



The Morita Contexts and Galois Extensions for Weak Hopf Group Coalgebras

Ling Jia^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Ludong University

Abstract. In this paper, we mainly construct a Morita context associated to a weak Hopf group coalgebra and as application we also compute the Morita contexts of weak Hopf group Galois extensions.

1. The first section

Recently, Turaev introduced the notion of a Hopf π -coalgebra which played important role in homotopy quantum field theory and generalized the notion of a Hopf algebra. In [7] the authors proved that a Hopf group coalgebra is essentially a Hopf algebra in a symmetric monoidal category called Turaev module category. Another important generalization of Hopf algebras is in the introduction of weak Hopf algebras in [4] and [5]. The axioms are the same as ones for Hopf algebras, but the multiplicativity of the counit and comultiplicativity of the unit are replaced by weaker axioms. These naturally lead to the introduction of weak Hopf algebras in Turaev module category called weak Hopf group coalgebras in [9-12].

The basic idea of Morita contexts is to find a relationship between two rings via their modules, which is weaker than Morita equivalence, but is still strong enough to enable the rings to share some properties. Cohen and Fischman formalized the relationship between $A\#H$ and A^H via a Morita context [3] which extended earlier works of [1] and [2]. Using the theory of Hopf group coalgebras, [13] generalized Morita contexts in the setting of group corings. Dually, the authors also investigated some properties of (weak) Hopf group algebras in [14-15].

The aim of this paper is to establish a Morita context for a weak Hopf group coalgebra, as example we also compute the Morita contexts associated to weak Hopf Galois extensions which generalize the result in [6]. The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 1, we recall some basic notions and present a summary of important properties concerning weak Hopf group coalgebras. In section 2 we construct a Morita context for weak Hopf group coalgebras. In section 3, as example we compute a Morita context related to weak Hopf group Galois extensions.

Conventions We work over field k . We denote by i the unit of the group π . The identity map from any k -space V to itself is denoted by id_V . We follow Virelizier's paper for the terminologies on π -coalgebras and π -comodules. (see ref.[7])

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W30

Keywords. weak Hopf π -coalgebra; Morita context; weak Hopf group Galois extension; weak π -integral

Received: 16 March 2017; Accepted: 28 October 2018

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China(No.ZR2012AL02)

Email address: jialing471@126.com (Ling Jia)

Definition 1.1. ([7]) A π -coalgebra over k is a family $C = \{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of k -spaces endowed with a family k -linear maps $\Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : C_\alpha \otimes C_\beta \rightarrow C_\alpha \otimes C_\beta, c \mapsto c_{1\alpha} \otimes c_{2\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ and a k -linear map $\varepsilon : C_i \rightarrow k$ such that

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{C_\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = (id_{C_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi; \quad (1)$$

$$(id_{C_\alpha} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta_{\alpha,i} = (\varepsilon \otimes id_{C_\alpha})\Delta_{i,\alpha} = id_{C_\alpha}, \alpha \in \pi. \quad (2)$$

Definition 1.2. ([9]) Let $C = \{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a π -coalgebra and M a k -space with a family of k -linear maps

$$\rho = \{\rho_\alpha : M \rightarrow M \otimes C_\alpha, \rho_\alpha(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{([1],\alpha)}, m \in M\}_{\alpha \in \pi}.$$

We call M a right C - π -comodulelike object if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(\rho_\alpha \otimes id_{C_\beta}) \circ \rho_\beta = (id_M \otimes \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in \pi; \quad (3)$$

$$(id_M \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \rho_i = id_M. \quad (4)$$

Definition 1.3. ([10]) A weak Hopf π -coalgebra is a family of algebras $\{H_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \eta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and also a π -coalgebra $\{H, \Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\}, \varepsilon\}_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ endowed with a family $S = \{S_\alpha : H_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of k -linear maps called an antipode satisfying the following conditions for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$,

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(hg) = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(h)\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(g), \varepsilon(1_i) = 1_i, h, g \in H_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta^2(1_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = 1_{\alpha\beta\gamma 1\alpha} \otimes 1_{\alpha\beta\gamma 2\beta} \otimes 1_{\alpha\beta\gamma 3\gamma} = 1_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha} \otimes 1_{\beta\gamma 1\beta} 1_{\alpha\beta 2\beta} \otimes 1_{\beta\gamma 2\gamma} = 1_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha} \otimes 1_{\alpha\beta 2\beta} 1_{\beta\gamma 1\beta} \otimes 1_{\beta\gamma 2\gamma}; \quad (6)$$

$$\varepsilon(xyz) = \varepsilon(xy_{1i})\varepsilon(y_{2i}z) = \varepsilon(xy_{2i})\varepsilon(y_{1i}z), x, y, z \in H_i; \quad (7)$$

$$x_{1\alpha} S_\alpha(x_{2\alpha^{-1}}) = \varepsilon(1_{1i}x)1_{2\alpha}, x \in H_\alpha; \quad (8)$$

$$S_{\alpha^{-1}}(x_{1\alpha})x_{2\alpha^{-1}} = 1_{1\alpha^{-1}}\varepsilon(x1_{2i}), x \in H_\alpha; \quad (9)$$

$$S_{\alpha^{-1}}(x_{1\alpha})x_{2\alpha^{-1}}S_{\alpha^{-1}}(x_{3\alpha}) = S_{\alpha^{-1}}(x_\alpha), x \in H_\alpha. \quad (10)$$

We call H finite-dimensional if for any $\alpha \in \pi$, H_α is finitely dimensional. In this paper we always assume that H is finite-dimensional.

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra, we define

$$\Gamma_\alpha^r : H_i \rightarrow H_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha^r(h) = h^{r_\alpha} = 1_{1\alpha}\varepsilon(h1_{2i}), h \in H_i;$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha^l : H_i \rightarrow H_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha^l(h) = h^{l_\alpha} = 1_{2\alpha}\varepsilon(1_{1i}h), h \in H_i.$$

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and M a right H - π -comodulelike object. The coinvariants of H on M are the elements of the k -space

$$M^{\text{co}H} = \{m = (m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} \mid m_{[0]} \otimes m_{([1],\alpha)} = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{([1],i)}^{l_\alpha}\}.$$

Lemma 1.4. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra, then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, $h, g \in H_i$, $l \in H_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$(h^l g)^{l_\alpha} = h^{l_\alpha} g^{l_\alpha}; \quad (hg^{r_i})^{r_\alpha} = h^{r_\alpha} g^{r_\alpha}. \quad (11)$$

$$l_{1\alpha} g^{r_\alpha} \otimes l_{2\beta} = l_{1\alpha} \otimes l_{2\beta} S_\beta(g^{r_{\beta^{-1}}}), \quad l_{1\alpha} \otimes g^{l_\beta} l_{2\beta} = S_\alpha(g^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}}) l_{1\alpha} \otimes l_{2\beta}. \quad (12)$$

$$h^{l_\alpha} g^{r_\alpha} = g^{r_\alpha} h^{l_\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon(h^{r_i} g) = \varepsilon(hg), \quad \varepsilon(hg^{l_i}) = \varepsilon(hg). \quad (13)$$

Proof. In fact, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, $h, g \in H_i$, $l \in H_{\alpha\beta}$, $h^* \in H_\alpha^*$, $g^* \in H_\beta^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} h^{l_\alpha} g^{l_\alpha} &= \varepsilon(1_{1i}h)1_{2\alpha}\varepsilon(1'_{1i}g)1'_{2\alpha} \stackrel{(14)^{[11]}}{=} \varepsilon(S_i^{-1}(1_{2i}^l)h)\varepsilon(1_{1i}g)1_{3\alpha} = \varepsilon(S_i^{-1}(1_{2i})h)\varepsilon(1_{1i}g)1_{3\alpha} \\ &= \varepsilon(1_{2i}h)\varepsilon(S_i(1_{3i}g)S_\alpha(1_{1\alpha^{-1}})) \stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} \varepsilon(1_{2i}h^l g)S_\alpha(1_{1\alpha^{-1}}) \stackrel{(11)^{[11]}}{=} (h^l g)^{l_\alpha}; \\ (h^* \otimes g^*)(l_{1\alpha} g^{r_\alpha} \otimes l_{2\beta}) &= h^*(l_{1\alpha} g^{r_\alpha})g^*(l_{2\beta}) = h^*(l_{1\alpha} g^{r_\alpha} 1_\alpha)g^*(l_{3\beta})\varepsilon(l_{2i} g^{r_\alpha} 2_i) \stackrel{(16)^{[11]}}{=} h^*(l_{1\alpha} 1_\alpha)g^*(l_{3\beta})\varepsilon(l_{2i} 1_{2i} g^{r_i}) \\ &= h^*(l_{1\alpha})g^*(l_{3\beta})\varepsilon(l_{2i} g^{r_i}) \stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} h^*(l_{1\alpha})g^*(l_{2\beta} S_i(g^{r_i} l_\beta)) = h^*(l_{1\alpha})g^*(l_{2\beta} S_\beta(g^{r_{\beta^{-1}}})) = (h^* \otimes g^*)(l_{1\alpha} \otimes l_{2\beta} S_\beta(g^{r_{\beta^{-1}}}); \\ h^{l_\alpha} g^{r_\alpha} &= \varepsilon(1_{1i}h)1_{2\alpha}\varepsilon(g 1'_{2i})1'_{1\alpha} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \varepsilon(1_{1i}h)1'_{1\alpha} 1_{2\alpha}\varepsilon(g 1'_{2i}) = g^{r_\alpha} h^{l_\alpha}; \\ \varepsilon(h^{r_i} g) &= \varepsilon(1_{1i}g)\varepsilon(h 1_{2i}) \stackrel{(7)}{=} \varepsilon(hg). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can obtain the other equalities. \square

Definition 1.5. ([10]) Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra. An algebra A is called a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra if A is a right H - π -comodulelike object via $\rho = \{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\rho_\alpha(ab) = \rho_\alpha(a)\rho_\alpha(b), \quad a, b \in A, \quad \alpha \in \pi; \tag{14}$$

$$1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{((1, \alpha\beta)1_\alpha)} \otimes 1_{((1, \alpha\beta)2_\beta)} = 1_{[0]} \otimes 1'_{1_\alpha} 1_{((1, \alpha)} \otimes 1'_{2_\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \pi. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 1.6. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then

$$a_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1, i))^{l_\alpha}} = 1_{[0]} a \otimes 1_{((1, i), \alpha)}; \quad a_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1, i))^{r_\alpha}} = a 1_{[0]} \otimes S_\alpha(1_{((1, i), \alpha^{-1})}), \quad \alpha \in \pi, \quad a \in A. \tag{16}$$

Proof. In fact, for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and $a \in A$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} a_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1, i))^{l_\alpha}} &= a_{[0]} \otimes \varepsilon(1_{1i} a_{((1, i))}) 1_{2\alpha} = 1'_{[0]} a_{[0]} \otimes \varepsilon(1_{1i} 1'_{((1, i))} a_{((1, i))}) 1_{2\alpha} \stackrel{(15)}{=} 1'_{[0]} a_{[0]} \otimes \varepsilon(1'_{((1, i)) 1i} a_{((1, i))}) 1'_{((1, i)) 2\alpha} \\ &= 1'_{[0]} a \otimes 1'_{((1, i), \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can obtain the other. \square

Remark 1.7. Eq. (15) is equivalent to the first part of Eq. (16).

