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#### Abstract

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a Banach algebra and $T$ be an $\mathfrak{N}$-module homomorphism from $\mathfrak{N}$-bimodule $B$ into $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule $A$. We investigate module amenability (resp. module approximate amenability), module character amenability (resp. module character approximate amenability), module character biprojectivity and module character biflatness of $A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{V}}} B$ for every two Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule $A$ and $B$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $\phi \in \Delta(A)$. Kaniuth, Lau and Pym in [12], have recently introduced and studied the interesting notion of $\varphi$-amenability. Specifically, $A$ is called (left) $\phi$-amenable if there exists $m \in A^{* *}$ such that $m(\phi)=1$ and $m(f \cdot a)=\phi(a) m(f)$ for all $a \in A$ and $f \in A^{*}$. Also, the notion of (right) character amenability was introduced and studied by Monfared in [16]. He called a Banach algebra $A$ character amenable if it is both left and right character amenable.

Moreover, the notion of approximate character amenability of Banach algebras was introduced by Aghababa, Shi and Wu in [19]. They called a Banach algebra $A$ is approximately right character amenable, if for every $\phi \in \Delta(A) \cup\{0\}$ and every $A$-bimodule $X$, that the left module action is $a . x=\phi(a) x(a \in A, x \in X)$, every derivation $D: A \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is approximately inner. Approximately left character amenability is defined similarly and $A$ is called approximately character amenable if it is both approximately left and right character amenable. Also, various notions of character amenability such as module approximate amenability and module character inner amenability of Banach algebras are introduced and investigated in [7] and [21].

The notion of Biprojective Banach algebras and biflatness Banach algebras were introduced by A. Ya. Helemskii in [10,11]. A Banach algebra $A$ is called biprojective if the map $\Delta: A \widehat{\otimes} A \longrightarrow A$ has a bounded right inverse which is an $A$-bimodule map (i.e. a bounded linear map which preserves the module operations). A Banach algebra $A$ is said to be biflat if the adjoint $\Delta^{*}: A^{*} \longrightarrow(\widehat{A} A)^{*}$ of $\Delta$ has a bounded left inverse which is an $A$-bimodule map.

Let $A$ and $B$ be Banach algebras with spectrum $\Delta(B) \neq 0$. Let $\theta \in \Delta(B)$, then the direct product $A \times B$ equipped with the algebra multiplication

$$
(a, b) \cdot(c, d)=(a c+\theta(d) a+\theta(b) c, b d), \quad(a, c \in A, b, d \in B)
$$

[^0]and the $l^{1}$-norm is a Banach algebras which is called the $\theta$-Lau product of $A$ and $B$ and is denoted by $A \times_{\theta} B$. This type of product was first introduced by Lau [14] for certain class of Banach algebras and was extended by M. S. Monfared [17] for the general case. Many basic properties of $A \times_{\theta} B$ such as Biflatness and biprojectivity are investigated in [17] and [13].

Recently, in the case where $A$ is commutative, a new extension of Lau product introduced and has been studied by Bhatt and Dabhi [4]. In [1], the authors, by slight change in the definition of multiplication given by Bhatt and Dabhi on $A \times_{\varphi} B$, investigated the two notions, biprojectivity and biflatness on $A \times \times_{\varphi} B$ for an arbitrary Banach algebra $A$, where $\varphi$ is a Banach algebra homomorphism from $B$ into $A$.

The notion of module amenability was introduced by Amini [2] for a class of Banach algebras that are modules over another Banach algebra with compatible actions. Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{t}) \cup\{0\}$ and consider the set $\Omega_{A}$ of linear maps $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$
\varphi(a b)=\varphi(a) \varphi(b), \quad \varphi(\alpha \cdot a)=\varphi(a \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) \varphi(a) \quad(a, b \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}) .
$$

The concept of ( $\varphi, \phi$ )-module amenability and module character amenability for Banach algebra $A$, where $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ were introduced by Bodaghi and Amini in [6](see also [8]). In [5], the authors have introduced and investigated the concept of module biprojectivity and module biflatness of Banach algebras.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate module amenability (resp. module approximate amenability), module character amenability (resp. module character approximate amenability), module character biprojectivity and module character biflatness of $A \times_{T_{2 t}} B$ in terms of the same properties for $A$ and $B$.

We briefly summarize the results in this paper. In section 3 we investigate relations between module amenability (resp. module approximate amenability) of $A, B$ and $A \times_{T_{92}} B$. We also prove that $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module amenable and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module amenable (resp. $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate amenable and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module approximate amenable) for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}, \psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are module character amenable (resp. module approximate character amenable).

In section 4 we show that under some conditions $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is ( $\varphi, \varphi \circ T$ )-module biprojective (resp. $(0, \psi)$ module biprojective) if and only if $A$ is $\varphi$-module biprojective (resp. $B$ is $\psi$-module biprojective) and show same results for approximate property. Finally, we prove that $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module biflat and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module biflat (resp. $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate biflat and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module approximate biflat)for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}, \psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are module character biflat ( resp. module character approximate biflat).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and let $X$ be an $A$-bimodule. Then $X$ is a Banach $A$-bimodule if $X$ is a Banach space and there is a constant $k>0$ such that

$$
\|a \cdot x\| \leq k\|a\|\|\mid x\|, \quad\|x \cdot a\| \leq k\|a\|\|x\| \quad(a \in A, x \in X)
$$

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $A$ be Banach algebras such that $A$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with compatible actions

$$
\alpha \cdot(a b)=(\alpha \cdot a) b, \quad(a b) \cdot \alpha=a(b \cdot \alpha) \quad(a, b \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A})
$$

Let $X$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule and a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with compatible left actions defined by

$$
\alpha \cdot(a \cdot x)=(\alpha \cdot a) \cdot x, \quad a \cdot(\alpha \cdot x)=(a \cdot \alpha) \cdot x, \quad(\alpha \cdot x) \cdot a=\alpha \cdot(x \cdot a) \quad(a \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{M}, x \in X),
$$

and similar for the right or two-sided actions. Then we say that $X$ is a Banach $A-\mathfrak{N}$-module. A Banach $A$ - $\mathfrak{Y}$-module $X$ is called commutative $A$ - $\mathfrak{H}$-module, if $\alpha . x=x . \alpha(\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}, x \in X)$. Note that in general, $A$ is not a Banach $A$ - 2 -module because $A$ need not satisfy the compatibility conditions $a .(\alpha . b)=(a . \alpha) . b \quad(a, b \in A, \alpha \in$ $\mathfrak{Z})$.

