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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study some properties of pseudo-valuations and their induced quasi metrics. The continuity of operation of a BCK-algebra was studied with topology induced by a pseudo-valuation. Moreover, we show that product of finite number of this pseudo metric spaces is a pseudo metric space. Also, we prove that if a BCK-algebra $X$ has a pseudo-valuation, then every quotient space of $X$ has a pseudo metric. The completion of this spaces has been investigated in the present study.


## 1. Introduction

A BCK-algebra is one of important of logical algebras introduced by Y. Imai and K. Iseki in 1966 [8]. This notation is originated from two different ways: one of them is based on set theory, the other is from classical and non-classical propositional calculi. The BCK-operator $*$ is an analogue of the set theoretical difference. As is well known, there is a close relation between the notions of the set difference in set theory and the implication functor in logical systems. Busneag in [2] defined a pesudo-valuation on a Hilbert algebra, and proved that every pseudo-valuation induces a pseudo-metric on a Hilbert algebra. Doh and Kang [3] by using the model of Hilbert algebra introduced the notion of pseudo-valuation on a BCK/BCI-algebra and provided several theorems of pseudo-valuations. In this paper, in section 3, we study some properties of pseudo-valuations on BCK-algebrs and completion $\left(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\right)$ of pseudo metric space $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$. In section 4 , we introduced some pseudo-valuations on quotient BCK-algebra $X / I_{\varphi}$ and study the induced pseudo metric by this pseudo-valuations. Moreover, we show that for each pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$ there is an ideal $J$ different with $I_{\varphi}$ such that $X / J$ is pseudo metrizable.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. BCK-algebras

An algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is called a BCK-algebra if it satisfies the following axioms: for any $x, y, z \in X$,
(1) $((x * y) *(x * z)) *(z * y)=0$,
(2) $(x *(x * y)) * y=0$,

[^0](3) $x * x=0$,
(4) $x * y=y * x=0 \Rightarrow x=y$,
(5) $0 * x=0$.[See,[4]]

In BCK-algebra $X$ if we define $\leqslant$ by $x \leqslant y$ if and only if $x * y=0$, then $\leqslant$ is a partial order and the following conclusions hold:
(6) $(x * y) *(x * z) \leqslant(z * y)$ and $(y * x) *(z * x) \leqslant(y * z)$,
(7) $x *(x *(x * y))=x * y$,
(8) $(x * y) * z=(x * z) * y$,
(9) $x * 0=x$,
(10) $x * y \leqslant x$,
(11) $x \leqslant y$ implies $x * z \leqslant y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$,
(12) $(x * y) * z \leq x * z \leq x *(z * u)$.

Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a BCK-algebra and $x \wedge y=y *(y * x)$. Then $X$ is called commutative BCK-algebra if $x \wedge y=y \wedge x$. If $X$ is commutative BCK-algebra, then $\inf \{x, y\}=x \wedge y$.

If there is an element 1 of a BCK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ such that $x \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$, then $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be bounded BCK-algebra. [See, [4]]

Definition 2.1. [4] Let $X$ be a BCK-algebra. An ideal is a nonempty set $I \subseteq X$ such that
(a) $0 \in I$,
(b) $x * y \in I, y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$.

Proposition 2.2. [4] Let I be an ideal in a BCK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. Then:
(i) If $x \leqslant y$ and $y \in I$, then $x \in I$.
(ii) the relation

$$
x \equiv^{I} y \Leftrightarrow x * y, y * x \in I
$$

is a congruence relation on $X$, i.e. it is an equivalence relation on $X$ such that for each $a, b, c, d \in X$, if $a \equiv^{I} b$ and $c \equiv^{I} d$, then $a * c \equiv^{I} b * d$,
(iii) if $\frac{x}{I}=\left\{y \in X: x \equiv^{I} y\right\}$ and $\frac{X}{I}=\left\{\frac{x}{I}: x \in X\right\}$, then $\frac{X}{I}$ is a BCK-algebra under the binary operation

$$
\frac{x}{I} * \frac{y}{I}=\frac{x * y}{I} .
$$

In this case $\frac{X}{I}$ is said to be a quotient BCK-algebra,
(iv) the mapping $\pi_{I}: X \hookrightarrow \frac{X}{I}$ by $\pi_{I}(x)=x / I$ is an epimorphism and for each $S \subseteq X$,

$$
\left(\pi_{I}^{-1} \circ \pi_{I}\right)(S)=\bigcup_{x \in S} \frac{x}{I} .
$$

$\pi_{I}$ is also called a canonical epimorphism.

### 2.2. Pseudo-valuations

Definition 2.3. [3] A real-valued function $\varphi$ on a BCK-algebra $X$ is called a weak pseudo-valuation on $X$ if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi(x)+\varphi(y) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.4. [3] A real-valued function $\varphi$ on a BCK-algebra $X$ is called a pseudo-valuation on $X$ if
(i) $\varphi(0)=0$,
(ii) $\varphi(x)-\varphi(y) \leq \varphi(x * y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

A pseudo-valuation $\varphi$ on a BCK-algebra $X$ is said to be valuation if

$$
\varphi(x)=0 \Rightarrow x=0
$$

Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Then for all $x, y, z \in X$,
(16) $\varphi(x) \geq 0$,
(17) $x \leq y \Rightarrow \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$,
(18) $\varphi(x * z) \leq \varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * z)$.

In a BCK-algebra, every pseudo-valuation is a weak pseudo-valuation.[See, [3]]
Proposition 2.5. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on $X$. Then $I_{\varphi}=\{x \in X: \varphi(x)=0\}$ is an ideal of $X$.
Theorem 2.6. [3] Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Define $d_{\varphi}: X \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
d_{\varphi}(x, y)=\varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * x)
$$

for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Then $d_{\varphi}$ is a pseudo-metric, i.e. for evey $x, y, z \in X$ we have:
(i) $d_{\varphi}(x, x)=0$,
(ii) $d_{\varphi}(x, y)=d_{\varphi}(y, x)$,
(iii) $d_{\varphi}(x, y) \leq d_{\varphi}(x, z)+d_{\varphi}(z, y)$.

If $(X, d)$ is a pseudo-metric space, then:
(i) for each $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$, the set $B_{\varepsilon}(x)=\{y \in X: d(y, x)<\varepsilon\}$ is called a ball of radius $\varepsilon$ with center at $x$,
(ii) the set $U \subseteq X$ is open in $(X, d)$ if for each $x \in U$, there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq U$,
(iii) the topology $\tau_{d}$ induced by $d$ is the collection of all open sets in $(X, d)$.

Theorem 2.7. [3] Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Then a map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a valuation if and only if $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$ is a metric space.

