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#### Abstract

A Hilbert space operator $T$ is said to be a 2-isometric operator if $T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I=0$. Let $d_{A B} \in$ $B(B(H))$ denote either the generalized derivation $\delta_{A B}=L_{A}-R_{B}$ or the elementary operator $\Delta_{A B}=L_{A} R_{B}-I$, we show that if $A$ and $B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then, for all complex $\lambda,\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \subseteq\left(d_{A B}^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right)^{-1}(0)$, the ascent of $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \leq 1$, and $d_{A B}$ is polaroid. Let $H\left(\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)\right)$ denote the space of functions which are analytic on $\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$, and let $H_{c}\left(\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)\right)$ denote the space of $f \in H\left(\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)\right)$ which are non-constant on every connected component of $\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$, it is proved that if $A$ and $B^{*}$ are 2-isometric operators, then $f\left(d_{A B}\right)$ satisfies the generalized Weyl's theorem and $f\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$ satisfies the generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem.


## 1. Introduction

Let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H. In [3] Agler obtained certain disconjugacy and Sturm-Lioville results for a subclass of the Toeplitz operators. These results were suggested by the study of operators $T \in B(H)$ which satisfy the equation,

$$
T^{* 2} T^{2}-2 T^{*} T+I=0
$$

Such $T$ are natural generalizations of isometric operators ( $T^{*} T=I$ ) and are called 2-isometric operators. It is known that an isometric operator is a 2 -isometric operator. 2-isometric operators have been studied by many authors and they have many interesting properties (see [4,5,9,11,18]), for example, if $T \in B(H)$ is a 2-isometric operator, then $\sigma_{p}(T)$ for the point spectrum of $T$ is a subset of the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ (in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ ), $\sigma(T)$ is the closure $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ of $\mathbb{D}$ whenever $T$ is not invertible, $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$ whenever $T$ is invertible, and $T$ is injective and has closed range.

For operators $A, B \in B(H)$, let $d_{A B} \in B(B(H))$ denote either the generalized derivation $\delta_{A B}=L_{A}-R_{B}$ or the elementary operator $\Delta_{A B}=L_{A} R_{B}-I$, where $L_{A}$ and $R_{B}$ are the left and right multiplication operators defined on $B(B(H))$ by $L_{A}(X)=A X$ and $R_{B}(X)=X B$ respectively. The following implications hold for a general bounded linear operator $T$ on a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, in particular for $T=d_{A B}$ :

$$
d_{A B}^{-1}(0) \perp R\left(d_{A B}\right) \Longrightarrow d_{A B}^{-1}(0) \cap R\left(d_{A B}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{asc}\left(d_{A B}\right) \leq 1,
$$

[^0]where $\operatorname{asc}\left(d_{A B}\right)$ denotes the ascent of $d_{A B}, R\left(d_{A B}\right)$ denotes the range of $d_{A B}$ and $d_{A B}^{-1}(0) \perp R\left(d_{A B}\right)$ denotes that the kernel of $d_{A B}$ is orthogonal to the range of $d_{A B}$ in the sense of $G$. Birkhoff. The range-kernel orthogonality of $d_{A B}$ has been considered by a number of authors. A sufficient condition guaranteeing $d_{A B}^{-1}(0) \perp R\left(d_{A B}\right)$ is that $d_{A B}^{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{A B}^{*-1}(0)$ [12]. The class of operators $A, B^{*} \in B(H)$ such that $d_{A B}^{-1}(0) \subseteq d_{A B}^{*-1}(0)$ is large, and includes in particular the class of hyponormal $A$ and $B^{*}$ [13]. If $A, B^{*} \in B(H)$ are hyponormal, then, for all complex $\lambda$, $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \subseteq\left(d_{A B}^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right)^{-1}(0)$ and the ascent of $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \leq 1$ [11].

In this paper it is shown that if $A$ and $B^{*}$ are 2-isometric operators, then, for all complex $\lambda,\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \subseteq$ $\left(d_{A B}^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right)^{-1}(0)$ and $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \perp R\left(d_{A B}\right)$. Furthermore, if $\lambda$ is isolated in the spectrum of $d_{A B}, \lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$, then the quasi-nilpotent part $H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)$ of $d_{A B}-\lambda$ coincides with $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0)$; consequently, $\lambda$ is a simple pole of the resolvent of $d_{A B}$. As the application of these properties, it is proved that if $A$ and $B^{*}$ are 2-isometric operators, then $f\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$ satisfies the generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem.

