

PERTURBATION THEOREMS FOR CONVOLUTED C -SEMIGROUPS AND
COSINE FUNCTIONS

M. KOSTIĆ¹

(Presented at the 3rd Meeting, held on April 23, 2010)

A b s t r a c t. In this paper, we prove several different types of additive perturbation theorems for (local) convoluted C -semigroups and cosine functions.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 47D06, 47D60, 47D62

Key Words: convoluted C -semigroups, convoluted C -cosine functions, perturbations

1. *Introduction and Preliminaries*

Convoluted C -semigroups and cosine functions ([6]-[8], [14], [16]-[17], [25]) allow one to consider in a unified treatment the notion of fractionally integrated C -semigroups and cosine functions ([1]-[3], [20], [22], [39], [41]). We refer the reader to [1]-[2], [5], [9]-[11], [16]-[17], [25], [36] and [42] for examples of differential operators generating various types of convoluted C -semigroups and cosine functions. In the present paper, we study additive

¹This research was supported by grant 144016 of Ministry of Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia.

perturbation theorems for such classes of operator semigroups and cosine functions and continue the researches raised in [12], [16], [20], [28]-[29], [31], [35] and [39]-[40] (cf. also [4], [9]-[10], [21], [26], [32]-[34], [37]-[38], and [23]-[24], for similar results).

Throughout this paper E denotes a non-trivial complex Banach space, $L(E)$ denotes the space of bounded linear operators from E into E , A denotes a closed linear operator acting on E and $[D(A)]$ denotes the Banach space $D(A)$ equipped with the norm $\|x\|_{[D(A)]} := \|x\| + \|Ax\|$, $x \in D(A)$. By $R(A)$ is denoted the range of operator A . Henceforward $L(E) \ni C$ is an injective operator, $\tau \in (0, \infty]$, K is a complex-valued locally integrable function in $[0, \tau)$ and K is not identical to zero. Given $t \in \mathbf{R}$, set $[t] := \sup\{k \in \mathbf{Z} : k \leq t\}$ and $\lceil t \rceil := \inf\{k \in \mathbf{Z} : k \geq t\}$. Set $\Theta(t) := \int_0^t K(s)ds$ and

$\Theta^{-1}(t) := \int_0^t \Theta(s)ds$, $t \in [0, \tau)$; then Θ is an absolutely continuous function in $[0, \tau)$ and $\Theta'(t) = K(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, \tau)$. Let us recall that a function $K \in L_{loc}^1([0, \tau))$ is called a kernel if for every $\phi \in C([0, \tau))$, the assumption $\int_0^t K(t-s)\phi(s)ds = 0$, $t \in [0, \tau)$, implies $\phi \equiv 0$; thanks to the famous Titchmarsh's theorem, the condition $0 \in \text{supp}K$ implies that K is a kernel. We mainly use the following condition:

(P1) K is Laplace transformable, i.e., it is locally integrable on $[0, \infty)$ and there exists $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ so that

$$\tilde{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(K)(\lambda) := \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b e^{-\lambda t} K(t)dt := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} K(t)dt \text{ exists for all } \lambda \in \mathbf{C} \text{ with } \text{Re}\lambda > \beta. \text{ Put } \text{abs}(K) := \inf\{\text{Re}\lambda : \tilde{K}(\lambda) \text{ exists}\}.$$

In Theorem 2.9, we use the following condition:

(P2) $\tilde{K}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\text{Re}\lambda > \text{abs}(K)$.

Definition 1.1. ([14], [16]-[17]) Let A be a closed operator and let $0 < \tau \leq \infty$. If there exists a strongly continuous operator family $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ ($S_K(t) \in L(E)$, $t \in [0, \tau)$) such that:

- (i) $S_K(t)A \subseteq AS_K(t)$, $t \in [0, \tau)$,
- (ii) $S_K(t)C = CS_K(t)$, $t \in [0, \tau)$ and

(iii) for all $x \in E$ and $t \in [0, \tau)$: $\int_0^t S_K(s)x ds \in D(A)$ and

$$A \int_0^t S_K(s)x ds = S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx,$$

then it is said that A is a subgenerator of a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$. If $\tau = \infty$, then we say that $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an exponentially bounded K -convoluted C -semigroup with a subgenerator A if, additionally, there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\|S_K(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$.

Definition 1.2. ([14], [16]-[17]) Let A be a closed operator and let $0 < \tau \leq \infty$. If there exists a strongly continuous operator family $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ such that:

- (i) $C_K(t)A \subseteq AC_K(t)$, $t \in [0, \tau)$,
- (ii) $C_K(t)C = CC_K(t)$, $t \in [0, \tau)$ and
- (iii) for all $x \in E$ and $t \in [0, \tau)$: $\int_0^t (t-s)C_K(s)x ds \in D(A)$ and

$$A \int_0^t (t-s)C_K(s)x ds = C_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx,$$

then it is said that A is a subgenerator of a (local) K -convoluted C -cosine function $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$. If $\tau = \infty$, then we say that $(C_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an exponentially bounded K -convoluted C -cosine function with a subgenerator A if, additionally, there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\|C_K(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$.

Plugging $K(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ in Definition 1.1 and Definition 1.2, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function, we obtain the well-known classes of α -times integrated C -semigroups and cosine functions; a (local) 0-times integrated C -semigroup, resp. C -cosine function, is defined to be a (local) C -semigroup, resp. C -cosine function. The integral generator of $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, resp. $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, is defined by

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in E \times E : S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx = \int_0^t S_K(s)y ds, t \in [0, \tau) \right\}, \text{ resp.,}$$

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in E \times E : C_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx = \int_0^t (t-s)C_K(s)y ds, t \in [0, \tau) \right\},$$

and it is a closed linear operator which is an extension of any subgenerator of $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, resp. $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$. Suppose that A is a subgenerator of $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, resp. $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$. By [18, Proposition 1.1], we know that the integral generator \hat{A} of $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, resp. $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, satisfies $\hat{A} = C^{-1}\hat{A}C = C^{-1}AC$.

