Filomat 33:1 (2019), 81–92 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1901081H



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Complete Convergence and Complete Moment Convergence for Arrays of Rowwise Asymptotically Almost Negatively Associated Random Variables

Haiwu Huang^{a,b}, Qingxia Zhang^c, Hang Zou^a, Xiongtao Wu^a

^aCollege of Mathematics and Statistics, Hengyang Normal University, Hengyang 421002, P.R.China ^bHunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information Processing and Application, Hengyang 421002, P.R. China ^cSchool of Sciences, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, P.R.China

Abstract. In this article, the authors investigate the complete convergence and complete moment convergence of the maximum partial sums for arrays of rowwise asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables without assumptions of identical distribution and stochastic domination, and obtain some new results, which not only generalize the corresponding theorems of Hu and Taylor (1997), Gan and Chen (2007), Wu (2012), but also improve them, respectively.

1. Introduction

Firstly, we shall restate the definitions of negatively associated random variables and asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables.

Definition 1.1. A finite family of random variables $\{X_i; 1 \le i \le n\}$ is said to be negatively associated (NA, in short) *if for any disjoint subsets A and B of* $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$Cov\left(f_1\left(X_i, i \in A\right), f_2\left(X_j, j \in B\right)\right) \le 0,\tag{1.1}$$

whenever f_1 and f_2 are any real coordinatewise nondecreasing functions such that this covariance exists. An infinite family of random variables $\{X_i; i \ge 1\}$ is NA if every finite sub-family is NA.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60F15; Secondary 60F25

Keywords. arrays of rowwise asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables; complete convergence; complete moment convergence

Received: 22 February 2017; Accepted: 13 October 2017

Communicated by Miljana Jovanović

Research supported by the Humanities and Social Sciences Foundation for the Youth Scholars of Ministry of Education of China (15YJCZH066), the Science and Technology Plan Project of Hunan Province (2016TP1020), the Science and Technology Plan Project of Hengyang City (2017KJ183), Application-Oriented Characterized Disciplines, Double First-Class University Project of Hunan Province (Xiangjiaotong [2018]469), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (2018JJ2006; 2018JJ4024), the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (17A030, 18C0660) and the National Statistical Science Research Project of China (2018LY05).

Email addresses: haiwuhuang@126.com (Haiwu Huang), zqx121981@126.com (Qingxia Zhang), 1357103682@qq.com (Hang Zou), 357891510@qq.com (Xiongtao Wu)

The concept of NA random variables was introduced by Block et al. [1] and carefully studied by Joag-Dev and Proschan [2]. Obviously, (1.1) holds if f_1 and f_2 are both real coordinatewise nonincreasing functions. By inspecting the proof of maximal inequality for the NA random variables in Matula [3], one also can allow negative correlations provided they are small. Primarily motivated by this, Chandra and Ghosal [4, 5] introduced the following dependence.

Definition 1.2. A sequence of random variables $\{X_n; n \ge 1\}$ is said to be asymptotically almost negatively associated (AANA, in short) if there exists a nonnegative sequence $\mu(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$Cov\left(f_{1}(X_{n}), f_{2}(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \cdots, X_{n+k})\right) \leq \mu(n)\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{1}(X_{n})\right)\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{2}(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \cdots, X_{n+k})\right)\right)^{1/2}$$
(1.2)

for all $n, k \ge 1$ and for all coordinatewise nondecreasing continuous functions f_1 and f_2 whenever the variances exist.

An array of random variables $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is called rowwise AANA random variables if for every $n \ge 1$, $\{X_{ni}; i \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of AANA random variables.

Obviously, AANA random variables contain independent random variables (with $\mu(n) = 0$ for $n \ge 1$) and NA random variables. Chandra and Ghosal [4] once pointed out that NA implies AANA, but AANA does not imply NA. Namely, AANA is much weaker than NA. NA has been applied to the reliability theory, multivariate statistical analysis and percolation theory, and attracted extensive attentions. Hence, extending the limit properties of NA random variables to the wider case of AANA random variables is very meaningful in the theory and applications.

