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#### Abstract

Some estimates for the simultaneous polynomial approximation of the conformal mapping of the finite simple connected domain onto the disc in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and its derivatives are obtained. The approximation rate in dependence of the differential parameters of the considered smooth domain is estimated.


## 1. Introduction and Background

Let $G$ be a finite region in the complex plane, bounded by rectifiable Jordan curve $\Gamma:=\partial G$ and $\Omega:=\operatorname{ext} \bar{G}$ and $\Delta:=\operatorname{ext} \mathbb{T}$. Let also $\mathbb{T}:=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|=1\}, \mathbb{D}:=\operatorname{int} \mathbb{T}$. By the Riemann mapping theorem, there exists a unique conformal mapping $w=\varphi_{0}(z)$ of $G$ onto the disk $D_{r_{0}}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|<r_{0}\right\}$, normalized by $\varphi_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$, where $r_{0}:=r_{0}\left(z_{0} ; G\right)$ is called the conformal radius of $G$ with respect to $z_{0}$ and having the inverse mapping $\psi_{0}$.

Similarly $w=\varphi(z)$ is conformal mapping of $\Omega$ onto $\Delta$ with normalizations $\varphi(\infty)=\infty$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(z)}{z}>0$. We denote by $\psi$ the inverse mapping of $\varphi$.

For an arbitrary analytic function $f$ given on $G$ we set

$$
\|f\|_{L^{2}(G)}:=\left(\iint_{G}|f(z)|^{2} d \sigma_{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $d \sigma_{z}$ stands for area measure on $G$.
If the function $f$ has a continuous extension to $\bar{G}$, we use also the uniform norm

$$
\|f\|_{C(\bar{G})}:=\sup \{|f(z)|: z \in \bar{G}\}
$$

It is well known that the function $w=\varphi_{0}(z)$ minimizes the integral $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}$ in the class of all analytic functions in $G$, normalized by $f\left(z_{0}\right)=0, f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. Definition of the Bieberbach polynomials clearly, let $\wp_{n}$ be the class of polynomials $p_{n}(z)$ of degree at most $n$ and satisfying the conditions $p_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0, p_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. A polynomial $\pi_{n} \in \wp_{n}$ is called $n$ - th Bieberbach polynomial for pair ( $G, z_{0}$ ) if it minimizes the norm $\left\|p^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}$ in the class $\wp_{n}$. It is easy to check that $\pi_{n}$ also minimizes the norm $\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}$ in the class $\wp_{n}$.

[^0]As follows from the results due to Farrel [11] and Markushevich [22], if $G$ is a Caratheodory region, then $\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}$ tends to zero as $n$ approaches infinity and so of the sequence $\left\{\pi_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly to $\varphi_{0}$ on compact subsets of $G$.

The first study was done by Keldych [21] on the uniform convergence $\left\{\pi_{n}(z)\right\}$ polynomials to the function $\varphi_{0}(z)$ in the closure of $G$. He showed that if the boundary $L$ of $G$ is a smooth Jordan curve with bounded curvature, then for every small $\varepsilon>0$ and $\gamma=1-\varepsilon$ there exists a constant $c(\varepsilon)$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}-\pi_{n}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})}:=\max _{z \in \bar{G}}\left|\varphi_{0}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{n^{\gamma}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ depends on the geometric properties of the boundary $\Gamma:=\partial G$, holds for every natural number $n$.
In [21] the author also constructed an example of a starlike region, bounded by piecewise analytic curve with one singular point, where Bieberbach polynomials diverge. Therefore, the uniform convergence of the sequence $\left\{\pi_{n}(z)\right\}$ in $\bar{G}$ depends on the properties of $\Gamma:=\partial G$. Later, Keldych's counterexample was given by Andrievkii and Pritsker [9], for more generalized region. Bisedes, its geometry is made more clearly than Keldych's counterexample.

Furthermore, Mergelyan [23] showed that $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon$ for arbitrary small $\varepsilon>0$, whenever $\Gamma:=\partial G$ is a smooth Jordan curve. Additionally, Mergelyan stated it as a conjecture that the exponent $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon$ in (1) could be replaced by $\gamma=1-\varepsilon$. Mergelyan's conjecture was proved for a smooth domain of bounded boundary rotation by Israfilov in [17].

A considerable progress in this area has been achieved by Mergelyan [23], Suetin [26], Simonenko [25], Wu [29], Andrievskii [7, 8], Gaier [12, 13], Abdullayev [1, 2, 4, 5], Israfilov [16, 17] and the others.

In the paper [23] S.N. Mergelyan also noted without an estimate the convergence of any derivatives of the Bieberbach polynomials $\pi_{n}$ to the phenoma is also true for derivatives of conformal mapping function $\varphi_{0}$, so called the simultaneous approximation.