Lemma 1.3. ([17]) *Let A be a closed operator and let $0 < \tau \leq \infty$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is a subgenerator of a K -convoluted C -cosine function $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ in E .*
- (ii) *The operator $\mathcal{A} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a subgenerator of a Θ -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_\Theta(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ in $E \times E$, where $\mathcal{C} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$.*

In this case:

$$S_\Theta(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t C_K(s) ds & \int_0^t (t-s)C_K(s) ds \\ C_K(t) - \Theta(t)C & \int_0^t C_K(s) ds \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq t < \tau,$$

and the integral generators of $(C_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ and $(S_\Theta(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, denoted respectively by B and \mathcal{B} , satisfy $\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 1.4. Let $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and let $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a K -convoluted C -semigroup. Then we say that $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an analytic K -convoluted C -semigroup of angle α , if there exists an analytic function $\mathbf{S}_K : \Sigma_\alpha \rightarrow L(E)$ which satisfies

- (i) $\mathbf{S}_K(t) = S_K(t)$, $t > 0$ and
- (ii) $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0, z \in \Sigma_\gamma} \mathbf{S}_K(z)x = 0$ for all $\gamma \in (0, \alpha)$ and $x \in E$.

It is said that $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an exponentially bounded, analytic K -convoluted C -semigroup of angle α , if for every $\gamma \in (0, \alpha)$, there exist $M_\gamma \geq 0$ and $\omega_\gamma \geq 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{S}_K(z)\| \leq M_\gamma e^{\omega_\gamma \operatorname{Re} z}$, $z \in \Sigma_\gamma$.

Since there is no risk for confusion, we shall also write S_K for \mathbf{S}_K .

2. Perturbations

The following rescaling result for subgenerators of (local) convoluted C -semigroups extends [16, Proposition 3.2].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $z \in \mathbf{C}$, K and F satisfy (P1), there exists $a \geq 0$ such that*

$$\frac{\tilde{K}(\lambda) - \tilde{K}(\lambda + z)}{\tilde{K}(\lambda + z)} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} F(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > a, \quad \tilde{K}(\lambda + z) \neq 0, \quad (1)$$

and A is a subgenerator (the integral generator) of a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$. Then $A - z$ is a subgenerator (the integral generator) of a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_{K,z}(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$, where

$$S_{K,z}(t)x := e^{-tz} S_K(t)x + \int_0^t F(t-s) e^{-zs} S_K(s)x ds, \quad x \in E, \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, in the case $\tau = \infty$, $(S_{K,z}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially bounded provided that F and $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ are exponentially bounded.

P r o o f. It is clear that $(S_{K,z}(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$ is a strongly continuous operator family that commutes with C and $A - z$. Let $x \in E$ be fixed. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A - z) \int_0^t S_{K,z}(s)x ds &= (A - z) \int_0^t [e^{-zs} S_K(s)x + \int_0^s F(s-r) e^{-zr} S_K(r)x dr] ds \\ &= (A - z) [e^{-zt} \int_0^t S_K(s)x ds + z \int_0^t e^{-sz} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr ds] \\ &\quad + (A - z) \int_0^t \int_0^s F(s-r) e^{-zr} S_K(r)x dr ds \\ &= e^{-zt} [S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx] - ze^{-zt} \int_0^t S_K(s)x ds + z \int_0^t e^{-sz} [S_K(s)x - \Theta(s)Cx] ds \\ &\quad - z^2 \int_0^t e^{-sz} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr ds + (A - z) \int_0^t F(t-s) \int_0^s e^{-zr} S_K(r)x dr ds \\ &= e^{-zt} [S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx] - ze^{-zt} \int_0^t S_K(s)x ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +z \int_0^t e^{-sz} [S_K(s)x - \Theta(s)Cx] ds - z^2 \int_0^t e^{-sz} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr ds \\
& + \int_0^t F(t-s)(A-z) \left[e^{-zs} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr + z \int_0^s e^{-zr} \int_0^r S_K(v)x dv dr \right] ds \\
& = S_{K,z}(t)x - f_1(t) - f_2(t)Cx, \quad x \in E, \text{ where:}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(t) & = ze^{-zt} \int_0^t S_K(s)x ds - z \int_0^t e^{-sz} S_K(s)x ds + z^2 \int_0^t e^{-sz} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr ds \\
& + z \int_0^t e^{-zs} F(t-s) \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr ds - z \int_0^t F(t-s) \int_0^s e^{-zr} [S_K(r)x - \Theta(r)Cx] dr ds \\
& + z^2 \int_0^t F(t-s) \int_0^s e^{-zr} \int_0^r S_K(v)x dv dr ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau] \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(t) & = \Theta(t)e^{-zt} + z \int_0^t e^{-zs} \Theta(s) ds \\
& + \int_0^t F(t-s)e^{-zs} \Theta(s) ds - \int_0^t F(t-s) \int_0^s e^{-zr} \Theta(r) dr ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau].
\end{aligned}$$

Fix a number $t \in (0, \tau)$ and define afterwards a function $\tilde{S}_K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L(E)$ by setting:

$$\tilde{S}_K(s) =: \begin{cases} S_K(s), & s \in [0, t], \\ S_K(t), & s > t. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $(\tilde{S}_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous operator family and there exist $M > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\|\tilde{S}_K(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$. Define $\tilde{f}_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L(E)$ by replacing S_K in the representation formula for f_1 with \tilde{S}_K . Then \tilde{f}_1 extends continuously the function f_1 to the whole non-negative real axis, and moreover, \tilde{f}_1 is Laplace transformable. Using the elementary operational properties of Laplace transform and (1), one obtains $\mathcal{L}(f_1(t))(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(f_2(t))(\lambda) = 0$ for all sufficiently large real numbers λ . An application of the uniqueness theorem for the Laplace transform gives that $A - z$ is a subgenerator of a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_{K,z}(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$. Suppose now that A is the integral generator of $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then one has $C^{-1}AC = A$ and this implies that $C^{-1}(A - z)C = A - z$ is the integral generator of $(S_{K,z}(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$. Finally, the exponential boundedness of $(S_{K,z}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ simply

follows from (2) and the exponential boundedness of F and $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

Suppose $K = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{p_m(\lambda)}{p_k(\lambda)}\right)$, where \mathcal{L}^{-1} denotes the inverse Laplace transform, p_k and p_m are polynomials of degree k and m , respectively, and $k > m$. Then the condition (1) holds for a suitable exponentially bounded function F . Suppose now $\alpha > 0$ and $K(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, $t > 0$. Then there exists a sufficiently large positive real number a such that $\frac{\tilde{K}(\lambda) - \tilde{K}(\lambda+z)}{\tilde{K}(\lambda+z)} = (1 + \frac{z}{\lambda})^\alpha - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} \frac{z^n}{\lambda^n} = \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} \frac{z^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right)(\lambda)$, $\lambda > a$, where $1^\alpha = 1$. Since $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |\binom{\alpha}{n}| =: L_0 < \infty$, we obtain $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} \frac{z^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}| \leq L_0 |z| e^{|z|t}$, $t \geq 0$. With Theorem 2.1 and this observation in view, one obtains the following extension of [20, Proposition 2.4(b)] and [28, Proposition 3.3]; for the global case, see [21].