Since the concept of AANA was introduced by Chandra and Ghosal [4], many applications have been established in various aspects. For more details, we can refer to Chandra and Ghosal [4, 5], Ko et al. [6], Yuan and An [7, 8], Yuan and Wu [9], Wang et al [10–12], Yang et al. [13], Hu et al.[14], Tang [15], Shen and Wu [16], Huang et al. [17], Shen et al.[18], and so forth.

For a triangular array of rowwise random variables $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$, let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$, and let $\psi(t)$ be a positive, even function such that for some nonnegative integer p,

$$\frac{\psi(|t|)}{|t|^{p}}\uparrow \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\psi(|t|)}{|t|^{p+1}}\downarrow \quad \text{as } |t|\uparrow.$$
(1.3)

Conditions are given as follows

$$EX_{ni} = 0, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1.$$
 (1.4)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{E\psi\left(|X_{ni}|\right)}{\psi\left(a_{n}\right)}<\infty,$$
(1.5)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\frac{X_{ni}}{a_n}\right)^2 \right)^{2k} < \infty,$$
(1.6)

where *k* is a positive integer.

In the case of independence, Hu and Taylor [19] obtained the following theorems.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be a triangular array of rowwise independent random variables and $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$, let $\psi(t)$ be a positive, even function satisfying (1.3) for some integer p > 2. Then conditions (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) imply

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ni} \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$(1.7)$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be a triangular array of rowwise independent random variables and $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$, let $\psi(t)$ be a positive, even function satisfying (1.3) for p = 1. Then conditions (1.4) and (1.5) imply (1.7).

Gan and Chen [20] extended and improved **Theorem 1.3** and **Theorem 1.4** to the case of NA random variables. Wu [21] investigated the complete moment convergence and the *L^p* convergence for arrays of rowwise NA random variables by using the different methods from Gan and Chen [20]. The results obtained by Wu [21] generalized the corresponding theorems by Gan and Chen [20]. However, according to our knowledge, the above subject for the complete convergence and the complete moment convergence for arrays of rowwise AANA random variables has not been studied. The main goal of this paper is to study the complete convergence and the complete moment convergence for arrays of rowwise AANA random variables. The main idea is inspired by Gan and Chen [20], Wu [21]. It is worth pointing out that the methods used in this article are different from those of Wu [21].

Definition 1.5. A sequence of random variables $\{X_n; n \ge 1\}$ is said to converge completely to a constant λ if for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(|X_n - \lambda| > \varepsilon\right) < \infty.$$
(1.8)

for all $x \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$. This notion was firstly given by Hsu and Robbins [22].

Definition 1.6. Let $\{X_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables, and let $a_n > 0$, $b_n > 0$, q > 0. If for some or all $\varepsilon \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n E\left(b_n^{-1} |X_n| - \varepsilon\right)_+^q < \infty.$$

$$\tag{1.9}$$

Then (1.9) is called the complete moment convergence by Chow [23].

To prove the main results of this paper, the following two lemmas are needed.

Lemma 1.7. (cf. Yuan and An [7]) Let $\{X_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(n); n \ge 1\}$, let $\{f_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of all nondecreasing (or all nonincreasing) continuous functions, then $\{f_n(X_n); n \ge 1\}$ is still a sequence of AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(n); n \ge 1\}$.

Lemma 1.8. (cf. Yuan and An [7])) Let p > 1 and $\{X_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(n); n \ge 1\}$, $EX_n = 0$.

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^2(n) < \infty$, then there exists a positive constant C = C(p) depending only on p such that for all $n \ge 1$ and 1 ,

$$E\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{i}\right|^{p}\right) \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|^{p}\right).$$
(1.10)

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^{1/(p-1)}(n) < \infty$ for some $p \in (3 \cdot 2^{k-1}, 4 \cdot 2^{k-1}]$, where integer number $k \ge 1$, then there exists a positive constant C = C(p) depending only on p such that for all $n \ge 1$,

$$E\left(\max_{1\le j\le n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{i}\right|^{p}\right) \le C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|^{p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{i}^{2}\right)^{p/2}\right).$$
(1.11)

Throughout the paper, let I(A) be the indicator function of the set A. The symbol C denotes a positive constant, which may be different in various places, and $a_n = O(b_n)$ stands for $a_n \le Cb_n$.

