



Generalizations of the Aluthge Transform of Operators

Khalid Shebrawi^a, Mojtaba Bakherad^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt, Jordan

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, I.R.Iran.

Abstract. Let A be an operator with the polar decomposition $A = U|A|$. The Aluthge transform of the operator A , denoted by \tilde{A} , is defined as $\tilde{A} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this paper, first we generalize the definition of Aluthge transform for non-negative continuous functions f, g such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$). Then, by using this definition, we get some numerical radius inequalities. Among other inequalities, it is shown that if A is bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathbb{H} , then

$$h(w(A)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| h(g^2(|A|)) + h(f^2(|A|)) \right\| + \frac{1}{2} h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})),$$

where f, g are non-negative continuous functions such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$), h is a non-negative and non-decreasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$ and $\tilde{A}_{f,g} = f(|A|)Ug(|A|)$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

2. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ denotes the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathbb{H} with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$. In the case when $\dim \mathbb{H} = n$, we identify $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ with the matrix algebra \mathbb{M}_n of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the complex field. For an operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, let $A = U|A|$ (U is a partial isometry with $\ker U = \text{range } |A|^\perp$) be the polar decomposition of A . The Aluthge transform of the operator A , denoted by \tilde{A} , is defined as $\tilde{A} = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In [7, 21], a more general notion called t -Aluthge transform has been introduced which has later been studied. This is defined for any $0 < t \leq 1$ by $\tilde{A}_t = |A|^t U |A|^{1-t}$. Clearly, for $t = \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain the usual Aluthge transform. For the case $t = 1$, the operator $\tilde{A}_1 = |A|U$ is called the Duggal transform of $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. For $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, we generalize the Aluthge transform of the operator A to the form

$$\tilde{A}_{f,g} = f(|A|)Ug(|A|),$$

in which f, g are non-negative continuous functions such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$). The numerical radius of $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ is defined by

$$w(A) := \sup\{|\langle Ax, x \rangle| : x \in \mathbb{H}, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A12, Secondary 47A63, 47A30

Keywords. Aluthge transform, Numerical radius, Operator matrices, Polar decomposition.

Received: 09 July 2017; Accepted: 05 October 2017

Communicated by M.S. Moslehian

Email addresses: khalid@bau.edu.jo; shebrawi@gmail.com (Khalid Shebrawi), mjtaba.bakherad@yahoo.com (Mojtaba Bakherad)

It is well known that $w(\cdot)$ defines a norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, which is equivalent to the usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. In fact, for any $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, $\frac{1}{2}\|A\| \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|$; see [8]. Let $r(\cdot)$ denote the spectral radius. It is well known that for every operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, we have $r(A) \leq w(A)$. An important inequality for $w(A)$ is the power inequality stating that $w(A^n) \leq w(A)^n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). For further information about the numerical radius we refer the reader to [10–12] and references therein. The quantity $w(A)$ is useful in studying perturbation, convergence and approximation problems as well as integrative methods, etc. For more information see [3, 6, 9, 13–15, 17].

Let $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. The operator matrices $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are called the diagonal and off-diagonal parts of the operator matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$, respectively.

In [16], it has been shown that if A is an operator in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, then

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A\| + \|A^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{1}$$

Several refinements and generalizations of inequality (1) have been given; see [1, 4, 5, 21–24]. Yamazaki [22] showed that for $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A\| + w(\tilde{A}_t)). \tag{2}$$

Davidson and Power [7] proved that if A and B are positive operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, then

$$\|A + B\| \leq \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|\} + \|AB\|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3}$$

Inequality (3) has been generalized in [2, 20] and improved in [18, 19]. In [20], the author extended this inequality to the form

$$\|A + B^*\| \leq \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|\} + \frac{1}{2} (\| |A|^t |B^*|^{1-t} \| + \| |A^*|^{1-t} |B|^t \|), \tag{4}$$

in which $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

In this paper, by applying the generalized Aluthge transform of operators, we establish some inequalities involving the numerical radius. In particular, we extend inequalities (2) and (4) for two non-negative continuous functions. We also show some upper bounds for the numerical radius of 2×2 operator matrices.

