\lambda_{n-r+1}\left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = 1$, then it implies that $rank(A) = rank\left(AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) < r$, which is a contradiction. Thus

$$1 > \lambda_{n-r+1} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^{s} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \ge \lambda_{n-r+2} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^{s} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \ge \dots \ge \lambda_{n} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^{s} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

For (b) \Rightarrow (a), since

$$\lambda_1 \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \lambda_2 \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \dots = \lambda_{n-r} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = 1,$$

there exist linearly independent vectors $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-r}$ such that

$$Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_{i} = y_{i}, i = 1, 2, ..., n - r.$$

Multiplying right side of Eq.(2) by $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-r})$, we obtain

$$Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^*X^{-t}AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-r}) = 0.$$

It means that $rank(A) = rank\left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^*X^{-t}AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \le r$. If $rank(A) = rank\left(AQ^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) < r$, it contradicts the assumption (b). We get rank(A) = r. Hence (a) and (b) are equivalent.

Notice that if $C, D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then *CD* and *DC* have exactly the same eigenvalues. It implies that (b), (c) and (d) are equivalent. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 2.4 shows that if *A* is singular and Eq.(1) has a solution *X*, then $\lambda_{max} \left(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^s Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = 1$, which is Theorem 2.1 of [17]. Theorem 2.4 also generalizes Theorem 3.2 of [2].

2669

Corollary 2.5. Let Q = I and Eq.(1) has a solution X, The following are equivalent.

a.
$$rank(A) = r_{i}$$

b. $\lambda_1(X) = \lambda_2(X) = \cdots = \lambda_{n-r}(X) = 1$ and $1 > \lambda_{n-r+1}(X) \ge \lambda_{n-r+2}(X) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(X)$;

Corollary 2.6. a. If rank(A) = r and Eq.(1) has a solution X, then

$$tr(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{s}Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = tr(X^{s}Q^{-1}) = tr(Q^{-1}X^{s}) \ge n - r.$$

b. If rank(A) = r, Q = I, and Eq.(1) has a solution X, then

$$trX \ge n-r.$$

Corollary 2.5 implies that Eq.(1) with Q = I has a solution X, then (a) when A is nonsingular, $\lambda_{max}(X) < 1$; (b) when A is singular, $\lambda_{max}(X) = 1$. This is Theorem 2.1 of [12]. The conclusion that if A is singular and Eq.(1) has a solution X, then $\lambda_{max}(X) = 1$ also appear in Theorem 2 of [14].

Lemma 2.7. [9] Let $B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and BC = CB. There is a unitary matrix U such that both U^{*}BU and U^{*}CU are upper triangular, respectively.

Lemma 2.8. Let rank(A) = r and AQ = QA. There exist linearly independent vectors $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-r}$ and $\lambda_{i_1}(Q), \lambda_{i_2}(Q), \ldots, \lambda_{i_{(n-r)}}(Q)$ such that

$$Au_k = 0, \quad Qu_k = \lambda_{i_k}(Q)u_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-r.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, there is a unitary matrix U such that both U^*AU and U^*QU are upper triangular, respectively. Without loss of generality, we assume that $U = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$ and $Au_k = 0$, for k = 1, 2, ..., n-r. It implies that

$$U^*QU = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i_1}(Q) & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_{i_n}(Q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since *Q* is Hermitian positive definite matrix, then $(U^*QU)^* = U^*QU$. We get

$$U^*QU = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i_1}(Q) & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_{i_n}(Q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It implies that $Au_k = 0$, $Qu_k = \lambda_{i_k}(Q)u_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - r$. \Box

Theorem 2.9. Let rank(A) = r, AQ = QA, and Eq.(1) have a solution X. There exist $\lambda_{i_1}(Q)$, $\lambda_{i_2}(Q)$, ..., $\lambda_{i_{(n-r)}}(Q)$ and linearly independent vectors $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-r}$ such that $X^s u_k = Qu_k = \lambda_{i_k}(Q)u_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-r$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, there exist linearly independent vectors $u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-r}$ and $\lambda_{i_1}(Q), \lambda_{i_2}(Q), ..., \lambda_{i_{(n-r)}}(Q)$ such that

$$Au_k = 0, \quad Qu_k = \lambda_{i_k}(Q)u_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-r.$$