84

2. Main results

Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of rowwise AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(i); i \ge 1\}$ in each row and $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{\psi_n(t); n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive, even functions such that for $1 \le q < p$

$$\frac{\psi_n\left(|t|\right)}{|t|^q} \uparrow \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\psi_n\left(|t|\right)}{|t|^p} \downarrow \text{ as } |t| \uparrow.$$
(2.1)

Introduce the following conditions

$$EX_{ni} = 0, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1,$$
 (2.2)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_i(|X_{ni}|)}{\psi_i(a_n)} < \infty,$$
(2.3)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(\frac{X_{ni}}{a_n}\right)^r \right)^s < \infty,$$
(2.4)

where $0 < r \le 2, s > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of rowwise AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(i); i \ge 1\}$ in each row satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^2(i) < \infty$, and let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{\psi_n(t); n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive, even functions satisfying (2.1) for $1 \le q . Then conditions (2.2) and (2.3) imply$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon \right) < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$
(2.5)

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of rowwise AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(i); i \ge 1\}$ in each row satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^{1/(p-1)}(i) < \infty$ for some $p \in (3 \cdot 2^{k-1}, 4 \cdot 2^{k-1}]$, where integer number $k \ge 1$, and let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{\psi_n(t); n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive, even functions satisfying (2.1) for $1 \le q < p$ and p > 2. Then conditions (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) imply (2.5).

Remark 2.3. Take q = 1 in (2.1), then the conditions of the above theorems are the same with those of **Theorems 1** and **2** in Gan and Chen [20]. The family of AANA sequence contains sequences of independent and NA random variables. So, **Theorems 2.1** and **2.2** are extensions and improvements of the corresponding results of Hu and Taylor [19], Gan and Chen [20].

Theorem 2.4. Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of rowwise AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(i); i \ge 1\}$ in each row satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^2(i) < \infty$, and let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{\psi_n(t); n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive, even functions satisfying (2.1) for $1 \le q . Then conditions (2.2) and (2.3) imply$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni} \right| - \varepsilon a_n \right)_+^q < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$
(2.6)

Theorem 2.5. Let $\{X_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of rowwise AANA random variables with the mixing coefficients $\{\mu(i); i \ge 1\}$ in each row satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^{1/(p-1)}(i) < \infty$ for some $p \in (3 \cdot 2^{k-1}, 4 \cdot 2^{k-1}]$, where integer number $k \ge 1$, and let $\{a_n; n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 < a_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{\psi_n(t); n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive, even functions satisfying (2.1) for $1 \le q < p$ and p > 2. Then conditions (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) imply (2.6).

Remark 2.6. Compared with Wu [21], we study the complete moment convergence for arrays of rowwise AANA random variables under the same conditions. It is worth pointing out that the methods applied in this paper are different from those of Wu [21].

Proof of Theorem 2.1 For fixed $n \ge 1$, define

$$Y_{ni} = -a_n I (X_{ni} < -a_n) + X_{ni} I (|X_{ni}| \le a_n) + a_n I (X_{ni} > a_n),$$

$$Z_{ni} = X_{ni} - Y_{ni} = (X_{ni} + a_n) I (X_{ni} < -a_n) + (X_{ni} - a_n) I (X_{ni} > a_n).$$

To prove (2.5), we need only to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Z_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon\right) < \infty;$$
(2.7)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} \left(Y_{ni} - EY_{ni} \right) \right| > \varepsilon \right) < \infty;$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} EY_{ni} \right| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(2.9)

Firstly, we prove (2.7). When $X_{ni} > a_n$, $0 < Z_{ni} = X_{ni} - a_n < X_{ni}$. When $X_{ni} < -a_n$, $X_{ni} < Z_{ni} = X_{ni} + a_n < 0$. Hence, $|Z_{ni}| \le |X_{ni}| I(|X_{ni}| > a_n)$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Z_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon\right) \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(|Z_{ni}| > \varepsilon a_n\right)$$
$$\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E|Z_{ni}|}{\varepsilon a_n}$$
$$\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{ni}|I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_n\right)}{\varepsilon a_n}$$
$$\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_i\left(|X_{ni}|\right)}{\psi_i\left(a_n\right)} < \infty.$$

