

## ON A CONSTRUCTION OF DIGITAL CONVEX $(2S+1)$ -GONS OF MINIMUM DIAMETER

Snežana MATIĆ-KEKIĆ, Dragan M. ACKETA

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad  
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

**Abstract:** In this paper an algorithm is described for an exact construction of digital convex  $(2s+1)$ -gons of minimum diameter. A complete family of auxiliary so-called perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples is obtained by applying this algorithm. The required optimal  $(2s+1)$ -gons can be easily constructed from this family.

**Keywords:** Digital geometry, digital convex polygon, greedy lower bound.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A *digital convex polygon* (shortly d.c.p.) is a polygon whose all vertices are points of the integer grid and all the interior angles of which are strictly smaller than  $\pi$  radians. The *diameter* of a d.c.p. is the minimal edge size of the inscribed digital square with edges parallel to the coordinate axes.

The following optimization problem is considered:

Given an odd natural number  $2s + 1$ , determine a d.c.  $(2s + 1)$ -gon of minimum diameter  $mind(2s + 1)$ .

The analogous problem for  $2s$ -gons was completely solved in [4]. A construction of almost optimal d.c.  $(2s + 1)$ -gons was given in [5]; these  $(2s + 1)$ -gons are almost optimal in the sense that their diameters are not greater than  $1 + mind(2s + 1)$ .

In this paper the last step is made for completion of these results: an algorithm is given, the results of which are used for an *exact* construction of optimal digital convex  $(2s + 1)$ -gons.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $y_{min}$  and  $x_{max}$  respectively denote the minimal  $y$ -coordinate and the maximal  $x$ -coordinate of the considered d.c.p.  $P$ . Generally, the SE-arc (*south-east arc*) of  $P$  is the sequence of consecutive edges  $(V_i, V_{i+1})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , where  $V_i$  denotes a vertex  $(x_i, y_i)$  of  $P$ ;  $x_1 < \dots < x_k = x_{max}$ ;  $y_{min} = y_1 < \dots < y_k$ . In particular, if the polygon  $P$  has a lower horizontal edge  $(V_0, V_1)$  ( $V_0 = (x_0, y_1)$ ,  $V_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ ,  $x_0 < x_1$ ), then this edge is additionally considered to be the first edge of the SE-arc. The NE-arc, the NW-arc and the SW-arc of a d.c.p. are defined in the analogous way.

Given an edge  $e = ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$  of a d.c.p., the *edge slope* of  $e$  denotes the fraction:

$$\frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{|y_1 - y_2|} \quad \text{if } e \in \text{NE- or SW-arc}; \quad \frac{|y_1 - y_2|}{|x_1 - x_2|} \quad \text{if } e \in \text{SE- or NW-arc},$$

while *bd-length* (shortly: *bdl*) of the edge  $e$  denotes the sum  $|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$ .

$DS(p, q)$  denotes a digital square with the property that each arc has exactly one edge with the edge-slope  $q/p$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime natural numbers.

If the corresponding arcs of some two d.c. polygons  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common edge slopes, then there exists the *Minkowski sum* of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , which is a uniquely determined third d.c.p.  $P_3$  (for more details see, e.g., [6]). Each arc of  $P_3$  includes all the edges of the corresponding arcs of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , sorted so that the convexity condition is preserved. The diameter of  $P_3$  is equal to the sum of the diameters of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

$MS(P)$  denotes the minimal (digital) square (with edges parallel to the coordinate axes) in which a d.c.p.  $P$  can be inscribed.

A "projection of an edge" of a d.c.p.  $P$  is a projection of that edge to an edge of  $MS(P)$  which is not "hidden" by  $P$  (thus each "oblique" edge of  $P$  has exactly two projections).

### 2.1. A BOUND, A CONSTRUCTION AND TOLERANCES

A theoretical lower bound for diameter of a d.c.  $n$ -gon can be derived from the following observations:

Let  $Minsum(n)$  denote the minimal possible sum of *bd-lengths* of  $n$  digital edges which might be included into a d.c.p.  $P$ . We are going to make the notion of  $Minsum(n)$  more precise:

Since the number of summands is fixed, the minimization requires the summands to be as small as possible. Such a choice of summands is naturally performed by the following "greedy" algorithm: choose as many summands equal to 1 as possible, then proceed with summands equal to 2 and so on. All these summands are of the form  $(p+q)$ , where  $q/p$  ( $q = 0, 1, \dots, p = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is an edge slope. The following two rules must be obeyed by the edge slopes  $q/p$ : the numbers  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime; each  $q/p$  can be used at most four times (at most once in each one of the four arcs of  $P$ ) – that is, it has at most four associated summands  $(p+q)$  in  $Minsum(n)$ .

