

DEFECT AND RADICALS OF Δ -ENDOMORPHISM NEAR-RINGS

Vučić Dašić

(Received October 9, 1980)

In this note we consider some properties of the defect of Δ -endomorphism near-rings. It is shown that, if for all $f \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$ the restriction of f to Δ is an endomorphism of the subgroup $(\Delta, +)$, then $\mathcal{D}^2 = \{0\}$. Let G be a finite direct sum of minimal E_Δ -invariant subgroups B_i ($i \in I$) and $\Delta \subseteq B_i$ for some $i \in I$. We show, if every $f \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$ is of the form $f = t + \delta$ ($t \in \text{End}(G)$, $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$), where the restriction of f to Δ is an endomorphism of the subgroup $(\Delta, +)$, then the radicals J_2, J_1, J_0 and nil radical η of the near-ring $E_\Delta(G)$ are equal to the defect \mathcal{D} . This generalizes a results of M. Johnson ([3], Prop. 3).

Let $M_0(G)$ be a set of all zero preserving mappings of the group $(G, +)$ into itself and let Δ be a fully invariant subgroup of G . The mapping $f \in M_0(G)$ with $(\Delta)f \subseteq \Delta$ is called Δ -endomorphism of the group $(G, +)$ if for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $d \in \Delta$ such that

$$(x+y)f = (x)f + (y)f + d.$$

If $\Delta = \{0\}$, then Δ -endomorphism f becomes an endomorphism of $(G, +)$.

Denote by $\text{End}_\Delta(G)$ the multiplicative semigroup of all Δ -endomorphisms of $(G, +)$ for which every fully invariant subgroup of $(G, +)$ is invariant. We say that these subgroups are E_Δ -invariant. Let $E_\Delta(G)$ be the near-ring whose additive group is generated by $\text{End}_\Delta(G)$. The normal subgroup \mathcal{D} of the group $(E_\Delta(G), +)$ generated by the set

$$\{\delta : \delta = -(ht + ft) + (h + f)t, \quad h, f \in E_\Delta(G), \quad t \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)\}$$

is a defect of distributivity of the near-ring $E_\Delta(G)$. It is shown in [2] that the defect of every near-ring R is an ideal of R . The defect \mathcal{D} depends upon the choice of the normal subgroup Δ . It is clear that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq (G, \Delta)_0$, where $(G, \Delta)_0$ is the set of all zero preserving mappings $f : G \rightarrow \Delta$.

Let G be a group and $B \subseteq G$. We define the right annihilator of B by $A(B) = \{f \in E_\Delta(G) : (b)f = 0, \text{ for all } b \in B\}$. If B is any E_Δ -invariant subgroup of $(G, +)$, then $A(B)$ is an ideal of $E_\Delta(G)$, that is, an annihilator ideal. The radical $J_2(E_\Delta(G))$ of $E_\Delta(G)$ is the intersection of all annihilating ideals of the minimal E_Δ -invariant subgroups. The nil radical $\eta(E_\Delta(G))$ of $E_\Delta(G)$ is the sum of all nil ideals of $E_\Delta(G)$. For definitions of the radicals J_1 and J_0 see [4].

Theorem 1. *Let Δ be a fully invariant subgroup of the group $(G, +)$. If for all $t \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$ the restriction of t to Δ is an endomorphism of $(\Delta, +)$, then $\mathcal{D}^2 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. If $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in G$, then $(x)\delta = d_1 \in \Delta$. For all $\delta, \delta' \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in G$, where $\delta' = \sum_i (f_i + \theta_i - f_i)$ and $\theta_i = -(h_i t_i + g_i t_i) + (h_i + g_i) t_i$ ($h_i, g_i \in E_\Delta(G)$, $t_i \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$), we have

$$(x)\delta\delta' = (d_1)\delta' = (d_1)\sum_i (f_i + \theta_i - f_i).$$

But, $(d_1)\theta_i = 0$, because the restriction of t_i to Δ is an endomorphism of $(\Delta, +)$. Thus, $(x)\delta\delta' = 0$, i. e. $\mathcal{D}^2 = \{0\}$.

Proposition 2. *Let Δ be a fully invariant subgroup of the group $(G, +)$. If for all $t \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$ the restriction of t to Δ is an endomorphism of $(\Delta, +)$ then $E_\Delta(G)/\eta(E_\Delta(G))$ is a distributively generated near-ring.*

Proof. Using Theorem 1 it follows that the defect \mathcal{D} is nilpotent. The nil radical of the near-ring R contains all the nilpotent ideals of R ([4], 5.66 Summary). Hence $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \eta(E_\Delta(G))$ and by Corollary of the Theorem 2.6 of [2] it follows that $E_\Delta(G)/\eta(E_\Delta(G))$ is a distributively generated near-ring.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a direct sum of a non-empty collection of minimal E_Δ -invariant subgroups B_i ($i \in I$) and $\Delta \subseteq B_i$ for some $i \in I$. If for all $t \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$ the restriction of t to Δ is an endomorphism of the subgroup $(\Delta, +)$, then $\eta(E_\Delta(G)) = \{0\}$, if and only if $\Delta = \{0\}$.*

Proof. If $\eta(E_\Delta(G)) = \{0\}$, then by Theorem 1 it follows that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \eta(E_\Delta(G))$ i.e. $\mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. Hence $\Delta = \{0\}$, for if $\Delta \neq \{0\}$, then it must be that $\mathcal{D} \neq \{0\}$. Namely, the mapping $f \in (G, \Delta)_0$ with

$$(x)f = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in G \setminus \Delta \\ x, & \text{if } x \in \Delta \end{cases}$$

is a nonzero Δ -endomorphism, but is not an endomorphism of the group $(G, +)$. Conversely, if $\Delta = \{0\}$, then $E_\Delta(G) = E(G)$. Hence, by Proposition 3 of [3], it follows that $\eta(E_\Delta(G)) = \{0\}$ and the theorem is proved.