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose $z \in \mathbf{C}$, $\alpha > 0$ and A is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) α -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_\alpha(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$. Then $A - z$ is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) α -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_{\alpha, z}(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$, which is given by:*

$$S_{\alpha, z}(t)x = e^{-zt} S_\alpha(t)x + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} \frac{z^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-zs} S_\alpha(s)x ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau], \quad x \in E.$$

The following perturbation theorem generalizes [16, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $B \in L(E)$, K is a kernel and satisfies (P1), A is a subgenerator (the integral generator) of a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$, $BA \subseteq AB$, $BC = CB$ and there exists $a > 0$ such that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there is a function K_n satisfying (P1) and*

$$\widehat{K}_n(\lambda) = \tilde{K}(\lambda) \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{K}} \right) (\lambda), \quad \lambda > a, \quad \tilde{K}(\lambda) \neq 0.$$

$$\text{Put } \Theta_n(t) := \int_0^t |K_n(s)| ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) < \infty$, $t \geq 0$.

Then $A+B$ is a subgenerator (the integral generator) of a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup $(S_K^B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, which satisfies for every $x \in E$ and $t \in [0, \tau)$:

$$S_K^B(t)x = e^{tB}S_K(t)x + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) s^{i-n} S_K(s)x ds. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, the following holds:

- (a) $\|S_K^B(t) - e^{tB}S_K(t)\| \leq e^{\|B\|} \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) e^{t\|B\|}$, $t \in [0, \tau)$.
- (b) Suppose $\tau = \infty$, $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ is exponentially bounded and there exist constants $M > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) \leq M e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Then $(S_K^B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ is also exponentially bounded.

P r o o f. First of all, notice that the commutation of B with C and A implies that the function u_1 , resp. u_2 , given by $u_1(t) := \int_0^t S_K(s) B x ds$, $t \in [0, \tau)$, resp. $u_2(t) := \int_0^t B S_K(s) x ds$, $t \in [0, \tau)$, is a solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in C([0, \tau) : [D(A)] \cap C^1([0, \tau) : E), \\ u'(t) = Au(t) + \Theta(t)CBx, \quad t \in [0, \tau), \\ u(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since K is a kernel, we have the uniqueness of solutions of the preceding problem ([14], [17]) and this implies $BS_K(t)x = S_K(t)Bx$, $t \in [0, \tau)$, $x \in E$. Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_K^B(t) - e^{tB}S_K(t)\| &\leq \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{\|B\|^i}{i!} \binom{i}{n} t^{i-n} \\ &= \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\|B\|^i}{i!} t^i \sum_{n=1}^i \binom{i}{n} t^{-n} \\ &\leq \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) \frac{\|B\|^i}{i!} t^i \frac{(t+1)^i}{t^i} \\ &= e^{\|B\|} \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n(t) e^{t\|B\|}, \quad t \in (0, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertion (a) holds. The previous computation also shows that $(S_K^B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$ is a strongly continuous operator family that commutes with $A+B$ and C . Let $x \in E$ be fixed. Then the dominated convergence theorem, the closedness of A and integration by parts, as well as the argumentation used in the estimation of term $\|S_K^B(t) - e^{tB}S_K(t)\|$, imply:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (A+B) \int_0^t S_K^B(s)x ds = (A+B) \int_0^t e^{sB}S_K(s)x ds \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (A+B) \int_0^t \int_0^s K_n(s-r)r^{i-n}S_K(r)x dr ds \\
 & \quad = e^{tB}[S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx] + B \int_0^t e^{sB}\Theta(s)Cx ds \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (A+B) \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n}S_K(r)x dr ds \\
 & \quad = e^{tB}[S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx] + B \int_0^t e^{sB}\Theta(s)Cx ds \\
 & \quad \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (A+B) \times \\
 & \times \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \left[s^{i-n} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr - (i-n) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \int_0^r S_K(v)x dv dr \right] ds \\
 & \quad = e^{tB}[S_K(t)x - \Theta(t)Cx] + B \int_0^t e^{sB}\Theta(s)Cx ds \\
 & \quad \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^{i+1}}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \times \\
 & \times \left[s^{i-n} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr - (i-n) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \int_0^r S_K(v)x dv dr \right] ds \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) s^{i-n} [S_K(s)x - \Theta(s)Cx] ds \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (n-i) \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} [S_K(r)x - \Theta(r)Cx] dr ds \\
 & \quad = S_K^B(t)x - f_1(t) - f_2(t)Cx, \quad t \in [0, \tau), \quad \text{where:}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^{i+1}}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \times \\
 & \times \left[s^{i-n} \int_0^s S_K(r)x dr - (i-n) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \int_0^r S_K(v)x dv dr \right] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (n-i) \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} S_K(r) x dr ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(t) &= e^{tB} \Theta(t) - B \int_0^t e^{sB} \Theta(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) s^{i-n} \Theta(s) ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (n-i) \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \Theta(r) dr ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Then the partial integration implies:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^{i+1}}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n} \int_0^r S_K(r) x dr ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (n-i) \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \int_0^r S_K(r) x dr ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of B^i , $i \geq 2$ in the expression of $f_1(t)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{i-1} (-1)^n \left(\frac{n-i}{i!} \binom{i}{n} + \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \binom{i-1}{n} \right) \\ &\cdot \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \int_0^r S_K(r) x dr ds = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\frac{n-i}{i!} \binom{i}{n} + \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \binom{i-1}{n} = 0$. Thereby, $f_1(t) = 0$, $t \in [0, \tau)$. On the other hand, the usual series arguments imply that the coefficient of B^i in the expression of $f_2(t)$ equals $\Theta(t)$, $t \geq 0$ if $i = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2,i}(t) &:= \frac{t^i}{i!} \Theta(t) - \int_0^t \frac{s^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \Theta(s) ds \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t K_n(t-s) s^{i-n} \Theta(s) ds \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{i!} (-1)^n \binom{i}{n} (n-i) \int_0^t K_n(t-s) \int_0^s r^{i-n-1} \Theta(r) dr ds, \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

if $i \geq 1$. Proceeding as before, one obtains, as a consequence of the condition (iii), that the function $t \mapsto f_{2,i}(t)$, $t \geq 0$ satisfies (P1) and that there exists

