

BULLETIN OF THE INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL VIRTUAL INSTITUTE
ISSN (p) 2303-4874, ISSN (o) 2303-4955
www.imvibl.org /JOURNALS / BULLETIN
Vol. 4(2014), 1-9

Former
BULLETIN OF THE SOCIETY OF MATHEMATICIANS BANJA LUKA
ISSN (p) 0354-5792, ISSN (o) 1986-521X

Weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets

O. Ravi, R. Senthil Kumar and A. Hamari Choudhi

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notion of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets in ideal topological spaces is studied. The relationships of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets and various properties of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets are investigated.

1. Introduction

The first step of generalizing closed sets was done by Levine in 1970 [7]. He defined a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) to be g-closed if its closure belongs to every open superset of A. As the weak form of g-closed sets, the notion of weakly g-closed sets was introduced and studied by Sundaram and Nagaveni [11]. Sundaram and Pushpalatha [12] introduced and studied the notion of strongly g-closed sets, which is implied by that of closed sets and implies that of g-closed sets. Park and Park [9] introduced and studied mildly g-closed sets, which is properly placed between the classes of strongly g-closed and weakly g-closed sets. Moreover, the relations with other notions directly or indirectly connected with g-closed were investigated by them. In 1999, Dontchev et al. studied the notion of generalized closed sets in ideal topological spaces called \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets [2]. In 2008, Navaneethakrishnan and Paulraj Joseph have studied some characterizations of normal spaces via \mathcal{I}_g -open sets [8]. In 2013, Ekici and Ozen [4] introduced a generalized class of τ^* . Ravi et. al [10] introduced another generalized class of τ^* called weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets.

The main aim of this paper is to study the notion of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets in ideal topological spaces. The relationships of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets and various properties of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets are discussed.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A05, 54A10.

Key words and phrases. τ^* , generalized class, weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, ideal topological space, generalized closed set, \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed set, pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open set.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, (X, τ) represents topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. The closure and the interior of a subset G of a space X will be denoted by $\text{cl}(G)$ and $\text{int}(G)$, respectively.

DEFINITION 2.1. A subset G of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be

- (1) g-closed [7] if $\text{cl}(G) \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is open in X ;
- (2) g-open [7] if $X \setminus G$ is g-closed.

An ideal \mathcal{I} on a topological space (X, τ) is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies

- (1) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and
- (2) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ [6].

Given a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X if $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X , a set operator $(\bullet)^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, called a local function [6] of A with respect to τ and \mathcal{I} is defined as follows: for $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$ where $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau \mid x \in U\}$. A Kuratowski closure operator $\text{cl}^*(\bullet)$ for a topology $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$, called the \star -topology and finer than τ , is defined by $\text{cl}^*(A) = A \cup A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ [13]. We will simply write A^* for $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ and τ^* for $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$. If \mathcal{I} is an ideal on X , then (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is called an ideal topological space. On the other hand, $(A, \tau_A, \mathcal{I}_A)$ where τ_A is the relative topology on A and $\mathcal{I}_A = \{A \cap J : J \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an ideal topological space for an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) and $A \subseteq X$ [5]. For a subset $A \subseteq X$, $\text{cl}^*(A)$ and $\text{int}^*(A)$ will, respectively, denote the closure and the interior of A in (X, τ^*) .

DEFINITION 2.2. A subset G of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be

- (1) \mathcal{I}_g -closed [2] if $G^* \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is open in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .
- (2) pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open [3] if $G \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G))$.
- (3) pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed [3] if $X \setminus G$ is pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open.
- (4) \mathcal{I} -R closed [1] if $G = \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$.
- (5) $*$ -closed [5] if $G = \text{cl}^*(G)$ or $G^* \subseteq G$.

REMARK 2.1. [4] In any ideal topological spaces, every \mathcal{I} -R closed set is $*$ -closed but not conversely.

DEFINITION 2.3. [4] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. A subset G of X is said to be a weakly \mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed set if $(\text{int}(G))^* \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is a regular open set in X .

DEFINITION 2.4. [4] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. A subset G of X is said to be a weakly \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open set if $X \setminus G$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed set.

REMARK 2.2. [4] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. The following diagram holds for a subset $G \subseteq X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{rg}\text{-closed} & \longrightarrow & \text{weakly } \mathcal{I}_{rg}\text{-closed} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 -\text{closed} & & & & \text{pre}_\mathcal{I}^\text{-closed} \\
 \uparrow & & & & \\
 \mathcal{I}\text{-R-closed} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

These implications are not reversible as shown in [4].