In our opinion, first results related on the simultaneous approximation of Bieberbach polynomials were obtained by Suetin [26] and Israfilov [20].

A smooth Jordan curve $\Gamma$ is called Dini-smooth if $\vartheta(s)$ be its tangent direction angle expressed via arclenght of $\Gamma$, satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{c} \frac{\omega(\vartheta, u)}{u} d u<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(\vartheta, u)$ is the modulus of continuity of $\vartheta(s)$, for some $c>0$.
Definition 1.1. [18] Let $r=0,1,2, \ldots, \alpha \in(0,1]$ and $\beta \in[0, \infty)$. If the tangent direction angle $\vartheta$ of $\Gamma$ fulfills

$$
\omega\left(\vartheta^{(r)}, \delta\right) \leqslant c \delta^{\alpha} \ln ^{\beta}\left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right), \quad \delta \in(0, \pi]
$$

with a positive constant $c$ independent of $\delta$, then we say that the Jordan curve $\Gamma$ belongs to the class $C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$.
We also say that $f \in C^{\alpha, \beta}$ if $\omega(f, \delta) \leqslant c \delta^{\alpha} \ln ^{\beta}\left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right), \alpha \in(0,1], \beta \in[0, \infty)$ for some constant $c$.
The class $C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$ is generalization of the class $B(\alpha, \beta)$, defined in [19]. In particular, the class $C^{0, \alpha, \beta}$ coincides with $B(\alpha, \beta)$ and the class $C^{0, \alpha, 0}, \alpha \in(0,1)$, coincides with the class of Lyapunov curves.

The aim of this article, we study the estimation (1) for simultaneous approximation in domains with a subclass of smooth Jordan curves.

## 2. Main Results

We consider domains $C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$ with $r=0,1,2, \ldots, 0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$ in this section. The following main results contain estimates for the rates of uniform convergence of the derivatives of Bieberbach polynomials.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Gamma \in C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$ with $r=2,3, \ldots, 0<\alpha<1$ and $0 \leqslant \beta<r+\alpha-\frac{3}{2}$. If $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant \frac{c \ln \beta(n)}{n^{r+\alpha-k-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad n \geqslant k \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some positive constant $c=c(r)$.
Corollary 2.2. Let $\Gamma \in C^{r, \alpha, 0}$ with $r=2,3, \ldots$ and $0<\alpha<1$. If $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant \frac{c}{n^{r+\alpha-k-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad n \geqslant k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some positive constant $c=c(r)$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\Gamma \in C^{r, 1, \beta}$ with $r=3,4, \ldots$, and $0 \leqslant \beta<r-\frac{5}{2}$. If $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant \frac{c \ln ^{\beta+1}(n)}{n^{r-k-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad n \geqslant k \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some positive constant $c=c(r)$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $\Gamma \in C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$ with $r=1,2,3 \ldots, \frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$ and $0 \leqslant \beta<\alpha-\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(r)}-\pi_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant \frac{c \ln ^{\beta}(n)}{n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad n \geqslant r \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some positive constant $c=c(r)$.

## 3. Some Auxiliary Facts

The following some auxiliary results are given spaces $L^{p}(G)$ and $E^{p}(G)$ with $p>1$, but in this work, our interest is focused on the Hilbert spaces $L^{2}(G)$ and $E^{2}(G)$. Throughout this paper $c, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ are positive constants which in general depend on $G$. By $L^{p}(G)$ and $E^{p}(G)$ we denote the set of all measurable complex valued functions such that $|f|^{\mid}$is lebesque integrable with respect to arclenght, and Simirnov class of analytic functions in $G$, respectively.

Each function $f \in E^{p}(G)$ has a non-tangential limit almost everywhere on $\Gamma$ and if we use the same notation for the non-tangential limit of $f$, then $f \in L^{p}(\Gamma)$.

For $p \geqslant 1, L^{p}(G)$ and $E^{p}(G)$ are Banach spaces with respect to the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathbb{E}^{p}(G)}=\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathrm{I})}:=\left(\int_{\Gamma}|f(z)|^{p}|d z|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

For the further fundamental properties see [15, p.438-453]. For the mapping $\varphi_{0}$ and a weight function $\omega$ defined on $\Gamma$ we set

$$
\varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{p}:=\inf _{p_{n}}\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)} \text { and } E_{n}^{0}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}, \omega\right)_{p}:=\inf _{p_{n}}\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-p_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Gamma, \omega)},
$$

where infimum is taken over all algebraic polynomials $p_{n}$ of degree at most $n$ and

$$
L^{p}(\Gamma, \omega):=\left\{f \in L^{1}(\Gamma):|f|^{p} \omega \in L^{1}(\Gamma)\right\}, \quad E^{p}(G, \omega):=\left\{f \in E^{1}(G): f \in L^{p}(\Gamma, \omega)\right\} .
$$