A family  $\{P(t) \mid t = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of optimal d.c.  $4s$ -gons was introduced in [7] (see also [8]). Each arc of the polygon  $P(t)$  contains all the possible edge slopes  $q/p$  satisfying  $p + q \leq t$ . The number of vertices and the diameter of the polygon  $P(t)$  are denoted by  $v(t)$  and  $d(t)$  respectively.

One can derive ([1]) that the functions  $v(t)$  and  $d(t)$  can be expressed in terms of the Euler function  $\phi$  ( $\phi(i)$  denotes the number of integers between 1 and  $i$  which are relatively prime with  $i$ ; e.g.,  $\phi(1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(3) = \phi(4) = 2$ ,  $\phi(5) = 4$ ) as follows:

$$v(t) = 4 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^t \phi(i) \quad d(t) = \sum_{i=1}^t i \cdot \phi(i)$$

Let  $n \in (v(t-1), v(t))$ .

The diameter of a d.c.  $n$ -gon  $P$  cannot be smaller than one fourth of the perimeter of  $MS(P)$ . On the other hand,  $Minsum(n)$  is a lower bound for this perimeter. Consequently, a greedy lower bound  $gdlb(n)$  for diameter of a d.c.  $n$ -gon can be expressed as:

$$gdlb(n) = \left\lceil \frac{Minsum(n)}{4} \right\rceil = d(t-1) + \left\lceil \frac{(n - v(t-1)) \cdot t}{4} \right\rceil$$

A d.c.  $n$ -gon for  $n$  odd is called *perfect* if its diameter is equal to  $1 + gdlb(n)$  for  $t \bmod 4 = 0$  and  $gdlb(n)$  otherwise. Namely, it was shown in [5] that there are no d.c.  $n$ -gons with  $n$  odd,  $t \bmod 4 = 0$ , and diameter equal to  $gdlb(n)$ .

Our construction of perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons is based on the key concept of perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples.

A *Basic  $b$ -tuple*  $B$  is defined as a collection of  $b$  edges partitioned w.r.t. the arcs which satisfies that each edge slope of  $B$  is used in at most three arcs. Note that  $B$  can be used as a summand of a Minkowski sum and that  $MS(B)$  is well-defined. *Initial  $4s$ -gons* associated to  $B$  are the Minkowski sums of  $s$  arbitrary different 4-gons of the form  $DS(p, q)$ , which satisfy the following conditions:  $p + q \leq t$ ; the edge slope  $q/p$  is not used in  $B$ ; all the edge slopes  $q'/p'$  which are not used in  $B$  and which satisfy  $q' + p' < q + p$  - are used in the corresponding Initial  $4s$ -gon.

A Basic  $b$ -tuple  $B$  is called *perfect* if it can be used for the construction of a perfect d.c.  $n$ -gon. The construction of perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples is the goal of the algorithm described in Section 3.

Let  $k_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  denote the difference between the diameter of a Basic  $b$ -tuple  $B$  and the sum of projections of edges of  $B$  onto the north, west, south and east edge of  $MS(B)$  respectively.

A perfect d.c.  $n$ -gon  $P$  is constructed from a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple  $B$  and a corresponding Initial  $4s$ -gon  $I$  ( $b + 4s = n$ ) by applying in turn the following two steps:

1. Construction of the Minkowski sum  $T$  of  $B$  and  $I$ .
2. Replacement of edges of  $T$  with edge slope  $0/1$  ("flat" edges) in the  $i$ -th arc ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for NW-, SW-, SE- and NE-arc respectively) by edges with edge slopes  $0/(k_i + 1)$ .

Let a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple  $B$  be devoted to the constructions of perfect  $n$ -gons satisfying  $(n-b) \bmod 4 = 0$  and  $n \in (v(t-1), v(t))$ . We say that  $B$  leaves a *gap* if  $B$  cannot be used for constructions of perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons with some of the considered values of  $n$ .