If $X$ is a (commutative) Banach $A-\mathfrak{H}$-module , then so is $X^{*}$, whenever the actions of $A$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ on $X^{*}$ define by

$$
\langle\alpha . f, x\rangle=\langle f, x . \alpha\rangle, \quad\langle a . f, x\rangle=\langle f, x . a\rangle \quad\left(a \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{H}, x \in X, f \in X^{*}\right),
$$

and similarly for the right actions.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be two $A$-शी-modules, then a bounded map $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $A$ - $\mathfrak{A l}$-module map if $h(x \pm y)=h(x) \pm h(y)$ and

$$
h(\alpha \cdot x)=\alpha \cdot h(x), \quad h(x \cdot \alpha)=h(x) \cdot \alpha, \quad h(a \cdot x)=a \cdot h(x), \quad h(x \cdot a)=h(x) \cdot a,
$$

for $x, y \in X, a \in A$, and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$.
Let $A \widehat{\otimes} A$ be the projective tensor product of $A$ and $A$ which is a Banach $A$-bimodule and a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule by the following actions:

$$
\alpha .(a \otimes b)=(\alpha . a) \otimes b, \quad c .(a \otimes b)=(c a) \otimes b \quad(\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}, a, b, c \in A)
$$

similarly for the right actions. Let $I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ be the closed ideal of $A \widehat{\otimes} A$ generated by elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{a . \alpha \otimes b-a \otimes \alpha . b \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{N}, a, b \in A\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the map $\omega_{A} \in \mathcal{L}(A \widehat{\otimes} A, A)$ defined by $\omega_{A}(a \otimes b)=a b$ and extended by linearity and continuity. Let $J_{A}$ be the closed ideal of $A$ generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(I_{A \widetilde{\otimes} A}\right)=\{(a . \alpha) b-a(\alpha . b) \mid a, b \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{X}\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the module projective tensor product $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathscr{R}} A$, which is $(\widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ by [20], and the quotient Banach algebra $A / J_{A}$ are both Banach $A$-bimodules and Banach $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodules. Also, $A / J_{A}$ is $A$-श्थ-module with compatible actions when $A$ acts on $A / J_{A}$ canonically.

Define $\tilde{\omega}_{A} \in \mathcal{L}\left(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{N}} A, A / J_{A}\right)$ by $\tilde{\omega}_{A}\left(a \otimes b+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)=a b+J_{A}$ and extend by linearity and continuity. Obviously, $\tilde{\omega}_{A}$ is $A$ - $\mathfrak{Q}$-bimodule map. Moreover, $\tilde{\omega}^{*}$, the first adjoints of $\tilde{\omega}$ is also $A-\mathfrak{N}$-module map.

Let $X$ be a Banach $A$-शी-module. A bounded map $D: A \rightarrow X$ is called an $\mathfrak{N}$-module derivation if

$$
D(a \pm b)=D(a) \pm D(b), \quad D(a b)=D(a) \cdot b+b \cdot D(b) \quad(a, b \in A)
$$

and

$$
D(\alpha \cdot a)=\alpha \cdot D(a), \quad D(a \cdot \alpha)=D(a) \cdot \alpha \quad(a \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A})
$$

Although $D$ in general is not linear, but still its boundedness implies its norm continuity. For every $x \in X$, we define $a d_{x}$ by $a d_{x}(a)=a . x-x . a(a \in A)$. A $\mathfrak{N}$-module derivation $D$ is said to be inner (resp. approximate inner) if there exists $x \in X$ (resp. there exists a bounded net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \subset X$ ) such that $D(a)=a d_{x}(a)(a \in A)$ (resp. $\left.D(a)=\lim _{\alpha} a d_{x_{\alpha}}(a)(a \in A)\right)$. A Banach algebra $A$ is called $\mathfrak{H}$-module amenable (resp. $\mathfrak{N}$-module approximate amenable) if for any commutative Banach $A$ - $\mathfrak{U}$-module $X$, each $\mathfrak{H}$-module derivation $D: A \rightarrow X^{*}$ is inner (resp. approximate inner)(see [2] and [18]).

## 3. Module amenability of $A \times_{T_{21}} B$

Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are Banach algebra and Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule with compatible actions. Then, an $\mathfrak{A}$-module homomorphism is a Banach algebra homomorphism $T: A \rightarrow B$ with

$$
T(\alpha \cdot a)=\alpha \cdot T(a), \quad T(a \cdot \alpha)=T(a) \cdot \alpha \quad(a \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A})
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A, B)$, the all $\mathfrak{A}$-module homomorphism from $A$ into $B$.
Let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{U}}(B, A)$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$. The cartesian product space $A \times B$ equipped with the following algebra multiplication

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \cdot\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1} a_{2}+a_{1} T\left(b_{2}\right)+T\left(b_{1}\right) a_{2}, b_{1} b_{2}\right), \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \in A, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B\right)
$$

and the norm $\|(a, b)\|=\|a\|_{A}+\|b\|_{B}$, defines a Banach algebra which is denoted by $A \times_{T} B$. Furthermore, $A \times_{T} B$ is a Banach $A$-bimodule under the module actions

$$
a^{\prime} \cdot(a, b)=\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right) \cdot(a, b), \quad(a, b) \cdot a^{\prime}=(a, b) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right) \quad\left(a, a^{\prime} \in A, b \in B\right)
$$

and is $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule under the module actions

$$
\alpha \cdot(a, b)=(\alpha \cdot a, \alpha \cdot b), \quad(a, b) \cdot \alpha=(a \cdot \alpha, b \cdot \alpha) \quad(a \in A, b \in B, \alpha \in \mathfrak{M})
$$

For this reason we denote $A \times_{T} B$ by $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ which we call it the module Lau product of $A$ and $B$. We note that the dual space $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)^{*}$ can be identified with $A^{*} \times B^{*}$, via

$$
\langle(f, g),(a, b)\rangle=\langle a, f\rangle+\langle b, g\rangle\left(a \in A, f \in A^{*}, b \in B, g \in B^{*}\right)
$$

when we consider $A^{*} \times B^{*}$ under the norm

$$
\|(f, g)\|=\|f\|+\|g\| \quad\left(f \in A^{*}, g \in B^{*}\right)
$$

For more details see Theorem 1.10.13 of [15]. Moreover, $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)^{*}$ is a $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)$-bimodule with the module operations given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (f, g) \cdot(a, b)=\left(f \cdot a+f \cdot T(b), f \circ\left(L_{a} T\right)+g \cdot b\right) \quad\left(a \in A, f \in A^{*}, b \in B, g \in B^{*}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& (a, b) \cdot(f, g)=\left(a \cdot f+T(b) \cdot f, f \circ\left(R_{a} T\right)+b \cdot g\right) \quad\left(a \in A, f \in A^{*}, b \in B, g \in B^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{a} T: B \rightarrow A$ and $R_{a} T: B \rightarrow A$ are defined by $L_{a} T(b)=a T(b)$ and $R_{a} T(b)=T(b) a(b \in B)$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{M}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(B, A)$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) If $A \times_{T_{92}} B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module amenable, then so are $A$ and $B$. In the case that $A$ has a bounded approximate identity the converse is also valid.
(ii) If $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module approximate amenable, then so are $A$ and $B$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module amenable. Let $d: A \rightarrow X^{*}$ be an $\mathfrak{A}$-module derivation for a commutative Banach $A$ - $\mathfrak{N}$-module $X$. Define $\tilde{d}: A \times\{0\} \rightarrow X^{*} \times\{0\}$. by $\tilde{d}(a, 0)=(d(a), 0)(a \in A)$ and define $P: A \times_{T_{\text {2t }}} B \rightarrow A \times\{0\}$ by

$$
P(a, b)=(a+T(b), 0) \quad\left((a, b) \in A \times_{T_{9, ~}} B\right) .
$$

Then $D=\tilde{d} \circ P: A \times_{T_{21}} B \rightarrow X^{*} \times\{0\}$ is an $\mathfrak{N}$-module derivation on $A \times_{T_{91}} B$. From the $\mathfrak{A}$-module amenability of $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ it follows that there exists $\left(x^{*}, 0\right)$ in $X^{*} \times\{0\}$ such that

$$
D(a, b)=(a, b) \cdot\left(x^{*}, 0\right)-\left(x^{*}, 0\right) \cdot(a, b) \quad\left((a, b) \in A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
d(a)=\tilde{d}(a, 0)=D(a, 0)=(a, 0) \cdot\left(x^{*}, 0\right)-\left(x^{*}, 0\right) \cdot(a, 0)=a \cdot x^{*}-x^{*} \cdot a \quad(a \in A) .
$$

This means that $d$ is inner. Therefore $A$ is $\mathfrak{M}$-module amenable. Similarly, by taking $P: A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{2}}} B \rightarrow A \times\{0\}$ as $P(a, b)=(0, b)$, we can show that $B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module amenable.