In fact, if $a_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1, i))^{l_\beta}} = 1_{[0]} a \otimes 1_{((1, i), \beta)}$, then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{((1, i, \beta\gamma)1_\beta)} \otimes 1_{((1, i, \beta\gamma)2_\gamma)} &\stackrel{(3)}{=} 1_{[0][0]} \otimes 1_{[0]((1, i), \beta)} \otimes 1_{((1, i), \gamma)} \stackrel{(16)}{=} 1_{[0][0]} \otimes 1_{[0]((1, i), \beta)} \otimes 1_{((1, i), i)^{l_\gamma}} \\ &= 1_{[0][0]} \otimes 1_{[0]((1, i), \beta)} \varepsilon(1'_{1i} 1_{((1, i), i)}) \otimes 1'_{2_\gamma} \stackrel{(3)}{=} 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{((1, i), \beta)1_\beta} \varepsilon(1'_{1i} 1_{((1, i), \beta)2_i}) \otimes 1'_{2_\gamma} \stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} 1_{[0]} \otimes 1'_{1i} 1_{((1, i), \beta) i} \otimes 1'_{2_\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.8. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra. A left (resp. right) π -integral for H is a family of k -linear forms $\lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \pi} H_\alpha^*$ such that

$$f \lambda_\alpha = f^{l_\beta} \lambda_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (\text{resp. } \lambda_\alpha f = \lambda_{\alpha\beta} f^{r_\beta}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \pi, \quad f \in H_\beta^*, \tag{17}$$

where $f^{l_\beta}, f^{r_\beta} \in H_i^*$, $f^{l_\beta}(h) = f(h^{l_\beta})$, $f^{r_\beta}(h) = f(h^{r_\beta})$, $h \in H_i$.

In addition, if for all $\alpha \in \pi$, $S_\alpha^*(\lambda_\alpha) = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}$, then we call $\lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ a S -fixed left (resp. right) integral for H .

Lemma 1.9. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra. Then the following assertions are satisfied for all $\alpha \in \pi$, $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1i} f^{l_\alpha} \otimes \varepsilon_{2i} &= f^{l_\alpha} \varepsilon_{1i} \otimes \varepsilon_{2i}; \\ \varepsilon_{1i} \otimes \varepsilon_{2i} f^{r_\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{1i} \otimes f^{r_\alpha} \varepsilon_{2i}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{l_\alpha}_{1i} \otimes f^{l_\alpha}_{2i} &= \varepsilon_{1i} f^{l_\alpha} \otimes \varepsilon_{2i}; \\ f^{r_\alpha}_{1i} \otimes f^{r_\alpha}_{2i} &= \varepsilon_{1i} \otimes \varepsilon_{2i} f^{r_\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$f_{1\alpha} S_i^{*-1}(g^{l_\beta}) \otimes f_{2\alpha} = f_{1\alpha} \otimes f_{2\alpha} g^{l_\beta}. \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha} \otimes f_{2\alpha} &= S_i^*(\varepsilon_{1i}) \otimes \varepsilon_{2i} f; \\ f_{1\alpha} \otimes f_{2\alpha}^{l_\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{1i} f \otimes \varepsilon_{2i}; \\ f_{1\alpha}^{r_\alpha} \otimes f_{2\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{1i} \otimes f \varepsilon_{2i}; \\ f_{1\alpha} \otimes f_{2\alpha}^{r_\alpha} &= f \varepsilon_{1i} \otimes S_i^*(\varepsilon_{2i}). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha} f_{2\alpha} &= f; \\ f_{1\alpha} f_{2\alpha}^{r_\alpha} &= f. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}_{2i}) f^{l_\alpha}_{1i} &= f^{l_\alpha}; \\ S_i^{*-1}(f^{r_\alpha}) &= S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}}; \\ S_i^{*-1}(f^{r_\alpha}) &= S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Proof. The first parts of (18)-(21) are obtained in the following way. Applying $x \otimes y \in H_i \otimes H_i$ to both sides of them, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \text{ LHS} &= \varepsilon_{1i}(x_{1i}) f^{l_\alpha}(x_{2i}) \varepsilon_{2i}(y) = \varepsilon(x_{1i}y) f(x_{2i}^{l_\alpha}) \stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} f((xy)^{l_\alpha}) \stackrel{(7)^{[11]}}{=} f((xy)^{l_\alpha}) = f(1_{2\alpha}) \varepsilon(1_{1i}xy) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} f(1_{2\alpha}) \varepsilon(1_{1i}x_{1i}) \varepsilon(x_{2i}y) = f(x_{1i}^{l_\alpha}) \varepsilon_{1i}(x_{2i}) \varepsilon_{2i}(y) = \text{RHS}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(19) \text{ LHS} = f^{l_\alpha}_{1i}(x) f^{l_\alpha}_{2i}(y) = f((xy)^{l_\alpha}) \stackrel{(7)^{[11]}}{=} f((xy)^{l_\alpha}) \stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} \varepsilon(x_{1i}y) f(x_{2i}^{l_\alpha}) = \varepsilon_{1i}(x_{1i}) f^{l_\alpha}(x_{2i}) \varepsilon_{2i}(y) = \text{RHS};$$

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \text{ LHS} &= f(x_{1i}y) g(S_i^{-1}(x_{2i})^{l_\beta}) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} f(x_{1i}y) g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(x_{2i}^{r_\beta})) \stackrel{(15)^{[11]}}{=} f(x_{1i}y) g(1_{2\beta}) \\ &\stackrel{(14)^{[11]}}{=} f(xy_{1i}) g(y_{2i}^{l_\beta}) = \text{RHS}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \text{ LHS} &= f(x^{l_\alpha}y) = \varepsilon(1_{1i}x) f(1_{2\alpha}y) \stackrel{(12)^{[11]}}{=} \varepsilon(S_i^{-1}(y_{1i}^{l_i})x) f(y_{2\alpha}) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} \varepsilon(S_i^{-1}(y_{1i})x) f(y_{2\alpha}) \\ &= \varepsilon(S_i(x)y_{1i}) f(y_{2\alpha}) = \text{RHS}, \end{aligned}$$

by similar computations we can establish the rest of (18)-(21).

We end by proving the first parts of (22)-(23). Applying $x \in H_i$ to both sides of (22) and (23), we get

$$(22) \text{ LHS} = (f_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha} f_{2\alpha})(x) = f(x_{1i}^{l_\alpha} x_{2i}) = \varepsilon(1_{1i}x_{1i}) f(1_{2\alpha}x_{2\alpha}) = f(x) = \text{RHS};$$

$$(23) \text{ LHS} = f(S_i^{-1}(x)^{l_\alpha}) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(x^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}})) = \text{RHS},$$

the rest of (22)-(23) can be analogously checked. \square

Lemma 1.10. *Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and $\lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ a left integral for H (resp. $\zeta = (\zeta_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ a right integral). Then the following conclusions hold for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, $f \in H_\beta^*$, $m \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_{\alpha^{-1}}^*$ and $h \in H_{\alpha\beta}$:*

$$\zeta_{\alpha 1\alpha} f_{1\beta} \otimes \zeta_{\alpha 2\alpha} f_{2\beta} = \zeta_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes \zeta_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta} f^{r_\beta}. \tag{24}$$

$$(m\lambda_\beta)_{1\alpha\beta} \otimes (m\lambda_\beta)_{2\alpha\beta} = m^{l_\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes \lambda_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta}. \tag{25}$$

$$\lambda_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes g \lambda_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(g) \lambda_{\beta 1\beta} \otimes \lambda_{\beta 2\beta}, \text{ (resp. } \zeta_{\alpha 1\alpha} f \otimes \zeta_{\alpha 2\alpha} = \zeta_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes \zeta_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta} S_{\beta^{-1}}^*(f)). \tag{26}$$

$$(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}))(h_{1\alpha}) f(h_{2\beta}) = S_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}^*(\lambda_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}})(h_{1\alpha\beta}) f(h_{2i}^{r_\beta}), \tag{27}$$

that is, $S^*(\lambda) = (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}))_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a right integral.

Proof. Applying $x \otimes y \in H_{\alpha\beta} \otimes H_{\alpha\beta}$ into two sides of (24)-(25), we have

$$(24) \text{ LHS} = \zeta_{\alpha}(x_{1\alpha}y_{1\alpha})f(x_{2\beta}y_{2\beta}) \stackrel{(17)}{=} \zeta_{\alpha\beta}(x_{1\alpha\beta}y_{1\alpha\beta})f((x_{2i}y_{2i})^{r_{\beta}}) \stackrel{(15)^{[11]}}{=} \zeta_{\alpha\beta}(xy_{1\alpha\beta})f(S_{\beta}(1_{2\beta^{-1}})) \\ \stackrel{(15)^{[11]}}{=} \zeta_{\alpha\beta}(xy_{1\alpha\beta})f(y_{2i}^{r_{\beta}}) = \text{RHS.}$$

$$(25) \text{ LHS} = m(x_{1\alpha}y_{1\alpha})\lambda_{\beta}(x_{2\beta}y_{2\beta}) \stackrel{(17)}{=} m((x_{1i}y_{1i})^{l_{\alpha}})\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(x_{2\alpha\beta}y_{2\alpha\beta}) \stackrel{(7)^{[11]}}{=} m((x_{1i}y_{1i})^{l_i})^{l_{\alpha}}\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(x_{2\alpha\beta}y_{2\alpha\beta}) \\ \stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} \varepsilon(x_{1i}y_{1i})m(x_{2i}^{l_{\alpha}})\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(x_{3\alpha\beta}y_{2\alpha\beta}) = m^{l_{\alpha}}(x_{1i})\lambda_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta}(x_{2\alpha\beta})\lambda_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta}(y) = \text{RHS.}$$

$$(26) \text{ LHS} = \varepsilon_{1i}\lambda_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes g\varepsilon_{2i}\lambda_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta} \stackrel{(21)}{=} g_{1\alpha^{-1}}^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}}\lambda_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes g_{2\alpha^{-1}}\lambda_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(g_{1\alpha^{-1}})g_{2\alpha^{-1}}\lambda_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha\beta} \otimes g_{3\alpha^{-1}}\lambda_{\alpha\beta 2\alpha\beta} \\ \stackrel{(25)}{=} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(g_{1\alpha^{-1}})g_{2\alpha^{-1}}^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}}\lambda_{\beta 1\beta} \otimes \lambda_{\beta 2\beta} = S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(g)\lambda_{\beta 1\beta} \otimes \lambda_{\beta 2\beta} = \text{RHS.}$$

$$(27) \text{ LHS} = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(h_{1\alpha}))f(h_{2\beta}) = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(h_{\alpha\beta})_{2\alpha^{-1}})S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(f)(S_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(h_{\alpha\beta})_{1\beta^{-1}}) \\ \stackrel{(17)}{=} \lambda_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(S_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(h_{1\alpha\beta}))f(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(S_i(h_{2i})^{l_{\beta^{-1}}})) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} \lambda_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(S_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(h_{1\alpha\beta}))f(h_{2i}^{r_{\beta}}) = \text{RHS.}$$