Multiplying right side of Eq.(1) by $(u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-r})$, we have

$$X^{s}(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-r}) = Q(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-r}).$$

Thus

$$X^{s}u_{k}=Qu_{k}=\lambda_{i_{k}}(Q)u_{k}, \quad k=1,2,\ldots,n-r.$$

If rank(A) = r, AQ = QA and Eq.(1) has a solution X, Theorem 2.9 shows that $\lambda_{i_k}(Q)$ (k = 1, 2, ..., n - r) are eigenvalues of X^s , which implies that $\lambda_{i_k}^{\frac{1}{s}}(Q)$ (k = 1, 2, ..., n - r) are eigenvalues of X.

2670

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we give lower bounds for the sum of eigenvalues of a solution to the equation. We evaluate the eigenvalues for a solution of the equation under some conditions. We present some lower bounds for the traces of a solution of the equation. The equivalent conditions for a solution of the equation are obtained.

References

- [1] W.N. Anderson, T.D. Morley, G.E. Trapp, Positive solutions to $X = A BX^{-1}B^*$, Linear Algebra and its Applications 134 (1990) 53-62.
- [2] J. Cai, G. Chen, Some investigation on Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$, Linear Algebra and its Applications 430 (2009) 2448-2456.
- [3] X. Duan, A. Liao, On the existence of Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$, Linear Algebra and its Applications 429 (2008) 673-687.
- [4] X. Duan, Q.W. Wang, C.M. Li, Positive definite solution of a class of nonlinear matrix equation, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 62 (2014) 839-852.
- [5] X. Duan, Q.-W. Wang, C.-M. Li, On the matrix equation $X \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_i^* X^{-1} N_i = I$ arising in an interpolation problem, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 61 (2013) 1192-1205.
- [6] J.C. Engwerda, On the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^T X^{-1} A = I$, Linear Algebra and its Applications 194 (1993) 91-108.
- [7] X.X. Guo, On Hermitian positive definite solution of nonlinear matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-2}A = Q$, Journal of Computational Mathematics 23 (2005) 513-526.
- [8] C.H. Guo, P. Lancaster, Iterative solution of two matrix equations, Mathematics of Computation 68 (228) (1999) 1589-1603.
- [9] R.A. Horn, Ch.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, (Second Edition), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [10] X. Liu, H. Gao, On the positive definite solutions of the matrix equations $X^s \pm A^T X^{-t} A = I$, Linear Algebra and its Applications 368 (2003) 83-97.
- [11] M. Monsalve, M. Raydan, A new inversion-free method for a rational matrix equation, Linear Algebra and its Applications 433 (2010) 64-71.
- [12] M. Wang, M. Wei, S. Hu, The extremal solution of the matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-q}A = I$, Applied Mathematics and Computation 220 (2013) 193-199.
- [13] X. Zhan, Computing the extremal positive definite solutions of a matrix equation, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 17 (5) (1996) 1167-1174.
- [14] Y. Zhang, On Hermitian positive definite solutions of matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-2}A = I$, Linear Algebra and its Applications 372 (2003) 295-304.
- [15] G. Zhang, W. Xie, J. Zhao, Positive definite solutions of the nonlinear matrix equation $X + A^*X^qA = Q(q > 0)$, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2011) 9182-9188.
- [16] L. Zhao, Some inequalities for the nonlinear matrix equations, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications 16 (3) (2013), 903-910. [17] D. Zhou, G. Chen, G. Wu, X. Zhang, Some properties of the nonlinear matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$, Journal of Mathematical
- Analysis and Applications 392 (2012) 75-82. [18] D. Zhou, G. Chen, G. Wu, X. Zhang, On the Nonlinear Matrix Equation $X^s + A^*F(X)A = Q$ with $s \ge 1$, Journal of Computational Mathematics 31 (2013) 209-220.
- [19] D. Zhou, G. Chen, X. Zhang, Some inequalities for the nonlinear matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$: trace, determinant and eigenvalue, Applied Mathematics and Computation 224 (2013) 21-28.