

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Discontinuity of Control Function in the $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -Contraction in Metric Spaces

## Mehdi Asadia

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran

**Abstract.** In this paper, we improve very recent results of Kumrod *et al.* [2] with discontinuity of control function in the  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction in metric spaces. Illustrative examples and an application in nonlinear integral equation are presented.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries on $\varphi$ -fixed points and $(F, \varphi)$ -contraction mappings

In 2014, Jleli et~al.~[1] introduced the concepts of  $\varphi$ -fixed points,  $\varphi$ -Picard mappings and weakly  $\varphi$ -Picard mappings. After that Kumrod et~al.~[2] extended the concepts of  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction mapping and  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -weak contraction mapping in metric spaces and established  $\varphi$ -fixed point results for such mappings. Their results were combined with the continuous control function F.

Here we review basic definitions and theorems.

Let *X* be a nonempty set,  $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$  be a given function and  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping. We denote the set of all fixed points of *T* by

$$F_T := \{x \in X : Tx = x\}$$

and denote the set of all zeros of the function  $\varphi$  by

$$Z_{\varphi} := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) = 0\}.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be a nonempty set and  $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$  be a given function. An element  $z \in X$  is called  $\varphi$ -fixed point of the mapping  $T: X \to X$  if and only if z is a fixed point of T and  $\varphi(z) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $\varphi: X \to [0,\infty)$  be a given function. A mapping  $T: X \to X$  is said to be a  $\varphi$ -Picard mapping if and only if

- $F_T \cap Z_{\varphi} = \{z\}$ , where  $z \in X$ ,
- $T^n x \to z$  as  $n \to \infty$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$  be a given function. We say that the mapping  $T : X \to X$  is a weakly  $\varphi$ -Picard mapping if and only if

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H09; Secondary 47H10

*Keywords*. Weakly  $\varphi$ -Picard mappings; (F,  $\varphi$ )-contraction mappings;  $\varphi$ -fixed points.

Received: 11 May 2017; Accepted: 31 July 2017

Communicated by Erdal Karapınar

Research supported by the Zanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran, Grant No. 94/S/70/98499.

Email address: masadi.azu@gmail.com (Mehdi Asadi)

- T has at least one  $\varphi$ -fixed point,
- the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges for each  $x \in X$ , and the limit is a  $\varphi$ -fixed point of T.

Also, Jleli *et al.* introduced the new concept of control function  $F : [0, \infty)^3 \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (F1)  $\max\{a, b\} \le F(a, b, c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in [0, \infty)$ ;
- (F2) F(0,0,0) = 0;
- (F3) *F* is continuous.

The class of all functions satisfying the conditions (F1)-(F3) is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 1.4.** Let  $F_1, F_2, F_3 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by

- 1.  $F_1(a, b, c) = a + b + c$ ;
- 2.  $F_2(a, b, c) = \max\{a, b\} + c$ ;
- 3.  $F_3(a,b,c) = a + a^2 + b + c$ ;

for all  $a, b, c \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

By using the control function in  $\mathcal F$  , Jleli  $\mathit{et\ al.}$  defined the new contractive conditions and proved the  $\phi$ -fixed point results as follows:

**Definition 1.5.** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$  be a given function and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . We say that the mapping  $T : X \to X$  is an  $(F, \varphi)$  -contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if there is  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \le kF(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \tag{1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let (X,d) be a metric space,  $\varphi: X \to [0,\infty)$  be a given function and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . We say that the mapping  $T: X \to X$  is an  $(F,\varphi)$ -weak contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if there is  $k \in (0,1)$  and  $L \ge 0$  such that

$$F(d(Tx,Ty),\varphi(Tx),\varphi(Ty)) \le kF(d(x,y),\varphi(x),\varphi(y)) + L[F(d(y,Tx),\varphi(y),\varphi(Tx)) - F(0,\varphi(y),\varphi(Tx)))] \tag{2}$$

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction mapping and  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -weak contraction mapping in metric spaces and establish  $\varphi$ -fixed point results for such mappings with discontinuous control function F. Presented theorems extend the  $\varphi$ -fixed point results of Kumrod  $et\ al.\ [1,2]$ . Here are examples of expressing highlight the validity of our results. Numerical experiments are given for approximating the  $\varphi$ -fixed point with examples in [2]. Finally, as an application, the fixed point results are verified from our main results and we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a nonlinear integral equation.

#### 2. Main results

Let J be the set of all functions  $\theta:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (j1)  $\theta$  is a nondecreasing function, i.e.,  $t_1 < t_2$  implies  $\theta(t_1) \le \theta(t_2)$ ;
- (j2)  $\theta$  is continuous;
- (j3)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta^n(t) < \infty$  for all t > 0.