□

Definition 1.11. Let $H = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} H_{\alpha}$ be a weak Hopf algebra such that H is a π -graded algebra and each component $(H_{\alpha}, \Delta_{\alpha}, \varepsilon_{\alpha})$ is a coalgebra verifying $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(hg) = \Delta_{\alpha}(h)\Delta_{\beta}(g)$ for any $h \in H_{\alpha}$ and $g \in H_{\beta}$. An algebra A is called a left H - π -module algebra if A is a left H -module with a module structure decomposition H_{α} -module via $\bullet_{\alpha} : H_{\alpha} \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $h \bullet_{\alpha} a \mapsto h \bullet_{\alpha} a$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$, such that the following assertions are satisfied:

$$h \bullet_{\alpha}(ab) = (h_{1\alpha} \bullet_{\alpha} a)(h_{2\alpha} \bullet_{\alpha} b), \quad h \in H_{\alpha}, \quad a, b \in A. \tag{28}$$

$$h \bullet_{\alpha} 1 = h^{l_{\alpha}} \bullet_{\alpha} 1, \quad h \in H_{\alpha}. \tag{29}$$

Example 1.12. (1) Let H be a finitely dimensional weak Hopf π -coalgebra. Then it is easy to prove that $H^* = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} H_{\alpha}^*$ is a weak Hopf algebra such that each component $(H_{\alpha}^*, \mu_{\alpha}^*, \eta_{\alpha}^*)$ is a coalgebra verifying $\mu_{\alpha\beta}^*(fg) = (\mu_{\alpha}^* f)(\mu_{\beta}^* g)$ for any $f \in H_{\alpha}^*$ and $g \in H_{\beta}^*$.

(2) Let H be a finitely dimensional weak Hopf π -coalgebra. Then it is easy to show that an algebra A is a left H^* - π -module algebra if and only if it is a right H - π -comodulelike algebra. In fact, if A is a left H^* - π -module algebra, as in the weak Hopf case, A becomes a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra via $\rho = \{\rho_{\alpha}(a) = u_{\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} a \otimes u_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$, where u_{α}^* and u_{α} are dual basis in H_{α}^* and H_{α} . Firstly we have the fact $u_{\alpha\beta}^* \otimes u_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha} \otimes u_{\alpha\beta 2\beta} = u_{\alpha}^* u_{\beta}^* \otimes u_{\alpha} \otimes u_{\beta}$ and $u_{\alpha 1\alpha}^* \otimes u_{\alpha 2\alpha}^* \otimes u_{\alpha} = u_{\alpha}^* \otimes u_{\alpha}^* \otimes u_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$. Now we show that A is a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Indeed, for any $a, b \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we have

$$a_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1),\alpha\beta)1\alpha} \otimes a_{((1),\alpha\beta)2\beta} = u_{\alpha\beta}^* \bullet_{\alpha\beta} a \otimes u_{\alpha\beta 1\alpha} \otimes u_{\alpha\beta 2\beta} = u_{\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} (u_{\beta}^* \bullet_{\beta} a) \otimes u_{\alpha} \otimes u_{\beta} = a_{[0][0]} \otimes a_{[0]((1),\alpha)} \otimes a_{((1),\beta)}; \\ \varepsilon(a_{((1),i)})a_{[0]} = u_i^* \bullet_i a \varepsilon(u_i) = \varepsilon \bullet_i a = a; \\ (ab)_{[0]} \otimes (ab)_{((1),\alpha)} = u_{\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} (ab) \otimes u_{\alpha} = (u_{\alpha 1\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} a)(u_{\alpha 2\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} b) \otimes u_{\alpha} = (u_{\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} a)(u_{\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} b) \otimes u_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} = a_{[0]} b_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1),\alpha)} b_{((1),\alpha)}; \\ 1_{[0]} a \otimes 1_{((1),\alpha)} = (u_{\alpha}^* \bullet_{\alpha} 1) a \otimes u_{\alpha} \stackrel{(29)}{=} (u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}} \bullet_{\alpha} 1) a \otimes u_{\alpha} \stackrel{(28)}{=} (\varepsilon_{1\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}} \bullet_{\alpha} 1)(\varepsilon_{2\alpha} \bullet_{\alpha} a) \otimes u_{\alpha} = (u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}} \bullet_{\alpha} 1)(u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}} \bullet_{\alpha} a) \otimes u_{\alpha} \\ \stackrel{(28)}{=} u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}} \bullet_{\alpha} a \otimes u_{\alpha} = u_i^* \bullet_i a \otimes u_i^{l_{\alpha}} = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{((1),i)}^{l_{\alpha}},$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that $u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}} \otimes u_{\alpha} = u_i^* \otimes u_i^{l_{\alpha}}$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. In fact, applying $h \otimes f \in H_i \otimes H_{\alpha}^*$ into the two sides we have $\text{LHS} = u_{\alpha}^{l_{\alpha}}(h)f(u_{\alpha}) = u_{\alpha}^*(h^{l_{\alpha}})f(u_{\alpha}) = f(h^{l_{\alpha}}) = \text{RHS}$.

Conversely, if A is a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra, then A becomes a left H^* - π -module algebra via

$$\bullet = \{f \bullet_{\alpha} a = f(a_{((1),\alpha)})a_{[0]}, \quad f \in H_{\alpha}^*, \quad a \in A\}_{\alpha \in \pi}.$$

In fact, for any $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$, $a, b \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f \bullet_\alpha (g \bullet_\beta a) &= g(a_{([1, \beta])}) f(a_{[0]([1, \alpha])}) a_{[0][0]} \stackrel{(3)}{=} g(a_{([1, \alpha \beta]_{2\beta})}) h(a_{([1, \alpha \beta]_{1\alpha})}) a_{[0]} = (fg)(a_{([1, \alpha \beta])}) a_{[0]} = (fg) \bullet_{\alpha\beta} a; \\ \varepsilon \bullet_i a &= \varepsilon(a_{([1, i])}) a_{[0]} = a; \\ f \bullet_\alpha (ab) &= f((ab)_{([1, \alpha])}) (ab)_{[0]} \stackrel{(14)}{=} f(a_{([1, \alpha])} b_{([1, \alpha])}) a_{[0]} b_{[0]} = f_{1\alpha}(a_{([1, \alpha])}) a_{[0]} f_{2\alpha}(b_{([1, \alpha])}) a_{[0]} = (f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha a)(f_{2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha b); \\ f \bullet_\alpha 1 &= f(1_{([1, \alpha])}) 1_{[0]} \stackrel{(16)}{=} f(1_{([1, i])}^{l_\alpha}) 1_{[0]} = f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_\alpha 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.13. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then $A \#_{H^*} H^* = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} (A \#_{H_\alpha^*} H_\alpha^*)$ is an associative algebra with the unit $1 \# \varepsilon$, where the multiplication defined by $(a \# f)(b \# g) = a(f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha b) \# f_{2\alpha} g$ and A is a right H_α^* -module via the action given by $a \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha} = S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}) \bullet_i a$, $a, b \in A$, $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$.

Proof. Firstly we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} a \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha} &= S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}) \bullet_i a \stackrel{(28)}{=} (S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}))_{1i} \bullet_i a (S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}))_{2i} \bullet_i 1 \stackrel{(19)}{=} (\varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i a)(\varepsilon_{2i} S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}) \bullet_i 1) \\ &\stackrel{(28)}{=} a(S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}) \bullet_i 1) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} a(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i 1). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Now we prove that the multiplication is reasonable. In fact, for any $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$, $l \in H_\gamma^*$, $a, b \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (a \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha} \# l)(b \# g) &= a(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i 1)(l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} g \stackrel{(28)}{=} a(\varepsilon_{1i} f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i 1)(\varepsilon_{2i} l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} g \stackrel{(19)}{=} a(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i 1)(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_{2i} l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} g \\ &\stackrel{(28)}{=} a(f^{l_\alpha} l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} g = (a \# f^{l_\alpha} l)(b \# g); \\ (a \# l)(b \# f^{l_\alpha} g) &= a(l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} f^{l_\alpha} g \stackrel{(20)}{=} a(l_{1\gamma} S_i^{*-1}(f^{l_\alpha}) \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} g \stackrel{(23)}{=} a(l_{1\gamma} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}} \bullet_\gamma b) \# l_{2\gamma} g \\ &\stackrel{(28)}{=} a(l_{1\gamma} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}} \bullet_{1i} \bullet_\gamma b)(l_{2\gamma} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}} \bullet_{2i} \bullet_\gamma 1) \# l_{3\gamma} g \stackrel{(19)}{=} a(l_{1\gamma} \varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_\gamma b)(l_{2\gamma} \varepsilon_{2i} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}} \bullet_\gamma 1) \# l_{3\gamma} g \\ &= a(l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b)(l_{2\gamma} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f)^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}} \bullet_\gamma 1) \# l_{3\gamma} g \stackrel{(29)}{=} a(l_{1\gamma} \bullet_\gamma b)(l_{2\gamma} f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_\gamma 1) \# l_{3\gamma} g = (a \# l)(b \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha} \# g). \end{aligned}$$

Next we have to show that $A \# H^*$ is a unital associative algebra. In fact, for any $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$, $l \in H_\gamma^*$, $a, b, c \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} [(a \# f)(b \# g)](c \# l) &= a(f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha b)(f_{2\alpha} g_{1\beta} \bullet_\beta c) \# f_{3\alpha} g_{2\beta} l \stackrel{(17)}{=} a(f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha (b g_{1\beta} \bullet_\beta c)) \# f_{2\alpha} g_{2\beta} l = (a \# f)[(b \# g)(c \# l)]; \\ (a \# f)(1 \# \varepsilon) &= a(f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha 1) \# f_{2\alpha} = a \# f_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha} f_{2\alpha} \stackrel{(22)}{=} a \# f; \\ (1 \# \varepsilon)(a \# f) &= \varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i a \# \varepsilon_{2i} f \stackrel{(21)'}{=} \varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i a \# \varepsilon_{2i}^{l_i} f = (\varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i a)(\varepsilon_{2i} \bullet_i 1) \# f \stackrel{(28)}{=} a \# f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

For simplicity we denote $a \# f$ by af for any $a \in A$ and $f \in H_\alpha^*$, and hence we have the following assertions.