$a'' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}(f_{2,i}(t))(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{i!}(-1)^i \left(\frac{\tilde{K}(\cdot)}{\cdot} \right)^{(i)}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(i-1)!} (-1)^{i-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{K}(\cdot)}{\cdot} \right)^{(i-1)}(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{i!} (-1)^i \binom{i}{n} \tilde{K}(\lambda) \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{K}(\cdot)} \right)^{(n)}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{K}^{(i-n)}(\lambda) \\
 &= \frac{1}{i!} (-1)^i \left(\frac{\tilde{K}(\cdot)}{\cdot} \right)^{(i)}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(i-1)!} (-1)^{i-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{K}(\cdot)}{\cdot} \right)^{(i-1)}(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\tilde{K}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \left(-\frac{1}{\tilde{K}(\lambda)} \right) \tilde{K}^{(i)}(\lambda) \\
 &= \frac{1}{i!} (-1)^i \left(\frac{\tilde{K}(\cdot)}{\cdot} \right)^{(i)}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(i-1)!} (-1)^{i-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{K}(\cdot)}{\cdot} \right)^{(i-1)}(\lambda) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!} \frac{\tilde{K}^{(i)}(\lambda)}{\lambda} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda > a''$ with $\tilde{K}(\lambda) \neq 0$. This enables one to deduce that $f_2(t) = \Theta(t)$, $t \in [0, \tau)$ and that $(S_K^B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ is a (local) K -convoluted C -semigroup with a subgenerator $A + B$. The proof of (b) follows from a simple computation; furthermore, the supposition that A is the integral generator of $(S_K(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ implies that $C^{-1}AC = A$ and that $C^{-1}(A + B)C = A + B$ is the integral generator of $(S_K^B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$. This completes the proof of theorem.

Remark 2.4.

- (i) The assumption (i) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied for the function $K = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{p_k(\lambda)}\right)$, where p_k is a polynomial of degree $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $n_0 = k$ and $K_n \equiv 0$, $n \geq k + 1$. In this case, we have the existence of positive real numbers M and ω such that (4) holds.
- (ii) ([16]) Let $n > 1$ and let P be an analytic function in the right half plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0\}$ for some $\lambda_0 \geq 1$. Suppose that $P(\lambda) \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0$, and that there exist $C > 0$ and $r \in (0, 1]$ with:

$$|P(\lambda)| \geq C|\lambda|^n, \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0,$$

$$\left| \frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} P(\lambda) \right| \leq C|\lambda|^{-ir} |P(\lambda)|, \operatorname{Re}\lambda > \lambda_0, i \in \mathbf{N},$$

$$\frac{P^{(j)}}{P} \in LT(\mathbf{C}), j \leq 1/r, j \in \mathbf{N},$$

where $LT(\mathbf{C})$ denotes the set of all Laplace transforms of exponentially bounded functions. Then the condition (i) of Theorem 2.3 holds for

the function $K = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(1/P)$ and there exist $M > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$ such that (4) holds.

(iii) The conditions (ii) and (iii) quoted in the formulation of Theorem 2.3 can be replaced with:

(ii)' there exist $M_1 \geq 1$ and $\omega_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{\|B\|^i}{i!} \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t |K_n(t-s)| s^{i-n} ds \leq M_1 e^{\omega_1 t}, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

(iii)' to every $i \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $a_i > 0$ such that the function

$$t \mapsto \max_{s \in [0, t]} |\Theta(s)| e^{-a_i t} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{(2t+2)^i}{i!} \Theta_n(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

belongs to the space $L^1([0, \infty) : \mathbf{R})$.

Notice only that one can prove that $f_1 \equiv 0$ by direct computation of coefficient of B^i , $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and that the condition (iii)' is necessary in our striving to show that, for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$, the function $t \mapsto f_{2,i}(t)$, $t \geq 0$ satisfies (P1); it is also clear that (iii)' holds provided that Θ is exponentially bounded and that, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, Θ_n is exponentially bounded. Let us prove now that (ii)' and (iii)' hold for the function $K = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(e^{-\lambda^\sigma})$, where $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. First of all, we know that K is an exponentially bounded, continuous kernel. Let $f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda^\sigma}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. Then the mapping $\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ is analytic, $f'(\lambda) = \sigma \lambda^{\sigma-1} f(\lambda)$ and

$$f^{(n)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} (\cdot)^{\sigma-1} (n-i-1) (\lambda) f^{(i)}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]. \quad (5)$$

Using (5), one concludes inductively that, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exist real numbers $p_{i,n}(\sigma)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$:

$$\widetilde{K}_n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i,n}(\sigma) \lambda^{i\sigma-n}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_n(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|p_{i,n}(\sigma) t^{n-i\sigma}|}{\Gamma(n+1-i\sigma)}.$$

Put $p_{0,n}(\sigma) := 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. By the foregoing, we have

$$(e^\sigma)^{(n)}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda^\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i,n}(\sigma) \lambda^{i\sigma-n}$$

and

$$\left(e^\sigma\right)^{(n+1)}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(p_{i,n}(\sigma)(i\sigma - n) + \sigma p_{i-1,n}(\sigma)\right) \lambda^{i\sigma - (n+1)},$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$. Hence, $p_{1,n}(\sigma) = \sigma(\sigma - 1) \cdots (\sigma - (n - 1))$, $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $p_{n,n}(\sigma) = \sigma^n$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and

$$p_{i,n+1}(\sigma) = p_{i,n}(\sigma)(i\sigma - n) + \sigma p_{i-1,n}(\sigma), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n. \quad (6)$$

Clearly, $L_\sigma := \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}_0} \left|\binom{\sigma}{n}\right| < \infty$. Applying (6) we infer that for every $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i! |p_{i,n+1}(\sigma)| \\ \leq & \left| \sigma(\sigma - 1) \cdots (\sigma - n) \right| + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[\sigma i! |p_{i-1,n}(\sigma)| + n(\sigma + 1) i! |p_{i,n}(\sigma)| \right] + (n+1)! \\ \leq & L_\sigma (\sigma + n) n! + n\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i! |p_{i,n}(\sigma)| + n(\sigma + 1) \sum_{i=2}^n i! |p_{i,n}(\sigma)| + (n+1)!. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding inequality implies that, for every $\zeta \geq 2 + 4\sigma + 2L_\sigma$, the following holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i! |p_{i,n}(\sigma)| \leq \zeta^n n! \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (7)$$