Note that (j4) implies (j3).

We introduce the new concept of control function  $F:[0,\infty)^3 \to [0,\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions without continuity:

 $(F_M 1) \max\{a, b\} \le F(a, b, c) \text{ for all } a, b, c \in [0, \infty);$ 

$$(F_M 2) F(0,0,0) = 0;$$

$$(F_M3)$$
  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} F(x_n,y_n,0) \le F(x,y,0)$  when  $x_n\to x$  and  $y_n\to y$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

The class of all functions satisfying the conditions (F1) – (F3) is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_M$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Let F be defined by F(a, b, c) = a + b + [c] or  $F(a, b, c) = \max\{a, b\} + [c]$ . Then F satisfies  $(F_M 3)$  but F is not continuous.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([2, Lemma 2.1]) *If*  $\theta \in J$ , then  $\theta(t) < t$  for all t > 0.

**Remark 2.3.** ([2, Remark 2.2]) *If*  $\theta \in J$ , *then*  $\theta(0) = 0$ .

Here we define the new contractive condition in metric spaces as follows:

**Definition 2.4.** Let (X,d) be a metric space,  $\varphi: X \to [0,\infty)$  be a given function and  $F \in \mathcal{F}_M$ . The mapping  $T: X \to X$  is said to be an  $(F,\varphi,\theta)$ -contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if there is  $k \in (0,1)$  such that

$$F(d(Tx,Ty),\varphi(Tx),\varphi(Ty)) \le \theta(F(d(x,y),\varphi(x),\varphi(y))) \tag{3}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Now we give the existence of  $\varphi$ -fixed point results for  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction mappings with control function F which is not continuous.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (X,d) be a metric space,  $\varphi:X\to [0,\infty)$  be a given function and  $F\in\mathcal{F}_M$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H1)  $\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous,
- (H2)  $T: X \to X$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction with respect to the metric d.

Then the following assertions hold:

- (*i*)  $F_T \subseteq Z_{\omega}$ ;
- (ii) T is a  $\varphi$ -Picard mapping.

*Proof.* The frame of the proof is the same in Theorem 2.5 [2]. So for arbitrary point  $x \in X$ ,  $\{T^n x\}$  is Cauchy sequence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T^n x, z) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(T^n x) = 0$  and  $\varphi(z) = 0$  for some  $z \in X$ .

$$\begin{split} d(T^{n+1}x,Tz) & \leq & \max\{d(T^{n+1}x,Tz),\varphi(T^{n+1}x)\} \\ & \leq & F(d(T^{n+1}x,Tz),\varphi(T^{n+1}x),\varphi(Tz)) \\ & \leq & \theta(F(d(T^{n}x,z),\varphi(T^{n}x),\varphi(z))) \\ & < & F(d(T^{n}x,z),\varphi(T^{n}x),\varphi(z))). \\ & = & F(d(T^{n}x,z),\varphi(T^{n}x),0). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} d(T^{n+1}x, Tz) \le \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} F(d(T^n x, z), \varphi(T^n x), 0) \le F(0, 0, 0) = 0.$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T^n x, Tz) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(T^n x, z) = 0,$$

So z = Tz and it is a unique fixed point of T.  $\square$ 

Next, we give some examples to illustrate Theorem 2.5.

**Example 2.6.** Let X = [0,1] and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by d(x,y) = |x-y| for all  $x,y \in X$ . Then (X,d) is a complete metric space.

- 1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $T: X \to X$  is defined by  $Tx = \frac{kx^n}{n}$ , where  $k \in [0,1)$ ;
- 2. the function  $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- 3. the function  $F:[0,\infty)^3\to [0,\infty)$  defined by F(a,b,c)=a+b+[c], where [c] is the integer part of c
- 4. the function  $\theta:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  is defined by  $\theta(t)=kt$  for  $t\in[0,\infty)$ , where k [0,1).

Note that  $F \in \mathcal{F}_M$ ,  $\theta \in J$  and further F is discontinuous.

*T* is an  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction mapping, because

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) = \left| \frac{kx^n}{n} - \frac{ky^n}{n} \right| + \frac{k^n x}{n} + \left[ \frac{ky^n}{n} \right]$$

$$= \left| \frac{kx^n}{n} - \frac{ky^n}{n} \right| + \frac{k^n x}{n} + 0$$

$$\leq k \left( \frac{|x - y||x^{n-1} + \dots + y^{n-1}|}{n} + k \frac{x^n}{n} \right)$$

$$\leq k(|x - y| + x + 0)$$

$$= k(|x - y| + x + [y])$$

$$= k(d(x, y) + x + [y])$$

$$= k(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)))$$

$$= \theta(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y))).$$

This shows that all conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied and so T has a  $\varphi$ -fixed point in X.

