# R. Dimitrijević, Lj. Kočinac SOME CLÁSSES OF CONNECTED NEIGHBOURHOOD SPACES

(Received 4. 3. 1987.)

Abstract. In this paper some results about "- and V-topological spaces[1] are extended to a class of neighbourhood spaces.

### 0. Introduction

Throughout this paper notation and terminology concerning neighbourhood spaces are the same as in the books [4] and [5]. By a neighbourhood space we understand a pair ( $^{\text{Y}}$ , $^{\text{T}}$ ) consisting of a non-empty set  $^{\text{Y}}$  and a mapping Tassigning to each set  $^{\text{AC}}$   $^{\text{Y}}$  a set  $^{\text{TAC}}$   $^{\text{X}}$  such that the following conditions are satisfied for every  $^{\text{A}}$ , $^{\text{BC}}$   $^{\text{X}}$ :

- (i)  $T\emptyset = \emptyset$ ; (ii) ACTA; (iii)  $T(A \cup B) = TA \cup TB$ . Let (X,T) be a neighbourhood space. Then
- 1) Two subsets A and B of X are called  $\mathcal{T}$ -separated (or simply, separated) if they are non-empty and  $(\mathcal{T} \land \cap B) \cup (\land \cap \mathcal{T}B) = \emptyset$ . X is connected if it cannot be represented as the union of two  $\mathcal{T}$ -separated subsets.
- 2) When X is represented as the union of two separated sets A and B, we shall write X = A + B. If X = A + B and A contains a set M and B contains a set N, we write X = A(M) + B(N).
- 3) We shall say that a set  $E\subset X$  separates the sets  $M,N\subset X$  if there exist two sets  $A,B\subset X$  such that  $X\setminus E=A(M)+B(N)$ .
- 4) X is called a  $T_o$ -space if for any two distinct points x,y in X at least one of the relation x  $\not\in T_V$  and  $y \not\in T_X$  is satisfied.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 54405, 54D05 UDK 513.83

- X is a  $T_1$ -space if for each  $x \in X$  one has  $\forall x = x$ .
  - The following two lemmas are well known (see [2]).
- 0.1. LEMMA. Let C be a connected subspace of a connected neighbourhood space  $(X,\mathcal{T})$ . If  $X \setminus C = A + B$ , then the sets  $A \cup C$  and  $B \cup C$  are connected.
- 0.2. LEMMA. Let C be a connected subspace of a connected neighbourhood space (X,C). If K is a component of the subspace  $X \setminus C$ , then  $X \setminus K$  is connected.

### 1. Neighbourhood W-spaces

1.1. DEFINITION. A neighbourhood space (X,T) is called a (neighbourhood) <u>W-space</u> if it is connected and for any two disjoint connected sets A,B $\subset$ X the set  $TA \cap TB$  has at most one point.

The proofs of the following two propositions are easy, and so we omit them.

- 1.2. PROPOSITION. Every neighbourhood W-space is a  $T_0$ -space.
- 1.3. PROPOSITION. If (X,T) is a neighbourhood W-space and (Y,6) is a connected subspace of X, then Y is a W-space.
- 1.4. THEOREM. A connected neighbourhood space (Y, $\mathcal{T}$ ) is a W-space if and only if the boundary of each component in the complement of any non-empty connected proper subset of X is a single point.

PROOF. Let X be a W-space. Take an arbitrary non-empty connected proper subset C of X and let K be a component in X\C. By Lemma 0.2 the set X\K is connected. Therefore, for disjoint connected sets X\K and K we have  $|\mathcal{T}K\cap\mathcal{T}(X\setminus K)| = |bd(K)| \le 1$ . If  $bd(K) = \emptyset$ , then would follow that  $X = K + (X\setminus K)$  which contradicts the fact that X is connected. So, |bd(Y)| = 1.

To prove the converse, suppose, on the contrary, that there exist two disjoint connected sets A,BCY with  $|TA \cap TB| \ge 2$ . Let  $x,y \in TA \cap TB$ ,  $x \ne y$ . If K is the component of X\A containing B, then from TBCTK we have  $x,y \in TK$ . On the other hand,  $A \subset X \setminus K$  implies  $x,y \in T(X \setminus K)$ . Hence  $x,y \in T \cap T(X \setminus K) = bd(Y)$  which contradicts our assumption. This means that X is a W-space. The theorem is proved.