Conversely, suppose that both $A$ and $B$ are $\mathfrak{A}$-module amenable. Since $A$ is a closed $\mathfrak{N}$-invariant ideal in $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ with a bounded approximate identity and $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / A \cong B$, then $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module amenable, by Corollary 2.2 of [2].
(ii) Suppose that $A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{I}}} B$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module approximate amenable. Let $d: A \rightarrow X^{*}$ be an $\mathfrak{A}$-module derivation for some commutative Banach $A$ - $\mathfrak{U}$-module $X$. As above there exists an $\mathfrak{H}$-module derivation $D=\tilde{d} \circ P$ :
$A \times_{T_{91}} B \rightarrow X^{*} \times\{0\}$ on $A \times_{T_{21}} B$. Since $A \times_{T_{2 t}} B$ is $\mathfrak{M}$-module approximate amenable, there exists a bounded net $\left(\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}, 0\right)\right)$ in $X^{*} \times\{0\}$ such that

$$
D(a, b)=\lim _{\alpha}\left((a, b) \cdot\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}, 0\right)-\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}, 0\right) \cdot(a, b)\right) \quad\left((a, b) \in A \times_{T_{2 I}} B\right) .
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(a) & =\tilde{d}(a, 0)=D(a, 0) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left((a, 0) \cdot\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}, 0\right)-\left(x_{\alpha}^{*}, 0\right) \cdot(a, 0)\right) \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left(a \cdot x_{\alpha}^{*}-x_{\alpha}^{*} \cdot a\right) \quad(a \in A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $d$ is approximate inner derivation. Therefore $A$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-module approximate amenable. Also, by taking $P: A \times_{T_{\mathrm{II}}} B \rightarrow A \times\{0\}$ as $P(a, b)=(0, b)$, one can show that $B$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-module approximate amenable.

The following example shows that the converse of the (ii) from the previous theorem is not valid in general case.

Example 3.2. Let $l^{1}$ denote the well-know space of complex sequences and let $K\left(l^{1}\right)$ be the space of all compact operators on $l^{1}$. It is well known that the Banach algebra $K\left(l^{1}\right)$ is amenable. Renorm $K\left(l^{1}\right)$ with the family of equivalent norm $\|.\|^{k}$ such that its bounded left approximate identity will be the constant 1 and its bounded right approximate identity will be $k+1$. Let $A=\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(K\left(l^{1}\right),\|\cdot\|^{k}\right)$, then $A$ has a bounded left approximate identity but no bounded right identity (see page 3931 of [9]). Now by choosing $T=0$ and $\mathfrak{H}=\mathbb{C}$ we have $A \oplus A^{o p}=A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{2}}} A^{o p} . A$ and $A^{o p}$, the opposite algebra, are boundedly approximate amenable (see Theorem 3.1 of [9]) but since $A \times_{T_{21}} A^{o p}$ has no bounded approximate identity, it is not $\mathfrak{M}$-module approximate amenable (see Theorem 4.1 of [9]).

Let $A$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$, where $\Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ denote the character space of $\mathfrak{A}$. Consider the linear $\operatorname{map} \varphi: A \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$
\varphi(a b)=\varphi(a) \varphi(b), \quad \varphi(\alpha \cdot a)=\varphi(a \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) \varphi(a) \quad(a, b \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A})
$$

The set of all continuous such maps denoted by $\Omega_{A}$. A bounded linear functional $m: A^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a $(\varphi, \phi)$-module mean on $A^{*}$ if $m(f . a)=\phi \circ \varphi(a) m(f), m(f . \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m(f)$ and $m(\phi \circ \varphi)=1$ for each $f \in A^{*}, a \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$. A Banach $\mathfrak{Y}$-bimodule $A$ is called $(\varphi, \phi)$-module amenable if there exists a $(\varphi, \phi)$-module mean on $A^{*}$. Also $A$ is called module character amenable if it is $(\varphi, \phi)$-module amenable for each $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H}) \cup\{0\}$ (see [6]).

One should remember that if $\mathfrak{H}=\mathbb{C}$ and $\phi$ is the identity map then the module $(\varphi, \phi)$-amenability coincides with $\varphi$-amenability [12].

For every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$, we define $(0, \psi): A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{N}}} B \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and $(\varphi, \varphi \circ T): A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{N}}} B \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ by

$$
(0, \psi)(a, b)=\psi(b), \quad(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)=\varphi(a)+\varphi \circ T(b) \quad(a \in A, b \in B)
$$

Then it is easy to see that $(0, \psi)$ and $(\varphi, \varphi \circ T) \in \Omega_{A \times_{T_{9}} B}$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(B, A)$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $A \times_{T_{22}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module amenable for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if $A$ is module character amenable.
(ii) $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module amenable for every $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if $B$ is module character amenable.

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$. Since $A \times_{T_{2 \mathfrak{R}}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module amenable, there exists $m \in\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right)^{* *}$ such that

$$
m((f, g) \cdot(a, b))=(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)) m((f, g)), \quad m((f, g) \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m((f, g))
$$

and $m(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T))=1$, for each $(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right)^{*} \simeq A^{*} \times B^{*}$ and $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{91}} B$. Define $m_{A} \in A^{* *}$ by $m_{A}(f)=m(f, f \circ T)\left(f \in A^{*}\right)$. By (3), for every $a \in A$ and $f \in A^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{A}(f \cdot a) & =m(f \cdot a,(f \cdot a) \circ T) \\
& =m\left(f \cdot a, f \circ L_{a} T\right) \\
& =m((f, f \circ T) \cdot(a, 0)) \\
& =(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, 0)) m((f, f \circ T)) \\
& =\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{A}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$,

$$
\left.m_{A}(f \cdot \alpha)=m(f \cdot \alpha,(f \cdot \alpha) \circ T)\right)=m((f, f \circ T) \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m(f, f \circ T)=\phi(\alpha) m_{A}(f)
$$

Also

$$
m_{A}(\phi \circ \varphi)=m((\phi \circ \varphi, \phi \circ \varphi \circ T))=m(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T))=1 .
$$

Then $A$ is $(\varphi, \phi)$-module amenable. Therefore $A$ is module character amenable.
Conversely, suppose that $A$ is module character amenable. Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$. Then there exists $m \in A^{* *}$ such that

$$
m(f . a)=\phi \circ \varphi(a) m(f), \quad m(f . \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m(f), \quad m(\phi \circ \varphi)=1\left(f \in A^{*}, a \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\right) .
$$