Denote by ζ_σ the minimum of all numbers satisfying (7). Then a simple computation shows that, for every $x \in E$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{\|B\|^i}{i!} \binom{i}{n} \int_0^t \|K_n(t-s) s^{i-n} S_K(s)x\| ds \\ \leq & \max_{s \in [0,t]} \|S_K(s)x\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|B\|^i \zeta_\sigma^i}{i!} \sum_{n=1}^i \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{t^{i+1-l\sigma} i!}{\Gamma(i+2-l\sigma)l!}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easily verified that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^i \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{i!}{\Gamma(i+2-l\sigma)l!} \leq i2^{(2-\sigma)i}, \quad i \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (9)$$

Combining (8)-(9), it follows that, for every $t \in [0, \min(1, \tau))$,

$$\left\| S_K^B(t) - e^{tB} S_K(t) \right\| \leq t \|B\| \zeta_\sigma 2^{2-\sigma} e^{\|B\| \zeta_\sigma 2^{2-\sigma}} \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\|$$

and, in case $\tau > 1$,

$$\left\| S_K^B(t) - e^{tB} S_K(t) \right\| \leq t^2 \|B\| \zeta_\sigma 2^{2-\sigma} e^{\|B\| \zeta_\sigma 2^{2-\sigma} t} \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\|, \quad t \in [1, \tau),$$

proving the condition (ii)'; furthermore, in case that $\tau = \infty$ and that $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially bounded, then $(S_K^B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is also exponentially bounded. It is clear that (iii)' holds and that the above conclusions remain true in case $K = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(e^{-a\lambda^\sigma})$, where $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $a > 0$.

- (iv) Suppose $\alpha > 0$, $K(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, $t > 0$, $L_0 := \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |(\alpha)_n|$ and A is a subgenerator of a (local, global exponentially bounded) α -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_\alpha(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$. Then $K_n(t) = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n+1)}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}$, $L_0 < \infty$, $\Theta_n(t) = |(\alpha)_n| t^n$, $t \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and this implies that the condition (iii) of Theorem 2.3 does not hold if $\alpha \notin \mathbf{N}$. Nevertheless, the series appearing in (3) still converges, the estimate $\|S_K^B(t) - e^{tB} S_K(t)\| \leq L_0 \max_{s \in [0, t]} \|S_K(s)\| e^{2t\|B\|}$, $t \in [0, \tau)$ follows analogically and the proof of Theorem 2.3 can be repeated verbatim. Having in mind these observations, we obtain the next important generalization of [16, Corollary 4.5] and [35, Theorem 2.3] (cf. also [21, Theorem 3.5]):

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose $\alpha > 0$, A is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) α -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_\alpha(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, $B \in L(E)$, $BA \subseteq AB$ and $BC = CB$. Then $A+B$ is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) α -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_\alpha^B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, which satisfies, for every $x \in E$ and $t \in [0, \tau)$,*

$$S_\alpha^B(t)x = e^{tB} S_\alpha(t)x + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{B^i}{i!} (-1)^n n \binom{i}{n} \binom{\alpha}{n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} s^{i-n} S_\alpha(s)x ds.$$

Notice ([36]) that the previous formula can be rewritten in the following form:

$$S_\alpha^B(t)x = e^{tB}S_\alpha(t)x + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-B)^i \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e^{Bs} S(s)x ds, \quad x \in E, t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (10)$$

The following perturbation theorem for generators of exponentially bounded, analytic integrated C -semigroups is applicable on a class of (differential) operators analyzed by R. deLaubenfels in [10, Section XXI, Section XXIV].

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose $r > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, A is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of an exponentially bounded, analytic r -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_r(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of angle α , $B \in L(E)$, $BA \subseteq AB$ and $BC = CB$. Then $A + B$ is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of an exponentially bounded, analytic r -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_r^B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of angle α , where*

$$S_r^B(z)x := e^{zB}S_r(z)x + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-B)^i \int_0^z \frac{(z-s)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e^{Bs} S_r(s)x ds, \quad x \in E, z \in \Sigma_\alpha. \quad (11)$$

P r o o f. Clearly, $L_0 = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \binom{r}{n} < \infty$. Notice that, for every $z \in \Sigma_\alpha$, the series appearing in (11) is absolutely convergent and that, for every $\gamma \in (-\alpha, \alpha)$ such that $|\gamma| > \arg(z)$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_r^B(z) - e^{zB}S_r(z)\| &\leq \sum_{i \geq 1} L_0 \|B\|^i \int_0^{\operatorname{Re}z} \frac{|z|^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e^{\|B\||z|} M_\gamma e^{\omega_\gamma \operatorname{Re}z} ds \\ &\leq \operatorname{Re}z M_\gamma L_0 \|B\| e^{(2\|B\| + \omega_\gamma) \operatorname{Re}z}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This implies that $(S_r(z))_{z \in \Sigma_\alpha}$ is a strongly continuous operator family satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) stated in the formulation of Definition 1.4. It remains to be shown that the mapping

$$z \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{i} (-B)^i \int_0^z \frac{(z-s)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e^{Bs} S_r(s) ds, \quad z \in \Sigma_\alpha \quad (13)$$

is analytic. By standard arguments, the mapping $f_0(z) = \int_0^z e^{-Bs} S_r(s) ds$, $z \in \Sigma_\alpha$ is analytic and $f'_0(z) = e^{-Bz} S_r(z)$, $z \in \Sigma_\alpha$. This simply yields that, for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$, the mapping $f_i(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(z-s)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e^{Bs} S_r(s) ds$, $z \in \Sigma_\alpha$ is analytic and that $f'_i(z) = f_{i-1}(z)$, $z \in \Sigma_\alpha$. Furthermore, the series in (11) is locally uniformly convergent; this follows from the next obvious estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \binom{\alpha}{i} (-B)^i \int_0^z \frac{(z-s)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} e^{Bs} S_r(s) ds \right\| \\ & \leq M_\gamma \left(\sup_{z \in K} |z| \|B\| \right)^i \frac{e^{(\|B\| + \omega) \sup_{z \in K} |z|}}{(i-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where K is an arbitrary compact subset of Σ_α and γ is chosen so that $K \subseteq \Sigma_\gamma$. An application of the Weierstrass theorem completes the proof of theorem.

The following theorem extends [30, Theorem 3.8] and [35, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5, Corollary 2.6] (cf. also [40, Theorem 2.3]). The proof is omitted since it follows by the use of the argumentation given in [35], [29, Section 10] and [40].