Lemma 1.14. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then for all $a \in A$, $b \in A^{coH}$ and $f \in H_\alpha^*$,

$$fa = (f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha a) f_{2\alpha}, \tag{31}$$

$$fb = bf, \tag{32}$$

$$f_{2\alpha} (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f_{1\alpha}) \bullet_\alpha a) = af. \tag{33}$$

Proof. In fact, for any $a \in A$, $b \in A^{coH}$ and $f \in H_\alpha^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} fa &= (1\sharp f)(a\sharp \varepsilon) = (f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha a)\sharp f_{2\alpha} = (f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha a)f_{2\alpha}; \\ fb &= (f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha b)f_{2\alpha} = f(b_{([1],\alpha)})b_{[0]}f_{2\alpha} = f_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha}(b_{([1],i)})b_{[0]}f_{2\alpha} = (f_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i b)f_{2\alpha} \stackrel{(21)}{=} (S_i^*(\varepsilon_{1i}) \bullet_i b)\varepsilon_{2i}f_{2\alpha} \stackrel{(21)}{=} (\varepsilon_{1i}^{l_i} \bullet_i b)\varepsilon_{2i}f_{2\alpha} \\ &= (\varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i b)\varepsilon_{2i}f_{2\alpha} = bS_i^*(\varepsilon_{1i})\varepsilon_{2i}f = bf; \\ f_{2\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f_{1\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} a) &= ((f_{2\alpha} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f_{1\alpha})) \bullet_i a)f_{3\alpha} \stackrel{(23)}{=} (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f_{1\alpha})^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}} \bullet_i a)f_{2\alpha} = af_{1\alpha}^{l_\alpha} f_{2\alpha} \stackrel{(22)}{=} af. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then A^{coH} is a subalgebra of A and so A is both a left A^{coH} -module and right A^{coH} -module via the multiplication. Directly from Lemma 1.6 it is clear that $1 \in A^{coH}$. In fact, for any $a, b \in A^{coH}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)_{[0]} \otimes (ab)_{([1],\alpha)} &= a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes a_{([1],i)}^{l_\alpha} b_{([1],i)}^{l_\alpha} \stackrel{(11)}{=} a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes (a_{([1],i)}^{l_i} b_{([1],i)})^{l_\alpha} = a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes (a_{([1],i)} b_{([1],i)})^{l_\alpha} \\ &= (ab)_{[0]} \otimes (ab)_{([1],i)}^{l_\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

thus $ab \in A^{coH}$.

Lemma 1.15. *Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then*

(1) *A is a left and right π -graded $A\sharp H^*$ -module under the graded action given by for all $a, b \in A$, $f \in H_\alpha^*$,*

$$(a\sharp f) \triangleright b = a(f \bullet_\alpha b), \quad b \triangleleft (a\sharp f) = S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}(f) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}}(ba).$$

(2) *A is both A^{coH} - $A\sharp H^*$ -bimodule and $A\sharp H^*$ - A^{coH} -bimodule, where A is A^{coH} -module via the multiplication.*

Proof. To prove the statement (1) we firstly claim that the above actions are reasonable. In fact, for any $a, b \in A$, $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha} \sharp g) \triangleright b &= (a \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha})(g \bullet_\beta b) \stackrel{(30)}{=} a(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i 1)(g \bullet_\beta b) \stackrel{(28)}{=} a(\varepsilon_{1i} f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_i 1)(\varepsilon_{2i} g \bullet_\beta b) \stackrel{(19)}{=} a(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_{1i} \bullet_i 1)(f^{l_\alpha} \bullet_{2i} g \bullet_\beta b) \\ &\stackrel{(28)}{=} a(f^{l_\alpha} g \bullet_\beta b) = (a\sharp f^{l_\alpha} g) \triangleright b; \\ b \triangleleft (a \leftarrow f^{l_\alpha} \sharp g) &= g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})} 1_{[0]([1],\beta^{-1})}))f(1_{([1],i)}^{l_\alpha})b_{[0]}a_{[0]}1_{[0][0]} \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})} 1_{([1],\beta^{-1})} 1'_{1\beta^{-1}}))f(1_{2i}^{l_\alpha})b_{[0]}a_{[0]}1_{[0]} = g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})} 1'_{1\beta^{-1}}))f(1_{2i}^{l_\alpha})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(15)(11)}{=} g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}((b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})})_{1\beta^{-1}}))f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}((b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})})_{2i}^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}}))b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(Th.1.8)(11)}{=} g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})})_{2\beta^{-1}})f(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})})_{1i}^{l_\alpha})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &= (f^{l_\alpha} g)(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],\beta^{-1})}))b_{[0]}a_{[0]} = b \triangleleft (a\sharp f^{l_\alpha} g). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly we prove that A exactly is a left and right $A\sharp H^*$ -module. In fact, for any $a, b, c \in A$, $f \in H_\alpha^*$, $g \in H_\beta^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(a\sharp f)(b\sharp g)] \triangleright c &= (a(f_{1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha b)\sharp f_{2\alpha} g) \triangleright c = af_{1\alpha}(b_{([1],\alpha)})f_{2\alpha}(c_{([1],\alpha\beta 1\alpha)})g(c_{([1],\alpha\beta 2\beta)})b_{[0]}c_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} af_{1\alpha}(b_{([1],\alpha)})f_{2\alpha}(c_{[0]([1],\alpha)})g(c_{([1],\beta)})b_{[0]}c_{[0][0]} = (a\sharp f) \triangleright ((b\sharp g) \triangleright c); \\ (1\sharp \varepsilon) \triangleright c &= \varepsilon(c_{([1],i)})c_{[0]} = c; \\ c \triangleleft [(a\sharp f)(b\sharp g)] &= f_{2\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})} a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})} b_{[0]([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})}))f_{1\alpha}(b_{([1],\alpha)}) \\ &\times g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})} b_{[0]([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})}))c_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0][0]} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1],\beta^{-1})} 2i^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}})S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})} a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})}))g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})} b_{([1],\beta^{-1})1\beta^{-1}}))c_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(14)(11)}{=} f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(1_{2\alpha^{-1}})S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})} a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})}))g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})} a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})} 1_{1\beta^{-1}} b_{([1],\beta^{-1})}))c_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})}a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}2\alpha^{-1})}))g(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})}a_{([1],(\alpha\beta)^{-1}1\beta^{-1})}b_{([1],\beta^{-1})}))c_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \\
 &= (c_{[0]}a_{[0]}) \triangleleft (b\sharp g)f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(c_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}a_{([1],\alpha^{-1})})) = (c \triangleleft (a\sharp f)) \triangleleft (b\sharp g); \\
 c \triangleleft (1\sharp \varepsilon) &= \varepsilon(S_i^{-1}(c_{([1],i)}))c_{[0]} = \varepsilon(c_{([1],i)})c_{[0]} = c.
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove the statement (2) we only need to show that A is a bimodule. In fact, for any $a, b \in A$, $f \in H_{\alpha}^*$, $x \in A^{coH}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (xa) \triangleleft (b\sharp f) &= f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(x_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}a_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}b_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}))x_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \stackrel{(Th.1.8)^{[11]}}{=} f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(S_{\alpha}^{-1}(x_{([1],i)}^{r_{\alpha}})a_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}b_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}))x_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \\
 &\stackrel{(15)}{=} f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(1_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}a_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}b_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}))x1_{[0]}a_{[0]}b_{[0]} = f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(a_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}b_{([1],\alpha^{-1})}))xa_{[0]}b_{[0]} = x(a \triangleleft (b\sharp f)); \\
 (b\sharp f) \triangleright (ax) &= ba_{[0]}x_{[0]}f(a_{([1],\alpha)}x_{([1],\alpha)}) = ba_{[0]}x_{[0]}f(a_{([1],\alpha)}x_{([1],i)}^{l_{\alpha}}) \stackrel{(15)}{=} ba_{[0]}1_{[0]}xf(a_{([1],\alpha)}1_{([1],\alpha)}) \\
 &= ba_{[0]}xf(a_{([1],\alpha)}) = ((b\sharp f) \triangleright a)x.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 1.16. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra with S -fixed left integral $\lambda = (\lambda_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then for any $a \in A$, $f \in H_{\beta}^*$,

$$\lambda_{\alpha}af = \lambda_{\alpha}(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}a). \tag{34}$$

Proof. Firstly by (26) and $S_{\alpha}^*(\lambda_{\alpha}) = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}$, we have

$$S_{\alpha^{-1}\beta}^*(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}\beta 2\alpha^{-1}\beta}) \otimes fS_{\alpha^{-1}\beta}^*(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}\beta 1\alpha^{-1}\beta}) = S_{\beta}^*(f)S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}2\alpha^{-1}}) \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}}^*(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}1\alpha^{-1}}),$$

applying $S_{\alpha^{-1}\beta}^{*-1} \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{*-1}$ to both sides of the above equality we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}\beta 2\alpha^{-1}\beta} \otimes \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}\beta 1\alpha^{-1}\beta} S_{\beta}^{*-1}(f) = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}2\alpha^{-1}} f \otimes \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}1\alpha^{-1}}. \tag{35}$$

For any $a \in A$, $f \in H_{\beta}^*$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_{\alpha}af &\stackrel{(33)}{=} \lambda_{\alpha}f_{2\beta}(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\beta}a) \stackrel{(31)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha}f_{2\beta}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\alpha}a)\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}f_{3\beta} \stackrel{(24)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}a)\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}f_{2\beta}^{r_{\beta}} \\
 &\stackrel{(35)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha}S_i^{*-1}(f2_{\beta}^{r_{\beta}})S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}a)\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \stackrel{(23)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f2_{\beta}^{l_{\beta}})S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}a)\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \\
 &\stackrel{(19)}{=} ((\lambda_{\alpha}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f2_{\beta}^{l_{\beta}})_{1\alpha} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}a)(\lambda_{\alpha}S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f2_{\beta}^{l_{\beta}})_{2\alpha} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f1_{\beta}) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}a)) = \lambda_{\alpha}(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}a),
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

After these preparations we can give the main result.