According to Dynkn's result [10], in case of $\omega:=\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{-1}$ between the best approximation numbers $\varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{p}$ and $E_{n}^{0}\left(\varphi_{0^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{-1}\right)_{p}$ the following relation holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{p} \leqslant c n^{-\frac{1}{p}} E_{n}^{0}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}, \frac{1}{\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|}\right)_{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f \in E^{p}(G)$ and let

$$
\omega_{p}(f, \delta)=\sup _{|h| \leqslant \delta}\left\|(f \circ \psi)\left(e^{i(\theta+h)}\right)-(f \circ \psi)\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}[0,2 \pi]}=\sup _{|h| \leqslant \delta}\left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|(f \circ \psi)\left(e^{i(\theta+h)}\right)-(f o \psi)\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

be the generalized modulus of continuity of $f$. We use the following approximation theorem by polynomials in the Simirnov class $E^{p}(G), 1<p<\infty$.
Theorem 3.1. [6] Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f^{(k)} \in E^{p}(G)$ with $1<p<\infty$. If $\Gamma$ satisfies the condition (2), then for an arbitrary algebraic polynomial $p_{n}(z, f)$ we have

$$
\left\|f-p_{n}(z, f)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Gamma)} \leqslant \frac{c}{n^{k}} \omega_{p}\left(f^{(k)}, \delta\right),
$$

where $\omega_{p}\left(f^{(k)}, \delta\right):=\sup _{|h| \leqslant \delta}\left\|\left(f^{(k)} o \psi\right)\left(e^{i(\theta+h)}\right)-\left(f^{(k)} o \psi\right)\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}[0,2 \pi]}$.
Definition 3.2. [24] A bounded Jordan region $G$ is called a $k$-quasidisk, $0 \leqslant k<1$, if any conformal mapping $\psi_{0}$ can be extended to a $K$ - quasiconformal, $K=\frac{1+k}{1-k}$, homeomorphizm of the plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ on the $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. In that case the curve $\Gamma:=\partial G$ is called a $K$-quasicircle. The region $G(c u r v e ~ \Gamma) ~ i s ~ c a l l e d ~ a ~ q u a s i d i s k ~(q u a s i c i r c l e), ~ i f ~ i t ~ i s ~ k-q u a s i d i s k ~$ ( $k$ - quasicircle) with some $0 \leqslant k<1$.

Theorem 3.3. [3] Let $G$ be a $k$-quasidisk, $0 \leq k<1$. Then for arbitrary $q_{n} \in \wp_{n}$ and any $m=0,1,2, \ldots$ we have

$$
\left\|q_{n}^{(m)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant c n^{\left(m+\frac{2}{p}\right)(1+k)}\left\|q_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}, p>1
$$

Corollary 3.4. Let $\Gamma \in C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \beta \geq 0$ and $r=1,2, \ldots$. Then for arbitrary $p_{n} \in \wp_{n}$ we have

$$
\left\|p_{n}^{(r)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant c n^{r}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} .
$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{0}$ is a conformal mapping we can get $k=0$ by taking $K=1$ into account in Definition 3.2. Moreover substituting $q_{n}=p_{n}^{\prime}, p=2$ and $m=r-1$ into the Theorem 3.3, we easily obtain Corollary 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. [18] If $\Gamma \in C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$, with $r=0,1,2, \ldots, \alpha \in(0,1], \beta \in[0, \infty)$, then for $\Phi^{(r)}(w):=\varphi_{o}^{(r+1)}(\psi(w))$, we have

$$
\omega\left(\Phi^{(r)}, \delta\right) \leqslant\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
c \delta^{\alpha} \ln ^{\beta}\left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right) & \text {;if } 0<\alpha<1 \\
c \delta \ln ^{\beta+1}\left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right) & \text {;if } \alpha=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 3.6. [27] Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}$ converges and $s$ is the value of the series. If $r_{n}:=\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}}$ is a decreasing sequence and $r_{n+1}<1$, then

$$
0 \leqslant R_{n}=s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \leqslant \frac{a_{n+1}}{1-r_{n+1}}
$$

## 4. Proof of the Main Results

For the proofs of the main results we use a traditional method based on the extremal property of Bieberbach polynomials and also the inequality (7)