If Δ is a fully invariant subgroup of $(G, +)$, then the mapping $f \in M_0(G)$ of the form $f = t + \delta$, ($t \in \text{End}(G)$, $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$) is a Δ -endomorphism of $(G, +)$. Indeed, $(\Delta)f \subseteq \Delta$ because Δ is a fully invariant subgroup of $(G, +)$. Also, for all $x, y \in G$ there exists $d' \in \Delta$ such that

$$(x+y)f = (x+y)(t+\delta) = (x+y)t + (x+y)\delta = (x)t + (y)t + d',$$

where $(x+y)\delta = d' \in \Delta$. Since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq (G, \Delta)_0$, we have

$$(x+y)(t+\delta) = (x)(t+\delta) - (x)\delta + (y)(t+\delta) - (y)\delta + d'$$

$$(x+y)(t+\delta) = (x)(t+\delta) + (y)(t+\delta) + d, \quad (d \in \Delta),$$

i.e. the mapping $f = t + \delta$ is a Δ -endomorphism.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a finite direct sum of a non-empty collection of minimal E_Δ -invariant subgroups B_i ($i \in I$) and $\Delta \subseteq B_i$ for some $i \in I$. If every $f \in \text{End}_\Delta(G)$ is of the form $f = t + \delta$ ($t \in \text{End}(G)$, $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$) and the restriction of f to Δ is an endomorphism of the subgroup $(\Delta, +)$, then the radicals J_2, J_1, J_0 and η are equal to the defect \mathcal{D} of the near-ring $E_\Delta(G)$.*

Proof. We first prove that $J_2(E_\Delta(G)) = \mathcal{D}$. By Theorem 1 it follows that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \eta(E_\Delta(G))$, i.e. $\mathcal{D} \subseteq J_2(E_\Delta(G))$. If $f \in J_2(E_\Delta(G))$, where $f = t + \delta$, ($t \in \text{End}(G)$, $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$), then $t \in J_2(E_\Delta(G))$, i.e. $t \in \bigcap_i A(B_i)$, because $\delta \in J_2(E_\Delta(G))$. For all $x \in G$, where $x = b_1 + \dots + b_n$, ($b_i \in B_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x)f &= (b_1 + \dots + b_n)(t + \delta) \\ (x)f &= (b_1)t + \dots + (b_n)t + (b_1 + \dots + b_n)\delta \\ (x)f &= (b_1 + \dots + b_n)\delta\end{aligned}$$

because $t \in \bigcap_i A(B_i)$. Thus, $(x)f = (x)\delta$, i.e. $J_2(E_\Delta(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Hence, $J_2(E_\Delta(G)) = \mathcal{D}$. Since the defect \mathcal{D} , by Theorem 1, is nilpotent, it follows that the radical $J_2(E_\Delta(G))$ is nilpotent too. By using the fact that the above mentioned radicals contain all nilpotent ideals ([4], 5.66 Summary) we obtain

$$J_2(E_\Delta(G)) = J_1(E_\Delta(G)) = J_0(E_\Delta(G)) = \eta(E_\Delta(G)) = \mathcal{D}.$$

The previous theorem generalizes a result of M. Johnson ([3], Prop. 3). Namely, if $\Delta = \{0\}$, then all these radicals coincide with the zero ideal.

Theorem 5. *Let Δ be a minimal fully invariant subgroup of the finite group $(G, +)$. If the intersection of all nilpotent $E_\Delta(G)$ -subgroups contains the defect \mathcal{D} , then*

$$J_2(E_\Delta(G)) = J_1(E_\Delta(G)) = J_0(E_\Delta(G)) = \eta(E_\Delta(G)).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{N} be a nilpotent $E_\Delta(G)$ -subgroup. By assumption $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Since the near-ring $E_\Delta(G)$ has the identity, the right ideal W generated by \mathcal{N} has the elements of the form ([1], Prop. 1.2)

$$w = \sum_i (f_i \pm h_i n_i - f_i), \quad (f_i, h_i \in E_\Delta(G), n_i \in \mathcal{N}).$$

It was proved (see [1], Prop. 3.10) that W is a nil ideal. Thus, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \eta(E_\Delta(G))$. By using the Corollary of Theorem 2.6 of [2] it follows that $E_\Delta(G)/\eta(E_\Delta(G))$ is a distributively generated near-ring which contains no nonzero nilpotent $E_\Delta(G)$ -subgroups. The remaining part of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.11 in [1].

The previous theorem generalizes a result of M. Johnson ([3], T16).

REFERENCES

- [1] Dašić, V.: Δ -endomorphism near-rings, Publ. Inst. Math., (Beograd), 28 (42) (1980), 61–75.
- [2] Dašić, V.: *The defect of distributivity of the near-rings*, Math. Balkanica, (to appear).
- [3] Johnson, M.: *Radicals of endomorphism near-rings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 3 (1973), 1–7.
- [4] Pilz, G.: *Near-rings*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.

Matematički Institut
Titogradski univerzitet
81000 Titograd
Yugoslavia