DEFINITION 2.5. [10] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. A subset G of X is said to be

- (1) a mildly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set if $(\text{int}(G))^* \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is a g-open set in X ;
- (2) a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set if $(\text{int}(G))^* \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is an open set in X ;
- (3) a strongly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set if $G^* \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is a g-open set in X .

REMARK 2.3. [10] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. The following diagram holds for a subset $G \subseteq X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{strongly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{mildly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \text{weakly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set}
 \end{array}$$

These implications are not reversible.

3. Properties of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets

THEOREM 3.1. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. The following properties are equivalent:

- (1) G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set,
- (2) $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \subseteq H$ whenever $G \subseteq H$ and H is an open set in X .

PROOF. It is similar to that of Theorem 3.5 of [4].

THEOREM 3.2. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is open and weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed, then G is $*$ -closed.

PROOF. Let G be an open and weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Since G is open and weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed, $\text{cl}^*(G) = \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \subseteq G$. Thus, G is a $*$ -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

THEOREM 3.3. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, then $(\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$ contains no any nonempty closed set.

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Suppose that H is a closed set such that $H \subseteq (\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$. Since G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, $X \setminus H$ is open and $G \subseteq X \setminus H$, then $(\text{int}(G))^* \subseteq X \setminus H$. We have $H \subseteq X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*$. Hence, H

$\subseteq (\text{Int}(G))^* \cap (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*) = \emptyset$. Thus, $(\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$ contains no any nonempty closed set.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, then $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$ contains no any nonempty closed set.*

PROOF. Suppose that H is a closed set such that $H \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$. By Theorem 3.3, it follows from the fact that $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G = ((\text{int}(G))^* \cup \text{int}(G)) \setminus G$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) G is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed for each weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set G in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) ,
- (2) Each singleton $\{x\}$ of X is a closed set or $\{x\}$ is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : It follows from Theorem 3.11 of [4].

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : It is similar to that of Theorem 3.11 of [4].

THEOREM 3.6. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$ contains no any nonempty $*$ -closed set, then G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$ contains no any nonempty $*$ -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Let $G \subseteq H$ and H be an open set. Assume that $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$ is not contained in H . It follows that $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \cap (X \setminus H)$ is a nonempty $*$ -closed subset of $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$. This is a contradiction. Hence G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, then $\text{int}(G) = H \setminus K$ where H is \mathcal{I} -R closed and K contains no any nonempty closed set.*

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Take $K = (\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$. Then, by Theorem 3.3, K contains no any nonempty closed set.

Take $H = \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$. Then $H = \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(H))$. Moreover, we have $H \setminus K = ((\text{int}(G))^* \cup \text{int}(G)) \setminus ((\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G) = ((\text{int}(G))^* \cup \text{int}(G)) \cap (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^* \cup G) = \text{int}(G)$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. Assume that G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) G is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed,
- (2) $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$ is a closed set,
- (3) $(\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$ is a closed set.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (1) : It follows from Theorem 3.14 of [4].

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : It is similar to that of Theorem 3.14 of [4].

THEOREM 3.9. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$ be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set. Then $G \cup (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*)$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .*

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Suppose that H is an open set such that $G \cup (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*) \subseteq H$. We have $X \setminus H \subseteq X \setminus (G \cup (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*))$

$= (X \setminus G) \cap (\text{int}(G))^* = (\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$. Since $X \setminus H$ is a closed set and G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, it follows from Theorem 3.3 that $X \setminus H = \emptyset$. Hence, $X = H$. Thus, X is the only open set containing $G \cup (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*)$. Consequently, $G \cup (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*)$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$ be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set. Then $(\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .*

PROOF. Since $X \setminus ((\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G) = G \cup (X \setminus (\text{int}(G))^*)$, it follows from Theorem 3.9 that $(\text{int}(G))^* \setminus G$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

THEOREM 3.10. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) G is a $*$ -closed and open set,
- (2) G is \mathcal{I} -R closed and open set,
- (3) G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed and open set.

PROOF. It is similar to that of Theorem 3.17 of [4].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Every $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed set is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed but not conversely.*

PROOF. Let $H \subseteq G$ and G an open set in X . Since H is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed, $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(H)) \subseteq H \subseteq G$. Hence H is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space such that $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$. Then $\{b, c\}$ is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set but not $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -closed.

REMARK 3.1. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. The following diagram holds for a subset $G \subseteq X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{strongly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{mildly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} & \longrightarrow & \text{weakly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set} \longrightarrow \text{weakly } \mathcal{I}_{rg}\text{-closed set} \end{array}$$

The reverse implications in this diagram are true by Remark 2.8 and the following Example.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $\{a\}$ is weakly \mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed set but not weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed.