3. main results

To prove our numerical radius inequalities, we need several known lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. [1, Theorem 2.2] Let $X, Y, S, T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then

$$r(XY + ST) \leq \frac{1}{2} (w(YX) + w(TS)) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(w(YX) - w(TS))^2 + 4\|YS\|\|TX\|}.$$

Lemma 3.2. [16, 22] Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then

$$(a) \quad w(A) = \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\theta} A \right) \right\|.$$

$$(b) \quad w \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \|A\|.$$

Polarization identity: For all $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \|x + i^k y\|^2 i^k.$$

Now, we are ready to present our first result. The following theorem shows a generalization of inequality (2).

Theorem 3.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and f, g be two non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$). Then, for all non-negative and non-decreasing convex function h on $[0, \infty)$, we have

$$h(w(A)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|h(g^2(|A|)) + h(f^2(|A|))\| + \frac{1}{2} h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})).$$

Proof. Let x be any unit vector. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle e^{i\theta} Ax, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \langle e^{i\theta} U|A|x, x \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle e^{i\theta} Ug(|A|)f(|A|x), x \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle e^{i\theta} f(|A|x), g(|A|)U^*x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{i\theta} f(|A|) + g(|A|)U^*)x \right\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{i\theta} f(|A|) - g(|A|)U^*)x \right\|^2 \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(by polarization identity)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{i\theta} f(|A|) + g(|A|)U^*)x \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{i\theta} f(|A|) + g(|A|)U^*) \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{i\theta} f(|A|) + g(|A|)U^*) (e^{-i\theta} f(|A|) + Ug(|A|)) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|) + e^{i\theta} \tilde{A}_{f,g} + e^{-i\theta} (\tilde{A}_{f,g})^* \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)\| + \frac{1}{4} \|e^{i\theta} \tilde{A}_{f,g} + e^{-i\theta} (\tilde{A}_{f,g})^*\| \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)\| + \frac{1}{2} \|\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} \tilde{A}_{f,g})\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)\| + \frac{1}{2} w(\tilde{A}_{f,g}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the supremum over all unit vectors $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and applying Lemma 3.2 in the above inequality produces

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)\| + \frac{1}{2} w(\tilde{A}_{f,g}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(w(A)) &\leq h\left(\frac{1}{4} \|g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)\| + \frac{1}{2} w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})\right) \\
 &= h\left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)}{2} \right\| + \frac{1}{2} w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} h\left(\left\| \frac{g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)}{2} \right\|\right) + \frac{1}{2} h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by the convexity of } h) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| h\left(\frac{g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)}{2}\right) \right\| + \frac{1}{2} h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| h(g^2(|A|)) + h(f^2(|A|)) \right\| + \frac{1}{2} h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by the convexity of } h).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3 includes some special cases as follows.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then, for all non-negative and non-decreasing convex function h on $[0, \infty)$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$h(w(A)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| h(|A|^{2t}) + h(|A|^{2(1-t)}) \right\| + \frac{1}{2} h(w(\tilde{A}_t)). \tag{5}$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s \geq 1$, we have*

$$w^s(A) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| |A|^{2ts} + |A|^{2(1-t)s} \right\| + \frac{1}{2} w^s(\tilde{A}_t).$$

In particular,

$$w^s(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\|^s + w^s(\tilde{A}) \right).$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from inequality (5) for the function $h(x) = x^s$ ($s \geq 1$). For the particular case, it is enough to put $t = \frac{1}{2}$. □

Theorem 3.3 gives the next result for the off-diagonal operator matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, f, g be two non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$) and $s \geq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^s\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{4} \max\left(\|g^{2s}(|A|) + f^{2s}(|A|)\|, \|g^{2s}(|B|) + f^{2s}(|B|)\|\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\|^s + \|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|^s \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $A = U|A|$ and $B = V|B|$ be the polar decompositions of A and B , respectively, and let $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