Let a Basic  $b$ -tuple  $B$  be used for the construction of a d.c.  $n$ -gon  $P$ . The *used tolerance* (shortly:  $UT$ ) of  $B$  is equal to the difference of the sum of  $bd$ -lengths of edges of  $P$  and  $Minsum(n)$ .

Assume now that both  $B$  and  $P$  are perfect. Then the *allowed tolerance* (shortly:  $AT$ ) of  $B$  is equal to the difference of the perimeter of  $MS(P)$  and  $Minsum(n)$ . It is obvious that  $AT \geq UT$  and that  $AT - UT = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4$ .

It turns out that  $AT$  depends merely on  $n' = n \bmod 4$  and  $t' = t \bmod 4$ ; its values are given by Table 1:

Table 1.

$(n', t')$	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(3, 0)	(3, 1)	(3, 2)	(3, 3)
AT	4	3	2	1	4	1	2	3

### 3. ALGORITHM

There are four (hierarchically nested) levels of search for perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples: Cases determined by combinations of used  $bd$ -lengths,  $bd$ -lengths used within a Case, edge slopes of a given  $bd$ -length and arcs in which a given edge slope is used. For example, if Case and  $bd$ -length are fixed, then, when looking for an edge slope of that  $bd$ -length, all the possibilities are tried, and for each one of them all the possibilities for the arcs are examined. The preparatory stages of the algorithm include Case level - generation of all the Cases (Section 3.1), as well as the preparation of the edge slope level - generation of all the edge slopes, which might be used in a Case (Section 3.2).

#### 3.1. LIST OF CASES

When looking for perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples, we make the complete *List\_of\_Cases* for a choice of  $bd$ -lengths of their edges. The diameter and the used tolerance of these Basic  $b$ -tuples are determined by the Case and denoted as  $Diameter(Case)$  and  $UT(Case)$  respectively.

*List\_of\_Cases* is determined by hand, depending on  $n \bmod 4$  in  $\{1, 3\}$ . The partition into Cases is an application of the divide-and-conquer approach to the search: a huge amount of unusable combinations is eliminated.

Each Case requires a fixed number of edges with a given  $bdl$ . Moreover, the number of edge slopes with that  $bdl$  is sometimes (see mode 1 in Section 3.4) also fixed, as well as the number of edges (arcs) with the corresponding edge slope.

As an example, we give *List\_of\_Cases* (Table 2) for choice of  $bd$ -lengths of edges of perfect Basic  $(4s+1)$ -tuples, which can be used for  $n = v(t-1) + 1$ . Each Case is written in the form of sum of the used  $bd$ -lengths. A summand of the form  $q * p[t-i]$  means that the corresponding perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple should use  $q$  distinct edge slopes with  $bdl = t-i$ , so that each one of these edge slopes is used in exactly  $p$  arcs. If either of the integers  $q$  and  $p$  is equal to 1, then it is omitted. A summand of the form  $qt$  or  $q(t+i)$  means that the perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple should use  $q$  edge slopes with  $bdl = t$ , respectively with  $bdl = t+i$  (these edge slopes, when used in distinct arcs, need not be distinct). Each sum in the list is followed by  $UT(\text{Case})$ . The additional denotation  $!(w)$  means that a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple with such a choice of  $bd$ -lengths has been effectively constructed (would leave no gaps).

Table 2.