## 2. Some Results

Before stating main theorems, we need several preliminary results. Now we recall some definitions
Definition 2.1. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have Bishop's property $(\beta)$ if for every open subset $G$ of $\mathbb{C}$ and every sequence $f_{n}: G \rightarrow H$ of $H$-valued analytic functions such that $(T-z) f_{n}(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of $G, f_{n}(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of $G$.

Definition 2.2. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be polaroid if every isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ is a pole of the resolvent of $T$.

Lemma 2.3. [10] Let $T$ be a 2-isometric operator. Then $T$ is polaroid.
Lemma 2.4. Let $T$ be a 2-isometric operator, $\lambda \in \sigma_{p}(T)$ and

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda & T_{12} \\
0 & T_{22}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=(T-\lambda)^{-1}(0) \oplus(T-\lambda)^{-1}(0)^{\perp}
$$

Then $T_{12}=0$ and $T_{22}$ is also a 2-isometric operator.
Proof. Let

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda & T_{12} \\
0 & T_{22}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=(T-\lambda)^{-1}(0) \oplus(T-\lambda)^{-1}(0)^{\perp}
$$

Since $T$ is a 2 -isometric operator, by [9, Theorem 5] $T^{*} T-I \geq 0$. Then

$$
T^{*} T-I=\left(\begin{array}{lc}
0 & \bar{\lambda} T_{12} \\
\lambda T_{12}^{*} & T_{12}^{*} T_{12}+T_{22}^{*} T_{22}-I
\end{array}\right) \geq 0
$$

Recall that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}X & Y \\ Y^{*} & Z\end{array}\right) \geq 0$ if and only if $X \geq 0, Z \geq 0$ and $Y=X^{\frac{1}{2}} W Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for some contration $W$. So we have $T_{12}=0$, and $T_{22}$ is a 2-isometric operator.

Corollary 2.5. Let $T$ be a 2-isometric operator. Then $T x=\lambda x$ implies $T^{*} x=\bar{\lambda} x$, where $\bar{\lambda}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\lambda$.

Proof. It is obvious from Lemma 2.4.
Lemma 2.6. If $T$ is a 2 -isometric operator, then it has Bishop's property $(\beta)$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a 2-isometric operator and choose a positive number $\sigma$ with $\left\|T^{*} T-I\right\| \leq \sigma$. By [5, Proposition 5.12 and Theorem 5.80], $T$ has a Brownian unitary extension $B$ of the form

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
V & \sigma E \\
0 & U
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $V$ is an isometry operator, $U$ is unitary, and $E$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism onto $N\left(V^{*}\right)$. Let $f(z)$ be analytic on $D$. Let $(B-z) f(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subsets of $D$. Then we can write

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
V-z & \sigma E \\
0 & U-z
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{1}(z)}{f_{2}(z)}=\binom{(V-z) f_{1}(z)+\sigma E f_{2}(z)}{(U-z) f_{2}(z)} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $V$ and $U$ have Bishop's property $(\beta), B$ has Bishop's property $(\beta) . T$ is the restriction of $B$ to an invariant subspace, hence $T$ has Bishop's property $(\beta)$.

Lemma 2.7. [17] If $A, B^{*}$ are reduced by each of its eigenspaces, polaroid and have Bishop's property ( $\beta$ ), then $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \subseteq\left(d_{A B}^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right)^{-1}(0)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.8. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \subseteq\left(d_{A B}^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right)^{-1}(0)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
Proof. We can derive the result from Lemma 2.3, Corollary 2.5, Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7.
Lemma 2.9. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $\operatorname{asc}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \leq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
Proof. It is obvious from Theorem 2.8.
Theorem 2.10. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)=\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0)$ for all $\lambda \in$ iso $\left(d_{A B}\right)$.
Proof. Evidently, $A$ and $B^{*}$ are reduced by each of its eigenspaces; $\sigma_{p}(A) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}, \sigma_{p}\left(B^{*}\right) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$; eigenvectors of 2-isometric operators corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. Recall [1] that $\sigma\left(\delta_{A B}\right)=\{\lambda \in$ $\sigma(A)-\sigma(B)\}$ and $\sigma\left(\Delta_{A B}\right)=\{\lambda \in \sigma(A) \sigma(B)-1\}$. If $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$, then there exist finite sequences $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ and $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ of isolated points in $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$, respectively, such that $\lambda=\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}$ if $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma\left(\delta_{A B}\right)$ and $\lambda=\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}-1$ if $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma\left(\Delta_{A B}\right)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let

$$
M_{1}=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} M_{1 i}, M_{1 i}=\left(A-\alpha_{i}\right)^{-1}(0) \text { and } M_{2}=H \ominus M_{1}
$$

and

$$
N_{1}=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} N_{1 i}, N_{1 i}=\left(B-\beta_{i}\right)^{*-1}(0) \text { and } N_{2}=H \ominus N_{1}
$$