Proposition 2.8. [3] Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra X. Then:
(19) $d_{\varphi}(x, y) \geq d_{\varphi}(z * x, z * y)$,
(20) $d_{\varphi}(x, y) \geq d_{\varphi}(x * z, y * z)$,
(21) $d_{\varphi}(x * y, z * w) \leq d_{\varphi}(x * y, z * y)+d_{\varphi}(z * y, z * w)$,
for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

## 3. Pseudo-valuations on BCK-algebras

Proposition 3.1. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be pseudo-valuations on BCK-algebra X. Then
(i) $d_{\varphi}((x \wedge z),(y \wedge z)) \leq d_{\varphi}(x, y)$,
(ii) $|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq d_{\varphi}(x, y)$,
(iii) $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous,
(iv) $I_{\varphi}$ is a closed subset of $X$,
(v) for each $x \in X, \varphi+\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\varphi+\psi)(x)=\varphi(x)+\psi(x)$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$. Moreover, $(t \varphi)(x)=t(\varphi(x))$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $x \in X$.

Proof. (i) We have $d_{\varphi}((x \wedge z),(y \wedge z))=\varphi((x \wedge z) *(y \wedge z))+\varphi((y \wedge z) *(x \wedge z))$. By (6),

$$
(x \wedge z) *(y \wedge z)=(z *(z * x)) *(z *(z * y)) \leq(z * y) *(z * x) \leq(x * z) .
$$

Similarly, we have $(y \wedge z) *(x \wedge z) \leq(y * x)$. By (17), $\varphi((z * y) *(z * x)) \leq \varphi(x * z)$ and $\varphi((y \wedge z) *(x \wedge z)) \leq \varphi(y * x)$. Hence

$$
d_{\varphi}((x \wedge z),(y \wedge z))=\varphi((x \wedge z) *(y \wedge z))+\varphi((y \wedge z) *(x \wedge z)) \leq \varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * x)=d_{\varphi}(x, y)
$$

(ii) Let $x, y \in X$. Then:

$$
\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y * x)) \leq \varphi(y * x)+\varphi(x * y)=d_{\varphi}(x, y)
$$

and

$$
\varphi(x)-\varphi(y) \leq \varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * x)=d_{\varphi}(x, y)
$$

Hence $-d_{\varphi}(x, y) \leq \varphi(x)-\varphi(y) \leq d_{\varphi}(x, y)$. Thus $|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq d_{\varphi}(x, y)$.
(iii) Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ such that $x_{n} \longrightarrow x \in X$. Then $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, x\right) \longrightarrow 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$. The desired result follows by part (ii).
(iv) Since $I_{\varphi}=\{x \in X: \varphi(x)=0\}=\varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$, by part (iii) the proof is clear.
(v) By definition, $(\varphi+\psi)(0)=\varphi(0)+\psi(0)=0+0=0$. Suppose that $x, y \in X$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\varphi+\psi)(x * y) & =\varphi(x * y)+\psi(x * y) \\
& \geq(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))+(\psi(x)-\psi(y)) \\
& =(\varphi(x)+\psi(x))-(\varphi(y)+\psi(y)) \\
& =(\varphi+\psi)(x)-(\varphi+\psi)(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\varphi+\psi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$. The proof for other case is similar.

Proposition 3.2. If $\tau_{\varphi}$ is a induced topology by $d_{\varphi}$, then $\left(X, *, \tau_{\varphi}\right)$ is a topological BCK-algebra.
Proof. By Theorem 2.6, $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$ is a pseudo-metric space. Let $x * y \in B_{\epsilon}(x * y)$. We claim that $B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) * B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y) \subseteq$ $B_{\varepsilon}(x * y)$. Let $z \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) * B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)$. Then there exist $p \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x)$ and $q \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)$ such that $z=p * q$. Hence $d_{\varphi}(x, p) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $d_{\varphi}(y, q) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By (19) and (20) we have $d_{\varphi}(x * y, p * y) \leq d_{\varphi}(x, p)$ and $d_{\varphi}(p * y, p * q) \leq d_{\varphi}(y, q)$. By (21),

$$
d_{\varphi}(x * y, p * q) \leq d_{\varphi}(x * y, p * y)+d_{\varphi}(p * y, p * q) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
$$

Thus $z=p * q \in B_{\varepsilon}(x * y)$. Therefore $(X, *, \tau)$ is a topological BCK-algebra.
Proposition 3.3. A pseudo-valuation $\varphi$ on the topological BCK-algebra $(X, \tau)$ is continuous iff, for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of 0 such that $\varphi(z)<\varepsilon$, for each $z \in U$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon$ is a positive number. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of 0 such that $\varphi(z)<\varepsilon$, for each $z \in U$. Since $x * x=0$, there are open neighborhoods $V$ and $W$ of $x$ such that $V * W \subseteq U$. Put $P=V \cap W$. For each $y \in P, x * y, y * x \in P * P \subseteq U$ and so $\varphi(x * y), \varphi(y * x)<\varepsilon$. Thus $\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)<\varepsilon$ and $\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)<\varepsilon$. Hence $|\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)|<\varepsilon$. Thus $\varphi$ is continuous. Conversely, If $\varphi$ is continuous on $X$, then it is continuous in 0 . Let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. Since $\varphi(0)=0,(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is an open neighborhood of $\varphi(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}$. There is an open neighborhood $U$ of 0 in $X$ such that $\varphi(U) \subseteq(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Therefore $\varphi(z)<\varepsilon$, for each $z \in U$.

A function between two metric spaces will be called isometry if it preserves distances. Let $\varphi_{X}$ and $\varphi_{Y}$ be pseudo-valuations on BCK-algebras $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ will be called pseudo-valuation preserving if $\varphi_{Y} \circ f=\varphi_{X}$.
Proposition 3.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be BCK-algebras and $\varphi_{X}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_{Y}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be pseudo-valuations. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ia a homomorphism, then the following are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is pseudo-valuation preserving,
(ii) $f$ is an isometry.

Proof. Assume that $f$ is pseudo-valuation preserving. Then for each $x \in X, \varphi_{Y}(f(x))=\varphi_{X}(x)$. for any $x, y \in X$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\varphi_{Y}}(f(x), f(y)) & =\varphi_{Y}(f(x) * f(y))+\varphi_{Y}(f(y) * f(x)), \\
& =\varphi_{Y}(f(x * y))+\varphi_{Y}(f(y * x)), \\
& =\varphi_{Y} \circ f(x * y)+\varphi_{Y} \circ f(y * x), \\
& =\varphi_{X}(x * y)+\varphi_{X}(y * x), \\
& =d_{\varphi_{X}}(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f$ is isometry. Conversely, if $f$ is an isometry, then for any $x \in X$,

$$
\varphi_{X}(x)=d_{\varphi_{X}}(x, 0)=d_{\varphi_{Y}}(f(x), f(0))=\varphi_{Y}(f(x))+\varphi_{Y}(f(0))=\varphi_{Y}(f(x))
$$

Therefore $f$ is pseudo-valuation preserving.
Proposition 3.5. Let $f$ be an isomorphism from BCK-algebra $\left(X, *, 0_{X}\right)$ to BCK-algebra $\left(Y, \star, 0_{Y}\right)$. If $\varphi$ is a pseudovaluation on $X$, then $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi(y)=\varphi \circ f^{-1}(y)$ for any $y \in Y$ is a pseudo-valuation on $Y$.