Secondly, we prove (2.8). By Lemma 1.7, $\{Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is an array of rowwise AANA random variables with mean zero. Note that $|Y_{ni}| \le |X_{ni}|$ a.s. It follows from Markov inequality, (2.1), (2.3) and (1.10) of Lemma 1.8 for 1 that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}) \right| > \varepsilon \right) &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}) \right| \right)^p \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(a_n \varepsilon)^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left| Y_{ni} - EY_{ni} \right|^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\left| Y_{ni} \right|^p}{a_n^p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_i\left(|Y_{ni}|\right)}{\psi_i\left(a_n\right)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_i\left(|X_{ni}|\right)}{\psi_i\left(a_n\right)} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Finally, we prove (2.9). For $1 \le i \le n$, $n \ge 1$, since $EX_{ni} = 0$, we can see that $EY_{ni} = -EZ_{ni}$. By a similar argument as the proof of (2.7), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j EY_{ni} \right| &= \frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j EZ_{ni} \right| \\ &\le C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E |X_{ni}| I(|X_{ni}| > a_n)}{a_n} \\ &\le C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E\psi_i(|X_{ni}| I(|X_{ni}| > a_n))}{\psi_i(a_n)} \\ &\le C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E\psi_i(|X_{ni}|)}{\psi_i(a_n)} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of **Theorem 2.1** is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 By using the same notations and the methods of proof of **Theorem 2.1**, we can see that (2.7) and (2.9) hold. It suffices to show that (2.8) holds.

Take $v = \max(p, 2s)$, it follows from Markov inequality and (1.11) of Lemma 1.8 that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}) \right| > \varepsilon \right) \\ &\le \quad C \frac{1}{\varepsilon^v} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}) \right| \right)^v \\ &\le \quad C \frac{1}{a_n^v} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E |Y_{ni} - EY_{ni}|^v + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni})^2 \right)^{v/2} \right) \\ &\le \quad C \frac{1}{a_n^v} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E |Y_{ni}|^v + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E Y_{ni}^2 \right)^{v/2} \right). \end{split}$$

For $v \ge p$, it follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$C\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n^{\nu}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Y_{ni}|^{\nu} \le C\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_i(|Y_{ni}|)}{\psi_i(a_n)} \le C\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_i(|X_{ni}|)}{\psi_i(a_n)} < \infty.$$

For $0 < r \le 2$, s > 0, $v \ge 2s$, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\frac{1}{a_n^v} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n EY_{ni}^2 \right)^{v/2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{EY_{ni}^2}{a_n^2} \right)^s \right)^{v/2s}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{EY_{ni}^2}{a_n^2} \right)^s \right)^{v/2s}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E|Y_{ni}|^r}{a_n^r} \right)^s \right)^{v/2s}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E|X_{ni}|^r}{a_n^r} \right)^s \right)^{v/2s} < \infty.$$

The proof of **Theorem 2.2** is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 Without loss of generality, assume that t > 0. For fixed $n \ge 1$, define

$$Y_{ni} = -t^{1/q} I \left(X_{ni} < -t^{1/q} \right) + X_{ni} I \left(|X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q} \right) + t^{1/q} I \left(X_{ni} > t^{1/q} \right),$$

$$Z_{ni} = X_{ni} - Y_{ni} = \left(X_{ni} + t^{1/q} \right) I \left(X_{ni} < -t^{1/q} \right) + \left(X_{ni} - t^{1/q} \right) I \left(X_{ni} > t^{1/q} \right)$$

Obviously, $X_{ni} = Z_{ni} + Y_{ni}$. When $|X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q}$, $X_{ni} = Y_{ni}$. By Lemma **??**, $\{Y_{ni}; 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ is an array of rowwise AANA random variables. It is easy to check that for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > t^{1/q} \right) \leq P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > t^{1/q}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q} \right) \right) + P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > t^{1/q}, \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \left(|X_{ni}| \leq t^{1/q} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q} \right) + P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni} \right| > t^{1/q} \right).$$
(2.10)