Then $A$ and $B$ have the representations

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=M_{1} \oplus M_{2}
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & 0 \\
0 & B_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=N_{1} \oplus N_{2}
$$

Since the spectrum of $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ don't contain isolated points, then $\lambda \notin \sigma\left(d_{A_{k} B_{t}}\right)$ for all $1 \leq k, t \leq 2$ other than $k=t=1$.

Let $X \in H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)$, and let $X \in B\left(N_{1} \oplus N_{2}, M_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right)$ have the representation $X=\left[X_{k l}\right]_{k, l=1}^{2}$. Then

$$
\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{n} X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & * \\
* & \left(d_{A_{2} B_{2}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(for some, as yet, non specified entries *). Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{n} X\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(d_{A_{2} B_{2}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{22}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$, and since $d_{A_{2} B_{2}}-\lambda$ is invertible, we have $X_{22}=0$, and then

$$
\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{n} X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & \left(d_{A_{1} B_{2}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{12} \\
\left(d_{A_{2} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{21} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(for some, as yet, non specified entries *). Again, since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{n} X\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(d_{A_{1} B_{2}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{12}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(d_{A_{2} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{21}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$, and since $d_{A_{1} B_{2}}-\lambda$ and $d_{A_{2} B_{1}}^{n \rightarrow \infty}-\lambda$ are invertible, we have $X_{12}=0=X_{21}$. Hence, $\stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{n} X=\left(d_{A_{1} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{11} . \text { Let } X_{11}=\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \in B\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{m} N_{1 i}, \oplus_{i=1}^{m} M_{1 i}\right) \text {. Then, for } 1 \leq i, j \leq m, ~, ~, ~}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta_{A_{1} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n}\left(X_{11}\right) & =\left(\left(L_{A_{1}-\alpha_{i}}-R_{B_{1}-\beta_{j}}\right)+\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}-\lambda\right)\right)^{n}\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \\
& =\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(L_{A_{1}-\alpha_{i}}-R_{B_{1}-\beta_{j}}\right)^{k}\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}-\lambda\right)^{n-k}\right)\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta_{A_{1} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n}\left(X_{11}\right) & =\left(L_{A_{1}-\alpha_{i}} R_{B_{1}}+\alpha_{i} R_{B_{1}-\beta_{j}}+\alpha_{i} \beta_{j}-1-\lambda\right)^{n}\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \\
& =\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(L_{A_{1}-\alpha_{i}} R_{B_{1}}+\alpha_{i} R_{B_{1}-\beta_{j}}\right)^{k}\left(\alpha_{i} \beta_{j}-1-\lambda\right)^{n-k}\right)\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(A_{1}-\alpha_{i}\right)\left|M_{1 i}=0=\left(B_{1}-\beta_{i}\right)\right| N_{1 i}$, it follows that

$$
\left(\delta_{A_{1} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n}\left(X_{11}\right)=\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}-\lambda\right)^{n}\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}
$$

and

$$
\left(\Delta_{A_{1} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n}\left(X_{11}\right)=\left(\alpha_{i} \beta_{j}-1-\lambda\right)^{n}\left[Y_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} .
$$

Recall, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(d_{A_{1} B_{1}}-\lambda\right)^{n} X_{11}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$; hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mid \alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}-\lambda\| \| Y_{i j} \|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$ in the case in which $d=\delta$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mid \alpha_{i} \beta_{j}-1-\lambda\| \| Y_{i j} \|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$ in the case in which $d=\Delta$. Thus $Y_{i j}=0$ for all $i, j$ such that $i \neq j$. This implies that $X=X_{11}=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i i} \in\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0)$. Hence $H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \subset\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0)$. Since the reverse inclusion holds for every operator, we must have $H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)=\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0)$.