$3[t-4] + 2t$	4	$2 * 3[t-1] + 2t + (t+1)$	3
$3[t-3] + 3[t-1] + 3t$	4	$2 * 3[t-1] + t + 2(t+1)$	$4w$
$3[t-3] + 2t$	3	$2 * 3[t-1] + 2t + (t+2)$	4
$3[t-3] + t + (t+1)$	$4w$	$3[t-1] + 2[t-1] + 4t$	$3!$
$2 * 3[t-2] + 3t$	4	$3[t-1] + 2[t-1] + 3t + (t+1)$	4
$3[t-2] + 2 * 3[t-1] + 4t$	4	$3[t-1] + [t-1] + 5t$	4
$3[t-2] + 2 * 3[t-1]$	$4w$	$3[t-1] + [t-1] + t$	4
$3[t-2] + 3[t-1] + 3t$	3	$2 * 2[t-1] + 5t$	4
$3[t-2] + 3[t-1] + 2t + (t+1)$	4	$2 * 2[t-1] + t$	4
$3[t-2] + 2[t-1] + 4t$	4	$3[t-1] + 2t$	1
$3[t-2] + 2[t-1]$	$4w$	$3[t-1] + t + (t+1)$	$2!w$
$3[t-2] + 2t$	2	$3[t-1] + 2(t+1)$	$3w$
$3[t-2] + t + (t+1)$	$3w$	$3[t-1] + t + (t+2)$	$3w$
$3[t-2] + 2(t+1)$	$4w$	$3[t-1] + (t+1) + (t+2)$	$4w$
$3[t-2] + t + (t+2)$	$4w$	$3[t-1] + t + (t+3)$	$4w$
$2[t-2] + 3t$	4	$2[t-1] + 3t$	2
$4 * 3[t-1] + 5t$	4	$2[t-1] + 2t + (t+1)$	$3!$
$4 * 3[t-1] + t$	4	$2[t-1] + t + 2(t+1)$	$4w$
$3 * 3[t-1] + 4t$	3	$2[t-1] + 2t + (t+2)$	4
$3 * 3[t-1]$	$3w$	$[t-1] + 4t$	3
$3 * 3[t-1] + 3t + (t+1)$	4	$[t-1] + 3t + (t+1)$	4
$2 * 3[t-1] + 3t$	$2!$		

### 3.2. CANDIDATES FOR EDGE SLOPES

Given  $n \in (v(t-1), v(t))$ , a family  $F(bdl)$  of candidates for edge slopes of a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple is generated for each  $bdl \in [t-AT, t+AT]$ . Given a  $bdl$  of the form  $4k+u$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots$ ,  $u=0, 1, 2, 3$ ), the candidates in  $F(bdl)$  are chosen to be of the

bilinear form  $(i \cdot k + j) / ((4 - i) \cdot k + (u - j))$ , so that the denominator and numerator are relatively prime. In particular, in the case  $bdl = 4k + 2$  we distinguish the subcases  $bdl = 8k + 2$  and  $bdl = 8k + 6$ . The definition of perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons motivates the partitioning w.r.t  $bdl \pmod 4$ .

Table 3 is obtained by quoting merely one of each two mutually reciprocal fractions of the family  $F(bdl)$ .

Note that for each odd  $bdl$  there exists a subfamily of  $F(bdl)$  of the form  $2^s / (bdl - 1 - (2^s - 1))$  for  $s = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(bdl) \rfloor$ , while for each  $bdl$  satisfying  $bdl \pmod 4 = 2$  there exists a subfamily of the form  $((bdl/2) - 1 - (2^s - 1)) / ((bdl/2) - 1 + (2^s + 1))$ , for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(bdl/2) \rfloor$ .

Table 3.

bdl	candidates		
$4k$	$\frac{1}{4k+1}$	$\frac{2k-1}{2k+1}$	
$4k+1$	$\frac{k}{3k+1}$	$\frac{2k}{2k+1}$	$\frac{2^s}{4k - (2^s - 1)}$ , $s = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(4k+1) \rfloor$
$4k+2$	$\frac{1}{4k+1}$	$\frac{2k - (2^s - 1)}{2k + (2^s + 1)}$	$s = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(2k+1) \rfloor$
$4k+3$	$\frac{k+1}{3k+2}$	$\frac{2k+1}{2k+2}$	$\frac{2^s}{4k - (2^s - 3)}$ , $s = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(4k+3) \rfloor$
$8k+2$	$\frac{1}{8k+1}$	$\frac{2k+1}{6k+1}$	$\frac{4k - (2^s - 1)}{4k + (2^s + 1)}$ , $s = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(4k+1) \rfloor$
$8k+6$	$\frac{1}{8k+5}$	$\frac{2k+1}{6k+5}$	$\frac{4k - (2^s - 3)}{4k + (2^s + 3)}$ , $s = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \log_2(4k+3) \rfloor$