Proof. Since $f\left(0_{X}\right)=0_{Y}, \psi\left(0_{Y}\right)=\varphi \circ f^{-1}\left(0_{Y}\right)=\varphi\left(0_{X}\right)=0$. Let $y, y^{\prime} \in Y$. Since $f$ is bijection, there are $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ such that $f(x)=y$ and $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(y \star y^{\prime}\right) & =\varphi\left(f^{-1}\left(y \star y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(f^{-1}(y) * f^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(x * x^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi(x)-\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)-\varphi\left(f^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& =\psi(y)-\psi\left(y^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\psi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $Y$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $f$ be an isomorphism from BCK-algebra $\left(X, *, 0_{X}\right)$ to BCK-algebra $\left(Y, \star, 0_{Y}\right)$. If $\psi$ is a pseudovaluation on $Y$, then $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi(x)=\psi \circ f(x)$ for any $x \in X$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$.

Proof. Since $f\left(0_{X}\right)=0_{Y}, \varphi\left(0_{X}\right)=\psi \circ f\left(0_{X}\right)=\psi\left(0_{Y}\right)=0$. For any $x, y \in X$ we have

$$
\varphi(x * y)=\psi(f(x * y))=\psi(f(x) \star f(y)) \geq \psi(f(x))-\psi(f(y))=\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)
$$

Thus $\varphi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on $X$ and $A \subseteq X$. Let $x \in X$. If there is a $y \in A$ such that $x \equiv^{I_{\varphi}} y$, then $x \in \bar{A}$. The converse is also true, when $I_{\varphi}$ is a neighborhood of 0 .

Proof. Let there is a $y \in A$ such that $x \equiv^{I_{\varphi}} y$. Then $\varphi(x * y)=\varphi(y * x)=0$. Thus $\varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * x)<\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Hence for each $\varepsilon>0, B_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and so $x \in \bar{A}$. Conversely, let $I_{\varphi}$ be a neighborhood of 0 and $x \in \bar{A}$. There is a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $A$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. Since $*$ is continuous, $x * x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $x_{n} * x \rightarrow 0$. Hence there is a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $x_{n_{0}} * x \in I_{\varphi}$ and $x * x_{n_{0}} \in I_{\varphi}$. Thus $x_{n_{0}} \equiv^{I_{\varphi}} x$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra X. If $\left.m_{\varphi}(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf f \varphi(z): z \in B_{r}(x)\right\}$ and $M_{\varphi}(x)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \operatorname{Sup}\left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{r}(x)\right\}$, then:
(i) for each $x \in X, m_{\varphi}(x)$ and $M_{\varphi}(x)$ are pseudo-valuations on $X$,
(ii) $m_{\varphi}(x) \leq M_{\varphi}(x)$ for any $x \in X$,
(iii) $M_{\varphi}(x)-m_{\varphi}(x) \leq M_{\varphi}(0)$ for any $x \in X$,
(iv) if $M_{\varphi}(0)<\infty$, then for all $x \in X, M_{\varphi}(x), m_{\varphi}(x)<\infty$.

Proof. (i) Let $r$ be a positive number and $z \in B_{r}(0)$. Then $d_{\varphi}(z, 0)<r$ and so $\varphi(0)=0 \leq \varphi(z)<r$. Thus $m_{\varphi}(0)=0$. Let $x, y \in X$ and $r$ be a positive number. We show that $m_{\varphi}(x) \leq m_{\varphi}(x * y)+m_{\varphi}(y)$. If $u \in B_{r}(x)$, then

$$
\inf \left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{r}(x)\right\} \leq \varphi(u) \leq \varphi(u * v)+\varphi(v)
$$

for any $v \in B_{r}(y)$. Hence

$$
\inf \left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{r}(x)\right\} \leq \inf \left\{\varphi(u * v)+\varphi(v): v \in B_{r}(y)\right\} .
$$

Since for each $x \in X, \varphi(x) \geq 0$, we get

$$
\inf \left\{\varphi(u * v)+\varphi(v): v \in B_{r}(y)\right\}=\inf \left\{\varphi(w): w \in u * B_{r}(y)\right\}+\inf \left\{\varphi(v): v \in B_{r}(y)\right\} .
$$

From $u * v \in u * B_{r}(y) \subseteq B_{r}(x) * B_{r}(y) \subseteq B_{2 r}(x * y)$, we conclude that

$$
\inf \left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{r}(x)\right\} \leq \inf \left\{\varphi(w): w \in B_{2 r}(x * y)\right\}+\inf \left\{\varphi(v): v \in B_{r}(y)\right\}
$$

Now, the result follows on taking limits as $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$. For other case, since $\left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{r}(0)\right\}=\{\varphi(z): 0 \leq \varphi(z)<$ $r\}$, we get $0 \leq \sup \{\varphi(z): 0 \leq \varphi(z)<r\} \leq r$. Taking limits as $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we have $M_{\varphi}(0)=0$. Now by similar argument the desired result will obtain.
(ii) The proof is clear.
(iii) For $m_{\varphi}(x)<a$ and $b<M_{\varphi}(x)$ there exist $u, v \in B_{r}(x)$ with $m_{\varphi}(x) \leq \varphi(u)<a$ and $b<\varphi(v) \leq M_{\varphi}(x)$. Hence

$$
b-a<\varphi(v)-\varphi(u) \leq \varphi(v * u)=d_{\varphi}(u * v, 0)<2 r
$$

beacuse $v * u \in B_{r}(x) * B_{r}(x) \subseteq B_{2 r}(x * x)=B_{2 r}(0)$. Thus $\varphi(v * u) \leq \sup \left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{2 r}(0)\right\}$. Hence, with r fixed, taking $a, b$ to respective limits,

$$
M_{\varphi}(x)-m_{\varphi}(x) \leq \sup \left\{\varphi(z): z \in B_{2 r}(0)\right\} .
$$

Taking limits as $r \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we obtain the inequality.
(iv) Clearly, $0 \leq M_{\varphi}(x)-m_{\varphi}(x) \leq M_{\varphi}(0)$, hence both $M_{\varphi}(x)$ and $m_{\varphi}(x)$ are finite for every $x$.

Let $X$ be a BCK-algebra. Then $X$ is called positive implicative BCK-algebra if $(x * y) * z=(x * z) *(y * z)$. The sets of the form

$$
[0, c]=\{x \in X: 0 \leq x \leq c\}=\{x \in X: x \leq c\}
$$

is called initial segment.