Theorem 1.17. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra with S -fixed left integral $\lambda = (\lambda_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and A a right weak H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then $[A^{coH}, A^{coH}, A_{A\sharp H^*}, A_{A\sharp H^*}, A_{A^{coH}}, \tau, \chi]$ forms a Morita context, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau : A \otimes_{A^{coH}} A &\rightarrow A\sharp H^*, \quad \tau(a \otimes b) = (a\lambda_{\alpha}b)_{\alpha \in \pi}, \\
 \chi : A \otimes_{A\sharp H^*} A &\rightarrow A^{coH}, \quad \chi(a \otimes b) = (\lambda_{\alpha} \bullet_{\alpha}(ab))_{\alpha \in \pi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 1.15 we know that A is both an A^{coH} - $A\sharp H^*$ -bimodules and an $A\sharp H^*$ - A^{coH} -bimodules. To verify the conditions for a Morita context we have to show that τ is an $A\sharp H^*$ -bimodule map which is middle A^{coH} -linear, χ is an A^{coH} -bimodule map which is middle $A\sharp H^*$ -linear and associativity holds.

Firstly we claim that τ is an $A\sharp H^*$ -bimodule map which is middle A^{coH} -linear. In fact, for any $a, b, c \in A$, $x \in A^{coH}$ and $f \in H_{\beta}^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c\sharp f)\tau(a \otimes b) &= cfa\lambda_{\alpha}b \stackrel{(31)}{=} c(f1_{\beta} \bullet_{\beta}a)f_{2\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}b \stackrel{(17)}{=} c(f1_{\beta} \bullet_{\beta}a)f_{2\beta}^{l_{\beta}}\lambda_{\alpha}b \stackrel{(30)}{=} c(f \bullet_{\beta}a)\lambda_{\alpha}b = \tau((c\sharp f) \triangleright a \otimes b); \\
 \tau(a \otimes b \triangleleft (c\sharp f)) &= \tau(a \otimes S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}(bc)) = a\lambda_{\alpha}(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{*-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}(bc)) \stackrel{(34)}{=} a\lambda_{\alpha}bcf = \tau(a \otimes b)(c\sharp f);
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau(ax \otimes b) = ax\lambda_\alpha b \stackrel{(32)}{=} a\lambda_\alpha xb = \tau(a \otimes xb).$$

Secondly we prove that χ is an A^{coH} -bimodule map which is middle $A\sharp H^*$ -linear. In fact, for any $a, b, c \in A$, $x \in A^{coH}$ and $f \in H_\beta^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(xa \otimes b) &= \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (xab) \stackrel{(28)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha x)(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha (ab)) = (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha x)(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha (ab)) \stackrel{(21)}{=} (S_i^*(\varepsilon_{1i}) \bullet_i x)(\varepsilon_{2i} \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab)) \\ &= (\varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i x)(\varepsilon_{2i} \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab)) = (\varepsilon_{1i} \bullet_i x)(\varepsilon_{2i} \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab)) \stackrel{(28)}{=} \varepsilon \bullet_i (x(\lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab))) = x(\lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab)) = x\chi(a \otimes b); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(a \otimes bx) &= \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (abx) \stackrel{(28)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha (ab))(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha x) = (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha (ab))(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha x) \stackrel{(21)}{=} (\varepsilon_{1i} \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab))(\varepsilon_{2i} \bullet_i x) \\ &\stackrel{(28)}{=} \varepsilon \bullet_i ((\lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab))x) = (\lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab))x = \chi(a \otimes b)x; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(a \triangleleft (b\sharp f) \otimes c) &= \chi(S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}(ab) \otimes c) = \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha ((S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}(ab))c) \stackrel{(28)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} S_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}(f) \bullet_{\beta^{-1}}(ab))(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha c) \\ &\stackrel{(35)}{=} (\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha (ab))(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} f \bullet_{\alpha\beta} c) \stackrel{(28)}{=} \lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (ab(f \bullet_\beta c)) = \chi(a \otimes b(f \bullet_\beta c)) = \chi(a \otimes (b\sharp f) \triangleright c). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we claim that the associativity holds, that is, $\chi(a \otimes b)c = a \triangleleft \tau(b \otimes c)$ and $\tau(a \otimes b) \triangleright c = a\chi(b \otimes c)$ for any $a, b, c \in A$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \triangleleft \tau(b \otimes c) &= a \triangleleft (b\lambda_\alpha c) \stackrel{(31)}{=} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}}(ab(\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha c)) \stackrel{(28)}{=} (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 4\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} a)(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 3\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} b)(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}) \lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha c) \\ &= (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 3\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} a)(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} b)(S_i^{*-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha c) \bullet_i c) \stackrel{(21)}{=} (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} a)(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \varepsilon_{2i}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} b)(S_i^{*-1}(\varepsilon_{1i}) \bullet_i c) \\ &= (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} a)(\varepsilon_{1i} S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} b)(\varepsilon_{2i} \bullet_i c) \stackrel{(28)}{=} (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} a)(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha}) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}} b)c \stackrel{(28)}{=} (S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\lambda_\alpha) \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}}(ab))c \\ &= (\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}} \bullet_{\alpha^{-1}}(ab))c = \chi(a \otimes b)c; \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau(a \otimes b) \triangleright c = (a\lambda_\alpha b) \triangleright c = a(\lambda_{\alpha 1\alpha} \bullet_\alpha b)(\lambda_{\alpha 2\alpha} \bullet_\alpha c) \stackrel{(28)}{=} a(\lambda_\alpha \bullet_\alpha (bc)) = a\chi(b \otimes c).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra, then there exists a weak Hopf π -coalgebra $(H_i^{l_\alpha} H_i^{r_\alpha}, \mu_\alpha, \eta_\alpha, \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \varepsilon, S_\alpha)_{\alpha,\beta \in \pi}$ denoted by $H^l H^r$. In fact, for any $a, b, c, d \in H_i$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a^{l_\alpha} b^{r_\alpha})(c^{l_\alpha} d^{r_\alpha}) &\stackrel{(13)}{=} (a^{l_\alpha} c^{l_\alpha})(b^{r_\alpha} d^{r_\alpha}) \stackrel{(11)}{=} (a^l c)^{l_\alpha} (b^r d)^{r_\alpha} \in H_i^{l_\alpha} H_i^{r_\alpha}; \\ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(a^{l_\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta}) &= a^{l_\alpha\beta} 1_\alpha b^{r_\alpha\beta} 1_\alpha \otimes a^{l_\alpha\beta} 2_\beta b^{r_\alpha\beta} 2_\beta \stackrel{(16)^{[11]}}{=} 1_{1\alpha} 1'_{1\alpha} a^{l_\alpha} \otimes 1_{2\beta} 1'_{2\beta} b^{r_\beta} \stackrel{(13)}{=} a^{l_\alpha} 1_{1\alpha} \otimes 1_{2\beta} b^{r_\beta} \\ &\stackrel{(10)^{[11]}}{=} a^{l_\alpha} 1_{1i}^{r_\alpha} \otimes 1_{2i}^{l_\beta} b^{r_\beta} \in H_i^{l_\alpha} H_i^{r_\alpha} \otimes H_i^{l_\beta} H_i^{r_\beta}; \\ S_\alpha(a^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}} b^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}}) &= S_\alpha(b^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}}) S_\alpha(a^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}}) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} S_i(b)^{l_\alpha} S_i(a)^{r_\alpha} \in H_i^{l_\alpha} H_i^{r_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\alpha \in \pi$, $B = H_i^{r_\alpha}$ is a weak right $H^l H^r$ - π -comodulelike algebra via the coaction $\rho = \{\rho_\beta = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\beta \in \pi}$. Directly from the equity (11) B is an algebra and from Eq. (16) in [11] it is also a weak right $H^l H^r$ - π -comodulelike algebra.

Example 1.18. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra, then there exists S -fixed left integral $\lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ for $U = H^l H^R$, hence we have a Morita context $[B^{coU}, B^{coU}, B_{B\sharp U^*, B\sharp U^*}, B_{B^{coU}}, \tau, \chi]$.

We define $\lambda_\alpha : U_\alpha = H_i^{l_\alpha} H_i^{r_\alpha} \rightarrow k$, $\lambda_\alpha(a^{l_\alpha} b^{r_\alpha}) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)$, then we claim that $\lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a S -fixed left integral. Indeed, for any $f \in U_\alpha^*$, $a, b \in H_i$, $(S_\alpha^*(\lambda_\alpha))(a^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}} b^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}}) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b) = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}(a^{l_{\alpha^{-1}}} b^{r_{\alpha^{-1}}})$, it means that $S_\alpha^*(\lambda_\alpha) = \lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}$. Also we compute

$$\begin{aligned} f((a^{l_\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta})_{1i}^{l_\alpha}) \lambda_{\alpha\beta}((a^{l_\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta})_{2\alpha\beta}) &\stackrel{(16)^{[11]}}{=} f((1_{1i} 1'_{1i} a^{l_i})^{l_\alpha}) \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(1_{2\alpha\beta} 1'_{2\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta}) = f((1_{1i} a^{l_i})^{l_\alpha}) \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(1_{2i}^{l_\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta}) \\ &= f((1_{1i} a^{l_i})^{l_\alpha}) \varepsilon(1_{2i}^{l_\alpha\beta}) \varepsilon(b^{r_\alpha\beta}) = f(a^{l_\alpha}) \varepsilon(b) = f((a^{l_\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta})_{1\alpha}) \lambda_\beta((a^{l_\alpha\beta} b^{r_\alpha\beta})_{2\beta}), \text{ so } \lambda = (\lambda_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} \text{ is a left integral.} \end{aligned}$$

2. The Morita Contexts for Weak π -Galois Extensions

In this section we will construct a Morita context for a weak π -Galois extension which extends the results in [6].