4. Further properties

THEOREM 4.1. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Each subset of } (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \text{ is a weakly } \mathcal{I}_g\text{-closed set,}$
- (2) $G \text{ is } \text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*\text{-closed for each open set } G \text{ in } X.$

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : It follows from Theorem 4.1 of [4].

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : It is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 of [4].

THEOREM 4.2. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set and $G \subseteq H \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$, then H is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.*

PROOF. Let $H \subseteq K$ and K be an open set in X . Since $G \subseteq K$ and G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, then $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \subseteq K$. Since $H \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$, then $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(H)) \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \subseteq K$. Thus, $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(H)) \subseteq K$ and hence, H is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed and open set, then $\text{cl}^*(G)$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.*

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed and open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . We have $G \subseteq \text{cl}^*(G) \subseteq \text{cl}^*(G) = \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$. Hence, by Theorem 4.2, $\text{cl}^*(G)$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

THEOREM 4.3. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a nowhere dense set, then G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.*

PROOF. It is similar to that of Theorem 4.4 of [4].

REMARK 4.1. The reverse of Theorem 4.3 is not true in general as shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let X, τ and \mathcal{I} be as in Example 3.2. Then $\{a, b\}$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set but not a nowhere dense set.

- REMARK 4.2.**
- (1) The union of two weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets in an ideal topological space need not be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.
 - (2) The intersection of two weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets in an ideal topological space need not be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space such that $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$. Then $\{a\}$ and $\{c\}$ are weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets but their union $\{a, c\}$ is not a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space such that $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$. Then $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a, c\}$ are weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets but their intersection $\{a\}$ is not a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. Then G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set if and only if $H \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G))$ whenever $H \subseteq G$ and H is a closed set.*

PROOF. It is similar to that of Theorem 4.13 of [4].

THEOREM 4.5. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, then $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .*

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Suppose that H is a closed set such that $H \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$. Since G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that $H = \emptyset$. Thus, we have $H \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G))$. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \setminus G$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

THEOREM 4.6. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set, then $H = X$ whenever H is an open set and $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) \cup (X \setminus G) \subseteq H$.*

PROOF. Let H be an open set in X and $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) \cup (X \setminus G) \subseteq H$. We have $X \setminus H \subseteq (X \setminus \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G))) \cap G = \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(X \setminus G)) \setminus (X \setminus G)$. Since $X \setminus H$ is a closed set and $X \setminus G$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed set, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that $X \setminus H = \emptyset$. Thus, we have $H = X$.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set and $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) \subseteq H \subseteq G$, then H is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set.*

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set and $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) \subseteq H \subseteq G$. Since $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) \subseteq H \subseteq G$, then $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) = \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(H))$. Let K be a closed set and $K \subseteq H$. We have $K \subseteq G$. Since G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set, it follows from Theorem 4.4 that $K \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) = \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(H))$. Hence, by Theorem 4.4, H is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal topological space and $G \subseteq X$. If G is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open and closed set, then $\text{int}^*(G)$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set.*

PROOF. Let G be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open and closed set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . Then $\text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G)) = \text{int}^*(G) \subseteq \text{int}^*(G) \subseteq G$. Thus, by Theorem 4.7, $\text{int}^*(G)$ is a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set in (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

DEFINITION 4.1. A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is called P-set if $A = M \cup N$ where M is closed and N is pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open.

REMARK 4.3. Every pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open (resp. closed) set is P-set but not conversely.

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let X, τ and \mathcal{I} be as in Example 3.2. Then $\{b\}$ is a P-set but not pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open. Also $\{c\}$ is P-set but not closed.

THEOREM 4.8. *For a subset H of (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) , the following are equivalent.*

- (1) H is pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open.
- (2) H is a P-set and weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): By Remark 4.3, H is a P-set. By Proposition 3.1, H is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let H be a P-set and weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open. Then there exist a closed set M and a pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open set N such that $H = M \cup N$. Since $M \subseteq H$ and H is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open, by Theorem 4.4, $M \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(H))$. Also, we have $N \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(N))$. Since $N \subseteq H$, $N \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(N)) \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(H))$. Then $H = M \cup N \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(H))$. So H is pre $_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open.

The following Example shows that the concepts of weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set and P-set are independent.

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let X, τ and \mathcal{I} be as in Example 3.2. Then $\{d\}$ is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set but not P-set. Also $\{b\}$ is P-set but not weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set.