Proposition 3.9. If $\varphi$ is a pseudo-valuation on positive implicative BCK-algebra $X$ and $a \in X$, then $\varphi_{a}(x)=\varphi(x * a)$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$, for any $x \in X$. Moreover, if $\varphi$ is a valuation, then $\varphi_{a}$ is a valuation if and only if $I_{\varphi_{a}}$ is an initial segment.
Proof. It is easy to prove that $\varphi_{a}(0)=0$. Let $x, y, a \in X$. Then

$$
\varphi_{a}(x)-\varphi_{a}(y)=\varphi(x * a)-\varphi(y * a) \leq \varphi((x * a) *(y * a))=\varphi((x * y) * a)=\varphi_{a}(x * y) .
$$

Hence $\varphi_{a}$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$. Now, we have

$$
\varphi_{a}(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x * a)=0 \Leftrightarrow x * a=0 \Leftrightarrow x \leq a \Leftrightarrow x \in[0, a] .
$$

Proposition 3.10. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two BCK-algebras and $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on $X$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective homomorphism, then $\phi(y)=\inf \{\varphi(x): f(x)=y\}$ is a pseudo-valuation on $Y$.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $\phi(0)=0$. Let $x, y \in Y$. Then there are $a, b \in X$ such that $f(a)=x$ and $f(b)=y$. Since $f$ is a homomorphism, $f(a * b)=x * y$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x * y)+\phi(y) & =\inf \{\varphi(a * b): f(a * b)=x * y\}+\inf \{\varphi(b): f(b)=y\} \\
& \geq \inf \{\varphi(a * b)+\varphi(b): f(a * b)=x * y, f(b)=y\} \\
& \geq \inf \{\varphi(a): f(a)=x\}=\phi(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\phi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $Y$.
Let $\left(X_{1}, *_{1}, 0_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, *_{2}, 0_{2}\right)$ be two BCK-algebras and $X=X_{1} \times X_{2}$. Let $\pi_{i}: X \rightarrow X_{i}(i=1,2)$ be a projection from $X$ to $X_{i}$. Then for any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in X$ we have

$$
\pi_{i}(x * y)=\pi_{i}\left(x_{1} *_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} *_{2} y_{2}\right)=x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}=\pi_{i}(x) *_{i} \pi_{i}(y) .
$$

Proposition 3.11. Let $\left(X_{1}, *_{1}, 0_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, *_{2}, 0_{2}\right)$ be two BCK-algebras and $X=X_{1} \times X_{2}$. Then $X$ has a pseudovaluation $\varphi$ if and only if $X_{i}$ have a pseudo-valuation for each $i=1,2$. Moreover, $\varphi$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $X$ has a pseudo-valuation. Since $\pi_{i}: X \rightarrow X_{i}$ is an epimorphism, $X_{i}$ has a pseudo-valuation for $i=1,2$ by Proposition 3.10. Conversely, let $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be pseudo-valuations on $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ define $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$, then $\varphi(0)=\varphi\left(\left(0_{1}, 0_{2}\right)\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(0_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(0_{2}\right)=0$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in X$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x * y) & =\varphi\left(x_{1} *_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} *_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& =\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1} *_{1} y_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(x_{2} *_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\varphi_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-\varphi_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& =\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)-\left(\varphi_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\varphi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X$. Now, let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be converges sequences to $x$ and $y$ in $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively. Since $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ and $*$ are continuous, $\varphi_{1}\left(x_{n} *_{1} x\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi_{2}\left(y_{n} *_{2} y\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$
\varphi\left(\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) *(x, y)\right)=\varphi\left(x_{n} *_{1} x, y_{n} *_{2} y\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{n} *_{1} x\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(y_{n} *_{2} y\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus $\varphi$ is continuous.
Proposition 3.12. Let $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be two pseudo-valuations on $B C K$-algebras $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively. For each $(x, y),(a, b) \in X_{1} \times X_{2}$ define

$$
d((x, y),(a, b))=d_{\varphi_{1}}(x, a)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(y, b)
$$

Thend is a pseudo metric on $X_{1} \times X_{2}$.

Proof. For any $(x, y),(a, b) \in X_{1} \times X_{2}$, we have

$$
d((x, y),(x, y))=d_{\varphi_{1}}(x, x)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(y, y)=0+0=0
$$

and

$$
d((x, y),(a, b))=d_{\varphi_{1}}(x, a)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(y, b)=d_{\varphi_{1}}(a, x)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(b, y)=d((a, b),(x, y))
$$

Let $(x, y),(a, b),(u, v) \in X_{1} * X_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d((x, y),(u, v)) & =d_{\varphi_{1}}(x, u)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(y, v), \\
& \leq\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}(x, a)+d_{\varphi_{1}}(a, u)\right]+\left[d_{\varphi_{2}}(y, b)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(b, v)\right], \\
& =\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}(x, a)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(y, b)\right]+\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}(a, u)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(b, v)\right], \\
& =d((x, y),(a, b))+d((a, b),(u, v)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, d\right)$ is a pseudo metric space.
Corollary 3.13. If $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are two valuations on $B C K$-algebras $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively, then $\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, d\right)$ is a metric space.

Proposition 3.14. Let $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be two pseudo-valuations on BCK-algebras $\left(X_{1}, *_{1}, 0_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, *_{2}, 0_{2}\right)$ respectively. If $X=X_{1} \times X_{2}$, then $*: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $(x, y),(a, b) \in X$. We show that

$$
B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((a, b)) * B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((x, y)) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}((a, b) *(x, y))=B_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(a *_{1} x, b *_{2} y\right)\right) .
$$

Let $(s, t) \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((a, b)) * B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((x, y))$. Then $(s, t)=\left(\alpha *_{1} \gamma, \beta *_{2} \lambda\right)=(\alpha, \beta) *(\gamma, \lambda)$ such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((a, b))$ and $(\gamma, \lambda) \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((x, y))$. Hence $d((\alpha, \beta),(a, b))<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $d((\gamma, \lambda),(x, y))<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By (19) and (20) we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d((s, t),(a, b) *(x, y)) & =d((\alpha, \beta) *(\gamma, \lambda),(a, b) *(x, y)), \\
& =d\left(\left(\alpha *_{1} \gamma, \beta *_{2} \lambda\right),\left(a *_{1} x, b *_{2} y\right)\right), \\
& =d_{\varphi_{1}}\left(\left(\alpha *_{1} \gamma\right),\left(a *_{1} x\right)\right)+d_{\varphi_{2}}\left(\left(\beta *_{2} \lambda\right),\left(b *_{2} y\right)\right), \\
& \leq\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}\left(\alpha *_{1} \gamma, a *_{1} \gamma\right)+d_{\varphi_{1}}\left(a *_{1} \gamma, a *_{1} x\right)\right] \\
& +\left[d_{\varphi_{2}}\left(\beta *_{2} \lambda, \beta *_{2} y\right)+d_{\varphi_{2}}\left(\beta *_{2} y, b *_{2} y\right)\right], \\
& \leq\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}(\alpha, a)+d_{\varphi_{1}}(\gamma, x)\right]+\left[d_{\varphi_{2}}(\lambda, y)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(\beta, b)\right], \\
& =\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}(\alpha, a)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(\beta, b)\right]+\left[d_{\varphi_{1}}(\gamma, x)+d_{\varphi_{2}}(\lambda, y)\right], \\
& =d((\alpha, \beta),(a, b))+d((\gamma, \lambda),(x, y)) \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus * is continuous.
A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq X$ is a $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy if it is a cauchy sequence of the pseudo-metric $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$. The space $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$ is $d_{\varphi}$-complete if any $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy converges to an element of $X$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequences. Then the sequence $\left\{d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ is convergent, because it is a cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.15. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Define the relation $\sim b y$ :