### 3.3. SKETCH OF THE ALGORITHM

The shell of the algorithm for the construction of a complete family of perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples, which can be used for construction of perfect digital convex  $n$ -gons for each  $n$  odd – has the following outlook in PseudoPascal:

```
BEGIN (* main *)
```

```
  Generate  $F(bdl)$ ,  $bdl \in \{4k-4, \dots, 4k+4\} \cup \{8k, \dots, 8k+8\}$ ;
```

```
  (* these  $bdl$ -s are sufficient for all the Cases *)
```

```
  FOR  $(n \pmod 4)$  in  $\{1, 3\}$  DO BEGIN
```

```
    Generate the List_of_Cases;
```

```
    (* for  $bd$ -lengths of edges of perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple *)
```

```
    FOR  $(t \pmod 4)$  in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  DO BEGIN
```

```

Calculate  $AT$ (* Table 1 *);
Determine interval  $[t - AT, t + AT]$  for  $bdl$ ;
 $Found := FALSE$ ;
REPEAT
  Take next Case from the  $List\_of\_Cases$ ;
  IF  $UT(Case) \leq AT$  THEN BEGIN
    Calculate  $Diameter(Case)$ ;
    Initialize Basic 0-tuple;
    Augment( $No\_slope, No\_arc(s), 0$ ) END
  UNTIL  $Found$  or ( $List\_of\_Cases$  is exhausted)
END (* for  $t$  *) END (* for  $n$  *) END. (* main *)

```

We also sketch the recursive procedure **Augment**, which searches for perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples by backtracking. This procedure incorporates the last three levels of the search; in particular, the  $bdl$  level is treated by **Jump**, while the WHILE loop searches through the edge slope level and the arc level at the same time.

Each call of **Augment** in the main program corresponds to an attempt (determined by Case) for construction of a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple, while each successful recursive call inserts one or more edges with the same edge slope into the current Basic  $c$ -tuple ( $c < b$ ).

```

PROCEDURE Augment( $Last\_slope, Last\_arc(s), Last\_diameter$ );
BEGIN
  IF  $Completed$  THEN BEGIN
    Print perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple;
     $Found := TRUE$  END
  ELSE BEGIN
    IF the current Basic  $c$ -tuple has the sufficient number
    of edges with  $bdl = bdl>Last\_slope$  THEN BEGIN (* Jump *)
       $bdl :=$  the next  $bd$ -length required by the Case;
       $New\_slope :=$  the first candidate of  $F(bdl)$ ;
       $New\_arc(s) :=$  the first possible;
       $F(bdl)\_exhausted := FALSE$ ; END (* Jump *)
    ELSE BEGIN (* an attempt for regular advancing *)
       $bdl :=$  the  $bd$ -length of  $Last\_slope$ ;
      IF  $Last\_arc(s) =$  last possible THEN
        IF  $Last\_slope$  is the last candidate in  $F(bdl)$  THEN
           $F(bdl)\_exhausted := TRUE$ 
        ELSE BEGIN
           $New\_slope :=$  the next candidate in  $F(bdl)$ ;
           $New\_arc(s) :=$  the first possible END
        ELSE  $New\_arc(s) :=$  the next possible END;

```

```

WHILE NOT  $F(bdl)$ _exhausted DO BEGIN
  Insert( $New\_slope$ ,  $New\_arc(s)$ ,  $New\_diameter$ );
  IF Feasible( $Augmented\_tuple$ ) THEN
    Augment( $New\_slope$ ,  $New\_arc(s)$ ,  $New\_diameter$ );
  Delete( $New\_slope$ ,  $New\_arc(s)$ ,  $Last\_diameter$ );
  IF  $New\_slope$  is the last candidate in  $F(bdl)$  AND
   $New\_arc(s)$  = last possible THEN
     $F(bdl)$ _exhausted := TRUE;
  ELSE IF  $New\_arc(s)$  = last possible THEN BEGIN
     $New\_slope$  := the next candidate in  $F(bdl)$ ;
     $New\_arc(s)$  := the first possible END
  ELSE  $New\_arc(s)$  := the next possible
END(* while *) END(* if not Completed *) END(* Augment *);

```

### 3.4. SOME FURTHER EXPLANATIONS ON AUGMENT

We elaborate some details within the procedure Augment:

*Completed* is the Boolean variable which becomes true when a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple (where  $b$  is the number required by Case) is constructed. In that moment the Boolean variable *Found* becomes true and breaks the REPEAT loop in the main program.