**Example 2.7.** Let X = [0,1] and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by d(x,y) = |x-y| for all  $x,y \in X$ . Then (X,d) is a complete metric space.

- 1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $T: X \to X$  is defined by  $Tx = \frac{kx^n}{n}$ , where  $k \in [0,1)$ ;
- 2. the function  $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- 3. the function  $F:[0,\infty)^3 \to [0,\infty)$  defined by  $F(a,b,c) = \max\{a,b\} + [c]$ , where [c] is the integer part of c
- 4. the function  $\theta:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  is defined by  $\theta(t)=kt$  for  $t\in[0,\infty)$ , where k [0,1).

Note that  $F \in \mathcal{F}_M$ ,  $\theta \in J$  and further F is discontinuous.

*T* is an  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction mapping, because

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{kx^n}{n} - \frac{ky^n}{n} \right|, \frac{kx^n}{n} \right\} + \left[ \frac{ky^2}{2} \right]$$

$$= \max \left\{ \left| \frac{kx^n}{n} - \frac{ky^n}{n} \right|, \frac{kx^n}{n} \right\} + 0$$

$$\leq k(\max\{|x - y|, x\} + [y])$$

$$= k(\max\{d(x, y), x\} + [y])$$

$$= k(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)))$$

$$= \theta(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y))).$$

This shows that all conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied and so T has a  $\varphi$ -fixed point in X.

**Example 2.8.** Let X = [0,1] and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by d(x,y) = |x-y| for all  $x,y \in X$ . Then (X,d) is a complete metric space.

- 1. Assume that  $T: X \to X$  is defined by  $Tx = k \sin x$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$ ;
- 2. the function  $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- 3. the function  $F:[0,\infty)^3 \to [0,\infty)$  defined by F(a,b,c)=a+b+[c], where [c] is the integer part of c
- 4. the function  $\theta:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  is defined by  $\theta(t)=kt$  for  $t\in[0,\infty)$ , where k [0,1).

*Note that*  $F \in \mathcal{F}_M$ ,  $\theta \in J$  *and further* F *is discontinuous.* 

*T is an*  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -contraction mapping, because

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) = |k \sin x - k \sin y| + k \sin x + [k \sin y]$$

$$\leq k|x - y| + kx + 0$$

$$= k(|x - y| + x + [y])$$

$$= k(d(x, y) + x + [y])$$

$$= k(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)))$$

$$= \theta(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y))).$$

This shows that all conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied and so T has a  $\varphi$ -fixed point in X.

**Example 2.9.** Let X = [0,3] and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by d(x,y) = |x-y| for all  $x,y \in X$ . Then (X,d) is a complete metric space.

- 1. Assume that  $T: X \to X$  is defined by Tx = 0 if  $0 \le x < 2.5$  and  $Tx = k \ln \frac{x}{2}$  if  $2.5 \le x \le 3$  where  $k \in [0, 1)$ ;
- 2. The function  $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- 3. the function  $F:[0,\infty)^3 \to [0,\infty)$  defined by F(a,b,c)=a+b+[c] where [c] is the integer part of c;
- 4. the function  $\theta:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  is defined by  $\theta(t)=0$  if  $0\leq t\leq 1$  and  $\theta(t)=k\ln(t)$  if  $t\geq 1$ , where k [0,1).

Note that F is  $\mathcal{F}_M$  and further F is discontinuous.

When  $2.5 \le x$ ,  $y \le 3$ , without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $x \ge y$ . Then we get

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) = \left|k \ln \frac{x}{2} - k \ln \frac{y}{2}\right| + k \ln \frac{x}{2} + \left[k \ln \frac{y}{2}\right]$$

$$\leq \left|k \ln \frac{x}{2} - k \ln \frac{y}{2}\right| + k \ln \frac{x}{2} + k \ln \frac{y}{2}$$

$$\leq 2k \ln \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$$

$$= k \ln 2.25$$

$$\leq k \ln(d(x, y) + x + [y])$$

$$= k \ln(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)))$$

$$= \theta(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y))).$$

*If*  $x \in [2.5, 3]$  *and*  $y \in [0, 2.25]$ *, then* 

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) = \left| k \ln \frac{x}{2} - 0 \right| + k \ln \frac{x}{2} + [0]$$

$$\leq 2k \ln \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

$$= k \ln 2.25$$

$$\leq k \ln(d(x, y) + x + [y])$$

$$= k \ln(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)))$$

$$= \theta(F(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y))).$$

The other cases are clear. This shows that all conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied and so T has a  $\varphi$ -fixed point in X.