Definition 2.1. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. A right A -module M is called a weak right (H, A) - π -Hopf module if M is also a weak right H - π -comodulelike object such that the following compatibility condition holds for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $a \in A$ and $m \in M$,

$$(m \cdot a)_{[0]} \otimes (m \cdot a)_{([1],\alpha)} = m_{[0]} \cdot a_{[0]} \otimes m_{([1],\alpha)} a_{([1],\alpha)}. \tag{36}$$

Example 2.2. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra via $\rho = \{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. Then

(1) $A \otimes_{A^{coH}} A$ is a weak right (H, A) - π -Hopf module via the right action and coaction given by $(a \otimes b) \circ c = a \otimes bc$ and $(a \otimes b)_{[0]} \otimes (a \otimes b)_{([1],\alpha)} = a \otimes b_{[0]} \otimes b_{([1],\alpha)}$ for any $a, b, c \in A$ respectively. Obviously $A \otimes_{A^{coH}} A$ is a right A -module, in what follows we only show that the coaction is reasonable, the other proof is trivial. Indeed, for any $a, b, c \in A$ and $x \in A^{coH}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes xb)_{[0]} \otimes (a \otimes xb)_{([1],\alpha)} &= a \otimes x_{[0]} b_{[0]} \otimes x_{([1],\alpha)} b_{([1],\alpha)} = a \otimes x_{[0]} b_{[0]} \otimes x_{([1],i)} {}^i a_{([1],\alpha)} \\ &\stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} a \otimes x_{[0]} b_{[0]} \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(x_{([1],i)} r_{\alpha^{-1}}) b_{([1],\alpha)} \stackrel{(16)}{=} a \otimes x_{[0]} b_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1],\alpha)} b_{([1],\alpha)} = ax \otimes b_{[0]} \otimes b_{([1],\alpha)} \\ &= (ax \otimes b)_{[0]} \otimes (ax \otimes b)_{([1],\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) $A \# \overline{H} = ((A \otimes H_\alpha) / \ker \theta_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a weak right (H, A) - π -Hopf module where $\overline{H} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} H_\alpha$, $\theta_\alpha : A \otimes H_\alpha \rightarrow A \otimes H_\alpha$, $a \otimes h \mapsto a_{[0]} \otimes h_{([1],\alpha)}$, and the right action and coaction are defined by $(a \# h) \cdot b = a_{[0]} \# hb_{([1],\alpha)}$ and $(a \# g)_{[0]} \otimes (a \# g)_{([1],\alpha)} = (a \# g_{1\alpha}) \otimes g_{2\beta}$, $a, b \in A$, $h \in H_\alpha$, $g \in H_{\alpha\beta}$ respectively. Obviously $\theta_\alpha^2 = \theta_\alpha$.

Here we only show that the right action and coaction on $A \# \overline{H}$ are well-defined, the other proof is easy and obvious. In fact, for any $a, b \in A$, $h \in H_\alpha$, $g \in H_{\alpha\beta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{[0]} \# h_{([1],\alpha)}) \cdot b &= a_{[0]} b_{[0]} \# h_{([1],\alpha)} b_{([1],\alpha)} = ab_{[0]} \# hb_{([1],\alpha)} = (a \# h) \cdot b; \\ (a_{[0]} \# g_{1\alpha})_{[0]} \otimes (a_{[0]} \# g_{1\alpha})_{([1],\alpha\beta)} &= a_{[0]} \# g_{1\alpha} 1_{([1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha} g_{2\beta} 1_{([1],\alpha\beta)2\beta} \stackrel{(15)}{=} a_{[0]} \# g_{1\alpha} 1'_{1\alpha} 1_{([1],\alpha)} \otimes g_{2\beta} 1'_{2\beta} \\ &= a_{[0]} \# g_{1\alpha} 1_{([1],\alpha)} \otimes g_{2\beta} = a \# g_{1\alpha} \otimes g_{2\beta} = (a \# g)_{[0]} \otimes (a \# g)_{([1],\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. ([8]) Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. We say that $A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A$ is a weak right π -Galois extension (or weak right group Galois extension) if $can = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} can_\alpha$ is bijective as a left A -linear and weak right H - π -colinear map, where $can_\alpha : A \otimes_{A^{coH}} A \rightarrow A \# H_\alpha$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab_{[0]} \otimes b_{([1],\alpha)}$, $a, b \in A$.

Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A be a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Set $\widehat{Hom}(H, A) = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, where $\widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A) = Hom(H_\alpha, A) / \ker \omega_\alpha$, $\omega_\alpha : Hom(H_\alpha, A) \rightarrow Hom(H_\alpha, A)$,

$$\omega_\alpha(f)(h) = \widehat{f}(h) = f(h 1_{([1],\alpha)}) 1_{[0]}, \quad f \in Hom(H_\alpha, A), \quad h \in H_\alpha.$$

Clearly $\omega_\alpha^2 = \omega_\alpha$.

Lemma 2.4. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ is a unital π -graded algebra, where the multiplication is defined by for any $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $g \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\beta, A)$, $x \in H_{\beta\alpha}$, $(fg)(x) = f(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} g(x_{1\beta} f(x_{2\alpha})_{([1],\beta)})$ and the unit is given by $\widehat{\varepsilon} 1_A : H_i \rightarrow A$, $(\widehat{\varepsilon} 1_A)(h) = \varepsilon(h 1_{([1],i)}) 1_{[0]}$.

Proof. First we show that the multiplication is reasonable. In fact, for any $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $g \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\beta, A)$, $x \in H_{\beta\alpha}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_\alpha(f)g)(x) &= 1_{[0]} f(x_{2\alpha} 1_{([1],\alpha)})_{[0]} g(x_{1\beta} 1_{[0]} f(x_{2\alpha} 1_{([1],\alpha)})_{([1],\beta)}) \stackrel{(15)}{=} 1_{[0]} f(x_{2\alpha} 1'_{2\alpha})_{[0]} g(x_{1\beta} 1'_{1\beta} 1_{([1],\beta)}) f(x_{2\alpha} 1'_{2\alpha})_{([1],\beta)} \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{=} f(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} g(x_{1\beta} f(x_{2\alpha} 1'_{2\alpha})_{([1],\beta)}) = (fg)(x); \\ (f\omega_\beta(g))(x) &= f(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} g(x_{1\beta} f(x_{2\alpha})_{([1],\beta)}) 1_{([1],\beta)} \stackrel{(14)}{=} f(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} g(x_{1\beta} f(x_{2\alpha})_{([1],\beta)}) = (fg)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ is a unital π -graded algebra. In fact, for any $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $g \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\beta, A)$, $l \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\gamma, A)$, $y \in H_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$, $x \in H_\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((fg)l)(y) &= f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{[0][0]} g(y_{2\beta\alpha 1\beta} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{([1, \beta])})_{[0]} l(y_{1\gamma} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{[0]([1, \gamma])})_{[0]} g(y_{2\beta\alpha 1\beta} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{([1, \beta])})_{([1, \gamma])}) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{[0]} g(y_{2\beta\alpha 1\beta} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]2\beta)})_{[0]} l(y_{1\gamma} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]1\gamma)})_{[0]} g(y_{2\beta\alpha 1\beta} f(y_{2\beta\alpha 2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]2\beta)})_{([1, \gamma])}) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} f(y_{2\alpha})_{[0]} g(y_{1\gamma\beta 2\beta} f(y_{2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]2\beta)})_{[0]} l(y_{1\gamma\beta 1\gamma} f(y_{2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]1\gamma)})_{[0]} g(y_{1\gamma\beta 2\beta} f(y_{2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]2\beta)})_{([1, \gamma])}) \\ &= f(y_{2\alpha})_{[0]} (gl)(y_{1\gamma\beta} f(y_{2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta])}) = (f(gl))(y); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f\widehat{1}_A)(x) &= f(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \varepsilon(x_{1i} f(x_{2\alpha})_{([1, i])}) 1_{([1, i])} = f(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} \varepsilon(x_{1i} f(x_{2\alpha})_{([1, i])})^{i_i} \stackrel{(15)}{=} 1_{[0]} f(x_{2\alpha}) \varepsilon(x_{1i} 1_{([1, i])}) \\ &\stackrel{(8)^{[11]}}{=} 1_{[0]} f(x_{1i} 1_{([1, i])})^{i_i} \stackrel{(16)}{=} 1_{[0]} f(x_{1i} 1_{([1, i])}) = f(x); \end{aligned}$$

$$(\widehat{\varepsilon}1_A f)(x) = \varepsilon(x_{2i} 1_{([1, i])}) 1_{[0]} f(x_{1\alpha} 1_{[0]([1, \alpha])}) \stackrel{(15)}{=} \varepsilon(x_{2i} 1'_{2i}) 1_{[0]} f(x_{1\alpha} 1'_{1\alpha} 1_{([1, \alpha])}) = 1_{[0]} f(x_{1\alpha}) = f(x).$$

Therefore we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then A is an A^{coH} - $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -bimodule via the left multiplication and the right $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -module given by $a \trianglelefteq f = a_{[0]} f(a_{([1, \alpha])})$, $a \in A$, $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$.*

Proof. Clearly A is a left A^{coH} -module. Firstly we show the right $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -action is reasonable. Indeed, for any $a \in A$, $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$,

$$a \trianglelefteq \widehat{f} = a_{[0]} \widehat{f}(a_{([1, \alpha])}) = a_{[0]} 1_{[0]} f(a_{([1, \alpha])} 1_{([1, \alpha])}) = a_{[0]} f(a_{([1, \alpha])}) = a \trianglelefteq f.$$

Secondly we claim that A is not only a right $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -module, but also an A^{coH} - $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -bimodule. In fact, for any $a \in A$, $b \in A^{coH}$, $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $g \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\beta, A)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a \trianglelefteq f) \trianglelefteq g &= a_{[0]} f(a_{([1, \alpha])})_{[0]} g(a_{[0]([1, \beta])} f(a_{([1, \alpha])} 1_{([1, \beta])})) \stackrel{(3)}{=} a_{[0]} f(a_{([1, \beta\alpha 2\alpha])})_{[0]} g(a_{([1, \beta\alpha 1\beta])} f(a_{([1, \beta\alpha 2\alpha])} 1_{([1, \beta])})) = a \trianglelefteq (fg); \\ a \trianglelefteq (\widehat{\varepsilon}1_A) &= a_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \varepsilon(a_{([1, i])} 1_{([1, i])}) = a_{[0]} \varepsilon(a_{([1, i])}) = a; \\ (ba) \trianglelefteq f &= b_{[0]} a_{[0]} f(b_{([1, \alpha])} a_{([1, \alpha])}) \stackrel{Th1.8^{[11]}}{=} b_{[0]} a_{[0]} f(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1, i])} r_{\alpha^{-1}}) a_{([1, \alpha])}) \stackrel{(16)}{=} b_{[0]} a_{[0]} f(1_{([1, \alpha])} a_{([1, \alpha])}) \\ &= ba_{[0]} f(a_{([1, \alpha])}) = b(a \trianglelefteq f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

Let Q be the subset of $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ consisting of maps $f = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} \in \widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ satisfying $f_\alpha(h_{2\alpha})_{[0]} \otimes h_{1\beta} f_\alpha(h_{2\alpha})_{([1, \beta])} = f_{\beta\alpha}(h) 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1, \beta])}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. The α -th component of Q is denoted by Q_α .