It follows from the polar decomposition of $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} |B| & 0 \\ 0 & |A| \end{bmatrix}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{f,g} &= f(|T|) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{bmatrix} g(|T|) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} f(|B|) & 0 \\ 0 & f(|A|) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U \\ V & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g(|B|) & 0 \\ 0 & g(|A|) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|B|)Ug(|A|) \\ f(|A|)Vg(|B|) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $|A^*|^2 = AA^* = U|A|^2U^*$ and $|B^*|^2 = BB^* = V|B|^2V^*$ we have $g(|A|) = U^*g(|A^*|)U$ and $g(|B|) = V^*g(|B^*|)V$ for every non-negative continuous function g on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(\tilde{T}_{f,g}) &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|B|)Ug(|A|) \\ f(|A|)Vg(|B|) & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &\leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|B|)Ug(|A|) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f(|A|)Vg(|B|) & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|B|)Ug(|A|) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + w\left(W^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|A|)Vg(|B|) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} W\right) \\ &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|B|)Ug(|A|) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(|A|)Vg(|B|) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|f(|B|)Ug(|A|)\| + \frac{1}{2}\|f(|A|)Vg(|B|)\| \\ &\quad \text{(by Lemma 3.2(b))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|f(|B|)UU^*g(|A^*|)U\| + \frac{1}{2}\|f(|A|)VV^*g(|B^*|)V\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\| + \frac{1}{2}\|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is unitary. Applying Theorem 3.3 and inequality (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^s(T) &\leq \frac{1}{4}\|g^{2s}(|T|) + f^{2s}(|T|)\| + \frac{1}{2}(w^s(\tilde{T}_{f,g})) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\max(\|g^{2s}(|A|) + f^{2s}(|A|)\|, \|g^{2s}(|B|) + f^{2s}(|B|)\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\| + \|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|)\right]^s \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\max(\|g^{2s}(|A|) + f^{2s}(|A|)\|, \|g^{2s}(|B|) + f^{2s}(|B|)\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\|^s + \frac{1}{4}\|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|^s \\ &\quad \text{(by the convexity } h(x) = x^s\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.7. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s \geq 1$, we have

$$w^{\frac{s}{2}}(AB) \leq \frac{1}{4} \max(\| |A|^{2ts} + |A|^{2(1-t)s} \|, \| |B|^{2ts} + |B|^{2(1-t)s} \|) + \frac{1}{4} (\| |A|^t |B^*|^{1-t} \|^s + \| |B|^t |A^*|^{1-t} \|^s).$$

Proof. Applying the power inequality of the numerical radius ($w(A^n) \leq w^n(A)$ [19]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^{\frac{s}{2}}(AB) &\leq \max(w^{\frac{s}{2}}(AB), w^{\frac{s}{2}}(BA)) \\ &= w^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} AB & 0 \\ 0 & BA \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= w^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \right) \\ &\leq w^s \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \max(\| |A|^{2ts} + |A|^{2(1-t)s} \|, \| |B|^{2ts} + |B|^{2(1-t)s} \|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (\| |A|^t |B^*|^{1-t} \|^s + \| |B|^t |A^*|^{1-t} \|^s) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad (\text{by Theorem 3.6}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.8. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ be positive operators. Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s \geq 1$, we have

$$\| |A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^s \leq \frac{1}{4} \max(\| |A|^{ts} + |A|^{(1-t)s} \|, \| |B|^{ts} + |B|^{(1-t)s} \|) + \frac{1}{4} (\| |A|^t |B|^{1-t} \|^s + \| |B|^t |A|^{1-t} \|^s).$$

Proof. Since the spectral radius of any operator is dominated by its numerical radius, then $r^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \leq w^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB)$. Applying a commutativity property of the spectral radius, we get

$$\begin{aligned} r^{\frac{s}{2}}(AB) &= r^{\frac{s}{2}}(A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= r^{\frac{s}{2}}(A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= r^{\frac{s}{2}}(A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}})^*) \\ &= \left\| |A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \right\|^{\frac{s}{2}} \\ &= \left\| |A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^s. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now, the result follows from Corollary 3.7. □

An important special case of Theorem 3.6, which generalizes inequality (4) can be stated as follows.

Corollary 3.9. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and $s \geq 1$. Then

$$\| |A + B| \|^s \leq \frac{1}{2^{2-s}} \max(\| |A|^{2ts} + |A|^{2(1-t)s} \|, \| |B^*|^{2ts} + |B^*|^{2(1-t)s} \|) + \frac{1}{2^{2-s}} (\| |A|^t |B|^{1-t} \|^s + \| |B^*|^t |A^*|^{1-t} \|^s).$$

In particular, if A and B are normal, then

$$\|A + B\|^s \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-s}} \max(\|A\|^s, \|B\|^s) + \frac{1}{2^{1-s}} \|AB\|^{\frac{s}{2}}.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A + B^*\|^s &= \|T + T^*\|^s \\ &\leq 2^s \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T) \right\|^s \\ &= 2^s w^s(T) \\ &\leq \frac{2^s}{4} \max(\| |A|^{2ts} + |A|^{2(1-t)s} \|, \| |B|^{2ts} + |B|^{2(1-t)s} \|) \\ &\quad + \frac{2^s}{4} (\| |A|^t |B^*|^{1-t} \|^s + \| |B|^t |A^*|^{1-t} \|^s) \\ &\hspace{10em} \text{(by Theorem 3.6),} \end{aligned}$$