$$
\left\{x_{n}\right\} \sim\left\{y_{n}\right\} \Leftrightarrow d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

for all $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $X$. Then $\sim$ is a congruence relation on the set of all $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequences in X.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $X$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \sim\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \sim\left\{b_{n}\right\}$. Then $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ and $d_{\varphi}\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$. By (19) and (20) we have $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n} * a_{n}, y_{n} * a_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ and $d_{\varphi}\left(y_{n} * a_{n}, y_{n} * b_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$. By (21) we have $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n} * y_{n}, a_{n} * b_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ and so $\left\{x_{n}\right\} *\left\{y_{n}\right\} \sim\left\{a_{n}\right\} *\left\{b_{n}\right\}$. Therefore $\sim$ is a congruence relation on $X$.

Definition 3.16. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. The set of all equivalence classes $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}=\left\{\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right.$ : $\left.\left\{y_{n}\right\} \sim\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right\}$ is denoted by $\widetilde{X}$. On this set, we define $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}} * \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}=\left\{\widetilde{x_{n} * y_{n}}\right\}$.

Proposition 3.17. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Then $(\widetilde{X}, *, \widetilde{\{0\}})$ is a BCK-algebra and the pseudo-metric $d_{\varphi}$ induces a metric $\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}$ on $\widetilde{X}$ as follows:

$$
\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left.y_{n}\right\}}\right)=\lim _{n} d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

for all $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}} \in \widetilde{X}$.
Proof. It is easy to prove that $(\widetilde{X}, *, \widetilde{\{0\}})$ is a BCK-algebra and $\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}$ is a pseudo-metric on $\widetilde{X}$. Let $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}} \in \widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\right)=0$. Then $d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ and so $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \sim\left\{y_{n}\right\}$. Hence $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}=\widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}$. Therefore $\left(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\right)$ is a metric space.

Proposition 3.18. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra X. Then
(i) If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequence in $X$, then $\left\{\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$.
(ii) the mapping $\pi_{\varphi}: X \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ by $\pi_{\varphi}(x)=\widetilde{\{x\}}$ where $\widetilde{\{x\}}$ is the equivalence class of the constant sequence with any element equal to $x$, is an homomorphism.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3.1 (ii), the proof is clear.
(ii) The proof is clear.

Proposition 3.19. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Then the mapping $\widetilde{\varphi}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{\left.x_{n}\right\}}\right)=$ $\lim _{n} \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)$ for each $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequence in $X$, is a pseudo-valuation on $\widetilde{X}$.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $\widetilde{\varphi}(\{0\})=0$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequences in $X$. Then

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}\right)=\operatorname{Lim}_{n} \varphi\left(x_{n}\right) \leq \lim _{n} \varphi\left(x_{n} * y_{n}\right)+\lim _{n} \varphi\left(y_{n}\right)=\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{\left.x_{n}\right\}} * \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\right)+\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\right) .
$$

Hence $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a pseudo-valuation on $\widetilde{X}$.
Corollary 3.20. The metric space $\left(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\right)$ is $\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}$-complete.
Proposition 3.21. If $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\varphi}, \pi_{\varphi}$ and $\widetilde{d}$ are defined as above, then following properties hold:
(i) $\widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_{\varphi}=\varphi$ and hence $\pi_{\varphi}$ is pseudo-valuation preserving.
(ii) $\varphi$ is a valuation iff, $\pi_{\varphi}(x)=\widetilde{\{0\}}$ implies that $x=0$.
(iii) $\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}=d_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$.
(iv) $\pi_{\varphi}$ is continuous.

Proof. (i) For any $x \in X, \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_{\varphi}(x)=\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\pi_{\varphi}(x)\right)=\lim _{n} \varphi(x)=\varphi(x)$.
(ii) Let $\varphi$ be a valuation and $\pi_{\varphi}(x)=\widetilde{\{0\}}$. Then $\widetilde{\{x\}}=\widetilde{\{0\}}$ and so $\{x\} \sim\{0\}$. Hence $\varphi(x)=d_{\varphi}(x, 0)=0$. Since $\varphi$ is a valuation, $x=0$. Conversely, if $\varphi(x)=0$ for any $x \in X$, then $d_{\varphi}(x, 0)=\varphi(x)=0$ and so $\pi_{\varphi}(x)=\widetilde{\{x\}}=\widetilde{\{0\}}$. Hence $x=0$. Thus $\varphi$ is a valuation.
(iii) For any $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}} \in \widetilde{X}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left.y_{n}\right\}}\right) & =\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\left\{\widetilde{\left.x_{n} * y_{n}\right\}}\right)+\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\left\{\widetilde{y_{n} * x_{n}}\right\}\right),\right. \\
& =\lim _{n} \varphi\left(x_{n} * y_{n}\right)+\lim _{n} \varphi\left(y_{n} * x_{n}\right), \\
& =\lim _{n} d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) If $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$ in $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$, then $\lim _{n} d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, x\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\left(\pi_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}\right), \pi_{\varphi}(x)\right) & =\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\pi_{\varphi}\left(x_{n} * x\right)\right)+\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\pi_{\varphi}\left(x * x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(x_{n} * x\right)+\varphi\left(x * x_{n}\right) \\
& =d_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}, x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\pi_{\varphi}\left(x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{\varphi}(x)$ in $\left(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{d}_{\varphi}\right)$.
Proposition 3.22. Let $\psi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $Y$ such that $\left(Y, d_{\psi}\right)$ is a $d_{\psi}$-complete space. If $\varphi$ is a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a pseudo-valuation preserving homomorphism, then there exists a unique pseudo-valuation preserving homomorphism $\widetilde{f}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ such that $\widetilde{f} \circ \pi_{\varphi}=f$.