Lemma 2.6. *Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then Q is a $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ - A^{coH} -bimodule via the left multiplication and the right A^{coH} -module given by $q * a = qj(a)$, where $j : A \rightarrow \widehat{Hom}(H_i, A)$, $j(b)(h) = 1_{[0]} b \varepsilon(h 1_{([1, i])})$, $a \in A^{coH}$, $b \in A$, $h \in H_i$, $q \in Q_\alpha$.*

Proof. Firstly we claim that the left and right actions are well-defined. Indeed, for any $q_\beta \in Q_\beta$, $f_\alpha \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $x \in H_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$, $y \in H_{\gamma\beta}$, $a \in A^{coH}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_\alpha q_\beta)(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} \otimes x_{1\gamma} (f_\alpha q_\beta)(x_{2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma])} &= f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(x_{2\beta} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{([1, \beta])})_{[0]} \otimes x_{1\gamma} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{[0]([1, \gamma])} q_\beta(x_{2\beta} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{([1, \beta])})_{([1, \gamma])} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(x_{2\beta} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]2\beta)})_{[0]} \otimes x_{1\gamma} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]1\gamma)} q_\beta(x_{2\beta} f_\alpha(x_{3\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta]2\beta)})_{([1, \gamma])} \\ &= f_\alpha(x_{2\alpha})_{[0]} q_{\gamma\beta}(x_{1\gamma\beta} f_\alpha(x_{2\alpha})_{([1, \gamma\beta])}) 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1, \gamma])} = (f_\alpha q_{\gamma\beta})(x) 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1, \gamma])}; \\ (q_\beta * a)(y_{2\beta})_{[0]} \otimes y_{1\gamma} (q_\beta * a)(y_{2\beta})_{([1, \gamma])} &= q_\beta(y_{3\beta})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} a_{[0]} \varepsilon(y_{2i} q_\beta(y_{3\beta})_{([1, \gamma]2i)} 1_{([1, i])}) \otimes y_{1\gamma} q_\beta(y_{3\beta})_{([1, \gamma]1\gamma)} 1_{[0]([1, \gamma])} a_{([1, \gamma])} \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} q_\beta(y_{2\beta})_{[0]} a_{[0]} \otimes y_{1\gamma} q_\beta(y_{2\beta})_{([1, \gamma]1\gamma)} a_{([1, \gamma])} = q_{\gamma\beta}(y) 1_{[0]} a_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1, \gamma])} a_{([1, \gamma])} = q_{\gamma\beta}(y) a_{[0]} \otimes a_{([1, \gamma])} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} q_{\gamma\beta}(y)a_{[0]} \otimes S_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1}(a_{([1,1],i)} r_{\gamma^{-1}})^{(16)} = q_{\gamma\beta}(y)a_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1,1],\gamma)} = q_{\gamma\beta}(y_{2\gamma\beta})_{[0]} \varepsilon(y_{1i}q_{\gamma\beta}(y_{2\gamma\beta})_{([1,1],i)})a_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1,1],\gamma)} \\ &= q_{\gamma\beta}(y_{2\gamma\beta})_{[0]} 1'_{[0]} \varepsilon(y_{1i}q_{\gamma\beta}(y_{2\gamma\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) 1'_{([1,1],i)} a_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1,1],\gamma)} = (q_{\gamma\beta} * a)(y)1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1,1],\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the above actions are well-defined.

Next we prove that Q is an $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ - A^{coH} -bimodule. In fact, for any $q_\beta \in Q_\beta$, $f_\alpha \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $u \in H_{\beta\alpha}$, $z \in H_\beta$, $a, b \in A^{coH}$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((q_\beta * a) * b)(z) &= q_\beta(z_{3\beta})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} a_{[0]} 1'_{[0]} b \varepsilon(z_{2i}q_\beta(z_{3\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) 1_{([1,1],i)} \varepsilon(z_{1i}q_\beta(z_{3\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) 1_{[0]} a_{([1,1],i)} 1'_{([1,1],i)} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{[0]} a_{[0]} b \varepsilon(z_{1i}q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) a_{([1,1],i)} \stackrel{(16)}{=} q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} a b \varepsilon(z_{1i}q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) 1_{([1,1],i)} = (q_\beta * (ab))(z); \\ (q_\beta * 1)(z) &= q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \varepsilon(z_{1i}q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) 1_{([1,1],i)} = q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{[0]} \varepsilon(z_{1i}q_\beta(z_{2\beta})_{([1,1],i)}) = q_\beta(z)1_{[0]} \varepsilon(1_{([1,1],i)}) = q_\beta(z), \end{aligned}$$

so Q is a right A^{coH} -module. Also we compute

$$\begin{aligned} ((f_\alpha q_\beta) * a)(u) &= f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(u_{2\beta} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)})_{[0]} 1_{[0]} a \varepsilon(u_{1i} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],i)}) q_\beta(u_{2\beta} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)})_{([1,1],i)} 1_{([1,1],i)} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(u_{2\beta} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)})_{[0]} a \varepsilon(u_{1i} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],i)}) q_\beta(u_{2\beta} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)})_{([1,1],i)} \\ &= f_\alpha(u_{2\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(u_{1\beta} f_\alpha(u_{2\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)}) 1_{[0]} a \varepsilon(1_{([1,1],i)}) = f_\alpha(u_{2\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(u_{1\beta} f_\alpha(u_{2\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)}) a \\ &= f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{[0]} q_\beta(u_{2\beta} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)})_{[0]} \varepsilon(u_{1i} q_\beta(u_{2\beta} f_\alpha(u_{3\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)}))_{([1,1],i)} a = (f_\alpha(q_\beta * a))(u), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Q is a $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ - A^{coH} -bimodule. \square

In what follows we will compute a Morita context associated to a weak Hopf group Galois extension.

Theorem 2.7. *Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then*

$$(A^{coH}, \widehat{Hom}(H, A), A, Q, \tau, \mu)$$

is a Morita context, where $\tau : A \otimes_{\widehat{Hom}(H, A)} Q \rightarrow A^{coH}$ and $\mu : Q \otimes_{A^{coH}} A \rightarrow \widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ are given by the formulas $\tau(a \otimes q_\alpha) = a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)})$; $\mu(q_\alpha \otimes a) = q_\alpha j(a)$, $a \in A$, $q_\alpha \in Q_\alpha$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.5 and 2.6 we only need to show the following assertions hold.

(1) τ is an A^{coH} -bimodule map which is middle $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -linear.

Firstly we claim that τ is well-defined. Indeed, for any $a \in A$, $q_\alpha \in Q_\alpha$, $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)}))_{[0]} \otimes (a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{[1,1],\beta}))_{([1,1],\beta)} = a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)})_{[0]} \otimes a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)})_{([1,1],\beta)} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\beta\alpha)2\alpha})_{[0]} \otimes a_{([1,1],\beta\alpha)1\beta} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)2\alpha})_{([1,1],\beta)} = a_{[0]} q_\beta(a_{([1,1],\beta\alpha)}) 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1,1],\beta)} \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} a_{[0]} q_\beta(a_{([1,1],\beta\alpha)}) 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{([1,1],i)} \in A^{coH}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that τ is an A^{coH} -bimodule map. In fact, for any $a \in A$, $q_\alpha \in Q_\alpha$, $b \in A^{coH}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(ba \otimes q_\alpha) &= b_{[0]} a_{[0]} q_\alpha(b_{([1,1],\alpha)} a_{([1,1],\alpha)}) \stackrel{Th.1.8^{[11]}}{=} b_{[0]} a_{[0]} q_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(b_{([1,1],i)} r_{\alpha^{-1}}) a_{([1,1],\alpha)}) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} b_{[0]} a_{[0]} q_\alpha(1_{([1,1],\alpha)} a_{([1,1],\alpha)}) = ba_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)}) = b\tau(a \otimes q_\alpha); \\ \tau(a \otimes q_\alpha * b) &= a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)})_{[0]} \varepsilon(a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)})_{([1,1],i)}) b \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)2\alpha})_{[0]} \varepsilon(a_{([1,1],\alpha)1i} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)2\alpha})_{([1,1],i)}) b = a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)}) 1_{[0]} \varepsilon(1_{([1,1],i)}) b = a_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha)}) b = \tau(a \otimes q_\alpha) b. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we show that τ is middle $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -linear. For any $a \in A$, $q_\alpha \in Q_\alpha$, $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\beta, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(a \trianglelefteq f \otimes q_\alpha) &= a_{[0]} f(a_{([1,1],\beta)})_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{[0]} f(a_{([1,1],\beta)})_{([1,1],\alpha)}) \stackrel{(3)}{=} a_{[0]} f(a_{([1,1],\alpha\beta)2\beta})_{[0]} q_\alpha(a_{([1,1],\alpha\beta)1\alpha} f(a_{([1,1],\alpha\beta)2\beta})_{([1,1],\alpha)}) \\ &= a_{[0]} (f q_\alpha)(a_{([1,1],\alpha\beta)}) = \tau(a \otimes f q_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

(2) μ is an $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -bimodule map which is middle A^{coH} -linear.

Firstly we claim that μ is a $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ -bimodule map. Indeed, for any $a \in A$, $q_\alpha \in Q_\alpha$, $f \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\beta, A)$, $h \in H_{\alpha\beta}$, $x \in H_{\beta\alpha}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(fq_\alpha \otimes a)(h) &= f(h_{3\beta})_{[0][0]}q_\alpha(h_{2\alpha}f(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])})_{[0]}1_{[0]}a\varepsilon(h_{1i}f(h_{3\beta})_{[0]([1, i])}q_\alpha(h_{2\alpha}f(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])})_{([1, i])}1_{([1, i])}) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} f(h_{3\beta})_{[0]}q_\alpha(h_{2\alpha}f(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])2\alpha})_{[0]}1_{[0]}a\varepsilon(h_{1i}f(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])1i}q_\alpha(h_{2\alpha}f(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])2\alpha})_{([1, i])}1_{([1, i])}) \\ &= f(h_{2\beta})_{[0]}(q_\alpha j(a))(h_{1\alpha}f(h_{2\beta})_{([1, \alpha])}) = (f\mu(q_\alpha \otimes a))(h); \\ \mu(q_{\beta\alpha} \otimes a \trianglelefteq f)(x) &= q_{\beta\alpha}(x_{2\beta\alpha})_{[0]}1_{[0]}a_{[0]}f(a_{([1, \beta])})\varepsilon(x_{1i}q_{\beta\alpha}(x_{2\beta\alpha})_{([1, i])}1_{([1, i])}) = q_{\beta\alpha}(x_{\beta\alpha})1_{[0]}a_{[0]}f(a_{([1, \beta])})\varepsilon(1_{([1, i])}) \\ &= q_{\beta\alpha}(x_{\beta\alpha})a_{[0]}f(a_{([1, \beta])}) = q_{\beta\alpha}(x_{2\beta\alpha})_{[0]}a_{[0]}f(x_{1\beta}q_{\beta\alpha}(x_{2\beta\alpha})_{([1, \beta])}a_{([1, \beta])}) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} q_\beta(x_{3\beta})_{[0]}1_{[0]}a_{[0]}\varepsilon(x_{2i}q_\beta(x_{3\beta})_{([1, \beta])2i}1_{([1, \beta])2i})f(x_{1\beta}q_\beta(x_{3\beta})_{([1, \beta])1\beta}1_{([1, \beta])1\beta}a_{([1, \beta])}) \\ &= (q_\beta j(a)(x_{2\alpha}))_{[0]}f(x_{1\beta}(q_\beta j(a)(x_{2\alpha}))_{([1, \beta])}) = (\mu(q_\beta \otimes a)f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that μ is middle A^{coH} -linear. In fact, for any $a \in A$, $q_\alpha \in Q_\alpha$, $b \in A^{coH}$, $y \in H_\alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(q_\alpha * b \otimes a)(y) &= q_\alpha(y_{3\alpha})_{[0]}1_{[0][0]}b_{[0]}1'_{[0]}a\varepsilon(y_{2i}q_\alpha(y_{3\alpha})_{([1, i])2i}1_{([1, i])2i})\varepsilon(y_{1i}q_\alpha(y_{3\alpha})_{([1, i])1i}1_{[0]([1, i])}b_{([1, i])}1'_{([1, i])}) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} q_\alpha(y_{2\alpha})_{[0]}b_{[0]}a\varepsilon(y_{1i}q_\alpha(y_{2\alpha})_{([1, i])}b_{([1, i])}) = q_\alpha(y)1_{[0]}b_{[0]}a\varepsilon(1_{([1, i])}b_{([1, i])}) \\ &= q_\alpha(y)ba = q_\alpha(y_{2\alpha})_{[0]}1_{[0]}ba\varepsilon(y_{1i}q_\alpha(y_{2\alpha})_{([1, i])}1_{([1, i])}) = (q_\alpha j(ba))(y) = \mu(q_\alpha \otimes ba)(y). \end{aligned}$$