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose $n \in \mathbf{N}$, A is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) n -times integrated C -semigroup $(S(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$, $B \in L(E)$, $R(B) \subseteq C(D(A^n))$ and $BCx = CBx$, $x \in D(A)$. Then $A+B$ is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) n -times integrated C -semigroup $(S_B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ which satisfies the following integral equation:*

$$S_B(t)x = S(t)x + \int_0^t \frac{d^n}{dt^n} S(t-s) C^{-1} B S_B(s) x ds, \quad t \in [0, \tau), \quad x \in E.$$

With Theorem 2.7 in view, one can prove the following extension of [29, Theorem 10.1] that is comparable with [35, Theorem 4.6] and [39, Theorem 3.1]; notice only that the assertions related to the study of unbounded perturbations of generators of integrated C -semigroups (cf. [35, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2] and [23, Theorem 3.1]) and cosine functions can be proved similarly. It seems possible to prove the assertions of Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 in the case of (local) fractionally integrated C -semigroups and cosine functions.

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose $n \in \mathbf{N}$, A is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) n -times integrated C -cosine function $(C(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$, $B \in L(E)$, $R(B) \subseteq C(D(A^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}))$ and $BCx = CBx$, $x \in D(A)$. Then $A + B$ is a subgenerator, resp. the integral generator, of a (local, global exponentially bounded) n -times integrated C -cosine function $(C_B(t))_{t \in [0, \tau]}$.*

The following theorem mimics an interesting perturbation result of C. Kaiser and L. Weis ([12]-[13]) which can be additionally refined if the Fourier type of the space E ([1], [12]) is also taken into consideration.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose K satisfies (P1), (P2) and there exists $\beta \in (\text{abs}(K), \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ with*

$$\frac{1}{|\tilde{K}(\lambda)|} \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon|\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \quad \text{Re}\lambda > \beta. \quad (14)$$

- (i) *Suppose A generates an exponentially bounded K -convoluted semigroup $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|S_K(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ for some $M_1 > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. Let B be a linear operator such that $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ and that there exist $M \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda_0 \in (\max(\beta, \omega), \infty)$ satisfying $\|BR(\lambda : A)\| \leq M$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Re}\lambda = \lambda_0$. Then, for every $\alpha > 1$, the operator $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded, $(K *_{0} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ -convoluted semigroup.*
- (ii) *Suppose A generates an exponentially bounded K -convoluted semigroup $(S_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|S_K(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ for some $M_1 > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. Let B be a densely defined linear operator such that there exist $M \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda_0 \in (\max(\beta, \omega), \infty)$ satisfying $\|R(\lambda : A)Bx\| \leq M\|x\|$, $x \in D(B)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Re}\lambda = \lambda_0$. Then there exists a closed extension D of the operator $A + B$ such that, for every $\alpha > 1$, the operator D generates an exponentially bounded, $(K *_{0} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ -convoluted semigroup. Furthermore, if A and A^* are densely defined, then D is the part of the operator $(A^* + B^*)^*$ in E .*
- (iii) *Suppose A generates an exponentially bounded K -convoluted cosine function $(C_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|C_K(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ for some $M_1 > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. Let B be a linear operator such that $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ and that there exist $M > 0$ and $\lambda_0 \in (\max(\beta, \omega), \infty)$ satisfying $\|BR(\lambda^2 : A)\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Re}\lambda = \lambda_0$. Then, for every $\alpha > 1$, the operator $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded, $(K *_{0} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ -convoluted cosine function.*

- (iv) Suppose A generates an exponentially bounded K -convoluted cosine function $(C_K(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|C_K(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ for some $M_1 > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. Let B be a densely defined linear operator such that there exist $M > 0$ and $\lambda_0 \in (\max(\beta, \omega), \infty)$ satisfying $\|R(\lambda^2 : A)Bx\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|} \|x\|$, $x \in D(B)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = \lambda_0$. Then there exists a closed extension D of the operator $A+B$ such that, for every $\alpha > 1$, the operator D generates an exponentially bounded, $(K *_0 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ -convoluted cosine function. Furthermore, if A and A^* are densely defined, then D is the part of the operator $(A^* + B^*)^*$ in E .

P r o o f. We will prove only (iii) and (iv). By [17, Theorem 3.1], we have that $\{\lambda^2 : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \max(\beta, \omega)\} \subseteq \rho(A)$ and that $\|R(\lambda^2 : A)\| \leq \frac{M_1}{|\lambda| \tilde{K}(\lambda) (\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \omega)}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \max(\beta, \omega)$. Suppose $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > \lambda_0$. Put $\lambda = \lambda_0 + i \operatorname{Im} z$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|BR(z^2 : A)\| &= \|BR(\lambda^2 : A)(I + (\lambda^2 - z^2)R(z^2 : A))\| \\ &\leq \|BR(\lambda^2 : A)\| \left(1 + |\lambda - z| |\lambda + z| \|R(z^2 : A)\|\right) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|} \left(1 + |\lambda - z| |\lambda + z| \frac{M_1}{|z| \tilde{K}(z) (\operatorname{Re} z - \omega)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|} \left(1 + |\lambda + z| \frac{M_1}{|z| \tilde{K}(z)}\right) \\ &\leq M \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|} + \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{|\lambda|}\right) \frac{M_1}{|z| \tilde{K}(z)}\right) \\ &\leq M \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \frac{M_1}{|z| \tilde{K}(z)} + \frac{M_1}{\lambda_0 \tilde{K}(z)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Consider now the function $h : \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\} \rightarrow L(E)$ defined by $h(z) := zBR((z + \lambda_0)^2 : A)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. Then $\|h(it)\| \leq M$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and, owing to (14) and (15), we have that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\overline{C}_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|h(z)\| \leq \overline{C}_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon|z|}$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. An application of the Phragmén-Lindelöf type theorems (cf. for instance [1, Theorem 3.9.8, p. 179]) gives that $\|h(z)\| \leq M$ for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$. This, in turn, implies that there exists $a > \lambda_0$ such that $\|BR(\lambda^2 : A)\| < \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda^2 \in \rho(A+B)$ and that, for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > a$:

$$\|\lambda R(\lambda^2 : A+B)\| = \|\lambda R(\lambda^2 : A)(I - BR(\lambda^2 : A))^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|\tilde{K}(\lambda)|}.$$

The proof of (iii) follows by making use of [17, Theorem 3.1] and [36, Theorem 1.12] while the proof of (iv) is a consequence of [12, Lemma 3.2] and a similar reasoning.

By the proof of Theorem 2.9, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10.