Define $\bar{m} \in\left(A \times_{T_{91}} B\right)^{* *}$ by $\bar{m}((f, g))=m(f)$. By (3), for every $(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)^{*}$ and $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{21}} B$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{m}((f, g) \cdot(a, b))=\bar{m}\left(f \cdot a+f \cdot T(b), f \circ\left(L_{a} T\right)+g \cdot b\right) \\
=m(f \cdot a+f \cdot T(b)) \\
=m(f \cdot a)+m(f \cdot T(b)) \\
=\phi \circ \varphi(a) m(f)+\phi \circ \varphi(T(b)) m(f) \\
=(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)) \bar{m}(f, g),
\end{gathered}
$$

and for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$,

$$
\bar{m}((f, g) \cdot \alpha)=\bar{m}((f \cdot \alpha, g \cdot \alpha))=m(f \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m(f)=\phi(\alpha) \bar{m}((f, g))
$$

Moreover

$$
\bar{m}(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T))=\bar{m}(\phi \circ \varphi, \phi \circ \varphi \circ T)=m(\phi \circ T)=1 .
$$

So $\bar{m}$ is a $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module mean on $A \times_{T_{91}} B$. Therefore $A \times_{T} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module amenable.
(ii) Let $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$. From the $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module amenability of $A \times_{T_{\mathscr{2}}} B$ it follows that there exists $m \in\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{r}}} B\right)^{* *}$ such that

$$
m((f, g) \cdot(a, b))=(\phi \circ(0, \psi)(a, b)) m((f, g)), \quad m((f, g) \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m((f, g))
$$

and $m(\phi \circ(0, \psi))=1$, for each $(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{I}}} B\right)^{*}$ and $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{91}} B$. Define $m_{B} \in B^{* *}$ by $m_{B}(g)=m(0, g)$. As in (i) we can show that $m_{B}$ is a $(\psi, \phi)$-module mean on $B^{*}$. So $B$ is $(\psi, \phi)$-module amenable. Therefore $B$ is module character amenable.

Conversely, suppose that $B$ is module character amenable. Let $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. Thus there exists $m \in B^{* *}$ such that

$$
m(g . b)=\phi \circ \psi(b) m(g), \quad m(g . \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) m(g), \quad m(\phi \circ \psi)=1 \quad\left(g \in B^{*}, b \in B, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\right) .
$$

Define $\bar{m} \in\left(A \times_{T_{2 l}} B\right)^{* *}$ by $\bar{m}((f, g))=m(g)-m(f \circ T)$. We show that $\bar{m}$ is a $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module mean on $A \times_{T_{91}} B$.
By (3), for every $(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)^{*}$ and $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{21}} B$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{m}((f, g) \cdot(a, b)) & =\bar{m}\left(f \cdot a+f \cdot T(b), f \circ\left(L_{a} T\right)+g \cdot b\right) \\
& =m\left(f \circ\left(L_{a} T\right)+g \cdot b\right)-m((f \cdot a+f \cdot T(b)) \circ T) \\
& =m(g \cdot b)-m(f \cdot T(b) \circ T) \\
& =m(g \cdot b)-m((f \circ T) \cdot b) \\
& =\phi \circ \psi(b) m(g)-\phi \circ \psi(b) m(f \circ T) \\
& =(\phi \circ(0, \psi)(a, b)) \bar{m}((f, g)),
\end{aligned}
$$

and for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$,

$$
\bar{m}((f, g) \cdot \alpha)=\bar{m}((f \cdot \alpha, g \cdot \alpha))=m(g \cdot \alpha)-m((f \circ T) \cdot \alpha)=\phi(\alpha) \bar{m}((f, g))
$$

Also $\bar{m}(\phi \circ(0, \psi))=\bar{m}(0, \phi \circ \psi)=m(\phi \circ \psi)=1$. Thus $\bar{m}$ is a $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module mean on $A \times_{T_{92}} B$, and so $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module amenable.

Corollary 3.4. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(B, A)$. Then $A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{Y}}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ$ $T), \phi)$-module amenable and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module amenable for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}, \psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$ if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are module character amenable.

Definition 3.5. Let $A$ be a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$. A net $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset A^{* *}$ is called a $(\varphi, \phi)$ module approximate mean, if $m_{i}(\phi \circ \varphi) \longrightarrow 1$, $\left\|a . m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0$ and $\left\|\alpha \cdot m_{i}-\phi(\alpha) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$. A Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule $A$ is called $(\varphi, \phi)$-module approximate amenable if there exists a $(\varphi, \phi)$-module approximate mean on $A^{*}$. Also $A$ is called module character approximate amenable if it is $(\varphi, \phi)$-module approximate amenable for each $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H}) \cup\{0\}$.

Note that by Theorem 5.2 of [7], and from the fact that

$$
\left\|a \cdot m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}\right\| \leqslant\left\|a \cdot m_{i}-\varphi(a) \cdot m_{i}\right\|+\left\|\varphi(a) \cdot m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}\right\|,
$$

and

$$
\left\|a \cdot m_{i}-\varphi(a) \cdot m_{i}\right\| \leqslant\left\|a \cdot m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}\right\|+\left\|\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}-\varphi(a) \cdot m_{i}\right\|,
$$

one can easily show that the concept of $(\varphi, \phi)$-module approximate amenability in Definition 3.5 and the concept of module approximately ( $\varphi, \phi$ )-amenability introduced in [7] are equivalent.

Theorem 3.6. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(B, A)$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{2}}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate amenable for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if $A$ is module character approximate amenable.
(ii) $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module approximate amenable for every $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if $B$ is module character approximate amenable.

Proof. (i) Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{l})$. Since $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate amenable, there exists a net $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset\left(A \times_{T} B\right)^{* *}$ such that

$$
\left\|(a, b) \cdot m_{i}-(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad\left\|\alpha \cdot m_{i}-\phi(\alpha) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0
$$

and $m_{i}(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)) \longrightarrow 1$, for each $(a, b) \in A \times_{T} B$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$. Let $\left\{m_{i}^{A}\right\} \subset A^{* *}$ define by $m_{i}^{A}(f)=m_{i}(f, f \circ T)(f \in$ $A^{*}$ ). By (3), for every $a \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a \cdot m_{i}^{A}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}^{A}\right\| & =\sup _{f \in A^{*},\|f\| \leq 1}\left|a \cdot m_{i}^{A}(f)-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}^{A}(f)\right| \\
& =2 \sup _{f \in A^{*},\|f\| \leq 1}\left|m_{i}^{A}\left(\frac{1}{2} f \cdot a\right)-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}^{A}\left(\frac{1}{2} f \cdot a\right)\right| \\
& =2 \sup _{f \in A^{*},\|f\| \leq 1}\left|(a, 0) \cdot m_{i}\left(\frac{1}{2} f, \frac{1}{2} f \circ T\right)-(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, 0)) m_{i}\left(\frac{1}{2} f, \frac{1}{2} f \circ T\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2\left\|(a, 0) \cdot m_{i}-(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, 0)) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly one can prove that $\left\|\alpha \cdot m_{i}^{A}-\phi(\alpha) m_{i}^{A}\right\| \longrightarrow 0$, for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Also

$$
m_{i}^{A}(\phi \circ \varphi)=m_{i}((\phi \circ \varphi, \phi \circ \varphi \circ T))=m_{i}(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)) \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

Then $\left\{m_{i}^{A}\right\}$ is a $(\varphi, \phi)$-module approximate mean on $A^{*}$. So $A$ is $(\varphi, \phi)$-module approximate amenable. Therefore $A$ is module character approximate amenable.