Proof. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a pseudo-valuation preserving homomorphism. By Proposition 3.4, $f$ is an isometry. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequence in $X$, then $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a $d_{\psi}$-cauchy sequence in $Y$. Since $Y$ is $d_{\psi}$-complete, $f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in Y$. Define $\widetilde{f}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=y$. We show that $\widetilde{f}$ is the unique isometry such that $\stackrel{\psi}{f} \circ \pi_{\varphi}=f$. Let $\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}},\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in \widetilde{X}, f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow x$ and $f\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\widetilde{\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\right) & =\widetilde{d_{\varphi}}\left(\widetilde{\left\{x_{n}\right\}}, \widetilde{\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\right), \\
& =\lim _{n} \varphi\left(x_{n} * y_{n}\right)+\lim _{n} \varphi\left(y_{n} * x_{n}\right), \\
& =\lim _{n} \psi \circ f\left(x_{n} * y_{n}\right)+\lim _{n} \psi \circ f\left(y_{n} * x_{n}\right), \\
& =\lim _{n} \psi\left(f\left(x_{n} * y_{n}\right)\right)+\lim _{n} \psi\left(f\left(y_{n} * x_{n}\right)\right), \\
& =\lim _{n} \psi\left(f\left(x_{n}\right) * f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)+\lim _{n} \psi\left(f\left(y_{n}\right) * f\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \\
& =\lim _{n} \psi(x * y)+\lim _{n} \psi(y * x), \\
& =\psi(x * y)+\psi(y * x), \\
& =\psi\left(\widetilde{f}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right) * \widetilde{f}\left(\left\{\widetilde{\left.y_{n}\right\}}\right)\right)+\psi\left(\widetilde { f } \left(\left\{\widetilde{\left.y_{n}\right\}}\right) * \widetilde{f}\left(\left\{\widetilde{\left.x_{n}\right\}}\right)\right),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.=d_{\psi}\left(\widetilde{f\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right.}\right), \widetilde{f}\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The uniqueness is obvious. Since the BCK-algebra operation $Y$ is continuous respect to $d_{\psi}$, we get that $\widetilde{f}$ is a homomorphism. Finally, for each $x \in X, \widetilde{f} \circ \pi_{\varphi}(x)=\widetilde{f}(\{x\})=f(x)$. Thus $\widetilde{f} \circ \pi_{\varphi}=f$.

## 4. Pseudo-valuations on Quotient BCK-algebras

Proposition 4.1. Let I be an ideal in a BCK-algebra X. Then:
(i) If $\varphi$ is a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$, then $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=\inf \{\varphi(z): z \in x / I\}$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I$.
(ii) If $\bar{\varphi}$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I$, then $\varphi(x)=\bar{\varphi}(x / I)$ is a pseudo-valuatuon on $X$. Moreover, $\bar{\varphi}$ is a valuation on $X$ if and only if $I=I_{\varphi}$.

Proof. (i) This is Proposition 3.10 with $y=x / I$ and $f=\pi_{I}$.
(ii) Let $\bar{\varphi}$ be a pseudo-valuation on $X / I$. It is easy to prove that the mapping $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=\varphi(x)$ is a pseudovaluation on $X$. Let $\bar{\varphi}$ be a valuation on $X / I$. If $x \in I$, then $x / I=0 / I$ and so $\varphi(x)=\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=\bar{\varphi}(0 / I)=0$. Hence $I \subseteq I_{\varphi}$. If $x \in I_{\varphi}$, then $\varphi(x)=0$ and so $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=0$. Thus $x / I=0 / I$ and hence $x \in I$. Therefore $I_{\varphi} \subseteq I$. Conversly, let $I_{\varphi}=I$ and $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=0$. Then $\varphi(x)=0$ and so $x \in I$. Hence $x / I=0 / I$. Thus $\bar{\varphi}$ is a valuation on $X / I$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\varphi$ be a valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. If for each $x \in X$, the set $x / I$ has a minimum, then $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=\inf \{\varphi(z): z \in x / I\}$ is a valuation on $X / I$.

Proof. By Proposition $4.1(i), \bar{\varphi}$ is a pseudo-valuation. Let for some $x \in X, \bar{\varphi}(x / I)=0$. By assumption, there is an $a \in X$ such that $a=\min x / I$. Since for each $z \in x / I, a \leq z$, we get that $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(z)=\bar{\varphi}(z / I)=\bar{\varphi}(x / I)$ and so $\varphi(a)=0$. Since $\varphi$ is a valuation, $a=0$. Hence $x / I=0 / I$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Then $I \subseteq I_{\varphi}$ if and only if there exists a pseudo-valuation $\phi: X / I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi \circ \pi_{I}=\varphi$.

Proof. Let $\phi: X / I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a pseudo-valuation on $X / I$ such that $\phi \circ \pi_{I}=\varphi$. If $x \in I$, then $x / I=0 / I$. Hence

$$
\varphi(x)=\phi \circ \pi_{I}(x)=\phi\left(\pi_{I}(x)\right)=\phi(x / I)=\phi(0 / I)=\phi \circ \pi_{I}(0)=\varphi(0)=0
$$

Thus $x \in I_{\varphi}$ and hence $I \subseteq I_{\varphi}$. Conversely, let $I=I_{\varphi}$. Define $\phi(x)=\varphi(x)$ for any $x \in X$. If $x, y \in X$ and $x / I=y / I$, then $x * y, y * x \in I$. Since $\phi(x)=\varphi(x), \varphi(x * y)=\varphi(y * x)=0$. Therefore $0=\varphi(x * y) \geq \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)$ and $0=\varphi(y * x) \geq \varphi(y)-\varphi(x)$. Thus $\varphi(x)=\varphi(y)$ and hence $\phi$ is well defined. We have $\phi(0 / I)=\varphi(0)=0$ and

$$
\phi(x / I * y / I)=\phi(x * y / I)=\varphi(x * y) \geq \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)=\phi(x / I)-\phi(y / I) .
$$

Thus $\phi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I$. It is easy to prove that $\phi \circ \pi_{I}=\varphi$.
Proposition 4.4. Let $\varphi$ be pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$ and $I_{\varphi}=\{x \in X: \varphi(x)=0\}$. If $d_{\varphi}$ is the induced pseudo-metric by $\varphi$, Then $D\left(x / I_{\varphi}, y / I_{\varphi}\right)=d_{\varphi}(x, y)$ is a metric on $X / I_{\varphi}$.