(3) We prove that the associativity holds, that is, for any $a, b \in A$, $q = (q_\beta)_{\beta \in \pi} \in Q$, $p = (p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} \in Q$, $\tau(a \otimes q_\beta)b = a \trianglelefteq \mu(q_\beta \otimes b)$ and $\mu(q_\beta \otimes a)p_\alpha = q_{\alpha\beta} * \tau(a \otimes p_\alpha)$. In fact, for any $h \in H_{\alpha\beta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \trianglelefteq \mu(q_\beta \otimes b) &= a_{[0][0]}q_\beta(a_{([1, \beta])})_{[0]}1_{[0]}b\varepsilon(a_{[0]([1, i])}q_\beta(a_{([1, \beta])})_{([1, i])}1_{([1, i])}) \stackrel{(3)}{=} a_{[0]}q_\beta(a_{([1, \beta])2\beta})_{[0]}b\varepsilon(a_{[0]([1, i])}q_\beta(a_{([1, \beta])})_{([1, \beta])1i}) \\ &= a_{[0]}q_\beta(a_{([1, \beta])})1_{[0]}b\varepsilon(1_{([1, i])}) = a_{[0]}q_\beta(a_{([1, \beta])})b = \tau(a \otimes q_\beta)b; \\ (\mu(q_\beta \otimes a)p_\alpha)(h) &= q_\beta(h_{3\beta})_{[0]}1_{[0][0]}a_{[0]}\varepsilon(h_{2i}q_\beta(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])2i}1_{([1, i])})p_\alpha(h_{1\alpha}q(h_{3\beta})_{([1, \alpha])1\alpha}1_{[0]([1, \alpha])}a_{([1, \alpha])}) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} q_\beta(h_{2\beta})_{[0]}a_{[0]}p_\alpha(h_{1\alpha}q_\beta(h_{2\beta})_{([1, \alpha])}a_{([1, \alpha])}) = q_{\alpha\beta}(h)a_{[0]}p_\alpha(a_{([1, \alpha])}) \\ &= q_{\alpha\beta}(h_{2\alpha\beta})_{[0]}a_{[0]}\varepsilon(h_{1i}q_{\alpha\beta}(h_{2\alpha\beta})_{([1, i])})p_\alpha(a_{([1, \alpha])}) = (q_{\alpha\beta} j(a_{[0]}p_\alpha(a_{([1, \alpha])}))) (h) = (q_{\alpha\beta} * \tau(a \otimes p_\alpha))(h), \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.8. Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then the left dual of $A\#H$, ${}_A\widehat{Hom}(A\#H, A) = \oplus_{\alpha \in \pi} {}_A\widehat{Hom}(A\#H_\alpha, A)$ denoted by ${}^*(A\#H)$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ as an algebra under the multiplication given by for any $h \in H_{\beta\alpha}$, $f \in {}_A\widehat{Hom}(A\#H_\alpha, A)$, $g \in {}_A\widehat{Hom}(A\#H_\beta, A)$,

$$(fg)(a\#h) = af((1\#h_{2\alpha})_{[0]})g(1\#h_{1\beta}(1\#h_{2\alpha})_{([1, \beta])}).$$

Proof. We define the two maps $\kappa = (\kappa_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} : {}^*(A\#H) \rightarrow \widehat{Hom}(H, A)$ and $\xi = (\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} : \widehat{Hom}(H, A) \rightarrow {}^*(A\#H)$, where for any $h \in H_\alpha$, $a \in A$, $f \in {}_A\widehat{Hom}(A\#H_\alpha, A)$, $l \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$,

$$\kappa_\alpha(f)(h) = f(1_{[0]}\#h1_{([1, \alpha])}), \quad \xi_\alpha(l)(a\#h) = al(h).$$

Now we have to show that for any $\alpha \in \pi$, ξ_α is well-defined. Indeed, for any $a \in A$, $l \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, $h \in H_\alpha$, $\xi_\alpha(l)(a1_{[0]}\#h1_{([1, \alpha])}) = a1_{[0]}l(h1_{([1, \alpha])}) = al(h) = \xi_\alpha(l)(a\#h)$.

Next we claim that κ is the inverse of ξ . In fact, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $h \in H_\alpha$, $a \in A$, $f \in {}_A\widehat{Hom}(A\#H_\alpha, A)$, $l \in \widehat{Hom}(H_\alpha, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_\alpha \kappa_\alpha)(f)(a\#h) &= a\kappa_\alpha(f)(h) = af(1_{[0]}\#h1_{([1, \alpha])}) = f(a\#h); \\ (\kappa_\alpha \xi_\alpha)(l)(h) &= \xi_\alpha(l)(1_{[0]}\#h1_{([1, \alpha])}) = 1_{[0]}l(h1_{([1, \alpha])}) = l(h). \end{aligned}$$

And we prove that κ is an algebra morphism. In fact, for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $h \in H_{\beta\alpha}$, $f \in_A \text{Hom}(A\#H_\alpha, A)$, $g \in_A \text{Hom}(A\#H_\beta, A)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\beta\alpha}(fg)(h) &= (fg)(1_{[0]}\#h1_{([1],\beta\alpha)}) = f(1\#h_{2\alpha})_{[0]}g(1\#h_{1\beta}f(1\#h_{2\alpha}))_{([1],\beta)} \\ &= f(1_{[0]}\#h_{2\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha)})_{[0]}g(1'_{[0]}\#h_{1\beta}f(1_{[0]}\#h_{2\alpha}1_{([1],\alpha)}))_{([1],\beta)}1'_{([1],\beta)} = \kappa_\alpha(f)(h_{2\alpha})_{[0]}\kappa_\beta(g)(h_{1\beta}\kappa_\alpha(f)(h_{2\alpha}))_{([1],\beta)} \\ &= (\kappa_\alpha(f)\kappa_\beta(g))(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

The dual of the canonical map ${}^*can_\alpha : (A\#H_\alpha) \rightarrow_A \text{Hom}(A\otimes_{A^{coH}}A, A)$ is given by ${}^*can_\alpha(f)(a \otimes b) = f(can_\alpha(a \otimes b)) = f(ab_{[0]} \otimes b_{([1],\alpha)})$. It follows from Theorem 2.7 that τ is surjective if and only if there exists $q = (q_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \pi} \in Q$ such that $1_{[0]}q_\alpha(1_{([1],\alpha)}) = 1$. With the above notations, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *Let H be a weak Hopf π -coalgebra and A a weak right H - π -comodulelike algebra. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) $A^{coH} \hookrightarrow A$ is Galois;
- (2) *can is an isomorphism;
- (3) The Morita context $(A^{coH}, \widehat{\text{Hom}}(H, A), A, Q, \tau, \mu)$ is strict.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her constructive comments.

References

- [1] M. Cohen, A Morita context related to finite automorphism group of rings, *Pacific J. Math.* 98(1) (1982) 37–54.
- [2] M. Cohen, D. Fischman, Hopf algebra actions, *J. Algebra* 100 (1986) 363–379.
- [3] M. Cohen, D. Fischman, S. Montgomery, Hopf Galois extensions, smash products, and Morita equivalence, *J. Algebra* 133 (1990) 351–372.
- [4] G. Bohm, F. Nill, K. Szlachanyi, Weak Hopf Algebras (I): Integral theory and C^* -structure, *J. Algebra* 221 (1999) 385–438.
- [5] G. Bohm, K. Szlachanyi, Weak Hopf Algebras (II): Representation theory, dimensions, and the Markov trace, *J. Algebra* 233(1) (2000) 156–212.
- [6] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot, Galois theory for weak Hopf algebras, arxiv: math/0406186v1.
- [7] A. Virelizier, Hopf group-coalgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 171 (2002) 75–122.
- [8] L. Jia, F. Li, On weak group entwining structures, *Houston J. Math.* 33(3) (2007) 433–451.
- [9] L. Jia, F. Li, Rudiment of weak Doi-Hopf π -modules, *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci.* 6A(Suppl. I) (2005) 144–154.
- [10] L. Jia, F. Li, Quantum double for a weak T-coalgebra, *Algebra Colloquium* 17(3) (2010) 475–486.
- [11] L. Jia, Yetter-Drinfeld π -modules over weak T-coalgebras, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* 43(3) (2012) 375–396.
- [12] D.G. Wang, Q.G. Chen, A Maschke type theorem for weak group entwined modules and applications, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 204(1) (2014) 329–358.
- [13] Q.G. Chen, D.G. Wang, M. Liu, Morita theory for group corings, *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 44(6) (2015) 1333–1350.
- [14] Q.G. Chen, D.G. Wang, Constructing new crossed group categories of weak Hopf group algebras, *Mathematica Slovaca* 65(3) (2015) 473–492.
- [15] Q.G. Chen, D.G. Wang, A class of coquasitriangular Hopf group algebras, *Communications in algebra* 44(1) (2016) 310–335.