- (i) *Suppose A generates a cosine function $(C(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\|C(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ for appropriate $M > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. If B is a linear operator such that $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ and that there exist $M' > 0$ and $\lambda_0 \in (\omega, \infty)$ satisfying $\|BR(\lambda^2 : A)\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = \lambda_0$, then, for every $\alpha > 1$, the operator $A+B$ generates an exponentially bounded, α -times integrated cosine function.*
- (ii) *Suppose A generates a cosine function $(C(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\|C(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ for appropriate $M > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. Let B be a densely defined linear operator such that there exist $M' > 0$ and $\lambda_0 \in (\omega, \infty)$ satisfying $\|R(\lambda^2 : A)Bx\| \leq \frac{M'}{|\lambda|}\|x\|$, $x \in D(B)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = \lambda_0$. Then there exists a closed extension D of the operator $A+B$ such that, for every $\alpha > 1$, the operator D generates an exponentially bounded, α -times integrated cosine function. Furthermore, if A and A^* are densely defined, then D is the part of the operator $(A^* + B^*)^*$ in E .*

We close the paper with the following illustrative example.

Example 2.11.

- (i) ([22]) Let $E := C_0(\mathbf{R}) \oplus C_0(\mathbf{R}) \oplus C_0(\mathbf{R})$, $C(f, g, h) := (f, g, \sin(\cdot)h(\cdot))$, $f, g, h \in C_0(\mathbf{R})$ and $A(f, g, h) := (f' + g', g', (\chi_{[0, \infty)} - \chi_{(-\infty, 0]})h)$, $(f, g, h) \in D(A) = \{(f, g, h) \in E : f' \in C_0(\mathbf{R}), g' \in C_0(\mathbf{R}), h(0) = 0\}$. Arguing as in [22, Example 8.1, Example 8.2], one gets that A is the integral generator of an exponentially bounded once integrated C -semigroup and that A is not a subgenerator of any local C -semigroup. Suppose now $m_i \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$, $i = 1, 2$, the mappings $t \mapsto |t|m_i(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \mapsto |t|m'_i(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$ are bounded for $i = 1, 2$; $C(\mathbf{R}) \ni m_3$ is bounded and satisfies $m_3(0) = 0$. Put $B(f, g, h) := (m_1(\cdot) \int_0^\cdot f(s)ds, m_2(\cdot) \int_0^\cdot g(s)ds, \sin(\cdot)m_3(\cdot)h(\cdot))$, $f, g, h \in C_0(\mathbf{R})$. Then one can simply verify $B \in L(E)$, $R(B) \subseteq C(D(A))$ and $BC(f, g, h) = CB(f, g, h)$, $(f, g, h) \in E$. By Theorem 2.7, one obtains that $A + B$ is the integral generator of an exponentially bounded once integrated C -semigroup.
- (ii) Let $E := L^1(\mathbf{R})$ and let $D := d/dx$ with maximal distributional domain. Then it is well known (cf. also [12, Corollary 3.4, Example

7.1]) that E has the Fourier type 1, and in particular, that E is not a B -convex Banach space. Furthermore, $A := D^2 = d^2/dx^2$ generates a bounded cosine function $(C(t))_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$(C(t)f)(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x+t) + f(x-t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}),$$

and Sobolev imbedding theorem implies $D(A) = W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq C(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. Suppose $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}) \setminus L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ and define a linear operator $B : L^1(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbf{R})$ by $Bf(x) := f(x)g(x)$, $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$. In general, B cannot be extended to a bounded linear operator from $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ into $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ and $R(B) \not\subseteq D(A)$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(2\lambda R(\lambda^2 : A)f)\| &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)| \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} (f(x+t) + f(x-t)) dt dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)| \int_0^{\infty} (|f(x+t)| + |f(x-t)|) dt dx \\ &\leq 2\|g\| \|f\|, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}), \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that all assumptions quoted in the formulation of Corollary 2.10(i) holds with $\lambda_0 = 1$. Hence, $A + B$ generates an exponentially bounded α -times integrated cosine function for every $\alpha > 1$; let us also point out that it is not clear whether there exists $\beta \in [0, 1)$ such that $A + B$ generates a (local) β -times integrated cosine function although one can simply prove that there exist $a > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $\|\lambda R(\lambda^2 : A + B)\| \leq \frac{M}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > a$.

- (iii) Suppose A generates a (local) α -times integrated cosine function for some $\alpha > 0$, $B \in L(E)$ and $BA \subseteq AB$. Then the proof of [15, Theorem 4.3] and the analysis given in [17, Example 7.3] imply that, for every $s \in (1, 2)$, $\pm iA$ generate global $K_{1/s}$ -semigroups and that $\pm iA$ generate local $K_{1/2}$ -semigroups, where $K_\sigma(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(e^{-\lambda^\sigma})(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. By Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4(iii), we have that $\pm i(A + B)$ generate global $K_{1/s}$ -semigroups for every $s \in (1, 2)$ and that $\pm i(A + B)$ generate local $K_{1/2}$ -semigroups. Therefore, a large class of differential operators (cf. [2], [11] and [42]) generating integrated cosine functions can be used to provide applications of Theorem 2.3.

- (iv) ([19], [16]) Let $s > 1$,

$$E := \left\{ f \in C^\infty[0, 1] \mid \|f\| := \sup_{p \geq 0} \frac{\|f^{(p)}\|_\infty}{p!^s} < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$A := -d/dx, \quad D(A) := \{f \in E : f' \in E, f(0) = 0\}.$$

It is well known that there exist positive real numbers m and M such that $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0\} \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $\|R(\lambda : A)\| \leq Me^{m|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{s}}}$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0$ ([19]). Since $|e^{-\xi\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}}| \leq e^{-\xi|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{s}}\cos(\frac{\pi}{2s})}$, $\xi > 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$, we have that A generates a global exponentially bounded $K_{a, \frac{1}{s}}$ -convoluted semigroup for every $a > \frac{m}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2s})}$, where $K_{a, \frac{1}{s}}(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(e^{-a\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}}})(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $Bf(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(s) ds$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $f \in E$. Then it is checked at once that $B \in L(E)$ and that $BA \subseteq AB$. Owing to Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4(iii), we easily infer that $A+B$ generates a global exponentially bounded $K_{a, \frac{1}{s}}$ -convoluted semigroup.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. A r e n d t, C. J. K. B a t t y, M. H i e b e r, F. N e u b r a n d e r, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2001.
- [2] W. A r e n d t, H. K e l l e r m a n n, *Integrated solutions of Volterra integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces and applications*, Proc. Conf., Trento/Italy 1987, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. **190** (1989), 21–51.
- [3] W. A r e n d t, O. E l - M e n n a o u i, V. K e y a n t u o, *Local integrated semigroups: evolution with jumps of regularity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **186** (1994), 572–595.
- [4] W. A r e n d t, C. J. K. B a t t y, *Rank-1 perturbations of cosine functions and semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **238** (2006), 340–352.
- [5] R. B e a l s, *On the abstract Cauchy problem*, J. Funct. Anal. **10** (1972), 281–299.
- [6] I. C i o r ă n e s c u, *Local convoluted semigroups*, in: Evolution Equations (Baton Rouge, LA, 1992), 107–122, Dekker New York, 1995.
- [7] I. C i o r ă n e s c u, G. L u m e r, *Problèmes d'évolution régularisés par un noyan général $K(t)$. Formule de Duhamel, prolongements, théorèmes de génération*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **319** (1995), 1273–1278.
- [8] I. C i o r ă n e s c u, G. L u m e r, *On $K(t)$ -convoluted semigroups*, in: Recent Developments in Evolution Equations (Glasgow, 1994), 86–93. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1995.
- [9] J. C h a z a r a i n, *Problèmes de Cauchy abstraites et applications à quelques problèmes mixtes*, J. Funct. Anal. **7** (1971), 386–446.
- [10] R. d e L a u b e n f e l s, *Existence Families, Functional Calculi and Evolution Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1570**, Springer 1994.