5. $\text{Pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal spaces

DEFINITION 5.1. An ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal if for every pair of disjoint closed subsets A, B of X , there exist disjoint $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open sets U, V of X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

THEOREM 5.1. *The following properties are equivalent for a space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .*

- (1) *X is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal;*
- (2) *for any disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open sets U, V of X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$;*
- (3) *for any closed set A and any open set B containing A , there exists a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set U such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(U)) \subseteq B$.*

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): The proof is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let A be any closed set of X and B any open set of X such that $A \subseteq B$. Then A and $X \setminus B$ are disjoint closed sets of X . By (2), there exist disjoint weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open sets U, V of X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $X \setminus B \subseteq V$. Since V is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set, by Theorem 4.4, $X \setminus B \subseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(V))$ and $U \cap \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(V)) = \emptyset$. Therefore we obtain $\text{cl}^*(\text{int}(U)) \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(X \setminus V))$ and hence $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(U)) \subseteq B$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let A and B be any disjoint closed sets of X . Then $A \subseteq X \setminus B$ and $X \setminus B$ is open and hence there exists a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open set G of X such that $A \subseteq G \subseteq \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G)) \subseteq X \setminus B$. Put $U = \text{int}^*(\text{cl}(G))$ and $V = X \setminus \text{cl}^*(\text{int}(G))$. Then U and V are disjoint $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open sets of X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. Therefore X is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal.

DEFINITION 5.2. A function $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be weakly \mathcal{I}_g -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed in X for every closed set V of Y .

DEFINITION 5.3. A function $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$ is called weakly \mathcal{I}_g -irresolute if $f^{-1}(V)$ is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -closed in X for every weakly \mathcal{J}_g -closed of Y .

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -continuous closed injection. If Y is normal, then X is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal.*

PROOF. Let A and B be disjoint closed sets of X . Since f is closed injection, $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ are disjoint closed sets of Y . By the normality of Y , there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $f(A) \subseteq U$ and $f(B) \subseteq V$. Since f is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -continuous, then $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open sets such that $A \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ and $B \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Therefore X is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal by Theorem 5.1.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a weakly \mathcal{I}_g -irresolute closed injection. If Y is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal, then X is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal.*

PROOF. Let A and B be disjoint closed sets of X . Since f is closed injection, $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ are disjoint closed sets of Y . Since Y is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal, by Theorem 5.1, there exist disjoint weakly \mathcal{J}_g -open sets U and V such that $f(A) \subseteq U$ and $f(B) \subseteq V$. Since f is weakly \mathcal{I}_g -irresolute, then $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are disjoint weakly \mathcal{I}_g -open sets of X such that $A \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ and $B \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Therefore X is $\text{pre}_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -normal.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Acikgoz and S. Yuksel, *Some new sets and decompositions of $A_{\mathcal{I}-R}$ -continuity, α - \mathcal{I} -continuity, continuity via idealization*, Acta Math. Hungar., 114(1-2)(2007), 79-89.
- [2] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and T. Noiri, *Unified operation approach of generalized closed sets via topological ideals*, Math. Japonica, 49(1999), 395-401.
- [3] E. Ekici, *On $\mathcal{AC}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -sets, $\mathcal{BC}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -sets, $\beta_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ -open sets and decompositions of continuity in ideal topological spaces*, Creat. Math. Inform., 20(1)(2011), 47-54.
- [4] E. Ekici and S. Ozen, *A generalized class of τ^* in ideal spaces*, Filomat, 27(4)(2013), 529-535.
- [5] D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, *New topologies from old via ideals*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97(4)(1990), 295-310.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [7] N. Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- [8] M. Navaneethakrishnan and J. Paulraj Joseph, *g -closed sets in ideal topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., 119(4)(2008), 365-371.
- [9] J. K. Park and J. H. Park, *Mildly generalized closed sets, almost normal and mildly normal spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 20(2004), 1103-1111.
- [10] O. Ravi, R. Senthil Kumar and K. Indirani, *Mildly \mathcal{I}_g -closed sets*, submitted.
- [11] P. Sundaram and N. Nagaveni, *On weakly generalized continuous maps, weakly generalized closed maps and weakly generalized irresolute maps in topological spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 6(6)(1998), 903-1012.
- [12] P. Sundaram and A. Pushpalatha, *Strongly generalized closed sets in topological spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 3(4)(2001), 563-575.
- [13] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set Topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1946.

Received by editor 27.11.2013; revised version 05.02.2014;
available online 10.02.2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, P. M. THEVAR COLLEGE, USILAMPATTI, MADURAI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.

E-mail address: siingam@yahoo.com.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, R. V. S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, DINDUGUL, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.

E-mail address: srisenthil2011@gmail.com.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, M. T. N COLLEGE, MADURAI-4, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.
E-mail address: hamarimtnc@gmail.com.