The following three theorems prove that the class of W-spaces is wide enough. Recall that a connected neighbourhood space X is called treelike if for any two distinct points  $x,y \in X$  there is a point  $z \in X$  that separates x and y (see [3]). A connected neighbourhood space X is said to be biconnected if it is not the union of two disjoint nondegenerate connected subspaces (a connected set is called degenerate if it consists of a single point).

1.5. THEOREM. Every biconnected  $\mathbf{T}_1$  neighbourhood space is a W-space.

PROOF. Let  $(X,\mathcal{T})$  be a biconnected neighbourhood space and let A and B be disjoint connected subsets of X. If K is a component of X\A, then, according to Lemma 0.2, the set X\K is connected. As X is biconnected, then either |K| = 1 or  $|X \setminus K| = 1$ . In the first case  $|\mathcal{T}B| \le 1$  and in the second case  $|\mathcal{T}A| \le 1$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}A \cap \mathcal{T}B$  has at most one point. Hence X is a W-space.

1.6. THEOREM. Every treelike neighbourhood space (X, $\tau$ ) is a W-space.

PROOF. Suppose contrary to the statement of the theorem that there are two disjoint connected subsets A and B of X such that  $|TA\cap TB| \ge 2$ . Let  $x,y \in TA\cap TB$ ,  $x \ne y$ . Since X is treelike we can choose a point  $z \in X$  which separates x and y:  $X \setminus \{z\} = M(x) + N(y)$ . We have two possibilities:

- (i)  $z \notin TAUTB$ . In this case  $(TAUTB) \cap M$  and  $(TAUTB) \cap N$  make a disconnection of the (connected) set TAUTB which is impossible.
- (ii)  $z \in \text{TAUTB}$ . If  $z \in \text{TAVTB}$ , then  $\text{TB} \cap \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{TB} \cap \mathbb{N}$  is a disconnection of the set TB which is a contradiction since the last set is connected. If  $z \in \text{TA} \cap \text{TB}$ , then either  $\text{TA} \setminus \{z\}$  or  $\text{TB} \setminus \{z\}$  would not be connected, which is impossible [5].

In both cases (i) and (ii) we have a contradiction and thus the set TACTB has at most one point, i.e. X is a W-space.

1.7. THEOREM. If (Y, $\mathcal{X}$ ) is a connected  $T_1$  neighbourhood space in which the intersection of any two connected subspace is connected, then Y is a Y-space.

PROOF. Let A and B be disjoint connected subsets of X. Suppose that there are distinct points x and y in the set  $\mathcal{T}^{1} \cap \mathcal{T}^{n}$ .

Then from  $A \subset A \cup \{v,y\} \subset \mathbb{T}A$  and  $B \subset B \cup \{x,v\} \subset \mathbb{T}^B$  it follows that the sets  $A \cup \{x,y\}$  and  $B \cup \{x,y\}$  are connected [5]. By hypothesis, then  $(A \cup \{x,y\}) \cap (B \cup \{x,y\}) = \{x,y\}$  is a connected subset of  $\mathbb{X}$ , which is impossible since  $\mathbb{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{T}_1$ -space. So  $\mathbb{T}_A \cap \mathbb{T}_B$  has at most one point and the theorem is proved.

## 2. Neighbourhood V-spaces

Let C be a connected subspace of a connected neighbourhood space (X,X). A point  $x \in C$  is called an end point of C if  $C \setminus \{x\}$  is a connected set.

2.1. DEFINITION. A connected  $T_1$  neighbourhood space (Y,T) is called a (neighbourhood) <u>V-space</u> if every connected subset of X has at most one end point.

The simple proof of the following proposition will be omit-

- 2.2. PROPOSITION. A connected subspace of a neighbourhood V-space is a V-space.
- 2.3. THEOREM. A connected  $T_1$  neighbourhood space (X, $\mathfrak T$ ) is a V-space if and only if every connected proper subspace of X has at most one end point.