Proof. First we show that $D$ is well defined. Let $x, y, a$ and $b$ be in $X$ and $x / I_{\varphi}=a / I_{\varphi}$ and $y / I_{\varphi}=b / I_{\varphi}$. Then $x * a, a * x, y * b, b * y \in I_{\varphi}$ and so $\varphi(x * a)=\varphi(a * x)=\varphi(y * b)=\varphi(b * y)=0$. By $(6),(x * y) *(x * a) \leq(a * y)$ and $(a * y) *(b * y) \leq(a * b)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x * y)-\varphi(x * a) \leq \varphi((x * y) *(x * a)) & \leq \varphi(a * y) \\
& =\varphi(a * y)-\varphi(b * y) \\
& \leq \varphi((a * y) *(b * y)) \leq \varphi(a * b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi(a * b)$. By similar argument we have $\varphi(a * b) \leq \varphi(x * y)$ and so $\varphi(x * y)=\varphi(a * b)$. In a similar fashion we have $\varphi(y * x)=\varphi(b * a)$. Therefore $D\left(x / I_{\varphi}, y / I_{\varphi}\right)=D\left(a / I_{\varphi}, b / I_{\varphi}\right)$ and so $D$ is well defined. It is easy to prove that $D$ is a pseudo-metric. To prove that $D$ is a metric, let $D\left(x / I_{\varphi}, y / I_{\varphi}\right)=0$. Then $\varphi(x * y)=\varphi(y * x)=0$ and so $x * y, y * x \in I_{\varphi}$. Thus $x / I_{\varphi}=y / I_{\varphi}$. Hence $D$ is a metric on $X / I_{\varphi}$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\varphi$ be pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$ and $I_{\varphi}=\{x \in X: \varphi(x)=0\}$. If $\tau_{D}$ is the induced topology by $D$ on $X / I_{\varphi}$ and $\tau$ is the quotient topology on $X / I_{\varphi}$, then:
(i) the epimorphism $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}:\left(X, \tau_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow\left(X / I_{\varphi}, \tau_{D}\right)$ is an open map,
(ii) $\tau_{D}=\tau$,
(iii) if $\varphi$ is a valuation, then $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. (i) It is enough to show that $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) \in \tau_{D}$ for each $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{I_{\varphi}}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) & =\left\{\pi_{I_{\varphi}}(y): y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\}=\left\{y / I_{\varphi}: d_{\varphi}(y, x)<\varepsilon\right\} \\
& =\left\{y / I_{\varphi}: D\left(y / I_{\varphi}, x / I_{\varphi}\right)<\varepsilon\right\} \\
& =B_{\varepsilon}^{D}\left(x / I_{\varphi}\right) \in \tau_{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) It is clear that the map $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}:\left(X, \tau_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow\left(X / I_{\varphi}, \tau_{D}\right)$ is continuous, becaus $D\left(x / I_{\varphi}, y / I_{\varphi}\right)=d_{\varphi}(x, y)$. Thus $\tau_{D} \subseteq \tau$. If $U \in \tau$, then $\pi_{\varphi}^{-1}(U) \in \tau_{\varphi}$. Hence $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}^{-1}(U)=\cup_{x \in \pi_{I_{\varphi}}^{-1}(U)} B_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Since $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}$ is an epimorphism, $U=$ $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}\left(\pi_{I_{\varphi}}^{-1}(U)\right)=\pi_{I_{\varphi}}\left(\cup_{x \in \pi_{I_{\varphi}}^{-1}(U)} B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)=\cup_{x \in \pi_{I_{\varphi}}^{-1}(U)} B_{\varepsilon}^{D}\left(x / I_{\varphi}\right) \in \tau_{D}$. Thus $U \in \tau_{D}$. Therefore $\tau_{D}=\tau$.
(iii) It is enough to show that $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}$ is injective. Let $x, y \in X$ and $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}(x)=\pi_{I_{\varphi}}(y)$. Then $x / I_{\varphi}=y / I_{\varphi}$ and so $x * y, y * x \in I_{\varphi}$. Thus $\varphi(x * y)=\varphi(y * x)=0$. Since $\varphi$ is a valuation, $x * y=y * x=0$. By (4), $x=y$. Hence $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}$ is a homeomorphism.

Proposition 4.6. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra X. If $x / I_{\varphi}=y / I_{\varphi}$, then $\varphi(x)=\varphi(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.
Proof. Let $x / I_{\varphi}=y / I_{\varphi}$. Then $x \equiv^{I_{\varphi}} y$ and so $\varphi(x * y)=\varphi(y * x)=0$. By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq d_{\varphi}(x, y)=\varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * x)=0
$$

Thus $\varphi(x)=\varphi(y)$.
Theorem 4.7. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$ and for each $x \in X$ the set $x / I_{\varphi}$ has a minimum. Then there is a pseudo-valuation $\phi$ on $X / I_{\varphi}$ such that $\left(X / I_{\varphi}, d_{\phi}\right)$ is a metric space. Moreover, if $\tau_{\phi}$ is the induced topology by $d_{\phi}$, then $\tau_{\phi}$ is weaker than the quotient topology on $X / I_{\varphi}$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. By assumption, there is a $x_{0} \in x / I_{\varphi}$ such that $x_{0}=\min x / I_{\varphi}$. Define $\phi\left(x / I_{\varphi}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)$. We show that $\phi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I_{\varphi}$. Since $0 \in I_{\varphi}=0 / I_{\varphi}, \phi\left(0 / I_{\varphi}\right)=\varphi(0)=0$. Let $x, y \in X, x_{0}=\min x / I_{\varphi}$, $y_{0}=\min y / I_{\varphi}$ and $z_{0}=\min (x * y) / I_{\varphi}$. Since $x_{0} * y_{0} \in(x * y) / I_{\varphi}, x_{0} * y_{0} \equiv^{I_{\varphi}} z_{0}$ and so $\left(x_{0} * y_{0}\right) / I_{\varphi}=z_{0} / I_{\varphi}$. By Proposition 4.6, $\varphi\left(x_{0} * y_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)$. Thus

$$
\phi\left(x / I_{\varphi}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right) \leq \varphi\left(x_{0} * y_{0}\right)+\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)+\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)=\phi\left((x * y) / I_{\varphi}\right)+\phi\left(y / I_{\varphi}\right) .
$$

Hence $\phi$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I_{\varphi}$. By Theorem 2.6, $d_{\phi}=\phi\left((x * y) / I_{\varphi}\right)+\phi\left((y * x) / I_{\varphi}\right)$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I_{\varphi}$. Now, we show that $d_{\phi}$ is a metric. Let $x \in X$ and $x_{0}=\min x / I_{\varphi}$. If $\phi\left(x / I_{\varphi}\right)=0$, then $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and so $x_{0} \in I_{\varphi}$. Hence $x / I_{\varphi}=x_{0} / I_{\varphi}=0 / I_{\varphi}$. Thus $d_{\phi}$ is a metric on $X / I_{\varphi}$. Finally, we show that $\tau_{\phi}$ is weaker than the quotient topology on $X / I_{\varphi}$. For this, let $a_{0}=\min (x * y) / I_{\varphi}$ and $b_{0}=\min (y * x) / I_{\varphi}$. Then $a_{0} \leq x * y$ and $b_{0} \leq y * x$ we have

$$
d_{\phi}\left(x / I_{\varphi}, y / I_{\varphi}\right)=\phi\left((x * y) / I_{\varphi}\right)+\phi\left((y * x) / I_{\varphi}\right)=\varphi\left(a_{0}\right)+\varphi\left(b_{0}\right) \leq \varphi(x * y)+\varphi(y * x)=d_{\varphi}(x, y)
$$

Now it is easy to prove that the mapping $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}: X \rightarrow X / I_{\varphi}$ by $\pi_{I_{\varphi}}(x)=x / I_{\varphi}$ is continuous. Therefore $\tau_{\phi}$ is weaker than the quotient topology on $X / I_{\varphi}$.