Now by  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , we have:

**Corollary 2.10.** ([2, Theorem 1.11]) *Let* (X, d) *be a metric space,*  $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$  *be a given function and*  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . *Suppose that the following conditions hold:* 

- (H1)  $\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous,
- (H2)  $T: X \to X$  is an  $(F, \varphi)$ -contraction with respect to the metric d.

Then the following assertions hold:

- (*i*)  $F_T \subseteq Z_{\omega}$ ;
- (ii) T is a  $\varphi$ -Picard mapping;
- (iii) if  $x \in X$  and  $z \in F_T$ , then

$$d(T^n x, z) \le \frac{k^n}{1 - k} F(d(tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** ([2, Theorem 1.12]) *Let* (X, d) *be a metric space,*  $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$  *be a given function and*  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . *Suppose that the following conditions hold:* 

- (H1)  $\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous,
- (H2)  $T: X \to X$  is an  $(F, \varphi)$ -weak contraction with respect to the metric d.

Then the following assertions hold:

- (i)  $F_T \subseteq Z_{\omega}$ ;
- (ii) T is a weakly  $\varphi$ -Picard mapping;
- (iii) if  $x \in X$  and  $T^n x \to z \in F_T$  as  $n \to \infty$  then

$$d(T^{n}x,z) \leq \frac{k^{n}}{1-k}F(d(tx,x),\varphi(Tx),\varphi(x)),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Next we generalize the contractive condition (2) and prove the another main result in this work.

**Definition 2.12.** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$  be a given function and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . We say that the mapping  $T : X \to X$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -weak contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \le \theta(F(d(x, y), \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx))) + L[F(N(x, y), \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx)) - F(0, \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx)))]$$
 (4) for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $N(x, y) = \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$  and  $L \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 2.13.** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$  be a given function,  $F \in \mathcal{F}_M$  and  $\theta \in J$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H1)  $\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous,
- (H2)  $T: X \to X$  is an  $(F, \varphi, \theta)$ -weak contraction with respect to the metric d.

Then the following assertions hold:

- (i)  $F_T \subseteq Z_{\varphi}$ ;
- (ii) T is a weakly  $\varphi$ -Picard mapping.

*Proof.* The framework of the proof is the same in proof of [2, Theorem 2.9].  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.14.** If we take  $\theta(t) := kt$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$ , then by Theorems 2.5 and 2.13 we obtain previous results in [1, 2].

# 3. An application

Consider the following nonlinear integral equation:

$$x(t) = \phi(t) + \int_a^t K(t, s, x(s))ds, \tag{5}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\phi[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $K : [a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are two given functions.

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider the nonlinear integral equation (5). Suppose that the following condition holds:

- (i) K is continuous;
- (ii) there is  $\theta \in J$  such that

$$|K(t,s,x(s)) - K(t,s,y(s))| \le \frac{\theta(|x(s) - y(s)|)}{h - a}$$

for all  $x, y \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  and for  $t, s \in [a, b]$ .

Then the nonlinear integral equation (5) has a unique solution.

*Proof.* Let  $X := C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), T : X \to X$  defined by

$$(Tx)(t) = \phi(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

The metric d given by  $d(x, y) = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |x(s) - y(s)|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus X is a complete metric space. Now define control function F by  $F(a,b,c) = \max\{a,b\} + [c]$  for each  $a,b,c \in [0,\infty)$ . Also define  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \in [a, b]$ . therefore

$$|Tx(t) - Ty(t)| = \left| \int_{a}^{t} K(t, s, x(s)) ds - \int_{a}^{t} K(t, s, y(s)) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{t} |K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))| ds$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{t} \frac{\theta(|x(s) - y(s)|)}{b - a} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{b - a} \int_{a}^{t} \theta(d(x, y)) ds$$

$$\leq \theta(d(x, y)).$$

So

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \theta(d(x,y))$$

$$\max\{d(Tx,Ty),\varphi(Tx)\} \leq \theta(\max\{d(x,y),\varphi(x)\})$$

$$\max\{d(Tx,Ty),\varphi(Tx)\} + [\varphi(Ty)] \leq \theta(\max\{d(x,y),\varphi(x)\} + [\varphi(y)]),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence it satisfies the contraction (3).

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied and hence T has a unique  $\varphi$ -fixed point in X. This implies that there exists a unique solution of the nonlinear integral equation (5).  $\square$ 

## References

- [1] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces via  $\varphi$ -fixed point's concept in metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2014) 2014:426.
- [2] P. Kumrod, W. Sintunavarat, A new contractive condition approach to  $\varphi$ -fixed point results in metric spaces and its applications, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 311 (2017) 194–204.