Since,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} E\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| - \varepsilon a_n \right)_{+}^{q} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_0^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| - \varepsilon a_n > t^{1/q} \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_0^{d_n^q} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon a_n + t^{1/q} \right) dt \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{d_n^q}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon a_n + t^{1/q} \right) dt \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon a_n \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{d_n^q}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni} \right| > t^{1/q} \right) dt \\ &\doteq K_1 + K_2. \end{split}$$
(2.11)

By **Theorem 2.1**, we can easily obtain $K_1 < \infty$. For K_2 , it follows from (2.10) that

$$\begin{split} K_2 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} P\left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q}\right) dt + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni} \right| > t^{1/q}\right) dt \\ &\doteq K_3 + K_4. \end{split}$$

For $t \ge a_n^q$,

$$K_{3} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} P\left(|X_{ni}| I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right) > t^{1/q}\right) dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{0}^{\infty} P\left(|X_{ni}| I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right) > t^{1/q}\right) dt$$

$$= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_{i}\left(|X_{ni}|\right)}{\psi_{i}\left(a_{n}\right)} < \infty.$$
(2.12)

It follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \ge a_n^q} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| t^{-1/q} \sum_{i=1}^j EY_{ni} \right| &= \max_{t \ge a_n^q} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| t^{-1/q} \sum_{i=1}^j EZ_{ni} \right| \\ &\le C \max_{t \ge a_n^q} t^{-1/q} \sum_{i=1}^n E |X_{ni}| I \left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q} \right) \\ &\le C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E |X_{ni}| I \left(|X_{ni}| > a_n \right)}{a_n} \\ &\le C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E \psi_i \left(|X_{ni}| \right)}{\psi_i \left(a_n \right)} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$
(2.13)

Hence, for *n* large enough and $t \ge a_n^q$, we can obtain that

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} EY_{ni} \right| \le \frac{t^{1/q}}{2}.$$
(2.14)

Take $d_n = [a_n] + 1$. It follows from Markov inequality, (2.14) and (1.10) of Lemma 1.8 that

$$K_{4} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_{ni}\right|^{2}\right) t^{-2/q} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} EX_{ni}^{2} I\left(|X_{ni}| \leq d_{n}\right) t^{-2/q} dt$$

$$+C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} EX_{ni}^{2} I\left(d_{n} < |X_{ni}| \leq t^{1/q}\right) dt$$

$$+C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} P\left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q}\right) dt$$

$$\stackrel{=}{=} K_{5} + K_{6} + K_{7}.$$
(2.15)

By the same argument to the proof of K_3 , we can see that $K_7 < \infty$. For $1 \le q and <math>\frac{a_n+1}{a_n} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we can obtain that

$$K_{5} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{EX_{ni}^{2} I(|X_{ni}| \leq d_{n})}{a_{n}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{a_{n}+1}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \frac{EX_{ni}^{2} I(|X_{ni}| \leq d_{n})}{d_{n}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{ni}|^{p} I(|X_{ni}| \leq d_{n})}{d_{n}^{p}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_{i}(|X_{ni}|)}{\psi_{i}(d_{n})}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\psi_{i}(|X_{ni}|)}{\psi_{i}(a_{n})} < \infty.$$
(2.16)

88

Take $t = u^q$, it follows from $1 \le q , (2.1) and (2.3) that$

$$\begin{split} K_{6} &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{d_{n}^{q}} + \int_{d_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} \right) t^{-2/q} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(d_{n} < |X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q}\right) dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{d_{n}}^{\infty} u^{q-3} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(d_{n} < |X_{ni}| \le u\right) du \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=d_{n}}^{\infty} \int_{s}^{s+1} u^{q-3} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(d_{n} < |X_{ni}| \le u\right) du \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=d_{n}}^{\infty} s^{q-3} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(d_{n} < |X_{ni}| \le s+1\right) \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=d_{n}}^{\infty} s^{q-3} \sum_{m=d_{n}}^{s} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(m < |X_{ni}| \le m+1\right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=d_{n}}^{\infty} m^{q-2} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(m < |X_{ni}| \le m+1\right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=d_{n}}^{\infty} m^{q-2} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(m < |X_{ni}| \le m+1\right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > d_{n}\right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{E |X_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{q}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E |Y_{ni}|^{q} I\left(|X_{ni}| > a_{n}\right)}{a$$