*Feasible(Augmented\_tuple)* is the Boolean function which is true iff *Augmented\_tuple* satisfies the following conditions:

- its diameter is not greater than  $Diameter(Case)$ ;
- no edge slope is used in more than three arcs;
- if the numbers of edges in NW-, SW-, SE- and NE-arc are denoted by  $nw$ ,  $sw$ ,  $se$ ,  $ne$  respectively, then  $nw \geq sw$ ,  $nw \geq se$ ,  $sw \geq ne$  (this condition corresponds to avoidance of symmetry and shortens the search within unsuccessful branches of the backtracking tree).

During the search for edges of a perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple, we distinguish two modes:

**mode 1** ( $bdl \leq t$ ): given an edge slope  $p/q$  with  $p + q < t$ , all the arcs of  $B$  in which that edge slope will be used (at most three of them) are chosen at once (the edge slope is treated as a whole);

**mode 2** ( $bdl \geq t$ ): each edge (= the ordered pair of an edge slope and an arc) is chosen independently of the others.

Note that both modes may be used with  $bdl = t$  (this  $bdl$  is preferable, since tolerance is not used). During the backtracking, the modes can be alternatively used several times. Determination of  $New\_slope$ ,  $New\_arc(s)$ , as well as the performance of the procedures **Insert** and **Delete** – are mode-dependent.

If Case requires the edges with edge slope =  $New\_slope$  to be inserted into  $j$  arcs ( $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  in mode 1 and  $j = 1$  in mode 2), then  $New\_arc(s)$  is chosen as the lexicographically next combination of four arcs, without repetitions, of order  $j$ . The attributes "the first possible", "the next possible" and "the last possible" are in accordance with this lexicographical order.

The Boolean variable  $F(bdl)\_exhausted$  becomes true if there are no new possibilities for  $New\_slope$  and  $New\_arc(s)$ , within the given  $bdl$ . Note that each pass through the WHILE loop corresponds to one  $bdl$ .

The procedure **Insert** effectively inserts the  $edge(s)$  with edge slope =  $New\_slope$  into the  $arc(s)$  determined by the combination  $New\_arc(s)$ . In this way the  $Augmented\_tuple$  is produced and its diameter (called  $New\_diameter$ ) is determined. If the insertion implies that the  $Augmented\_tuple$  is not **Feasible** or the recursive call of the procedure **Augment** terminates with failure, the reverse procedure **Delete** is activated; it returns the  $Augmented\_tuple$  and its diameter into the previous state (before the insertion).

#### 4. PERFECT BASIC $b$ -TUPLES

In this section we present the main result of the paper, which is obtained by the algorithm of Section 3: a complete collection of perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples, for constructions of perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons for each odd  $n$ . The Basic  $b$ -tuples in the collection are partitioned w.r.t. ten cases, depending on  $n \bmod 4$  and  $t \bmod 4$ ; in particular, two cases are used for  $t = 4k + 2 : t = 8k + 2$  and  $t = 8k + 6$ .

The data for each perfect Basic  $b$ -tuple  $B$  are listed. The first part of a list consists

of the denotations of the form  $\frac{q}{p}$  ( $List\_of\_arcs$ ), where  $\frac{q}{p}$  is an edge slope (written in the bilinear form) used in those arcs of  $B$ , which are mentioned in  $List\_of\_arcs$  (1, 2, 3, 4 denotes NW-, SW-, SE- and NE-arc respectively).

The second part of a list contains: the number  $b$ ; the lower bounds for  $k$  and  $n$ , to which  $B$  is applicable; the diameter  $d$  of  $B$ , which is equal to  $mind(n) - gdlb(n - b)$ ; the values  $g$  of gaps, which are left by  $B$  ( $+i$  stands for the gap  $v(t - 1) + i$ , while  $-i$  stands for the gap  $v(t) - i$ ).

Case 1.  $n \bmod 4 = 1, \quad t = 4k$

$$\frac{3k-1}{k} (13) \quad \frac{2k-1}{2k+1} (14) \quad \frac{4k}{1} (2),$$

$$b = 5, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 17, \quad d = 5k$$

Case 2.  $n \bmod 4 = 1$ ,  $t = 4k + 1$

$$\frac{3k+1}{k} (13) \quad \frac{2k+1}{2k} (23) \quad \frac{1}{4k+1} (1),$$

$$b = 5, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 29, \quad d = 5k + 2, \quad g = +1$$

$$\frac{2k+1}{2k-1} (123) \quad \frac{1}{4k-1} (12) \quad \frac{k}{3k+1} (1) \quad \frac{4k}{1} (2) \quad \frac{3k+1}{k} (3) \quad \frac{2}{4k-1} (4),$$

$$b = 9, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 25, \quad d = 9k + 1, \quad g = -3, -7, -11$$