## 3. Weyl's Theorem

An operator $T$ is called Fredholm if $R(T)$ is closed, $\alpha(T)=\operatorname{dim} T^{-1}(0)<\infty$ and $\beta(T)=\operatorname{dim} H / R(T)<\infty$. Moreover if $i(T)=\alpha(T)-\beta(T)=0$, then $T$ is called Weyl. The Weyl spectrum of $T$ [15] is defined by $w(T):=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda$ is not Weyl $\}$.

We consider the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{+}(H):=\{T \in B(H): R(T) \text { is closed and } \alpha(T)<\infty\} ; \\
& \Phi_{+}^{-}(H):=\left\{T \in B(H): T \in \Phi_{+}(H) \text { and } i(T) \leq 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{e a}(T):=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \notin \Phi_{+}^{-}(H)\right\} ; \\
& \pi_{00}(T):=\{\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma(T): 0<\alpha(T-\lambda)<\infty\} ; \\
& \pi_{00}^{a}(T):=\left\{\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma_{a}(T): 0<\alpha(T-\lambda)<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following [16], we say that Weyl's theorem holds for $T$ if $\sigma(T) \backslash w(T)=\pi_{00}(T)$, and that $a$-Weyl's theorem holds for $T$ if $\sigma_{a}(T) \backslash \sigma_{e a}(T)=\pi_{00}^{a}(T)$, where $\sigma_{a}(T)$ is the approximate point spectrum of $T$.

More generally, Berkani investigated $B$-Fredholm theory and generalized Weyl's theorem as follows (see [6-8]). An operator $T$ is called $B$-Fredholm if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R\left(T^{n}\right)$ is closed and the induced operator $T_{[n]}: R\left(T^{n}\right) \ni x \rightarrow T x \in R\left(T^{n}\right)$ is Fredholm, i.e., $R\left(T_{[n]}\right)=R\left(T^{n+1}\right)$ is closed, $\alpha\left(T_{[n]}\right)=\operatorname{dim} T_{[n]}^{-1}(0)<\infty$ and $\beta\left(T_{[n]}\right)=\operatorname{dim} R\left(T^{n}\right) / R\left(T_{[n]}\right)<\infty$. Similarly, a B-Fredholm operator $T$ is called $B$-Weyl if $i\left(T_{[n]}\right)=0$. The $B$-Weyl spectrum $\sigma_{B W}(T)$ is defined by $\sigma_{B W}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda$ is not $B$-Weyl $\}$. We say that generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $T$ if $\sigma(T) \backslash \sigma_{B W}(T)=E(T)$ where $E(T):=\{\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma(T): 0<\alpha(T-\lambda)\}$. Note that, if generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $T$, then so does Weyl's theorem [7].

We define $T \in S B F_{+}^{-}(H)$ if there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $R\left(T^{n}\right)$ is closed, $T_{[n]}: R\left(T^{n}\right) \ni x \rightarrow$ $T x \in R\left(T^{n}\right)$ is upper semi-Fredholm (i.e., $R\left(T_{[n]}\right)=R\left(T^{n+1}\right)$ is closed, $\left.\operatorname{dim} T_{[n]}^{-1}(0)=\operatorname{dim} T^{-1}(0) \cap R\left(T^{n}\right)<\infty\right)$ and $i\left(T_{[n]}\right) \leq 0$ [8]. We define $\sigma_{S B F_{+}^{-}}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \notin S B F_{+}^{-}(H)\right\}$. We say that generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem holds for $T$ if $\sigma_{a}(T) \backslash \sigma_{S B F_{+}^{-}}(T)=E^{a}(T)$, where $E^{a}(T):=\left\{\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma_{a}(T): 0<\alpha(T-\lambda)\right\}$. It's known from [7, 19] that if $T \in B(H)$ then we have
generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem $\Rightarrow a$-Weyl's theorem $\Rightarrow$ Weyl's theorem; generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem $\Rightarrow$ generalized Weyl's theorem $\Rightarrow$ Weyl's theorem.

We know that Weyl's theorem holds for 2-isometric operators [18]. In this paper, we prove generalized Weyl's theorem for the elementary and the generalized derivation with 2-isometric operators as entries.