- [11] M. H i e b e r, *Integrated semigroups and differential operators on L^p spaces*, Math. Ann. **291** (1995), 1-16.
- [12] C. K a i s e r, L. W e i s, *Perturbation theorems for α -times integrated semigroups*, Arch. Math. **81** (2003), 215–228.
- [13] C. K a i s e r, *Integrated semigroups and linear partial differential equations with delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **292** (2004), 328–339.
- [14] M. K o s t i ć, *Convolved C -cosine functions and convolved C -semigroups*, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. **28** (2003), 75–92.
- [15] M. K o s t i ć, P. J. M i a n a, *Relations between distribution cosine functions and almost-distribution cosine functions*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), 531–543.
- [16] M. K o s t i ć, S. P i l i p o v i ć, *Global convolved semigroups*, Math. Nachr. **280** (2007), 1727–1743.
- [17] M. K o s t i ć, S. P i l i p o v i ć, *Convolved C -cosine functions and semigroups. Relations with ultradistribution and hyperfunction sines*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), 1224–1242.
- [18] M. K o s t i ć, *Convolved C -groups*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér **84(98)** (2008), 73–95.
- [19] P. C. K u n s t m a n n, *Stationary dense operators and generation of non-dense distribution semigroups*, J. Operator Theory **37** (1997), 111–120.
- [20] M. L i, Q. Z h e n g, *α -times integrated semigroups: local and global*, Studia Math. **154** (2003), 243–252.
- [21] Y. - C. L i, S. - Y. S h a w, *Perturbation of non-exponentially-bounded α -times integrated C -semigroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **55** (2003), 1115–1136.
- [22] Y. - C. L i, S. - Y. S h a w, *N -times integrated C -semigroups and the abstract Cauchy problem*, Taiwanese J. Math. **1** (1997), 75–102.
- [23] C. L i z a m a, J. S á n c h e z, *On perturbation of K -regularized resolvent families*, Taiwanese J. Math. **7** (2003), 217–227.
- [24] C. L i z a m a, V. P o b l e t e, *On multiplicative perturbation of integral resolvent families*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327** (2007), 1335–1359.
- [25] I. V. M e l n i k o v a, A. I. F i l i n k o v, *Abstract Cauchy Problems: Three Approaches*, Chapman Hall /CRC, 2001.
- [26] T. M a t s u m o t o, S. O h a r u, H. R. T h i e m e, *Nonlinear perturbations of a class of integrated semigroups*, Hiroshima Math. J. **26** (1996), 433–473.
- [27] I. M i y a d e r a, M. O k u b o, N. T a n a k a, *On integrated semigroups which are not exponentially bounded*, Proc. Japan Acad. **69** (1993), 199-204.
- [28] J. M. A. M. v a n N e e r v e n, B. S t r a u b, *On the existence and growth of mild solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem for operators with polynomially bounded resolvent*, Houston J. Math. **24** (1998), 137-171.
- [29] S. - Y. S h a w, *Cosine operator functions and Cauchy problems*, Conferenze del Seminario Matematica dell'Università di Bari. Dipartimento Interuniversitario Di Matematica Vol. **287**, ARACNE, Roma, 2002, 1–75.

- [30] S. - Y. S h a w, C. - C. K u o, *Generation of local C -semigroups and solvability of the abstract Cauchy problems*, Taiwanese J. Math. **9** (2005), 291–311.
- [31] S. - Y. S h a w, C. - C. K u o, Y. - C. L i, *Perturbation of local C -semigroups*, Nonlinear Analysis, Nonlinear Anal. **63** (2005), 2569–2574.
- [32] N. T a n a k a, *On perturbation theory for exponentially bounded C -semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **41** (2003), 215–236.
- [33] N. T a n a k a, *Perturbation theorems of Miyadera type for locally Lipschitz continuous integrated semigroups*, Studia Math. **41** (1990), 215–236.
- [34] H. R. T h i e m e, *Positive perturbations of dual and integrated semigroups*, Ado. Math. Sci. Appl. **6** (1996), 445–507.
- [35] S. W. W a n g, M. Y. W a n g, Y. S h e n, *Perturbation theorems for local integrated semigroups and their applications*, Studia Math. **170** (2005), 121–146.
- [36] T. - J. X i a o, J. L i a n g, *The Cauchy Problem for Higher-Order Abstract Differential Equations*, Springer, 1998.
- [37] T. - J. X i a o, J. L i a n g, *Perturbations of existence families for abstract Cauchy problems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 2275–2285.
- [38] T. - J. X i a o, J. L i a n g, F. L i, *A perturbation theorem of Miyadera type for local C -regularized semigroups*, Taiwanese J. Math. **10** (2006), 153–162.
- [39] J. Z h a n g, Q. Z h e n g, *On α -times integrated cosine functions*, Math. Japon. **50** (1999), 401–408.
- [40] Q. Z h e n g, *Perturbations and approximations of integrated semigroups*, Acta Math. Sinica **9** (1993), 252–260.
- [41] Q. Z h e n g, *Integrated cosine functions*, Internat. J. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 575–580.
- [42] Q. Z h e n g, *Coercive differential operators and fractionally integrated cosine functions*, Taiwanese J. Math. **6** (2002), 59–65.

Faculty of Technical Sciences
University of Novi Sad
Trg D. Obradovića 6
21125 Novi Sad
Serbia
e-mail: marco.s@verat.net