Case 3.  $n \bmod 4 = 1$ ,  $t = 8k + 2$

$$\frac{4k+1}{4k} (124) \quad \frac{6k+1}{2k+1} (2) \quad \frac{2k+1}{6k+2} (4),$$

$$b = 5, \quad k \geq 0, \quad n \geq 5, \quad d = 10k + 2$$

Case 4.  $n \bmod 4 = 1$ ,  $t = 8k + 6$

$$\frac{4k+5}{4k+1} (123) \quad \frac{4k+1}{4k+5} (4) \quad \frac{4k-1}{4k+7} (123) \quad \frac{6k+5}{2k+2} (3) \quad \frac{2k+2}{6k+5} (1),$$

$$b = 9, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 241, \quad d = 18k + 14, \quad g = +1, +5$$

$$\frac{4k+2}{4k+3} (134) \quad \frac{8k+4}{1} (124) \quad \frac{6k+5}{2k+1} (1) \quad \frac{2k+1}{6k+5} (3) \quad \frac{1}{8k+5} (4),$$

$$b = 9, \quad k \geq 0, \quad n \geq 41, \quad d = 18k + 12, \quad g = -3, -7$$

Case 5.  $n \bmod 4 = 1$ ,  $t = 4k + 3$

$$\frac{3k+2}{k+1} (13) \quad \frac{2k+2}{2k+1} (23) \quad \frac{1}{4k+3} (1),$$

$$b = 5, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 51, \quad d = 5k + 4, \quad g = +1$$

Case 6.  $n \bmod 4 = 3$ ,  $t = 4k$

$$\frac{3k+1}{k} (1) \quad \frac{k}{3k+1} (3) \quad \frac{2k+1}{2k} (4),$$

$$b = 3, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 19, \quad d = 3k + 1$$

Case 7.  $n \bmod 4 = 3$ ,  $t = 4k + 1$

$$\frac{3k+1}{k} (1) \quad \frac{k}{3k+1} (3) \quad \frac{2k+1}{2k} (4),$$

$$b = 3, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 27, \quad d = 3k + 1, \quad g = -1, -5$$

$$\frac{k}{3k+1} (24) \quad \frac{2k}{2k+1} (13) \quad \frac{2k+1}{2k} (23) \quad \frac{1}{4k+1} (1),$$

$$b = 7, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 35, \quad d = 7k + 2, \quad g = +3, -1$$

Case 8.  $n \bmod 4 = 3, \quad t = 8k + 2$

$$\frac{8k+1}{1} (234) \quad \frac{6k+1}{2k+1} (124) \quad \frac{2k+1}{6k+1} (234) \quad \frac{4k+2}{4k+1} (4) \quad \frac{1}{8k+2} (2),$$

$$b = 11, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 123, \quad d = 22k + 6, \quad g = +3, +7$$

$$\frac{8k+1}{1} (2) \quad \frac{6k+1}{2k+1} (4) \quad \frac{2k+1}{6k+1} (2) \quad \frac{4k+1}{4k} (124) \quad \frac{1}{8k+2} (4),$$

$$b = 7, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 115, \quad d = 14k + 3, \quad g = -1, -5$$

Case 9.  $n \bmod 4 = 3, \quad t = 8k + 6$

$$\frac{6k+5}{2k+1} (1) \quad \frac{2k+2}{6k+5} (3) \quad \frac{4k+4}{4k+3} (4),$$

$$b = 3, \quad k \geq 0, \quad n \geq 43, \quad d = 6k + 5$$

Case 10.  $n \bmod 4 = 3, \quad t = 4k + 3$

$$\frac{3k+2}{k+1} (1) \quad \frac{k+1}{3k+2} (3) \quad \frac{2k+2}{2k+1} (4),$$

$$b = 3, \quad k \geq 0, \quad n \geq 11, \quad d = 3k + 3, \quad g = -1, -5$$

$$\frac{3k+2}{k+1} (24) \quad \frac{2k+2}{2k+1} (14) \quad \frac{1}{4k+3} (234),$$

$$b = 7, \quad k \geq 1, \quad n \geq 56, \quad d = 7k + 6, \quad g = +3,$$

In some of the Cases (2., 4., 7., 8. and 10.) two different perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples are used, in order to leave as few gaps as possible.