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$. Since $\pi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi_{0}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $G$, for any $z \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant k$ and $2^{j} \leqslant n \leqslant 2^{j+1}$ we have

$$
\varphi_{0}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)=\left[\pi_{2^{j+1}}(z)-\pi_{n}(z)\right]+\sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty}\left[\pi_{2^{m+1}}(z)-\pi_{2^{m}}(z)\right]
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{0}^{(k)}(z)-\pi_{n}^{(k)}(z)=\left[\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{(k)}(z)-\pi_{n}^{(k)}(z)\right]+\sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty}\left[\pi_{2^{m+1}}^{(k)}(z)-\pi_{2^{m}}^{(k)}(z)\right] .
$$

Therefore, the inequality

$$
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant\left\|\pi_{2^{2+1}}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})}+\sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty}\left\|\pi_{2^{m+1}}^{(k)}-\pi_{2^{m}}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})}
$$

holds. If we use Corollary 3.4, also we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant c_{1} 2^{(j+1) k}\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+c_{2} \sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{(m+1) k}\left\|\pi_{2^{m+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{2^{m}}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
Q_{n}(z):=\int_{z_{0}}^{z} q_{n}(t) d t \text { and } t_{n}(z):=Q_{n}(z)+\left[1-q_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right]\left(z-z_{0}\right)
$$

for the polynomial $q_{n}$, best approximating $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(G)}$. We have $t_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $t_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-t_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} & =\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-q_{n}-1+q_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-q_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\left\|1-q_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}  \tag{9}\\
& =\varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{2}+\left\|1-q_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the inequality (see [14, p.4])

$$
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{\|f\|_{L^{2}(G)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{dist}\left(z_{0}, \Gamma\right)}
$$

which holds for every analytic function $f$ with $\|f\|_{L^{2}(G)}<\infty$, we can write $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-q_{n}$ instead of $f$, and we get

$$
\left\|1-q_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant \frac{\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-q_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{dist}\left(z_{0}, \Gamma\right)}=c_{3} \varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{2} .
$$

Using last inequality, the minimization property of the Bieberbach polynomials and substituting (7) into (9), we have

$$
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-t_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant c_{4} \varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{2} \leqslant c_{5} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{n}^{0}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{-1}\right)_{2} .
$$

Then for a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant k$ and $2^{j} \leqslant n \leqslant 2^{j+1}$, by applying Theorem 3.1 for $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} & \leqslant\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)}+\left\|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \\
& =c_{6} \varepsilon_{2^{j+1}}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{2}+c_{5} \varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{2} \\
& \leqslant c_{7} \varepsilon_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{2} \\
& \leqslant c_{8} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{n}^{0}\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime},\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{-1}\right)_{2} \\
& \leqslant c_{9} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|p_{n}\left(z, \varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma,\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that from [28], for $\Gamma \in C^{r, \alpha, \beta}$

$$
0<c_{10} \leqslant\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant c_{11}, z \in \Gamma .
$$

Furthermore, substituting $f=\varphi_{0}^{\prime}, k=r-1$ into Theorem 3.1 and from Lemma 3.5 for $0<\alpha<1, \beta \geqslant 0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} & \leqslant c_{12} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|p_{n}\left(z, \varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \\
& \leqslant c_{13} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{n^{r-1}} \omega_{2}\left(\varphi_{0}^{(r)} o \psi, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{14} \ln ^{\beta}(n)}{n^{r+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the similar way we can show that

$$
\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{2^{j}}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant \frac{c_{15} \ln ^{\beta}\left(2^{j}\right)}{2^{j\left(r+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)}} .
$$

Using these estimations in (8) and lemma 3.6 we obtain the required estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} & \leqslant \frac{c_{16} 2^{(j+1) k} \ln ^{\beta}(n)}{n^{r+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}+c_{17} \sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(m+1) k} \ln ^{\beta}\left(2^{m}\right)}{2^{m\left(r+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{18} \ln ^{\beta}(n)}{n^{r+\alpha-k-\frac{1}{2}}}+c_{19} \sum_{m=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln ^{\beta}\left(2^{m}\right)}{2^{m\left(r+\alpha-k-\frac{1}{2}\right)}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{20} \ln ^{\beta}(n)}{n^{r+\alpha-k-\frac{1}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.
Proof of Theorem 2.3. As in the case of of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following estimations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant \frac{c_{21} \ln ^{\beta+1}(n)}{n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}},\left\|\pi_{2^{j+1}}^{\prime}-\pi_{2^{j}}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(G)} \leqslant \frac{c_{22} \ln ^{\beta+1}\left(2^{j}\right)}{2^{j\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right)}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (8), (10) and lemma 3.6 we have

$$
\left\|\varphi_{0}^{(k)}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{C(\bar{G})} \leqslant \frac{c_{23} \ln ^{\beta+1}(n)}{n^{r-k-\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

This gives the desired inequality.
Proof of Theorem 2.4. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.
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