where $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $f(x) = x^t$, and $g(x) = x^{1-t}$. Now, the desired result follows by replacing B by B^* . For the particular case $t = \frac{1}{2}$. If A and B are normal, then $|B^*| = |B|$ and $|A^*| = |A|$. Applying equality (7) for the operators $|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^s &= r^{\frac{s}{2}} (|A| |B|) \\ &\leq \| |A| |B| \|^{\frac{s}{2}} \\ &= \| U^* A B^* V \|^{\frac{s}{2}} \\ &= \| A B^* \|^{\frac{s}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = U|A|$ and $B = V|B|$ are the polar decompositions of the operators A and B . This completes the proof of the corollary. \square

In the next result, we show another generalization of inequality (2).

Theorem 3.10. Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and f, g, h be non-negative and non-decreasing continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$) and h is convex. Then

$$h(w(A)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) + \|h(|A|)\| \right).$$

Proof. Let $A = U|A|$ be the polar decomposition of A . Then for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} A)\| &= r(\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} A)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r(e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} A^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r(e^{i\theta} U|A| + e^{-i\theta} |A|U^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r(e^{i\theta} U g(|A|) f(|A|) + e^{-i\theta} f(|A|) g(|A|) U^*). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now, if we put $X = e^{i\theta}Ug(|A|)$, $Y = f(|A|)$, $S = e^{-i\theta}f(|A|)$ and $T = g(|A|)U^*$ in Lemma 3.1, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & r(e^{i\theta}Ug(|A|)f(|A|) + e^{-i\theta}f(|A|)g(|A|)U^*) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2}(w(f(|A|)Ug(|A|)) + w(g(|A|)U^*f(|A|))) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\|e^{-i\theta}f(|A|)g(|A|)\| \|g(|A|)U^*e^{i\theta}Uf(|A|)\|} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(by Lemma 3.1)} \\
 & \leq w(f(|A|)Ug(|A|)) + \sqrt{\|f(|A|)\| \|f(|A|)\| \|g(|A|)\| \|g(|A|)\|} \\
 & = w(f(|A|)Ug(|A|)) + \sqrt{f(\|A\|)g(\|A\|)g(\|A\|)f(\|A\|)} \\
 & = w(f(|A|)Ug(|A|)) + \sqrt{\|A\|\|A\|} \\
 & = w(\tilde{A}_{f,g}) + \|A\|. \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since $w(X) = w(X^*)$ ($X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$), in the first inequality we have

$$w(YX) - w(TS) = w(f(|A|)Ug(|A|)) - w(g(|A|)U^*f(|A|)) = 0.$$

Using inequalities (8), (9) and Lemma 3.2 we get

$$w(A) = \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}A) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g}) + \|A\|).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(w(A)) & \leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}[w(\tilde{A}_{f,g}) + \|A\|]\right) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(by the monotonicity of } h) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2}h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) + \frac{1}{2}h(\|A\|) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(by the convexity of } h) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2}h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) + \frac{1}{2}\|h(|A|)\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Remark 3.11. We can obtain Theorem 3.3 from Theorem 3.10, but we keep the proof for the readers. To see this, first note that by the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(|A|) & = h(g(|A|)f(|A|)) \\
 & \leq h\left(\frac{g^2(|A|) + f^2(|A|)}{2}\right) \quad \text{(by the arithmetic-geometric inequality)} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2}(h(g^2(|A|)) + h(f^2(|A|))) \quad \text{(by the convexity of } h). \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Theorem 3.10 and inequality (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(w(A)) & \leq \frac{1}{2}[h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) + \|h(|A|)\|] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2}[h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) + \frac{1}{2}\|h(g^2(|A|)) + h(f^2(|A|))\|] \\
 & = \frac{1}{2}h(w(\tilde{A}_{f,g})) + \frac{1}{4}\|h(g^2(|A|)) + h(f^2(|A|))\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.12. For the special case $f(x) = x^t$ and $g(x) = x^{1-t}$ ($t \in [0, 1]$), we obtain the inequality (2)

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} (w(\tilde{A}_t) + \|A\|),$$

where $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$.

Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Using Theorem 3.10, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.13. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and f, g be two non-negative and non-decreasing continuous functions such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$). Then

$$2w^s \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \max\{\|A\|^s, \|B\|^s\} + \frac{1}{2} (\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\|^s + \|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|^s),$$

where $s \geq 1$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.10 and inequality (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2w^s \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^s + w^s(\tilde{T}_{f,g}) \\ &= \max\{\|A\|^s, \|B\|^s\} + \left(\frac{1}{2} [\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\| + \|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|] \right)^s \\ &\leq \max\{\|A\|^s, \|B\|^s\} + \frac{1}{2} (\|f(|B|)g(|A^*|)\|^s + \|f(|A|)g(|B^*|)\|^s) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Using similar arguments to the proof of Corollary 3.9, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.14. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ and f, g be two non-negative and non-decreasing continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that $f(x)g(x) = x$ ($x \geq 0$). Then

$$\|A + B\| \leq \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|\} + \frac{1}{2} (\|f(|B|)g(|A|)\| + \|f(|A^*|)g(|B^*|)\|).$$

Acknowledgement. The second author would like to thank the Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG).

References

- [1] A. Abu-Omar, F. Kittaneh, A numerical radius inequality involving the generalized Aluthge transform, *Studia Math.* 216 (2013), 69–75.
- [2] A. Abu-Omar, F. Kittaneh, Generalized spectral radius and norm inequalities for Hilbert space operators, *International Journal of Mathematics* Vol. 26, No. 11 (2015) 1550097 (9 pages).
- [3] O. Axelsson, H. Lu, B. Polman, On the numerical radius of matrices and its application to iterative solution methods, *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 37 (1994), 225–238.
- [4] M. Bakherad, Kh. Shebrawi, Upper bounds for numerical radius inequalities involving off-diagonal operator matrices, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 9 (2018), no. 3, 297–309.
- [5] M. Bakherad, F. Kittaneh, Numerical Radius Inequalities Involving Commutators of G_1 Operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-017-0726-9>.
- [6] M. Cho, K. Tanahashi, Spectral relations for Aluthge transform, *Sci. Math. Jpn.* 55 (2002), 77–83.
- [7] K. Davidson, S.C. Power, Best approximation in C^* -algebras, *J. Reine, Angew. Math.* 368 (1986) 43–62.
- [8] K.E. Gustafson, D.K.M. Rao, Numerical Range, The Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [9] P.R. Halmos, A Hilbert Space Problem Book, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1982.
- [10] M. Hajmohamadi, R. Lashkaripour, M. Bakherad, Some generalizations of numerical radius on off-diagonal part of 2×2 operator matrices, *J. Math. Inequal.* 12 (2018), no. 2, 447–457.
- [11] M. Hajmohamadi, R. Lashkaripour, M. Bakherad, Further refinements of generalized numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators, *Georgian Math. J.* (to appear).

- [12] M. Hajmohamadi, R. Lashkaripour, M. Bakherad, Some extensions of the Young and Heinz inequalities for Matrices, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* 44 (2018), no. 4, 977–990.
- [13] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, K. Shebrawi, Numerical radius inequalities for commutators of Hilbert space operators, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 32 (2011) 739–749.
- [14] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, K. Shebrawi, Numerical radius inequalities for certain 2×2 , operator matrices, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 71 (2011) 129–147.
- [15] F. Kittaneh, Norm inequalities for certain operator sums, *J. Funct. Anal.* 143 (1997), 337–348.
- [16] F. Kittaneh, A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix, *Studia Math.* 158 (2003), 11–17.
- [17] F. Kittaneh, Norm inequalities for sums of positive operators, *J. Operator Theory* 48 (2002), 95–103.
- [18] K. Okubo, On weakly unitarily invariant norm and the Aluthge transformation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 371 (2003) 369–375.
- [19] C. Pearcy, An elementary proof of the power inequality for the numerical radius, *Michigan Math. J.* 13 1966 289–291.
- [20] K. Shebrawi, Numerical radius inequalities for certain 2×2 operator matrices II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 523 (2017), 1–12.
- [21] K. Shebrawi, H. Albadawi, Numerical radius and operator norm inequalities, *J. Math. Inequal.* (2009) Article ID 492154, 11 pages.
- [22] T. Yamazaki, On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition, *Studia Math.* 178 (2007), 83–89.
- [23] A. Zamani, Some lower bounds for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators, *Adv. Oper. Theory* 2 (2017), no. 2, 98–107.
- [24] X. Zhou, J. Fang, Sh. Wen, Shilin. A note on the C -numerical radius and the λ -Aluthge transform in finite factors, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* (2018), doi:10.1215/20088752-2017-0061.