PROOF. The necessity is obvious, and so we only need to prove the sufficiency. Suppose to the contrary that X has two distinct end points x and y. We shall first prove that in this case the set  $X \setminus \{x,y\}$  must be connected. Assume  $X \setminus \{x,y\} = A + B$ . Since A is both open and closed in the sets  $X \setminus \{x\}$  and  $X \setminus \{y\}$ , by. Lemma 0.1  $A \cup \{x\}$  and  $A \cup \{y\}$  are connected. Thus  $A \cup \{x,y\}$  is a connected proper subset of X with two distinct end points (x and y) which contradicts the assumption. So,  $X \setminus \{x,y\}$  is connected. Second, we prove that for any  $z \in X \setminus \{x,y\}$  the set  $X \setminus \{z\}$  is not connected. Indeed, if  $X \setminus \{z\}$  is connected, then  $X \setminus \{x,z\}$  and  $X \setminus \{y,z\}$  are also connected and therefore  $X \setminus \{z\}$  would be a connected proper subset of X with two distinct end points (x and y) which is again a contradiction. The assertion  $X \setminus \{z\}$  is not connected for all  $z \in X \setminus \{x,y\}$  is proved. We have to consider two cases:

(i)  $X \setminus \{z\} = M(x) + N(y)$ ; (ii)  $Y \setminus \{z\} = M(x,y) + N$ . (i) The set  $M \cup \{z\}$  is connected according to Lemma 0.1. Moreover, it is a proper subset of X with two distinct end points x and z. Indeed, connectedness of X\{x} implies that the set  $((M\cup\{z\})\setminus\{x\})\cap(X\setminus\{x\})=(M\cup\{z\})\setminus\{x\}$  is connected, so that x is an end point of  $M\cup\{z\}$ . On the other hand, z is an end point of  $M\cup\{z\}$  because M is a component in X\{z}. We have a contradiction. (ii) In the same way as above one can easily check that the set  $M\cup\{z\}$  is a connected proper subset of X having two end points x and y which is again a contradiction.

Hence, in both cases we obtain a contradiction and thus  $\mathbf{Y}$  must have at most one end point. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now, we shall see that on a neighbourhood V-space (X, $\mathcal{X}$ ), in a natural manner, one can introduce a partial order as follows: x < y iff y is contained in some open component of  $X \setminus \{x\}$ .

2.4. THEOREM. < is a partial order on (X,T).

(b) < is transitive. Let x < y and y < z. It is clear that  $x \neq z$ , because otherwise x = y by (a). There are open connected sets  $U, V \subset X$  such that  $X \setminus \{x\} = U(y) + A$  and  $X \setminus \{y\} = V(z) + B$ . Now x < z will follow from the fact  $z \in U$ . To prove this, suppose, on the contrary,  $z \in A$ .  $A \cup \{x\}$  is connected in X (by Lemma 0.1), and therefore it is connected in  $X \setminus \{y\}$  [5]. But then  $A \cup \{x\} \subset V$  because V is a component in  $X \setminus \{y\}$ . Thus  $x \in V$ . This means that y < x holds, which is a contradiction. So,  $z \in U$  and the proof of (b) is complete. The theorem is proved.

2.5. THEOREM. Let (X,T) be a neighbourhood V-space. Then

the set  $\{y \in X: y < x\}$  is linearly ordered for every  $x \in X$ .

PROOF. Let a,b  $\in$  {y  $\in$  X: y < x}. We shall prove that a and b are comparable. Suppose that this is not true. Then there exist open connected sets U and V such that X\{a} = A(b) + U(x) and X\{b} = B(a) + V(x). The connected set U  $\cup$  {a} is contained in X\{b} and therefore we have U  $\cup$  {a}  $\subset$  B which implies  $x \in$  U. This contradiction shows that a and b are comparable and the theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.E. BROUWER, Treelike spaces and related connected topological spaces, Mathematical Centre Tracts 75, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [2] E. ČECH Topological Spaces (revised by Z. Frolik and M. Katetov), Czech. Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1966.
- [3] R. DIMITRIJEVIĆ and Lj. KOČINAC, On treelike neighbourhood spaces, Matem. vesnik 39(1987)
- [4] Z.P. MAMUZIĆ, Introduction to general topology, Noordhoff, Gronningen, 1963.
- [5] Z.P. MAMUZIĆ, Koneksni prostori, Matematički institut, knjiga 11, Beograd, 1974.

R. Dimitrijević, Lj. Kočinac

NEKE KLASE POVEZANIH OKOLINSKIH PROSTORA

U. radu su neki rezultati u vezi sa topološkim W- i V-prostorima (detaljno izloženi u [1]) prošireni na klasu okolinskih prostora koji zadovoljavaju aksiom distributivnosti (prema terminologiji iz [4]).

Filozofski fakultet 18000 Niš, Jugoslavija