The proof of **Theorem 2.4** is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.5 Following the same notations and the argument proofs of **Theorem 2.4**, we can easily obtain that $K_1 < \infty$ and $K_3 < \infty$. So, we need only to prove that $K_4 < \infty$. It follows from (2.14), Markov inequality and (1.11) of Lemma 1.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{4} &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{j} (Y_{ni} - EY_{ni})\right|^{p}\right) t^{-p/q} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|Y_{ni}|^{p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(Y_{ni}^{2}\right)\right)^{p/2}\right) t^{-p/q} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} E|Y_{ni}|^{p} t^{-p/q} dt + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} EY_{ni}^{2}\right)^{p/2} t^{-p/q} dt \\ &\doteq K_{8} + K_{9}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} K_8 &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} E |X_{ni}|^p I(|X_{ni}| \le d_n) t^{-p/q} dt \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} E |X_{ni}|^p I(d_n < |X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q}) t^{-p/q} dt \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} P(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q}) dt \\ &\doteq K_{81} + K_{82} + K_{83}. \end{split}$$

By the similar argument as in the proofs of $K_5 < \infty$ and $K_6 < \infty$ (replacing 2 with p), and $K_7 < \infty$, we can obtain that $K_{81} < \infty$, $K_{82} < \infty$ and $K_{83} < \infty$.

It follows from the c_r inequality that

$$\begin{split} K_{9} &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(|X_{ni}| \leq a_{n} \right) \right)^{p/2} t^{-p/q} dt \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_{ni}^{2} I\left(a_{n} < |X_{ni}| \leq t^{1/q} \right) \right)^{p/2} t^{-p/q} dt \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q} \right) \right)^{p/2} dt \\ &\doteq K_{91} + K_{92} + K_{93}. \end{split}$$

For *p* > *q*, *p* > 2 and (2.4),

$$K_{91} \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E X_{ni}^2 I(|X_{ni}| \le a_n)}{a_n^2} \right)^{p/2} \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E X_{ni}^2}{a_n^2} \right)^{p/2} < \infty.$$

When $1 \le q \le 2$ and p > 2, it follows from (2.1) and (2.4) that

$$K_{92} \doteq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t^{-2/q} E \left| X_{ni}^2 \right| I \left(a_n < |X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q} \right) \right)^{p/2} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t^{-1} E \left| X_{ni} \right|^q I \left(a_n < |X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q} \right) \right)^{p/2} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t^{-1} E \left| X_{ni} \right|^q I \left(|X_{ni}| > a_n \right) \right)^{p/2} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E \left| X_{ni} \right|^q I \left(|X_{ni}| > a_n \right)}{a_n^q} \right)^{p/2} < \infty.$$

When 2 < q < p, it follows from (2.1), (2.3) and c_r inequality again that

$$K_{92} \doteq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t^{-2/q} E |X_{ni}|^2 I \left(a_n < |X_{ni}| \le t^{1/q} \right) \right)^{p/2} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E |X_{ni}|^2 I \left(|X_{ni}| > a_n \right)}{a_n^2} \right)^{p/2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E |X_{ni}|^q I \left(|X_{ni}| > a_n \right)}{a_n^q} \right)^{p/2}$$

$$\leq C \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E \psi_i \left(|X_{ni}| \right)}{\psi_i \left(a_n \right)} \right)^{p/2} < \infty.$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\max_{t \ge a_n^q} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q}) \le \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ n}}^n P(|X_{ni}| > a_n)$$
$$\le \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ n}}^n \frac{E|X_{ni}|I(|X_{ni}| > a_n)}{a_n}$$
$$\le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{E\psi_i(|X_{ni}|)}{\psi_i(a_n)} \to 0.$$

Hence, for *n* large enough and $t \ge a_n^q$, we can obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P\left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q}\right) < \frac{1}{2},$$

which implies

$$K_{93} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} P\left(|X_{ni}| > t^{1/q}\right) dt.$$

By a similar argument proof of $K_3 < \infty$, we can obtain that $K_{93} < \infty$. The proof of **Theorem 2.5** is completed.