It can be shown that the gaps  $g = +1$  in Case 5. and  $g = -1$  in Case 7. must be left: the corresponding perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons do not exist; the diameter of an optimal d.c.  $n$ -gon is for 1 greater than the diameter required for a perfect d.c.  $n$ -gon. The same conclusion can be derived for the special value  $n = 45$  in Case 4.

One can also check that the perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons for the special values  $n = 13$  (Case 5),  $n = 7$  (Case 8) and  $n = 15$  (Case 10) cannot be constructed by using the given perfect Basic  $b$ -tuples. However, it is easy to construct these perfect d.c.  $n$ -gons directly.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The results of the previous section can be summarized in the form of the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** Let the number of edges of a d.c.  $n$ -gon  $P$  for some odd  $n$  belong to the interval  $(v(t-1), v(t))$  for some natural number  $t > 1$ . Then the minimum diameter  $mind(n)$  of  $P$  is equal to  $gdlb(n)$  for each odd integer  $n > 4$ , except for the following cases in which  $mind(n) = gdlb(n) + 1$  is satisfied:

1.  $n$  is odd,  $t$  is divisible by 4;
2.  $n = v(t-1) + 1$ , where  $t$  is of the form  $4k + 3$ ,  $k > 0$ ;
3.  $n = v(t) - 1$ , where  $t$  is of the form  $4k + 1$ ,  $k > 0$ ;
4.  $n = 45$ .

REMARK. It follows from the results of [4] that an analogous statement is valid for  $n$  even. The only exceptional values of  $n$  in which  $mind(n) = gdlb(n) + 1$  is satisfied – are of the form:

5.  $n = v(t-1) + 2$ , where  $t$  is of the form  $2k$ ,  $k > 1$ ;
6.  $n = v(t) - 2$ , where  $t$  is of the form  $2k$ ,  $k > 1$ .

Note that all the non-exceptional optimal d.c.  $n$ -gons, as well as the exceptional ones corresponding to the case 1. – are perfect. The algorithms for constructions with the cases 2.,3.,4. (respectively 5., 6.) are described in [2] and [3]. Using these algorithms, it can be shown that the optimal (either perfect or not) d.c.  $n$ -gons can be efficiently constructed from a family of (perfect) Basic  $b$ -tuples.

The results of this paper (exact constructions for  $n$  odd) put an end to a series of results motivated by the initial paper [7]: approximation formulae for minimum diameter of a d.c.  $n$ -gon ([1]), exact constructions for  $n$  even ([4]) and suboptimal constructions for  $n$  odd ([5]).

We suggest two related topics for future investigations: the maximal number of edges of a d.c.p. inscribed into a given rectangle and a generalization of the considered problem to the 3D-case.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Acketa,D., and Žunić,J., "On the maximal number of edges of digital convex polygons included into an  $m \times m$  – grid", accepted for *Journal of Combin. Theory – Series A*.
- [2] Acketa,D., Matić-Kekić,S., and Žunić,J., "An algorithm for a simple construction of suboptimal digital convex polygons", *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research* 2/1 (1992) 73–81.
- [3] Acketa,D., Matić-Kekić,S., and Žunić,J., "A linear algorithm for construction of optimal digital convex  $2k$ -gons", *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research* 3/2 (1993) 159–170.
- [4] Acketa,D., and Žunić,J., "The minimal size of a square which includes a digital convex  $2k$ -gon", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 48 (1993) 465–474.
- [5] Acketa,D., and Žunić,J., "A simple construction of a digital convex  $n$ -gon with almost minimal diameter", *Inform. Sciences* 77 (3/4) March (1994) 275–291.
- [6] Guardina,C.R., and Dougherty,E.R., *Morphological methods in image and signal processing*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1987.

- [7] Voss, K., and Klette, R., "On the maximal number of edges of a convex digital polygon included into a square", *Pocitace a umela inteligencija* 1/6 Dec. (1982) 549-558.
- [8] Voss, K., *Discrete Images, Objects and Functions in  $Z^n$* , Algorithms and Combinatorics 11, Springer, 1992.