Recall that $T \in B(H)$ has the single valued extension property at $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ (SVEP at $\lambda_{0}$ for short), if for every open neighborhood $G$ of $\lambda_{0}$, the only analytic function $f: G \rightarrow H$ which satisfies the equation $(\lambda I-T) f(\lambda)=0$ for all $\lambda \in G$ is the function $f \equiv 0$. An operator $T$ is said to have SVEP if $T$ has SVEP at every point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $d_{A B}$ has SVEP.
Proof. We can derive the result from Lemma 2.9.
For an operator $T \in B(H)$, the analytic core $K(T-\lambda)$ of $T-\lambda$ is defined by $K(T-\lambda)=\{x \in H:$ there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq H$ and $c>0$ for which $x=x_{0}(T-\lambda) x_{n+1}=x_{n}$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq c^{n}\|x\|$ for all $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. We note that $H_{0}(T-\lambda)$ and $K(T-\lambda)$ are generally non-closed hyperinvariant subspaces of $T-\lambda$ such that $N(T-\lambda)^{n} \subseteq H_{0}(T-\lambda)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(T-\lambda) K(T-\lambda)=K(T-\lambda)$; also, if $\lambda \in$ iso $\sigma(T)$, then $H=H_{0}(T-\lambda)+K(T-\lambda)$, where $H_{0}(T-\lambda)$ and $K(T-\lambda)$ are closed.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $d_{A B}$ is polaroid.
Proof. Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso} \sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)=\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0)$. By [2, Theorem 3.76] we have $H=H_{0}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \dot{+} K\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)$. Thus $d_{A B}$ is simply polaroid follows from the implications

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \dot{+} K\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) H=0 \dot{+}\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) K\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)=K\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & H=\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)^{-1}(0) \dot{+} R\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.3. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $d_{A B}$ is isoloid and $R\left(d_{A B}-\lambda\right)$ is closed for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{iso\sigma }\left(d_{A B}\right)$,

Theorem 3.4. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $d_{A B}$.
Proof. Since $d_{A B}$ has SVEP, $d_{A B}$ satisfies generalized Browder's theorem and generalized $a$-Browder's theorem. A sufficient condition for an operator $d_{A B}$ satisfying generalized Browder's theorem to satisfy generalized Weyl's theorem is that $d_{A B}$ is polaroid. By Lemma 3.2 generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $d_{A B}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then generalized a-Weyl's theorem holds for $d_{A B}^{*}$.

Proof. Since $d_{A B}$ has SVEP and $d_{A B}$ is polaroid, by [1, Theorem 3.10] generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem holds for $d_{A B}^{*}$.

In the following, let $H\left(\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)\right)$ denote the space of functions which are analytic on $\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$, and let $H_{c}\left(\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)\right)$ denote the space of $f \in H\left(\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)\right)$ which are non-constant on every connected component of $\sigma\left(d_{A B}\right)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $f\left(d_{A B}\right)$.
Proof. Since $d_{A B}$ has SVEP and $d_{A B}$ is isoloid, we have that generalized Weyl's theorem holds for $f\left(d_{A B}\right)$ by [20, Theorem 2.2] and Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.7. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then Weyl's theorem holds for $f\left(d_{A B}\right)$.
A bounded linear operator $T \in B(H)$ is called $a$-isoloid if every isolated point of $\sigma_{a}(T)$ is an eigenvalue of $T$. Note that every $a$-isoloid operator is isoloid and the converse is not true in general.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then $d_{A B}^{*}$ is $a$-isoloid.
Proof. Let $\lambda$ be an isolated point of $\sigma_{a}\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$. Suppose that $A, B^{*}$ are 2-isometric operators. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have that $d_{A B}$ has SVEP and $d_{A B}^{*}$ is isoloid. Hence, $\sigma_{a}\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)=\sigma\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$ by [14, Corollary 7]. We have that $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$. Since $d_{A B}^{*}$ is isoloid, we have that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $d_{A B}^{*}$. Hence, $d_{A B}^{*}$ is $a$-isoloid.

Theorem 3.9. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2-isometric operators, then generalized a-Weyl's theorem holds for $f\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators. Then $d_{A B}$ has SVEP and $d_{A B}^{*}$ is $a$-isoloid by Lemma 3.8, we have that generalized $a$-Weyl's theorem holds for $f\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$ by [20, Theorem 2.4] and Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.10. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A, B^{*}$ are 2 -isometric operators, then a-Weyl's theorem holds for $f\left(d_{A B}^{*}\right)$.
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