Theorem 4.8. Let $\varphi$ be a valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. If $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$ is a $d_{\varphi}$-complete, then for each closed ideal $I, X / I$ is a metric space.

Proof. Let $I$ be a closed ideal in $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$. By Proposition 4.1, the mapping $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=\inf \{\varphi(z): z \in x / I\}$ is a pseudo-valuation on $X / I$. We prove that $\bar{\varphi}$ is a valuation. For this let $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=0$ for some $x \in X$. Since $\bar{\varphi}(x / I)=\inf \{\varphi(z): z \in x / I\}$, there is a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subseteq x / I$ such that the sequence $\left\{\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to 0 . We show that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequence. Let $\varepsilon>0$. There is a $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq n_{0}, \varphi\left(z_{n}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Now by (17), for each $n, m \geq n_{0}$, we have

$$
d_{\varphi}\left(z_{n}, z_{m}\right)=\varphi\left(z_{n} * z_{m}\right)+\varphi\left(z_{m} * z_{n}\right) \leq \varphi\left(z_{n}\right)+\varphi\left(z_{m}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
$$

Hence the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is $d_{\varphi}$-cauchy sequence and so converges to a $z \in X$. Since $\varphi$ is continuous, the sequence $\left\{\varphi\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to $\varphi(z)$. Hence $\varphi(z)=0$ and since $\varphi$ is a valuation on $X$, we get $z=0$. On oter hand, since the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is converges to $z$, then $z \in \overline{x / I}$. Since $I$ is closed in $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$ and $\left(X, *, \tau_{\varphi}\right)$ is a topological BCK-algebra, by [[10], Proposition 3.8] $x / I$ is closed in $\left(X, d_{\varphi}\right)$ and so $0=z \in x / I$. Thus $\bar{\varphi}$ is a valuation on $X / I$. Now by Proposition $2.7, X / I$ is a metric space.

Theorem 4.9. Let $\varphi$ be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra $X$. Then there exists a closed ideal Jon $X$ such that the quotient BCK-algebra X/J is pseudo-metrizable.

Proof. We define a binary relation $\sim$ for elements $a, b \in X$ by the rule $a \sim b$ if $\varphi((x * a) * y)=\varphi(x * b) * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is immediate from definition that this relation is an equivalence relation. Let $J$ be the class containing $0 \in X$. Let us show that $J$ is a closed ideal of $X$ and for each $x \in X, x / J \subseteq x / \sim$. Clearly,

$$
J=\{a \in X: \varphi((x * a) * y)=\varphi(x * 0) * y)=\varphi(x * y) \text { for all } x, y \in X\}
$$

For $x, y \in X$ define a function $f_{x, y}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_{x, y}(z)=\varphi((x * z) * y)$ for each $z \in X$. Since the function $f_{x, y}$ is continuous, the set $J=\cap_{x, y \in X} f_{x, y}^{-1}\left(f_{x, y}(0)\right)$ is closed in $X$. To show that $J$ is an ideal of $X$, let $a * b, b \in J$. Then $\varphi((x *(a * b) * y)=\varphi(x * y)$ and $\varphi((x * b) * y)=\varphi(x * y)$. Replacing $x$ by $x * b$ in the frist equality, by (6) we obtain

$$
\varphi((x * b) * y)=\varphi(((x * b) *(a * b)) * y) \leq \varphi((x * a) * y) .
$$

Thus $\varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi((x * a) * y)$. On the other hand, (8) and (10) imply $(x * a) * y=(x * y) * a \leq x * y$. By (17), $\varphi((x * a) * y) \leq \varphi(x * y)$. Therefore $\varphi((x * a) * y)=\varphi(x * y)$ and so $a \in J$. Thus $J$ is an ideal of $X$.

Let $d \in c / J$. Then $c * d, d * c \in J$. Since $\varphi((x *(c * d)) * y)=\varphi(x * y)$ and $\varphi((x *(d * c)) * y)=\varphi(x * y)$, replacing $x$ by $x * d$ in frist equality, we obtain

$$
\varphi((x * d) * y)=\varphi(((x * d) *(c * d)) * y) \leq \varphi((x * c) * y)
$$

Similarly, replacing $x$ by $x * c$ in second equality, we obtain $\varphi((x * c) * y)=\varphi(((x * c) *(d * c)) * y) \leq \varphi((x * d) * y)$. Thus $\varphi((x * d) * y)=\varphi((x * c) * y)$ which implies that $c \sim d$. Hence $d \in c / \sim$. Therefore $c / J \subseteq c / \sim$. Since for any $x, y \in X$, the function $\varphi((x * a) * y)$ with argument $a$ is constant on the set $a / J$, so for any $a, b \in X$, we can define

$$
\rho(a / J, b / J)=\sup _{x, y \in X}|\varphi((x * a) * y)-\varphi((x * b) * y)| .
$$

We claim that $\rho$ is a pseudo-metric on $X / J$. Clearly, $\rho(a / J, b / J) \geq 0$ for each $a, b \in X$. It is clear that $\rho(a / J, b / J)=$ $\rho(b / J, a / J)$. To verify triangle inequality, let $a, b, c \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(a / J, c / J) & =\sup _{x, y \in X}|\varphi((x * a) * y)-\varphi((x * c) * y)| \\
& \leq \sup _{x, y \in X}(|\varphi((x * a) * y)-\varphi((x * b) * y)|+|\varphi((x * b) * y)-\varphi((x * c) * y)|) \\
& \leq \sup _{x, y \in X}|\varphi((x * a) * y)-\varphi((x * b) * y)|+\sup _{x, y \in X}|\varphi((x * b) * y)-\varphi((x * c) * y)| \\
& =\rho(a / J, b / J)+\rho(b / J, c / J) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we studied some properties of pseudo-valuations and their induced metrics on a BCKalgebra and we showed that there are many pseudo-valuations on a BCK-algebra. The set of all pseudovaluations on a BCK-algebra is a BCK-algebra, too. Next the researchers can study properties of this BCKalgebra. Moreover, since the power set of a non-empty set is a BCK-algebra using of pseudo-valuations can be useful in the study of theory of sets.
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