Conversely, suppose that $A$ is module character approximate amenable. Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$. Then there exists a net $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset A^{* *}$ such that

$$
\left\|a . m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0,\left\|\alpha \cdot m_{i}-\phi(\alpha) m_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0, m_{i}(\phi \circ \varphi) \longrightarrow 1(a \in A, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}) .
$$

Define the net $\left\{\bar{m}_{i}\right\} \subset\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{I}}} B\right)^{* *}$ by $\bar{m}_{i}((f, g))=m_{i}(f)$. By (3), for every $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{9 l}} B$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(a, b) \cdot \bar{m}_{i}-(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)) \bar{m}_{i}\right\| \\
& =\sup _{(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T} B\right)^{*},\|(f, g)\| \leq 1}\left|(a, b) \cdot \bar{m}_{i}((f, g))-(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)) \bar{m}_{i}((f, g))\right| \\
& =\sup _{(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T} B\right)^{*},\|(f, g)\| \leq 1} \mid m_{i}\left((f \cdot a+f \cdot T(b))-(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)(a, b)) m_{i}(f) \mid\right. \\
& \leq \sup _{(f, g) \in\left(A \times_{T} B\right)^{*},\|(f, g)\| \leq 1}\left(\left|a \cdot m_{i}(f)-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}(f)\right|+\left|T(b) \cdot m_{i}(f)-\phi \circ \varphi(T(b)) m_{i}(f)\right|\right) \\
& \leq\left\|a \cdot m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(a) m_{i}\right\|+\left\|T(b) \cdot m_{i}-\phi \circ \varphi(T(b)) m_{i}\right\| \| \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that $\left\|\alpha \cdot \bar{m}_{i}-\phi(\alpha) \bar{m}_{i}\right\| \longrightarrow 0(\alpha \in \mathfrak{H})$. Moreover

$$
\bar{m}_{i}(\phi \circ(\varphi, \varphi \circ T))=\bar{m}_{i}(\phi \circ \varphi, \phi \circ \varphi \circ T)=m_{i}(\phi \circ T) \longrightarrow 1
$$

So $\left\{\bar{m}_{i}\right\}$ is a $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate mean on $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)^{*}$. Therefore $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate amenable.

Similarly, we can show that (ii) is also valid.
Corollary 3.7. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}}(B, A)$. Then $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ$ $T), \phi)$-module approximate amenable and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module approximate amenable for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}, \psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{t})$ if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are module character approximate amenable.

## 4. Module character biprojectivity and module character biflatness of $A \times_{T_{21}} B$

We commence this section with the following definition from [3]:
Definition 4.1. We say a Banach algebra $\mathfrak{H}$ acts trivially on $A$ from the left (right) if for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $a \in A$, $\alpha \cdot a=f(\alpha) a($ resp. $a \cdot \alpha=f(\alpha) a)$, where $f$ is a continuous linear functional on $\mathfrak{A}$.

We recall the following remark from [5] for the proof of the next results:
Remark 4.2. Let $I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ and $J_{A}$ be the closed ideals defined in (1) and (2), respectively. Suppose that $A$ has a bounded approximate identity and $\mathfrak{H}$ acts on $A$ trivially from the left. Then $(A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ is an $A / J_{A}$-bimodule with the actions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(c \otimes b+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)=a c \otimes b+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A^{\prime}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c \otimes b+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right) \cdot\left(a+J_{A}\right)=c \otimes b a+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A^{\prime}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a, b, c \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$.
Throughout the next results, when we consider $(A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ as $A / J_{A}$-bimodule, we have suppose that $A$ has a bounded approximate identity, and $\mathfrak{H}$ acts on $A$ trivially from the left.

Recall that a Banach algebra $A$ is called $\mathfrak{H}$-module biprojective if $\tilde{\omega}_{A}$ has a bounded right inverse which is an $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{Y}$-module map, and $A$ is called $\mathfrak{N}$-module biflat if $\tilde{\omega}_{A}^{*}$ has a bounded left inverse which is an $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{Q}$-module map (see [5]).

Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$. Clearly $\varphi((a . \alpha) b-a(\alpha . b))=0(\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}, a, b \in A)$, hence $\varphi=0$ on $J_{A}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}: A / J_{A} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}$ given by $\tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\varphi(a)$ is well defined. Then $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega_{A / J_{A}}$.

Let $\phi \in \Delta(A)$. Then $\phi$ has a unique extension $\widehat{\phi} \in \Delta\left(A^{* *}\right)$ which is denote by $\widehat{\phi}(F)=F(\phi)$ for every $F \in A^{* *}$.
Definition 4.3. Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$. A Banach $\mathfrak{H}$-bimodule $A$ is called $\varphi$-module biprojective (resp. $\varphi$-module approximate biprojective) if there exists $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{Q}$-module map $\tilde{\rho_{A}}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes} A / I_{A \otimes A}$ (resp. there exists a net of $A / J_{A}-\mathcal{H}$-module maps $\left.\tilde{\rho}_{i}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes} A / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \tilde{\rho_{A}}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right)\left(r e s p . \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{i}\left(a+J_{A}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right)$.
Definition 4.4. Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$. A Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule $A$ is called $(\varphi, \phi)$-module biflat (resp. $(\varphi, \phi)$ module approximate biflat) if there exists $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{N}$-module map $\tilde{\rho_{A}}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow\left(\widehat{\otimes} A / I_{A \triangle} A\right)^{* *}$ (resp. there exists a net of $\left.A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{Q}-m o d u l e ~ m a p s ~ \tilde{\rho_{i}}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow\left(\widehat{\otimes \otimes} A / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)^{* *}\right)$ such that $\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho_{A}}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right)(r e s p$.
 biflat) if it is $(\varphi, \phi)$-module biflat (resp. ( $\varphi, \phi$ )-module approximate biflat) for each $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A l}) \cup\{0\}$.
Lemma 4.5. Let $A$ and $B$ be two Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodules and $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{I}( }(B, A)$. Then $J_{A \times_{T_{9}} B}=J_{A} \times_{T_{\mathfrak{I}}} J_{B}$.
Proof. For every $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \cdot \alpha\right)\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)-\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)\left(\alpha \cdot\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)\right)= & \left(\left(\left(a_{1} \cdot \alpha\right) a_{2}-a_{1}\left(\alpha \cdot a_{2}\right)\right)+\left(\left(a_{1} \cdot \alpha\right) \varphi\left(b_{2}\right)-a_{1}\left(\alpha \cdot \varphi\left(b_{2}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(\varphi\left(b_{1}\right) \cdot \alpha\right) a_{2}-\varphi\left(b_{1}\right)\left(\alpha \cdot a_{2}\right)\right),\left(b_{1} \cdot \alpha\right) b_{2}-b_{1}\left(\alpha \cdot b_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is $J_{A \times_{\varphi} B} \subset J_{A} \times_{\varphi} J_{B}$. Also for every $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A, \quad b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(a_{1} \cdot \alpha\right) a_{2}-a_{1}\left(\alpha \cdot a_{2}\right),\left(b_{1} \cdot \alpha^{\prime}\right) b_{2}-b_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cdot b_{2}\right)\right)= & \left(\left(a_{1} \cdot \alpha\right) a_{2}-a_{1}\left(\alpha \cdot a_{2}\right), 0\right)+\left(0,\left(b_{1} \cdot \alpha^{\prime}\right) b_{2}-b_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cdot b_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & {\left[\left(\left(a_{1}, 0\right) \cdot \alpha\right)\left(a_{2}, 0\right)-\left(a_{1}, 0\right)\left(\alpha \cdot\left(a_{2}, 0\right)\right)\right] } \\
& +\left[\left(\left(0, b_{1}\right) \cdot \alpha^{\prime}\right)\left(0, b_{2}\right)-\left(0, b_{1}\right)\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cdot\left(0, b_{2}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $J_{A} \times_{\varphi} J_{B} \subset J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}$. Therefore $J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}=J_{A} \times_{\varphi} J_{B}$.