Acknowledgements

The authors are most grateful to the Editor Miljana Jovanovic and two anonymous referees for careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions which helped in improving an earlier version of this paper.

References

- [1] H. W. Block, T. H. Savits, M. Shaked, Some concepts of negative dependence, Annals of Applied Probability 10(3)(1982) 765–772.
- [2] K. Joag-Dev, F. Proschan, Negative association of random variables with applications. Annals of Statistics 11(1)(1983) 286–295.
- [3] P. Matula, A note on the almost sure convergence of sums of negatively dependent random variables. Statistics & Probability Letters 15(3)(1992) 209–213.
- [4] T. K. Chandra, S. Ghosal, Extensions of the strong law of large numbers of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund for dependent variables, Acta Mathematica Hungarica 71(4)(1996) 327–336.
- [5] T. K. Chandra, S. Ghosal, The strong law of large numbers for weighted averages under dependence assumptions, Journal of Theoretical Probability 9(3) (1996) 797–809.
- [6] M. H. Ko, T. S. Kim, Z. Y. Lin, The Hájeck-Rényi inequality for the AANA random variables and its applications, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics 9(1)(2005) 111–122.
- [7] D. M. Yuan, J. An, Rosenthal type inequalities for asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables and applications, Science in China Series A Mathematics 52(9)(2009) 1887–1904.
- [8] D. M. Yuan, J. An, Laws of large numbers for Cesàro alpha-integrable random variables under dependence condition AANA or AQSI, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 28(6)(2012) 1103–1118.
- [9] D. M Yuan, X. S Wu, Limiting behavior of the maximum of the partial sum for asymptotically negatively associated random variables under residual Cesàro alpha-integrability assumption, Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference 140 (2010)2395–2402.
- [10] X. J. Wang, S. H. Hu, W. Z. Yang. Convergence properties for asymptotically almost negatively associated sequence, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2010 (2010) Article ID 218380, 15 pages.
- [11] X. J. Wang, S. H. Hu, W. Z. Yang. Complete convergence for arrays of rowwise asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2011 (2011) Article ID 717126, 11 pages.
- [12] X. J. Wang, S. H. Hu, W. Z. Yang. On complete convergence of weighted sums for arrays of rowwise asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2012 (2012) Article ID 315138, 15 pages.
- [13] W. Z. Yang, X. J. Wang, N. X. Ling, S. H. Hu, On complete convergence of moving average process for AANA sequence, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2012(2012) Article ID 863931, 24 pages.
- [14] X. P. Hu, G. H. Fang, D. J. Zhu, Strong convergence properties for asymptotically almost negatively associated sequence, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2012(2012) Article ID 562838, 8 pages.
- [15] X.F. Tang, Some strong laws of large numbers for weighted sums of asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2013(2013) doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2013-4.
- [16] A. T. Shen, R. C.Wu, Strong convergence for sequences of asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, Stochastics 86(2)(2014) 291–303.
- [17] H. W. Huang, H. J. Zhang, Q. X. Zhang, J. Y. Peng, Further study on complete convergence for weighted sums for weighted sums of arrays of rowwise asymptotically almost negatively associated random variables, Kybernetika 51(6)(2015) 960–972.
- [18] A. T. Shen, R. C. Wu, Y. Chen, Y. Zhou, Complete convergence of the maximum partial sums for arrays of AANA random variables, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2013 (2013) Article ID 741901,7 pages.
- [19] T. C. Hu, R. L Taylor, On the strong law for arrays and for the bootstrap mean and variance, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 20(3) (1997) 375–382.
- [20] S. X. Gan, P. Y Chen, On the limiting behavior of the maximum partial sums for arrays of rowwise NA random variables, Acta Mathematica Scientia 27(2)(2007) 283–290.

- [21] Y. F Wu, Convergence properties of the maximal partial sums for arrays of rowwise NA random variables, Theory of Probability and Its Applications 56(3) (2012) 527–535.
- [22] P. L. Hsu, H. Robbins, Complete convergence and the law of large numbers, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 33(2) (1947) 25–31.
 [23] Y. S. Chow, On the rate of moment complete convergence of sample sums and extremes, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics,
- Academia Sinica 16(1988) 177-201.