We note that $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times \times_{T_{Y I}} B}$ is an $A / J_{A}$-bimodule through the actions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right)=\left(\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right)\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{\mathrm{Tl}}} B}\right) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right)=\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}} B}\right)\left(\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Tg}}} B}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a^{\prime}, a \in A$ and $b \in B$. By Lemma 4.5, one can easily check that these actions are well defined. Also $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{2 l}} B}$ can be made into a Banach $B / J_{B}$-bimodule in a similar fashion.

Lemma 4.6. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule Banach algebras with bounded approximate identity. Define the mappings $r_{A}:\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{92}} B} \rightarrow A / J_{A}$ and $q_{A}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T} B}$ by

$$
r_{A}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{\mathrm{T}_{2}} B}\right)=(a+T(b))+J_{A}, \quad q_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=(a, 0)+J_{A \times_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}} B} \quad(a \in A, b \in B) .
$$

Also define $p_{B}:\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B} \rightarrow B / J_{B}$ and $s_{B}: B / J_{B} \rightarrow\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{2}}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}$ by

$$
p_{B}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times \times_{T_{9}} B}\right)=b+J_{B}, \quad s_{B}\left(b+J_{B}\right)=(-T(b), b)+J_{A \times_{T_{91}} B} \quad(a \in A, b \in B) .
$$

Then $r_{A}, q_{A}$ are Banach $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{2}$-module maps and $p_{B}, s_{B}$ are $B / J_{B}-\mathfrak{A}$-module maps.
Proof. By Lemma 4.5, it is easy to see that $r_{A}, q_{A}, p_{B}$ and $s_{B}$ are well defined. Let $\left(e_{i}\right)$ (resp. ( $\left.e_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ ) be a bounded approximate identity for $A$ (resp. $B$ ) with bound $m>0$ (resp. $m^{\prime}>0$ ). For every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|q_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right\| & =\left\|(a, 0)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\|=\lim _{i}\left\|\left(a+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(\left(e_{i}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{i} k\left\|a+J_{A}\right\|\left\|\left(e_{i}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{i} k\left\|a+J_{A}\right\|\left\|e_{i}\right\| \leq k m\left\|a+J_{A}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|s_{B}\left(b+J_{B}\right)\right\| & =\left\|(-\varphi(b), b)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\|\left(b+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(\left(-e_{i}, e_{j}^{\prime}\right)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{i} \lim _{j} k\left\|b+J_{B}\right\|\left\|\left(-e_{i}, e_{j}^{\prime}\right)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{i} \lim _{j} k\left\|b+J_{B}\right\|\left\|e_{i}\right\|\left\|e_{j}^{\prime}\right\| \leq k m m^{\prime}\left\|b+J_{B}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $q_{A}$ and $s_{B}$ are bounded. By using Lemma 4.5, we obtain

$$
\left\|a+J_{A}\right\|+\left\|b+J_{B}\right\| \leq\left\|(a, b)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\| \quad(a \in A, b \in B) .
$$

It follows that $\left\|p_{B}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right)\right\|=\left\|b+J_{B}\right\| \leq\left\|(a, b)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\|$, and since $\varphi\left(J_{B}\right) \subset J_{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|r_{A}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right)\right\| & =\left\|(a+\varphi(b))+J_{A}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|a+J_{A}\right\|+\left\|\varphi(b)+\varphi\left(J_{B}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|(a, b)+J_{A \times_{\varphi} B}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $p_{B}$ and $r_{A}$ is bounded. Also one can easily check that $r_{A}, q_{A}$ are Banach $A / J_{A}$-bimodule and $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $p_{B}, s_{B}$ are $B / J_{B}$-bimodule and $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule. Then $r_{A}, q_{A}$ are Banach $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{A}$-module maps and $p_{B}, s_{B}$ are $B / J_{B}-2 \mathscr{A}$-module maps.

For the proof of the following result we refer to Proposition 2.6 of [22].

Proposition 4.7. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and $\mathfrak{A}$ acts on $A$ trivially from the left. Let $\Phi_{A}:(A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A} \rightarrow A / J_{A} \widehat{\otimes} A / J_{A}$ be defined by

$$
\Phi_{A}\left(\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right)+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)=\left(a_{1}+J_{A}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}+J_{A}\right) \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \in A\right)
$$

Then $\Phi_{A}$ is a bijective $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{N}$-module map.
Lemma 4.8. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and $\mathfrak{H}$ acts on $A$ trivially from the left. Let $\Phi_{A}$ be as in Proposition 4.7. Then the inverse of $\Phi_{A}$ that we denote by $\Phi_{A}^{-1}$ is a $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{2}$-module map.

Proof. Let $\left(e_{i}\right)$ be a bounded approximate identity for $A$ with bound $m>0$. By (5) and (6), for every $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi^{-1}\left(\left(a_{1}+J_{A}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}+J_{A}\right)\right)\right\| & =\left\|a_{1} \otimes a_{2}+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right\|=\lim _{i}\left\|a_{1} e_{i} \otimes e_{i} a_{2}+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{i}\left\|\left(a_{1}+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{i}+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right) \cdot\left(a_{2}+J_{A}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq k \lim _{i}\left\|e_{i} \otimes e_{i}+I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right\|\left\|a_{1}+J_{A}\right\|\left\|a_{2}+J_{A}\right\| \\
& \leq k \lim _{i}\left\|e_{i} \otimes e_{i}\right\|\left\|\left(a_{1}+J_{A}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}+J_{A}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq k m^{2}\left\|\left(a_{1}+J_{A}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}+J_{A}\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\Phi_{A}^{-1}$ is a bounded and it is also easy to see that $\Phi_{A}^{-1}$ is an $A / J_{A}$-bimodule and $\mathfrak{H}$-bimodule map. So $\Phi_{A}^{-1}$ is a $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{U}$-module map.

Theorem 4.9. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}, \psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{I}}(B, A)$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $A \times_{T_{2}} B$ is $(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)$-module biprojective (resp. $(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)$-module approximate biprojective) if and only if $A$ is $\varphi$-module biprojective (resp. $\varphi$-module approximate biprojective).
(ii) $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $(0, \psi)$-module biprojective (resp. $(0, \psi)$-module approximate biprojective) if and only if $B$ is $\psi$-module biprojective (resp. $\psi$-module approximate biprojective).

Proof. Let $r_{A}, q_{A}$ and $p_{B}, s_{B}$ be as in Proposition 4.6, and $\Phi_{A}, \Phi_{B}$ and $\Phi_{A \times_{T_{A} B} B}$ be as in Proposition 4.7.
(i) Suppose that $A \times_{T_{91}} B$ is $(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)$-module biprojective. So there exists a $\left(A \times_{T_{9}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{9}}} B-2$ - -module map

$$
\eta:\left(A \times_{T_{2 \mathrm{I}}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{92}} B} \rightarrow\left(\left(A \times_{T_{\mathrm{It}}} B\right) \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right)\right) / I_{\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right)\right.},
$$

 the equalities

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{\mathrm{gl}}} B}=r_{A} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}} B}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} \circ r_{A}=(\widetilde{\varphi, \varphi \circ T)}
$$

are valid. Define $\tilde{\rho}_{A}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow(A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ by

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{A}=\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{थ}} B} \circ \eta \circ q_{A} .
$$

We claim that $\tilde{\rho}_{A}$ is an $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{Q}$-module map. By (7), for every $a^{\prime}, a \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right)=\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{21}} B} \circ \eta \circ q_{A}\right)\left(\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{2}} B} \circ \eta\right)\left(\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot q_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{9 x}} B} \circ \eta\right)\left(\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{91}} B} .(a, 0)+J_{A \times_{T_{9}} B}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right)\right)\left[\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{9}} B} . \Phi_{A \times_{T_{9}} B}\left(\eta\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times_{T_{91}} B}\right)\right)\right] \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Put} \Phi_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\left(\eta\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right)\right)=\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right) \otimes\left(\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right) \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \in A, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B\right)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right)\right)\left[\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{91}} B} \cdot\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right) \otimes\left(\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{91}} B}\right)\right] \\
& =\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right)\right)\left[\left(\left(a^{\prime} a_{1}+a^{\prime} T\left(b_{1}\right), 0\right)+J_{A \times \times_{T_{91}} B}\right) \otimes\left(\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)+J_{A \times_{T_{92}} B}\right)\right] \\
& =\Phi_{A}^{-1}\left(\left(a^{\prime} a_{1}+a^{\prime} T\left(b_{1}\right)+J_{A}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}+T\left(b_{2}\right)+J_{A}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot \Phi_{A}^{-1}\left(\left(a_{1}+T\left(b_{1}\right)+J_{A}\right) \otimes\left(a_{2}+T\left(b_{2}\right)+J_{A}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) .\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{12}} B} \circ \eta \circ q_{A}\right)\left(\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(a+J_{A}\right)\right)=\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right) \cdot \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)$. By a similar argument one can show that $\tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(\left(a+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right)\right)=\tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}+J_{A}\right)$. So $\tilde{\rho}_{A}$ is an $A / J_{A}$-bimodule. Also it is easy to see that $\tilde{\rho}_{A}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-bimodule. Therefore $\tilde{\rho}_{A}$ is an $A / J_{A}-\mathfrak{Q}$-module map. Moreover, for every $a \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{\mathrm{T}}} B} \circ \eta \circ q_{A}\right)\left(a+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\varphi} \circ r_{A} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times_{T_{9, ~}} B} \circ \eta\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times \times_{T_{9}} B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\widetilde{\varphi, \varphi \circ T} T)\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{I}}} B}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $A$ is $\varphi$-module biprojective.
Conversely, suppose that $A$ is $\varphi$-module biprojective. Then there exists $A / J_{A}-2$-module map $\tilde{\rho}_{A}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow$ $(A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{A \times_{T_{21}} B} \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{91}} B}^{-1} \circ\left(q_{A} \otimes q_{A}\right) \circ \Phi_{A}=q_{A} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A},(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \circ T) \circ q_{A}=\tilde{\varphi} .
$$

 By similar argument as above show that $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{91}} B}$ is $\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{2}}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{9}} B}-\mathfrak{N}$-module map. For every $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{92}} B$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \circ T) \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times \times_{T_{9}} B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times{ }_{T_{9}} B}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times \times_{T_{9}} B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \circ T) \circ q_{A} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left((a+T(b))+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left((a+T(b))+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\tilde{\varphi}\left((a+T(b))+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\left(\widetilde{\varphi, \varphi \circ T)}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}\right)\right. \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So $A \times_{T_{21}} B$ is $(\varphi, \varphi \circ T)$-module biprojective.
(ii) Suppose that $A \times_{T_{\sqrt{ }}} B$ is $(0, \psi)$-module biprojective. Then there exists $\left(A \times_{T_{91}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{92}} B}-\mathfrak{Q}$-module map

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{2 l}} B}:\left(A \times_{T_{9 l}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{92}} B} \rightarrow\left(\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{2}}} B\right) \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{2 l}} B\right)\right) / I_{\left(A \times_{T_{9 l}} B \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right)\right.}
$$

such that $\widetilde{(0, \psi)} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times_{T_{2 l}} B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{92}} B}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{9 l}} B}\right)=\widetilde{(0, \psi)}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{9 l} B} B}\right)$. Define $\tilde{\rho}_{B}: B / J_{B} \rightarrow(\widehat{B \otimes} B) / I_{B \widehat{\otimes} B}$ by $\tilde{\rho}_{B}=\Phi_{B}^{-1} \circ\left(p_{B} \otimes p_{B}\right) \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{9}} B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{91}} B} \circ s_{B}$. By the same argument as in (i), one can show that $\tilde{\rho}_{B}$ is $B / J_{B}-\mathfrak{Q}$-module map and for every $b \in B, \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{B}\left(b+J_{B}\right)=\tilde{\psi}\left(b+J_{B}\right)$. So $B$ is $\psi$-module biprojective.

Let $B$ be a $\psi$-module biprojective, then there exists $\tilde{\rho}_{B}: B / J_{B} \rightarrow(B \widehat{\otimes} B) / I_{\overparen{B} B}$ such that $\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{B}(b+$
 $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{M}} B}=\Phi_{A \times T_{M} B}^{-1} \circ\left(s_{B} \otimes S_{B}\right) \circ \Phi_{B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{B} \circ p_{B}$, then by a similar argument as in (i) we can prove that $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times x_{T_{M}} B}$ is $\left(A \times_{T_{v 1}} B\right) / J_{A \times x_{T_{y y}}} B-2$-module map and for every $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{v y}} B$ we have

$$
\widetilde{(0, \psi)} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times \times_{T_{y}} B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times x_{T_{y}} B}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times x_{T_{y}} B}\right)=\widetilde{(0, \psi)}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times x_{T_{y}} B}\right) .
$$

Therefore $A \times_{T_{\text {In }}} B$ is $(0, \psi)$-module biprojective.
The proof of module approximate biprojectivity is similar.
Theorem 4.10. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{Q}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{r}}(B, A)$. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $A \times_{T_{y I n}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module biflat (resp. $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate biflat) for every $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$ if and only if $A$ is module character biflat (resp. module character approximate biflat).
(ii) $A \times_{T_{\varkappa}} B$ is $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module biflat (resp. $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module approximate biflat) for every $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$ if and only if $B$ is module character biflat (resp. module character approximate biflat).
Proof. Let $r_{A}, q_{A}$ and $p_{B}, S_{B}$ be as in Proposition 4.6, and $\Phi_{A}, \Phi_{B}$ and $\Phi_{A \times ~_{T_{B}} B}$ be as in Proposition 4.7.
(i) Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{Z})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$. From the $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module biflatness of $A \times_{T_{98}} B$ it follows that there exists a $\left(A \times_{T_{y y}} B\right) / J_{A \times x_{T_{y}}} B-2 \ell$-module map
 for every $F \in\left(\left(A \times_{T_{x, 1}} B\right) / J_{A \times x_{T_{4}}} B B^{* *}\right.$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} \circ r_{A}^{* *}(F) & =\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}}\left(r_{A}^{* *}(F)\right)=r_{A}^{* *}(F)(\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}) \\
& =F(\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ r)=F(\phi \circ(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \circ T)) \\
& =\phi \circ(\widehat{\varphi, \varphi, \varphi \circ T)}(F) .
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* * *} \circ\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1}\right)^{* *} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right)^{* * *} \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{y}} B}^{\omega^{* *}}=r_{A}^{* * *} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times T_{T_{B} B}^{* *}} .
$$

Define $\tilde{\rho}_{A}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow\left((A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)^{* *}$ by

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{A}=\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1}\right)^{* * *} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right)^{* * *} \circ \Phi_{A \times_{\rho} B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A x_{T_{x}} B} \circ q_{A} .
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of the Theorem 4.9 , one can show that $\tilde{\rho}_{A}$ is an $A / J_{A}-2$ - -module map. For every $a \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\widehat{\tilde{\varphi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *} \circ\left(\Phi_{A}^{-1}\right)^{* * *} \circ\left(r_{A} \otimes r_{A}\right)^{* *} \circ \Phi_{A \times T_{T_{A}} B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times T_{r_{y}} B} \circ q_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} \circ r_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times T_{y} B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times T_{I_{y}} B}\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times T_{T_{y}} B}\right) \\
& =\phi \circ \widehat{(\overline{\varphi, \varphi} \circ T)} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times x_{T_{y}}^{*} B}^{*} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times x_{T_{y}} B} B\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times T_{T_{y}} B}\right) \\
& =\phi \circ(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \circ T)\left((a, 0)+J_{A \times_{T_{y}} B}\right) \\
& =\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So $A$ is ( $\varphi, \phi$ )-module biflat. Therefore $A$ is module character biflat.
Conversely, suppose that $A$ is module character biflat. Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}$. Then there exists $A / J_{A}-\mathcal{Q}$-module map $\tilde{\rho}_{A}: A / J_{A} \rightarrow\left((A \widehat{\otimes} A) / I_{A \widehat{\otimes} A}\right)^{* *}$ such that $\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left(a+J_{A}\right)=\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}\left(a+J_{A}\right)$. A direct verification shows that

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{A \times_{T_{2 l}} B}^{* *} \circ\left(\Phi_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}^{-1}\right)^{* *} \circ\left(q_{A} \otimes q_{A}\right)^{* *} \circ \Phi_{A}^{* *}=q_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *}, \phi \circ \widehat{(\varphi, \bar{\varphi} \circ T) \circ q_{A}^{* *}=\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} . . . . ~}
$$

Define $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times \times_{T_{21}} B}:\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times \times_{T_{21}} B} \rightarrow\left(\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / I_{\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right)\right.}\right)^{* *}$ by $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{29}} B}=\left(\Phi_{A \times \times_{T_{21} B} B}^{-1}\right)^{* *} \circ\left(q_{A} \otimes q_{A}\right)^{* *} \circ$ $\Phi_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A} \circ r_{A}$. By a similar argument as in the proof of the Theorem 4.9 for $\tilde{\rho}_{A}$, one can prove that $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{92}} B}$ is $\left(A \times_{T_{2 l}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}-\mathfrak{A}$-module map. Now for every $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{21}} B$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi \circ \widehat{\left.(\overline{\varphi, \varphi} \circ T) \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A \times_{T_{91}}^{* *} B} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{91}} B}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{91}} B}\right)\right) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\phi \circ \widehat{(\hat{\varphi, \varphi} \circ T)} \circ q_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left((a+T(b))+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{A}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A}\left((a+T(b))+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\phi \circ \tilde{\varphi}\left((a+T(b))+J_{A}\right) \\
& =\phi \circ\left(\widetilde{\varphi, \varphi \circ T)}\left((a, b)+J_{A \times_{T_{\mathrm{T}}} B}\right)\right. \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So $A \times_{T_{91}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module biflat.
(ii) Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$. Since $A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{Y}}} B$ is $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module biflat. Then there exists $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times \times_{T_{91}}} B^{-}$ $\mathfrak{A}$-module map

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}:\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{92}} B} \rightarrow\left(\left(\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{91}} B\right)\right) / I_{\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{92}} B\right)\right.}\right)^{* *},
$$

 by

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{B}=\left(\Phi_{B}^{-1}\right)^{* *} \circ\left(p_{B} \otimes p_{B}\right)^{* *} \circ \Phi_{A \times_{T_{\mathbb{R}}} B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{\mathbb{R}}} B} \circ s_{B} .
$$

As above we may show that $\tilde{\rho}_{B}$ is $B / J_{B}-\mathfrak{Y}$-module map and for every $b \in B$,

$$
\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\psi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{B}\left(b+J_{B}\right)=\phi \circ \tilde{\psi}\left(b+J_{B}\right) .
$$

Thus $B$ is $(\psi, \phi)$-module biflat, and so $B$ is module character biflat.
Assume that $B$ is module character biflat. Let $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\psi \in \Omega_{B}$. Then there exists $\tilde{\rho}_{B}: B / J_{B} \rightarrow$ $\left((\widehat{B} \widehat{\otimes}) / I_{B \widehat{\otimes} B}\right)^{* *}$ such that $\widehat{\phi \circ \tilde{\psi}} \circ \tilde{\omega}_{B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{B}\left(b+J_{B}\right)=\phi \circ \tilde{\psi}\left(b+J_{B}\right)$. Define $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times \times_{T_{21}} B}:\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{2 l}} B} \rightarrow$ $\left(\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{Y}}} B\right) \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{9,}} B\right) / I_{\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{Y}}} B \widehat{\otimes}\left(A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{Y}} B} B\right)\right.}\right)^{* *}$ by $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{\mathbb{I}}} B}=\left(\Phi_{A \times_{T_{9}} B}^{-1}\right)^{* *} \circ\left(s_{B} \otimes s_{B}\right)^{* *} \circ \Phi_{B}^{* *} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{B} \circ p_{B}$, then by the same argument as in (i), we can show that $\tilde{\rho}_{A \times_{T_{21}} B}$ is $\left(A \times_{T_{21}} B\right) / J_{A \times_{T_{29}} B} B-\mathcal{Q}$-module map and for every $(a, b) \in A \times_{T_{21}} B$, we have

Therefore $A \times_{T_{91}} B$ is $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module biflat.
The proof of module approximate biflat is similar.
Corollary 4.11. Let $A$ and $B$ be two $\mathfrak{M}$-bimodule Banach algebras and let $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(B, A)$. Then $A \times_{T_{\mathfrak{V}}} B$ is $((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module biflat and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module biflat (resp. $\quad((\varphi, \varphi \circ T), \phi)$-module approximate biflat and $((0, \psi), \phi)$-module approximate biflat) for every $\varphi \in \Omega_{A}, \psi \in \Omega_{B}$ and $\phi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{H})$ if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are module character biflat ( resp. module character approximate biflat).
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